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INTRODUCTION

The induction or augmentation of tumor-specific immune responses providing protection against neoplastic disease is a promising approach for treating metastatic breast cancers. Genetic immunization potentially may present functional antigenic proteins to the host for recognition by all arms of the immune system, yet is able to delete pieces of tumor antigens that may have deleterious effects. We have demonstrated that the surface of the skin is a convenient site for the inoculation of genetic vaccines. Since the outer layer of skin interfaces directly with the external environment and is in constant contact with innumerable pathogens, immunologic components for the elicitation of both humoral and cytotoxic cellular immune responses must be present along the skin border for counteracting undesirable infections. Evidence supporting the immunologic competence of the outer layer of skin includes: 1) Antigens expressed in the epidermis are more immunogenic than those expressed in the dermis (Eisenbraun et al., 1993), and 2) genetic vaccines inoculated into the epidermis using a gene gun are more potent than those injected intramuscularly (Fynan et al., 1993). Injection of vaccines underneath the epidermis as commonly practiced is likely to bypass an epidermis-associated immune surveillance zone along the border, resulting in insufficient or inappropriate immune responses. The large accessible area of the skin and its durability are other advantages for applying genetic vaccines to this tissue. The immunologic competence of the skin, the ease with which genes can be targeted to defined sites within the skin, the rapid turn-over of skin cells, and our finding that animals can be protected against tumor challenges by noninvasive vaccination onto the skin (NIVS), may allow for the development of a unique method for the administration of vaccines. In these studies, we have demonstrated that anti-CEA (carcinoembryonic antigen) antibodies could be elicited by adenovirus-based NIVS. Furthermore, animals immunized by a skin patch containing AdCMV-heca (an adenovirus vector encoding human CEA) (Tang et al., 1997b) were protected against challenges by a mammary tumor cell line expressing CEA. Results suggested that vaccination against metastatic breast cancers may be achieved by a noninvasive skin patch. This approach not only may boost vaccine coverages because the procedure requires no specially trained personnel and equipment, but also may be able to elicit potent antitumor immune responses because antigens are expressed in the outer layer of skin which is a very immunocompetent tissue.
BODY

Adenovirus vectors with all viral genes deleted (gutless adenovirus vectors) were
developed using the Cre-loxP recombination system (Parks et al., 1996). These vectors
not only accommodate large inserts, but also may allow repeated expression of
antigens in animals due to their reduced immunogenicity (Chen et al., 1997). A gutless
adenovirus vector system (i.e., pRP1001, AdLC8cluc, and 293Cre4 cells) has been
made available to us from the Merck Research Laboratories. However, a problem with
the current gutless adenovirus system is the contamination of the viral stock by helper
virus (Parks et al., 1996) because efficiency of the Cre-loxP recombination system for
excising the packaging signal is not 100%. Our vector preparation contained
substantial amounts of the AdLC8cluc helper virus during our preliminary studies.
Although the vector/helper ratio may be improved after prolonged propagation in 293cre
cells due to a selective disadvantage for the helper virus to be packaged, the procedure
is time-consuming and the viral stock can hardly be helper-free. To this end, we are
taking a novel approach for constructing helper-free gutless adenovirus vectors by co-
transfecting pRP1001-based plasmids with a packaging signal (Grable and Hearing,
1992)-free helper plasmid. To construct a helper plasmid without a packaging signal
(AdEasy-hp), the left ITR (inverted terminal repeat) of adenovirus was amplified from
the pShuttle plasmid (He et al., 1998) using two primers 5'-
CGGGGATCGATGGGCATCATCAATAATATACCTTATT-3'  and  5'-
ATATCGATACAAACATCCGCTAAAAACCGCGCG-3'. pAdEasy-hp was constructed by
cloning the left ITR sequence into the unique Cla I site of the pAdEasy-1 plasmid which
lacks the left ITR, packaging signal, and E1 region (He et al., 1998). When pRP1001-
based plasmids are co-transfected with pAdEasy-hp into human 293 cells, the E1
function will be provided in trans by the host whereas all other adenoviral proteins may
be produced by pAdEasy-hp. Because pAdEasy-hp contains two functional ITRs as the
origin of replication, it may replicate as an autonomous replicon in 293 cells (Hay et al.,
1984), and late gene transcripts may be terminated correctly after DNA synthesis.
Since pAdEasy-hp does not contain a packaging signal, none of its DNA molecules can
be packaged into infectious particles. pAdEasy-hp may thus support the production of
helper-free gutless adenovirus vectors.

Task 2. Develop DNA-based gene painting schemes.
We are the first to have demonstrated that an immune response could be elicited by
topical application of adenovirus recombinants (Tang et al., 1997b), DNA:adenovirus
complexes (Shi et al., 1999), or DNA:liposome complexes (Shi et al., 1999). Others
have shown that the immune system could also be activated to some extent following
topical application of cholera toxin protein (Glenn et al., 1998) or naked DNA (Fan et al.,
1999). However, emerging evidence suggests that the adenovirus-based vector system
is more promising than the DNA-based system as a carrier for skin-targeted
noninvasive vaccines because antigen expression from an adenovirus vector is more
efficient than DNA-mediated gene expression following topical application (Shi et al.,
1999). The problem of eliciting an anti-adenovirus immune response which may
interfere with subsequent cycles of immunization following topical application of the
E1/E3-defective adenovirus vector may readily be circumvented by the development of the helper-free gutless adenovirus vector system (Task 1).

**Task 3. Construction of ubiquitin-based expression vectors for gene painting.** Since T cells recognize short peptides presented by MHC class I molecules, and since ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis degrades endogenously synthesized antigens and generation of short peptide ligands (Ciechanover, 1994), it is thus logical to use ubiquitin conjugation to target antigens into the ubiquitin-proteasome degradation pathway for MHC class I-restricted antigen processing and presentation. However, recent experimental evidence showed that fusion of antigens to ubiquitin could be counterproductive in eliciting an immune response for reasons not well understood (Fu et al., 1998).

**Task 4. Validation of new adenovirus recombinants.** We have shown that a potent immune response could be elicited following topical application of an E1/E3-defective adenovirus vector encoding CEA (Tang et al., 1997b). A novel gutless adenovirus vector encoding CEA shall soon be tested for its efficacy in inducing an anti-breast cancer immune response.

**Task 5. Construction of target cell lines.** The murine mammary tumor cell line JC derived from a female Balb/c mouse was obtained from ATCC. A CEA-expressing mammary tumor cell line JC-hcea was constructed by co-transfecting pGT37 (Conry et al., 1994) with pHβAPr-1-neo (Gunning et al., 1987) at a molar ratio of 10:1, followed by selecting transfectants in medium containing G418. G418-resistant clones containing the human CEA sequences were validated by PCR analysis.

**Task 6. Vaccination of animals against mammary tumors by gene painting.**

1. **Elicitation of anti-CEA antibodies in mice.** We have experience in immunizing animals with a noninvasive vaccine patch (Shi et al., 1999; Tang et al., 1997b). Figure 1 shows that antisera against CEA could be induced in mice by a vaccine patch containing AdCMV-hcea (an adenovirus vector encoding CEA) (Tang et al., 1997b). Serum samples were collected from BALB/c mice that had been immunized by intramuscular injection of pGT37 DNA (a plasmid expression vector encoding human CEA) (Conry et al., 1994), intranasal inoculation of AdCMV-hcea, or noninvasive application of AdCMV-hcea onto abdominal skin using a skin patch. Evidence suggested that only a small fraction of topically applied vectors may be absorbed by the skin (Shi et al., 1999). Figure 1 shows that absorption of vectors by unbroken skin could elicit anti-CEA antibodies at a higher titer than that achieved by intramuscular injection of a large dose of DNA, although intranasal inoculation of adenovirus vectors appeared to be more potent than NIVS in eliciting a humoral immune response probably due to more efficient gene transfer in the respiratory tract. Control animals including naïve mice and mice immunized by topical application of an irrelevant vector all failed to elicit anti-CEA.

2. **Elicitation of a protective antitumor immune response by skin-targeted noninvasive vaccine patches.** To test the efficacy of a noninvasive vaccine in a
disease setting, mice were challenged by subcutaneous injection of 3 X 10⁵ JC-hcea cells, then monitored daily for mortality. Figure 2 depicts mice immunized by AdCMV-hcea-based noninvasive vaccine patches compared to groups which were immunized by intramuscular injection of pGT37 DNA, intranasal inoculation of AdCMV-hcea, topical application of an irrelevant vector AdCMV-PR8.ha, or received no vaccines. Mice immunized by topical application of AdCMV-hcea were afforded 100% protection from the challenge. Animals immunized by intranasal inoculation of AdCMV-hcea or intramuscular injection of pGT37 were also protected. In contrast, those that were immunized by an irrelevant vector or received no vaccines had the highest mortality rate and sustained significant weight loss before they either died, or slowly recovered. It is interesting to note that protection did not correlate with the titer of anti-CEA (Figure 1). It is conceivable that a protective antitumor immune response may also involve cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses that have not been measured in these studies.

3. In vivo cytotoxicity assay. To analyze the antitumor immune response in an in vivo setting at an early stage following tumor challenge, JC-hcea cells were grown on a small disk and implanted onto muscle as described (Tang et al., 1996). Figures 3A and 3C show histologically that JC-hcea cells proliferated from a monolayer to a tumor nodule after 5 days of in vivo growth in a naïve animal. In contrast to the naïve control, the implanted JC-hcea cells were nearly eradicated after 5 days of in vivo growth in animals immunized by topical application of AdCMV-hcea (Figures 3B and 3D). Moreover, a large number of immune effectors infiltrated into the implantation bed concomitantly with the eradication of breast tumor cells (Figures 3B and 3D). Histologic evidence thus supports the hypothesis that the death of tumor cells was mediated by a potent antitumor cellular immune response.

4. Relocation and degradation of foreign DNA after localized gene delivery in a noninvasive mode. In an attempt to determine whether topical application of an adenovirus vector could deliver foreign DNA beyond the inoculation area, we extracted DNA from various tissues, followed by amplification of the transgene as well as the adenovirus type 5 fiber gene by PCR after noninvasive delivery of AdCMV-luc (Tang et al., 1997a) into neck skin. As shown in Figure 4, the full-length luciferase and fiber genes could be amplified from neck skin 3 hours post-inoculation. The full-length gene was usually undetectable in neck skin DNA after 1 day or in DNA extracted from other tissues. However, subfragments of both luciferase and fiber genes could be amplified from liver, whole blood, ear, abdominal skin, or lymph nodes using different sets of primers. No foreign DNA was detectable in any of the tissues 4 weeks post-inoculation. Results suggested that topical application of an adenovirus vector could deliver foreign DNA into a localized area in skin, although foreign DNA may be rapidly acquired by other cell types, degraded, and relocated into deep tissues. The elimination of foreign DNA in 4 weeks highlighted the safety of NIVS.

Task 7. Compare gene painting with other modes of genetic immunization.
We have compared gene painting with intramuscular injection of DNA-based vaccines. As shown in Figures 1 and 2, topical application of 10⁸ pfu AdCMV-hcea was more potent in eliciting an anti-CEA antibody response as well as a protective immune response against tumor challenge than intramuscular injection of 100 µg (equivalent to 10¹³ copies) pGT-37 DNA. We envision that only a small number of AdCMV-hcea
particles could be absorbed by skin following topical application of $10^8$ pfu. Results provide solid evidence that the outer layer of skin is more immunocompetent than muscle, and the surface of skin is an effective target site for vaccine administration.
KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Topical application of an adenovirus vector is capable of protecting animals against a lethal dose of tumor challenge. Although several different laboratories have shown that a humoral immune response could be elicited by topical application of adenovirus vectors (Tang et al., 1997b), DNA:adenovirus complexes (Shi et al., 1999), DNA:liposome complexes (Shi et al., 1999), naked DNA (Fan et al., 1999), or cholera toxin protein (Glenn et al., 1998), this is the first demonstration that topical application of vaccines without causing tissue damage is able to protect animals against cancers in a disease setting.

- The in vivo cytotoxicity assay (Tang et al., 1996) provided evidence that the eradication of breast tumor cells in vivo may have been mediated by a potent antitumor cellular immune response.

- We have demonstrated that the outer layer of skin is more immunocompetent than muscle. This observation makes biological sense because the outer layer of skin is in frequent contact with environmental pathogens, and should be the focus of immunosurveillance.

- Construction of a helper-free gutless adenovirus system is underway.

- We have demonstrated that the skin does not allow environmental DNA to persist. Subfragments of degraded vector DNA could traffic to a variety of tissues following topical application, presumably via antigen-presenting cells.
REPORTABLE OUTCOMES


- **Oral Presentation:** Skin-targeted noninvasive vaccination against mammary tumor cells. *Era of Hope Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program Meeting*. Atlanta, Georgia, June 11, 2000


- **Oral Presentation:** Skin-targeted noninvasive vaccination. *National Vaccine Advisory Committee*. Washington, D.C., May 22, 2000


- **Oral Presentation:** Skin-targeted noninvasive influenza vaccines. *Second Annual Meeting of the American Society of Gene Therapy*. Washington, DC, June 10, 1999

- **Patent:** Allowance of the U.S. patent “Vaccination by topical application of genetic vectors” (U.S. Serial No. 09/402,527)

- **Special Award:** Year 2000 Wallace H. Coulter Award for Innovation and Entrepreneurship with a stipend of $100,000

- **Preceptor for Dr. Mingtao Zeng's Postdoctoral Fellowship:** “Development of a skin-targeted vaccine patch against anthrax” (Dermatology Foundation, 07/01/00-06/30/01, $25,000)
CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that noninvasive application of an adenovirus vector encoding a tumor-associated antigen onto the skin could elicit an immune response against the antigen, and protect vaccinees against tumor challenges. Evidence suggested that the efficacy of a “vaccine patch” may be even greater than that achievable by the commonly used intramuscular route, possibly due to the immunocompetence of the outer layer of skin. We envision that a noninvasive vaccine patch may emerge as a novel vaccination modality in a few years because the procedure is simple, effective, economical, painless, and safe. It may also boost vaccine coverages due to patient comfort.
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APPENDICES

Figure 1. ELISA antibodies generated by the AdCMV-hcea vector in mice. BALB/c mice (3 months old) were immunized by intramuscular (IM) injection of 100 μg of pGT37 DNA, intranasal inoculation (IN) with a dose of 2.5 X 10^7 pfu (plaque-forming units) of AdCMV-hcea, or topical application using a patch by incubating 10^6 pfu of AdCMV-hcea with pre-shaved abdominal skin in a noninvasive mode. For patch-based immunization, the vector was spread as a thin film over naked skin with a piece of the Tegaderm patch (3M). Unabsorbed vectors were washed away in an hour. Each animal was immunized by the specified vector and route for 3 times every 3 weeks. Serum samples were assayed for anti-CEA antibodies 1 week after the last boost. Titers of anti-CEA IgG were determined by ELISA as described (Shi et al., 1999) using purified CEA (CalBiochem) as the capture antigen. Serum samples and peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Promega) were incubated sequentially on the plates for 1 hour at room temperature with extensive washing between each incubation. The end-point was calculated as the dilution of serum producing the same OD_{490} as a 1/100 dilution of preimmune serum. Sera negative at the lowest dilution tested were assigned endpoint titers of 100. IM/pGT37, mice immunized by intramuscular injection of pGT37 DNA; IN/Ad-hcea, mice immunized by intranasal inoculation of AdCMV-hcea; NIVS/Ad-hcea, mice immunized by topical application of AdCMV-hcea; Naïve, non-immunized mice as a control group; NIVS/Ad-ha, mice immunized by topical application of an irrelevant vector AdCMV-PR8.ha (an adenovirus vector encoding an influenza hemagglutinin) as a control group. The data was plotted as geometric mean endpoint ELISA titers, where
n=9 for IM/pGT37, n=19 for IN/Ad-hcea, n=9 for NIVS/Ad-hcea, n=10 for naïve, and n=10 for NIVS/Ad-ha.
Figure 2. Protection from death after tumor challenge. BALB/c mice (3 months old) were immunized by a variety of vaccination modalities as described in Figure 1 legend. One week after the last boost, mice were challenged subcutaneously with a lethal dose (3 X 10^5) of JC-hcea cells and monitored daily for survival. The data was plotted as % survival versus weeks after challenge. Naïve, mice received no vaccines; NIVS/Ad-ha, mice immunized by topical application of AdCMV-PR8.ha; NIVS/Ad-hcea, mice immunized by topical application of AdCMV-hcea; IN/Ad-hcea, mice immunized by intranasal inoculation of AdCMV-hcea; IM/pGT37, mice immunized by intramuscular injection of pGT37 DNA. Numbers in parentheses represented the number of animals for each treatment.
Figure 3. Analysis of antitumor immune responses by in vivo cytotoxicity assay. BALB/c mice (3 months old) were immunized by topical application of AdCMV-hcea as described in Figure 1 legend. One week after the last boost, $5 \times 10^5$ JC-hcea cells were implanted onto muscle as a monolayer using a small disk as described (Tang et al., 1996). After 5 days of in vivo growth, the implantation bed was cross sectioned, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and examined under a light microscope. A: tissue section from the site of implantation of JC-hcea cells in a naïve mouse 5 days after implantation. Note the presence of a tumor layer on top of muscle (X33). B: tissue section from the site of implantation of JC-hcea cells in an AdCMV-hcea-based-vaccine-patch immunized mouse 5 days after implantation. Note the eradication of tumor cells and the infiltration of immune effectors into the implantation bed (X33). C: tissue section as shown in A was visualized at a higher magnification. Note the dominance of JC-hcea cells in the target cell layer with little immune intervention (X132). D: tissue section as shown in B was visualized at a higher magnification. Note the eradication of tumor cells and evidence for a potent immune intervention (X132).
Figure 4. Amplification of foreign DNA in various tissues after localized gene delivery in a noninvasive mode. AdCMV-luc was inoculated onto neck skin in a noninvasive mode as described (Shi et al., 1999). DNA was extracted by DNAZOL (GIBCOBRL), and amplified by the following sets of primers:

Luc5.1: \( \text{GCGCATTCTATCCTCTAGA} \)
Luc3.1: \( \text{ACAAATTGGACCTTCGCC} \)
Luc5.2: \( \text{GTACCAAGTCCCTTTGATCG} \)
Luc3.2: \( \text{CCCTCGGGTGTAATCAGAAT} \)
Fb5.1: \( \text{CCGTCTGAAGATACCTTCAA} \)
Fb3.1: \( \text{ACCAAGTCCCATGAAAATGAC} \)
Fb5.2: \( \text{GCTCCTTTCGCTAGTACAG} \)
Fb3.2: \( \text{CTACTGTAAATGCGCACCTGT} \)

Luc5.1 and Luc3.1 amplifies the 1.7 Kb full-length luciferase gene; Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 amplifies an 0.52 kb subfragment encompassing the central portion of the luciferase gene; Fb5.1 and Fb3.1 amplifies the 1.7 kb full-length adenovirus type 5 fiber gene; Fb5.2 and Fb3.2 amplifies an 0.55 kb subfragment encompassing the central portion of the fiber gene. Lane M, Molecular weight marker (Lambda DNA cleaved with HindIII); lane 1, full-length luciferase gene amplified by Luc5.1 and Luc3.1 from neck skin DNA 3 hours after NIVS; lane 2, a subfragment of luciferase DNA amplified by Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 from neck skin DNA 3 hours after NIVS; lane 3, a subfragment of luciferase DNA amplified by Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 from neck skin DNA 20 hours after NIVS; lane 4, a
subfragment of luciferase DNA amplified by Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 from mouse ear DNA 20 hours after NIVS; lane 5, a subfragment of luciferase DNA amplified by Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 from abdominal skin DNA 20 hours after NIVS; lane 6, a subfragment of luciferase DNA amplified by Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 from liver DNA 20 hours after NIVS; lane 7, a subfragment of luciferase DNA amplified by Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 from DNA extracted from whole blood 20 hours after NIVS; lane 8, a subfragment of luciferase DNA amplified by Luc5.2 and Luc3.2 from lymph node DNA 7 days after NIVS; lane 9, full-length fiber gene amplified by Fb5.1 and Fb3.1 from neck skin DNA 3 hours after NIVS; lane 10, a subfragment of fiber DNA amplified by Fb5.2 and Fb3.2 from neck skin DNA 3 hours after NIVS; lane 11, a subfragment of fiber DNA amplified by Fb5.2 and Fb3.2 from neck skin DNA 20 hours after NIVS; lane 12, a subfragment of fiber DNA amplified by Fb5.2 and Fb3.2 from mouse ear DNA 20 hours after NIVS. DNA from lymph nodes was extracted by pooling superficial cervical lymph nodes and axillary lymph nodes in DNAZOL solution. DNA was amplified for 35 cycles at optimized annealing temperatures in a Stratagene Robocycler gradient 40 thermal cycler. Amplified DNA fragments were fractionated in 1% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide.
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Abstract

Non-invasive vaccination onto the skin (NIVS) could improve vaccination programs because the procedure requires no specially trained personnel and may eliminate many problems associated with needle injections. There is also evidence that the efficacy of a skin-targeted vaccine may be optimal when the antigen is expressed within the outer layer that is in constant contact with potential pathogens. We report here that non-invasive gene delivery by pipetting adenovirus- or liposome-complexed plasmid DNA onto the outer layer of skin could achieve localized transgene expression within a restricted subset of skin in mice and the elicitation of an immune response against the protein encoded by the DNA. These results provide a proof of principle that NIVS may appear as a novel method for the administration of DNA-based vaccines. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: DNA-based vaccine; Non-invasive vaccine; Skin-targeted vaccine

1. Introduction

Vaccination usually requires needle injections by medical personnel. Non-invasive vaccination onto the skin (NIVS) by expressing antigens in the outer layer of skin [1] not only may allow the administration of vaccines by individuals without medical training or equipment, but may also elicit more potent immune responses than conventional needle injections given equivalent doses due to the immunocompetence of epidermis along the skin border [2]. We report here that NIVS using DNA-based expression vectors was able to elicit a systemic immune response against the protein encoded by the vector. Unlike inoculation of DNA-based vaccines using a gene gun [3] or a needle [4], the procedure is non-invasive and requires no special skill or equipment. In contrast to NIVS using adenovirus (Ad) recombinants [1], construction and preparation of recombinant plasmid DNA is technically less demanding. Re-vaccination by DNA-based vaccines is also possible [3]. Although NIVS using protein-based vaccines has recently been demonstrated [5], DNA-based vaccines can be purified at lower costs than their protein-based counterparts, and may be able to stimulate a broader spectrum of immune responses for achieving greater efficacy similar to natural infections [6].
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2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell cultures

Human 293 cells for the propagation of AdCMV-luc [7] were cultured in RPMI medium 1640. W162 cells for the propagation of Ad dl1014 [8] were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium. All media contained 2\% fetal bovine serum and 6\% calf serum.

2.2. Preparation of DNA/Ad and DNA/liposome complexes

DNA/Ad complexes were prepared by mixing 100 \mu g plasmid DNA to 1 \times 10^{11} particles of Ad dl1014 for each inoculation. Ad particles were chemically linked to polylysine as described [9] before reacting with DNA. The DNA/Ad complex was further condensed with polylysine. The titer of Ad was determined by absorbance as described [10]. DNA/liposome complexes were prepared by mixing 100 \mu g plasmid DNA with 100 \mu g DOTAP/DOPE (1:1; Avanti) for each inoculation. Plasmids were prepared using Qiagen Plasmid Maxi Kits.

2.3. Skin-targeted non-invasive gene delivery

Mice (C57BL/6 strain; 3–8 months old; Jackson) were anesthetized and hair covering a restricted area of neck skin was removed with a WAHL cordless trimmer (Model 8900). The shaved skin was further treated with a depilatory (e.g. Nair) which potentially may facilitate the removal of more cornified epithelium. Ad recombinants, DNA/Ad complexes or DNA/liposome complexes were pipetted into a plastic cylinder (made by drilling a hole through the cap of a Nalgene cryogenic vial) that was glued onto the pre-shaved neck of a mouse. Expression vectors were allowed to incubate with naked skin for 1–18 h. Animal care was in accordance with institutional guidelines.

2.4. Luciferase assay

A piece of excised skin was homogenized and luciferase activity in the skin extract was determined with a luminometer by measurement of integrated light emission for 2 min using the Promega's luciferase assay system.

2.5. Western blot analysis

Sera from tail bleeds were diluted 1:250 and reacted with purified human growth hormone protein (hGH) (CalBiochem) that had been separated in a 12\% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred to an Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore) as described [1].

2.6. ELISA for quantitating anti-hGH antibodies

Titer of anti-hGH IgG were determined by ELISA as described [11] using purified hGH as the capture antigen. Serum samples and peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Promega) were incubated sequentially on the plates for 1 h at RT with extensive washing between each incubation. The serum samples were diluted in 10-fold increments. The end-point titer was calculated as the dilution of serum producing the same OD_{490} as a 1/100 dilution of preimmune serum.

3. Results

3.1. Skin-targeted non-invasive gene delivery

As an initial step for the development of DNA-based NIVS, we expressed exogenous genes in the skin of mice by pipetting DNA/Ad or DNA/liposome complexes onto naked skin. As shown in Fig. 1, minute amounts of luciferase could be produced in the skin after incubating naked skin with AdCMV-luc particles (an adenovirus vector encoding luciferase driven by the human cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter) [7], pVR-1216 DNA (a plasmid expression vector encoding luciferase driven by the CMV promoter) complexed with the E4-defective Ad dl1014 [8] or pVR-1216 DNA complexed with DOTAP/DOPE liposomes. No luciferase was detectable in internal organs (e.g. muscle, liver, spleen, heart, lung and kidney) after topical application of expression vectors. The level of transgene expression from Ad recombinants in the skin was on average higher than that from DNA/Ad complexes, which was higher than that from DNA/liposome complexes. The amount of protein produced may potentially be amplified by incubating more vectors with a larger area of skin for a longer period of time. Topical application of pVR-1216 DNA alone without complexing to Ad particles or liposomes produced no measurable luciferase activity in the skin.
Fig. 1. Skin-targeted non-invasive gene delivery. Mice were inoculated with expression vectors encoding luciferase in a non-invasive mode as described in Section 2. The treated skin was removed at the end of the 18-h incubation period, homogenized and assayed for luciferase activity and background was subtracted from the readings. Mice were immunized or inoculated with DNA alone produced no detectable luciferase activity in the skin. LU, light units; Ad, AdCMV-luc; DNA/Ad, pYR-1216 DNA complexed with Ad d1014; DNA/liposome, pYR-1216 DNA complexed with DOTAP/DOPE. Results are the mean log[LU per cm² skin] ± S.E. (n is shown on top of each column).

3.2. DNA-based NIVS

The expression of transgenes in the skin from topically-applied DNA/Ad or DNA/liposome complexes suggests that these complexes may be formulated as components in skin-targeted non-invasive vaccines. To determine whether the amount of antigen produced in the skin from a topically-applied vector was sufficient for eliciting an immune response, an expression plasmid encoding hGH (pCMV-GH) [3] was complexed with either Ad d1014 or DOTAP/DOPE liposomes. Mice were subsequently vaccinated by incubating DNA/Ad or DNA/liposome complexes with a restricted subset of pre-shaved skin for 1–18 h. Only a small fraction of vectors could be absorbed by the skin as shown by the ability to retrieve most DNA from the skin surface an hour after incubation. No physical abrasions were found in the skin tissue after incubation, and there was no inflammation associated with the treated skin. Immunized animals were subsequently monitored for the production of antibodies against hGH by assaying sera from tail bleeds. A month after incubating DNA with naked skin, the test sera from representative mice could react in western blots with purified hGH, but not with bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Fig. 2). Pre-immunization sera, sera from untreated animals and sera from animals vaccinated with irrelevant vectors all failed to react with hGH. Of 7 mice vaccinated by DNA/Ad complexes, all (100%) produced antibodies against hGH within 7 months (Table 1). Of 12 mice vaccinated by DNA/liposome complexes, all (100%) treated animals produced antibodies against hGH (Table 1). The possibility of oral vaccination by ingesting DNA complexes through grooming was eliminated by cleaning the neck skin after removing the DNA-containing cylinder and by mixing naive and vaccinated animals in the same cages. No cross-vaccination between naive and vaccinated mice was ever observed.

The titer of antibodies induced by topical application of DNA/Ad complexes was about 10-fold lower than that elicited by intramuscular injection (IM) of 50 μg of pCMV-GH DNA (Table 1). ELISA showed that DNA/liposome complexes were even less potent than DNA/Ad complexes for eliciting an immune response (Table 1), probably due to the low efficiency of skin-targeted gene delivery (Fig. 1). To demonstrate the feasibility that DNA-based vaccines could be efficacious in a non-invasive mode, we incubated naked skin of 3 naive mice with DNA/Ad complexes containing Ad d1014 complexed with irrelevant plasmid DNA (e.g. pGT37 DNA [12]). As shown in Table 1, antibodies against hGH were still induced when animals with pre-exposure to Ad d1014 were immunized 9 weeks later by topical application of pCMV-GH DNA/Ad complexes.

4. Discussion

Vaccinating animals or humans by delivering DNA-based vaccines onto the outer layer of skin in a non-invasive mode is an appealing strategy provided that extrinsic antigens can be expressed in viable cells in the authentic skin tissue environment in sufficient quantities for eliciting immunity. We have shown that the production of very small amounts of protein in the skin (Fig. 1) was sufficient for eliciting a systemic immune response (Table 1 and Fig. 2) which may have arisen as a result of antigen expression in a limited number of cells in vivo. The amount of DNA absorbed by the skin during incubation has not been quantitatively measured although it must be small as reflected by the amount of protein produced and the ability to retrieve most DNA from the skin surface. It has been determined that a humoral immune response can be elicited in a mouse by inoculating 40 ng of plasmid DNA into the skin using a gene gun and a cellular immune response can be induced with 0.4 ng of plasmid DNA [13]. Because a fraction of the DNA may be scraped off gold microprojectiles
during a flight when DNA is inoculated into tissues with a gene gun, the minimal amount of DNA that is required for eliciting humoral and cellular immune responses by expressing antigens in the skin may be even less than 40 and 0.4 ng, respectively. The minute amount of DNA that is required for vaccinating an animal via the skin route highlights the immunocompetence of the outer layer of skin and the safety of DNA-based NIVS.

Expression vectors applied onto the skin in a non-invasive mode presumably could penetrate into the body via hair follicles, sweat ducts or minor breaches in the skin. The principal target cells for topically-applied vectors have been identified utilizing either an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector¹</th>
<th>Pre-exposure to Ad</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Weeks post-immunization</th>
<th>Number of boost</th>
<th>Number of mice producing anti-GH</th>
<th>Anti-hGH IgG serum titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA/Ad</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NIVS</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/Ad</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NIVS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>1,000–10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/liposome</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NIVS</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/liposome</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NIVS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>10,000–100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/Ad²</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NIVS</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ C57 BL/6 mice were immunized by NIVS or IM injection of DNA. DNA/Ad, pCMV-GH DNA complexed with Ad dl1014; DNA/liposome, pCMV-GH DNA complexed with DOTAP/DOPE; DNA, 50 µg pCMV-GH DNA dissolved in saline (1 mg/ml) was injected into the tongue muscle of an anesthetized mouse.²Mice were exposed to Ad by topical application of Ad dl1014 complexed with irrelevant DNA (e.g. pGT37 DNA [12]) as described in Section 2. Nine weeks later, animals with pre-exposure to Ad were immunized by non-invasive vaccines containing pCMV-GH DNA complexed with Ad dl1014.
Ad vector encoding β-galactosidase [14] or liposome-complexed plasmid DNA encoding β-
galactosidase [15, 16]. Cells within hair follicles [14–16], interferollicular keratinocytes within epidermis [14, 15],
as well as dermal fibroblasts [15] appeared as target
cells for topically-applied expression vectors. Although
the target cells for topically-applied DNA/Ad com-
plexes have not been studied, it is conceivable that
they are identical to those transduced by Ad vectors
since the tropism of the DNA/Ad complex should be
mediated by Ad particles within the complex.
Consistent with our finding that no luciferase ex-
pression was detected in internal organs including the
muscle layer underlying the treated skin, the absence
of β-galactosidase-positive muscle cells [14–16] suggests
that this non-invasive mode of gene delivery may limit
transgene expression within the skin. However, the
ability to vaccinate animals by NIVS implies that
specific peptide fragments of the exogenous antigens
produced in the outer layer of skin may be acquired by
professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) that
are able to relocate to lymphoid organs or other sites
in the body. Alternatively, a small number of APCs
may be directly transfected by topically-applied vec-
tors. Identification and characterization of these puta-
tive APCs may provide insights into the mechanisms
of NIVS.

The possibility that animals may have been immu-
nized by orally ingesting DNA has been eliminated as
described above. It is unlikely that DNA (in the for-
mat as described in this report) can immunize animals
orally by resisting digestive enzymes found in the
gastrointestinal tract. In contrast to the hostile envi-
ronment that oral vaccines encounter before they battle
against pathogens, the skin surface is less destructive
to biomolecules. Absorption of DNA by the skin may
thus allow epidermal vaccines to be formulated with
less sophistication than their oral counterparts. In
future vaccination programs, it is conceivable that
NIVS and other modes of immunization may comple-
ment each other because vaccination via different
routes may elicit different immune responses by differ-
ent mechanisms.

The E1-defective Ad vectors may not be able to vac-
cinate animals repeatedly as vaccine carriers, attributed
to the immunogenicity of Ad vectors which impair Ad-
mediated gene expression in animals with pre-existing
immunity to Ad [17]. DNA/liposome complexes which
do not contain any antigenic components other than
the antigen encoded by the DNA should allow conti-
ued re-vaccination. It is promising that DNA/Ad com-
plexes containing Ad vectors with reduced immunogenicity (e.g. E4-defective Ad [8], 'gutless' Ad
with viral genes deleted [18] or UV-inactivated Ad [10])
will allow re-vaccination or the induction of immune
reactivity in animals with pre-exposure to Ad. Given
the high skin-targeted transfection efficiency of DNA/
Ad complexes when compared to that of DNA/lipo-
some complexes (Fig. 1), a higher antibody titer
induced by non-invasive delivery of DNA/Ad com-
plexes over that elicited by their liposome counterparts
(Table 1), a persistent wave of in vivo transgene ex-
pression from either E4-defective Ad [19] or 'gutless'
Ad [20] in immunocompetent animals and the ability
to immunize animals with pre-exposure to Ad by
DNA/Ad complexes (Table 1), it is likely that DNA/
Ad complexes may be able to consolidate the high effi-
ciency of Ad for in vivo gene delivery, the ease with
plasmid manipulation and the ability to re-vaccinate
animals into one formula for the development of skin-
targeted non-invasive vaccines.

Although IM injection of DNA could elicit a more
potent immune response than DNA-based NIVS
(Table 1), the amount of DNA absorbed by the skin
during NIVS was probably only a small fraction of
that injected into muscle. NIVS is thus potentially
safer than injection of a large dose of DNA into deep
tissues. It is conceivable that the efficacy of DNA-
based NIVS may be improved by (1) covering a large
area of skin for a long period of time, (2) developing a
new generation of skin-targeted vectors with a higher
in situ transfection efficiency and (3) developing
specific adjuvants for NIVS. In contrast to IM injec-
tion of pCMV-GH DNA which is capable of eliciting
an immune response, intradermal injection of naked
DNA appeared as an ineffective vaccination mode for
this specific vector [3]. Although direct comparisons
between topically-applied DNA/Ad or DNA/liposome
complexes and their intradermally-injected counter-
parts have not been made, it was reported that the
deeper the DNA was inoculated into the skin, the less
potent the vaccine was [2]. Animals may have evolved
an immune surveillance mechanism within epidermis
for warding off potential infections along the skin bor-
der. We hypothesize that the expression of antigens in
a small number of cells within the outer layer of skin
can activate the surveillance mechanism and sub-
sequently result in an immune response against the
antigen encoded by the vector.

The immunologic competence of the skin, the ease
with which genes can be targeted to defined sites on
the skin, the rapid turn-over of skin cells, the efficacy
of DNA-based vaccines and our finding that animals
can be immunized by DNA-based NIVS, may allow
for the development of a unique method for vacci-
nation. We envision that skin-targeted non-invasive
vaccines could be delivered by a patch containing a uniform dose of DNA. Since DNA-based NIVS is simple, economical, painless and potentially safe, it may be able to boost vaccine coverages in a wide variety of disease settings.
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