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INTRODUCTION
Cyclins have been shown to be proteins that both activate cyclin-dependent kinases and impart some degree of substrate specificity to the cyclin-CDK holoenzyme. Although very little is known about what these substrates are, it is clear that the regulation of levels of cyclins is crucial for cell cycle progression. In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae several cyclins have been identified. Some, the CLNs, appear to be specific for the G1/S transition while others, the CLBs appear to be specific for the G2/M boundary. The human cyclin E gene was cloned based on its ability to complement a yeast strain with mutations in its G1 cyclin genes CLN1-3. The cyclin E protein has subsequently been shown to be required for the G1/S transition in mammalian cells. Protein levels have been shown to cycle as the cell cycle progresses such that levels of cyclin E are maximal in early S phase and then rapidly decline. The goal of the work proposed here is to identify the components that are responsible for the regulation of the cyclin E protein levels. Such regulation has many important implications for the study of cell cycle progression and thus the onset of tumorigenesis which is an example of uncontrolled cell cycle progression. Recently, elevated levels of cyclin E protein have been correlated with severity of breast cancer.

BODY
The following three aims were approved in the Statement of Work:

Specific Aim 1) Are proteins that physically interact with cyclin E protein involved in its degradation?

Specific Aim 2) Can an in vitro system for regulation of cyclin E be developed by identifying the proteins that regulate ubiquitination of cyclin E?

Specific Aim 3) Are the human homologues of the proteins that regulate yeast G1 cyclins responsible for the degradation of human cyclin E?

The first aim has been addressed during the first year of support. A yeast 2 hybrid screen was utilized to identify proteins that bind cyclin E. The results of the two hybrid screen and subsequent analysis of the protein that was identified has been accepted for publication by the journal Genes and Development and will appear in the September 15 issue (see appended copy of paper).

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS
• Identification of a cyclin E binding protein that is homologous to known cyclin degradation proteins
• Cloning of the cul-3 gene and development of reagents to study its role in the degradation of cyclin E in cells. These reagents include antibodies, cells lines, expression vectors and knock out mice.
• Extensive analysis of knock out mice (still under way).
REPORTABLE OUTCOMES
The bulk of the data obtained during the first year of support will appear in the September 15 issue of Genes and Development. I am applying for a faculty position with the data reported here as the basis for my future work.

CONCLUSIONS
Based on what we have learned so far about the role of cul-3 in the degradation of cyclin E in mammalian cells we are pursuing several studies to determine if cul-3 can prevent unwanted cell growth in normal organs. In addition we will use the antibodies we have developed that recognize cul-3 to analyze breast tumors samples for misregulation of cul-3 protein.
APPENDICES
The following is a copy of the accepted paper to appear in Genes and Development.
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Abstract

Cyclin E is an unstable protein that is degraded in a ubiquitin- and proteasome-dependent pathway. Two factors stimulate cyclin E ubiquitination \textit{in vivo}: when it is free of its CDK partner, and when it is phosphorylated on threonine 380. We pursued the first of these pathways by using a two-hybrid screen to identify proteins that could bind only to free cyclin E. This resulted in the isolation of human Cul-3, a member of the cullin family of E3 ubiquitin-protein ligases. We found that Cul-3 was bound to cyclin E but not to cyclin E-Cdk2 complexes in mammalian cells, and that overexpression of Cul-3 increased ubiquitination of cyclin E but not other cyclins. Conversely, deletion of the \textit{Cul-3} gene in mice caused increased accumulation of cyclin E protein, and had cell-type specific effects on S phase regulation. In the extraembryonic ectoderm, where cells undergo a standard mitotic cycle, there was a greatly increased number of cells in S phase. In the trophectoderm, where cells go through endocycles, there was a block to entry into S phase. The SCF pathway, which targets cyclins for ubiquitination based on their phosphorylation state, and the Cul-3 pathway, which selects cyclin E for ubiquitination based on its assembly into CDK complexes, may be complementary ways to control cyclin abundance.
Introduction

Cyclin E is an evolutionarily conserved protein whose essential function is to promote the cell cycle transition from G1 to S phase (Knoblich et al. 1994; Ohtsubo et al. 1995). Cyclin E binds to and activates the cyclin-dependent kinase Cdk2, and it is the catalytic activity of this holoenzyme which mediates the effects of cyclin E on cell cycle (Fang and Newport 1991; Koff et al. 1991; Dulic et al. 1992; Koff et al. 1992; Koff et al. 1993; Jackson et al. 1995). Hence, mutants of cyclin E that can not bind to CDK2 are biologically inert (Kelly et al. 1998), and ectopic overexpression of a catalytically inactive mutant of CDK2 prevents a cell from entering S phase (Heuvel and Harlow 1993). Conversely, elevated amounts of active cyclin E-CDK2 accelerate entry into S phase (Ohtsubo and Roberts 1993; Resnitzky and Reed 1995). The substrates of cyclin E-Cdk2 are not fully defined, but are thought to include inhibitors of S phase such as pRb (Hinds et al. 1992; Connell-Crowley et al. 1997; Zarkowska and Mittnacht 1997; Kelly et al. 1998) and p27Kip1 (Sheaff et al. 1997), which are inactivated by CDK2-directed phosphorylation. Additionally, proteins which stimulate DNA synthesis might be phosphorylated and thereby activated by CDK2 (Blow and Nurse 1990; D’Urso et al. 1990; Zhao et al. 1998).

The abundance of the cyclin E protein and cyclin E-Cdk2 catalytic activity oscillate in parallel during the cell cycle, reaching a peak as cells begin chromosome replication and a trough at G2/M (Koff et al. 1991; Dulic et al. 1992; Ohtsubo et al. 1995). This is achieved, in part, by cell cycle-dependent gene transcription (Ohtani et al. 1995; Geng et al. 1996). The cyclin E promoter contains E2F binding sites, and activation of the E2F transcriptional program during G1
increases cyclin E gene expression. Phosphorylation of the Retinoblastoma (Rb) protein by the cyclin D-associated kinases is initially responsible for the release of E2F and increased expression of E2F-responsive genes (Weinberg 1995). Hence, the D-type cyclins function upstream of cyclin E in a pathway that leads to cyclin E gene expression (Lukas et al. 1995; Geng et al. 1999; Leng et al. 1997). Expression and activation of cyclin D-CDK complexes is positively controlled by mitogens, which indirectly establishes the dependency of cyclin E accumulation on mitogenic signaling (Sherr 1995). Therefore, increased expression of cyclin E in pre-S phase cells reflects the fact that the mitogen requirement for cell proliferation has been satisfied and also represents a necessary step for beginning DNA synthesis.

A second essential component of cyclin E periodicity is post-transcriptional regulation by ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis (Clurman et al. 1996; Won and Reed 1996). Cyclin E has a short half life of less than thirty minutes, which can be increased to greater than two hours by pharmacologic inhibition of the proteasome (Clurman et al. 1996; Won and Reed 1996). Rapid turnover of cyclin E protein ensures that its levels closely parallel the changing abundance of its mRNA, and therefore underlies the strict dependence of cyclin E protein expression on the cyclin D/Rb/E2F pathway. Turnover of cyclin E by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway is regulated both by the binding of cyclin E to CDK2, and by cyclin E phosphorylation (Clurman et al. 1996; Won and Reed 1996). Thus, unbound cyclin E is readily ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome, whereas cyclin E within cyclin E-CDK2 complexes is protected from ubiquitination. However, the protection afforded by CDK2 is reversed in a process that involves phosphorylation of cyclin E on threonine 380, which triggers ubiquitination of cyclin E and degradation of cyclin E in the proteasome.
The regular rise and fall of cyclin E protein levels is an essential feature of normal cell cycle regulation. Firstly, the upswing in cyclin E expression is one means by which exit from G1 is coupled to the receipt of extracellular mitogenic cues or other proliferative signals. Secondly, the timing of S phase is determined by the abundance of the cyclin E protein. Thirdly, the decline in cyclin E abundance later in S and G2 resets the cell cycle program to its initial state, and thereby reestablishes the dependency of G1 progression on mitogens in the ensuing cell cycle. Finally, cyclin E oscillation appears to be essential for endocycles, cell cycles in which sequential S phases occur without intervening mitoses. It is thought that each cycle of chromosome replication must be accompanied by a decrease and then a rise in cyclin E activity (Follette et al. 1998; Weiss et al. 1998).

The proteins which select cyclin E for ubiquitination are not known. Identifying these proteins will be essential for understanding how cyclin E is recognized by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, for determining whether the activities of the relevant ubiquitinating enzymes are regulated during the cell cycle or modulated by extracellular signals, and for addressing whether the pathways responsible for cyclin E turnover are altered in tumorigenic cells which display deregulated cyclin E expression. Using a combination of molecular and genetic approaches we have identified a member of the cullin family, Cul-3, as being one component of a pathway that controls cyclin E ubiquitination. Homozygous deletion of the Cul-3 gene is shown to cause overexpression of the cyclin E protein and to disrupt normal cell cycle regulation in vivo.
Results

Cloning and characterization of human Cullin-3

Our previous work indicated that one pathway for ubiquitination of cyclin E was critically affected by the binding of cyclin E to a CDK (Clurman et al. 1996). Thus, free (unbound) cyclin E was readily ubiquitinated whereas cyclin E bound to a CDK was protected from ubiquitination. To identify molecules that might be involved in targeting free cyclin E for ubiquitination, we performed a two-hybrid screen in which a mutant version of cyclin E (cyclin E R130A) was used as ‘bait’. In both mammalian cells and yeast wild-type cyclin E binds to and activates CDKs, whereas cyclin E(R130A) cannot. Clones which scored positively for an interaction with cyclin E(R130A) were rescreened against wild-type cyclin E. From $1.5 \times 10^6$ transformants we identified a single protein which was able to bind to cyclin E R130A but could not bind to wild type cyclin E (Fig. 1A), properties which were consistent with it having a role in targeting cyclin E for ubiquitination. The DNA sequence of this interactor revealed that it was a portion of the protein Cullin-3 (Cul-3) (amino acids 395 to 768). These binding properties were not an artifact of using a truncated Cul-3 protein, because reconstruction experiments demonstrated that full length Cul-3 also bound to cyclin E R130A, and not to wild-type cyclin E in this assay (not shown). Cul-3 is a member of the cullin family of genes, defined as homologues of the Cul-1 gene from nematodes (Kipreos et al. 1996) (Du et al. 1998). This family includes the Cdc53 protein in budding yeast, which has been shown to be part of an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase (Patton et al. 1998).

The partial Cul-3 clone obtained in the two-hybrid screen was used as a probe to isolate cDNAs from a human B-cell library containing the complete Cul-3 open reading frame. The
complete Cul-3 ORF is 2307 base pairs and is predicted to encode a protein of 768 amino acids. The amino acid sequence shows homology to all the cullins in the databases with the greatest similarity in the cullin domain which includes amino acids 741-768 in the Cul-3 sequence. Cul-3, like other cullins, lacks a HECT domain, a sequence found in a subset of E3 enzymes.

A second cDNA also apparently containing a complete Cul-3 ORF was isolated in this same screen. However, this clone encoded a version of Cul-3 with an in-frame deletion of amino acid #23 through amino acid #88 (Fig. 1B). Subsequent sequencing of the Cul-3 gene (not shown) revealed that the deleted region precisely corresponded to an exon, and that the two cDNAs (hereafter referred to as Cul-3 long and Cul-3 short) therefore represented alternatively spliced forms of Cul-3 mRNA.

Pattern of Cul-3 protein expression

Portions of Cul-3 corresponding to the amino, middle and carboxy parts of the protein were individually expressed as recombinant proteins in E. coli and used separately to immunize rabbits, thereby generating three distinct antisera that recognize different regions of the Cul-3 protein (see Methods). All three antisera were affinity purified against the immunizing antigen and when used for immunoblotting of whole cell extracts they were found to recognize a single protein with the predicted molecular size of Cul-3 (Fig. 2, and not shown). Each of the antibodies detected increased expression of full length Cul-3 protein in whole cell extracts from mammalian cells that had been transfected with a CMV promoter driven mammalian expression vector containing the Cul-3 cDNA, and none of the antibodies recognized Cul-1. Both the transfected and endogenous protein run as a doublet in all cells examined (see below). Finally, no
immunostaining was detected in Cul-3 +/- mice (see Fig. 5), confirming the specificity of the antibodies for Cul-3.

Various cell types, both mortal and immortal, from mice and humans were stained with each of the Cul-3 antibodies to determine the subcellular location of the Cul-3 protein (see Methods for complete listing of all cell types examined). All three antibodies, and all of the cell types we examined, demonstrated the same pattern of Cul-3 localization to both the nucleus and the Golgi (Fig. 2B). Golgi staining was confirmed by using rhodamine-tagged wheat germ agglutinin to visualize the Golgi, and by specific dissolution of the Golgi with Brefeldin A (not shown). The Golgi localization of Cul-3 was more dramatic in murine compared to human cells, but this appeared to represent a difference in the elaboration of the Golgi in mouse versus human cells rather than a difference in Cul-3 itself.

In asynchronously proliferating cells there was no obvious cell to cell heterogeneity in the Cul-3 staining pattern that would suggest its distribution changed during the cell cycle; the one exception being the pancellular distribution seen in mitotic cells (not shown). Also, MANCA cells were separated according to position in the cell cycle by centrifugal elutriation and whole cell extracts immunoblotted for Cul-3 protein. This revealed no cell cycle dependent changes in Cul-3 protein expression (not shown).

These same antibodies were used to determine the tissue distribution of the Cul-3 protein in adult mice. As shown in Figure 2C Cul-3 protein is expressed in all tissues examined with the greatest amount of Cul-3 expressed in brain, spleen and testis. Cul-3 expression was also detected in all cell lines examined, and its abundance did not differ substantially between primary and immortalized cell lines (data not shown).
**Cul-3 is modified by NEDD8.**

Mammalian cullins (Osaka et al. 1998; Wada et al. 1999) and the yeast homologue Cdc53 (Lammer et al. 1998; Liakopoulos et al. 1998) have been shown to be modified by NEDD8, a ubiquitin homologue (Kamitani et al. 1997; Gong and Yeh 1999). When the *Cul-3* cDNA was cotransfected into h293 cells with HA tagged NEDD8 the more slowly migrating of the two Cul-3 isoforms showed a decrease in its electrophoretic mobility that was consistent with its modification by the epitope tagged NEDD8 as opposed to the endogenous (untagged) NEDD8. Cul-3 was then immunoprecipitated with anti-Cul-3 antibodies, and immunoblotted using anti-HA antibodies. We found that the more slowly migrating form of Cul-3 was specifically recognized by the anti-HA antibodies, and is therefore directly conjugated to NEDD8 (Fig. 2D).

**Cul-3 binds to cyclin E in human cells.**

The interaction between Cul-3 and cyclin E was examined in mammalian cells. Expression vectors encoding full length *Cul-3* and myc-epitope tagged cyclins E, D1, A or B were co-transfected into h293 cells (Fig. 3A). Cul-3 could be co-immunoprecipitated with cyclins D1 and E, but not cyclins A and B. The binding of Cul-3 to cyclin E was confirmed with multiple different antisera which recognized different parts of the cullin or cyclin, in reciprocal immunoprecipitations, and in experiments using epitope tagged or untagged proteins (not shown). In these experiments the cyclins were expressed in excess over their endogenous CDK partners, so the binding interactions we detected were between Cul-3 and free (unbound) cyclins. This was
confirmed in parallel transfection experiments which showed that Cul-3 also bound to cyclin E R130A (not shown). In accord with the results of our two-hybrid screen, overexpression of Cdk2 together with wild-type cyclin E prevented the binding of Cul-3 to cyclin E (Fig. 3B). However, overexpression of Cdk2 did not decrease binding of cyclin E R130A to Cul-3 (not shown). Thus, assembly of cyclin E into complexes with Cdk2 inhibits its interaction with Cul-3.

The abilities of the long and short alternatively spliced forms of Cul-3 to bind to cyclin E were tested by co-transfecting into h293 cells expression vectors encoding either form of Cul-3 together with myc-epitope tagged cyclin E (Fig. 3C). Using anti-myc tag antibodies to specifically immunoprecipitate cyclin E, we found that the long form but not the short form of Cul-3 bound to cyclin E. This identified a region of Cul-3 in the amino terminus of the protein as being important for its interaction with cyclin E in mammalian cells. This region did not appear to be important for the interaction in yeast, because it was absent from our initial isolate of Cul-3 obtained in the two-hybrid screen. It is important to point out that in all tissues and cell lines that we have examined, the endogenous Cul-3 protein had an apparent molecular size consistent with that of the long form of Cul-3. Thus far we have not detected expression of the short form of Cul-3 protein. RT-PCR analyses confirmed that Cul-3 long was the major form of Cul-3 expressed in cells and tissues (not shown).

For technical reasons it is often advantageous to study interactions between transfected, overexpressed proteins. Nevertheless, it is always important to confirm the relevance of those interactions by examining the state of the corresponding endogenous cellular proteins. To this end, extracts from untransfected h293 cells were prepared and endogenous cyclin E was immunoprecipitated. Immunoblotting the bound material with affinity purified Cul-3 antibodies,
demonstrated the presence of cyclin E-associated Cul-3 protein. (Fig. 3D). We do not yet know if this interaction is direct, or mediated by other proteins as has been seen in other cullin complexes (Feldman et al. 1997; Lyapina et al. 1998; Yu et al. 1998).

We also examined the ability of cyclin E to associate with Cul-1. This was of particular interest because Cul-1 is the closest homologue among the mammalian cullins to the yeast Cdc53 protein. Cdc53 has been shown to be involved in the ubiquitination of the yeast Cln G1 cyclins, and therefore mammalian Cul-1 was considered to be a candidate for being involved in cyclin E ubiquitination in mammalian cells (Koepp et al. 1999). However, no binding of Cul-1 to cyclin E could be detected (Fig. 3D). The relative abilities of Cul-1 and Cul-3 to bind to cyclin E were also tested in a transfection assay. Expression vectors encoding Cul-3 and Cul-1 were co-transfected with myc-epitope tagged cyclin E into h293 cells, and cyclin E immunoprecipitates tested for the presence of associated cullins (Fig. 3E). Just as we had seen with the endogenous proteins, transfected Cul-3 bound to cyclin E and Cul-1 did not. Therefore Cul-1 does not seem to be involved in the pathway that recognizes free cyclin E.

**Cul-3 stimulates ubiquitination of cyclin E.**

To study the effects of Cul-3 on the ubiquitination of cyclin E, expression vectors encoding Cul-3 and cyclin E were co-transfected into h293 cells. The presence of Cul-3 stimulated the accumulation of higher molecular weight forms of cyclin E, which for the following reasons are likely to be cyclin E-ubiquitin conjugates. First, these high molecular forms of cyclin E were similar to those which accumulated when the turnover of ubiquitin-conjugated proteins was prevented by pharmacological inhibition of the proteasome with MG-132 (Fig. 4A, left
panel). Second, cyclin E and Cul-3 were co-transfected into h293 cells together with a plasmid expressing an HA-epitope-tagged form of ubiquitin. Cyclin E was immunoprecipitated with anti-cyclin E antibodies, and the recovered protein was immunoblotted using antibodies that recognize the HA epitope tag that was present on the co-transfected ubiquitin (Fig. 4A, right panel). This approach directly demonstrated that Cul-3 stimulated the accumulation of ubiquitin-conjugated cyclin E. When the same experiment was performed with cyclin A, Cul-3 had no effect on the accumulation of cyclin A-ubiquitin conjugates, although proteasomal inhibition with MG-132 readily caused accumulation of cyclin A-ubiquitin conjugates (Fig. 4B). Therefore, the effects of Cul-3 were specific for cyclin E, and overexpression of Cul-3 did not result in non-specific inhibition of the proteasome.

Mutation of the CDK phosphorylation sites in cyclin E, including the critical threonine 380 residue, had no effect on either binding of Cul-3 to cyclin E (not shown) or on the ability of Cul-3 to stimulate cyclin E ubiquitination (Fig. 4A middle panel). Therefore, the Cul-3 pathway for ubiquitination of free cyclin E is independent of cyclin E phosphorylation.

**Construction of a Cul-3 knockout mouse**

The *Cul-3* gene was disrupted by electroporation of murine embryonic stem cells (ES cells) with a targeting vector, pJS1052, in which amino acids 127 through 293 of the *Cul-3* gene were deleted and replaced with the *neomycin* gene under the control of the PGK promoter (see Methods for details). The targeting construct contained 6.8 kilobases of *Cul-3* genomic DNA as the upstream arm, and 1.4 kilobases of *Cul-3* genomic DNA as the downstream arm flanking the *neomycin* gene. Upstream of the long arm was the PGK promoter driving the *thymidine kinase*
gene, which was used for counterselection to increase recovery of homologous integration events. Among the first twenty neomycin resistant ES cell colonies, five were shown by polymerase chain reaction to have undergone homologous recombination between pJS1052 and the chromosomal Cul-3 gene. This was confirmed by Southern blot hybridization.

These five ES cell clones were microinjected into C57/BL blastocysts. Chimeric males were backcrossed to wild-type C57/BL females and two independent ES cell clones that successfully contributed to the germline were used for subsequent experiments. The effects of the internal Cul-3 deletion on Cul-3 gene expression were assessed in embryonic fibroblasts prepared from E16 heterozygous mice. We used antibodies that had been specifically raised against amino acids 1-286 (which includes the part remaining in the Cul-3 knockout), and antibodies raised against the C-terminal end of Cul-3 (see Methods) to assay for expression of Cul-3 protein by immunoblotting of whole cell extracts. Both antibodies detected full length Cul-3 protein in the heterozygous MEFs, but neither detected any expression of a truncated form of Cul-3 protein that might have arisen from the mutated allele (not shown). We concluded that the deletion of amino acids 127-296 results in a null allele.

F1 heterozygous mice containing one intact allele of Cul-3 were intercrossed to obtain F2 generation mice lacking Cul-3 protein. Among the first 100 progeny no viable Cul-3 +/- mice were obtained, while Cul-3 +/- and Cul-3 +/- animals were obtained at the expected frequencies. We concluded that homozygous deletion of the Cul-3 gene caused an embryonic lethal phenotype.
Characterization of \textit{Cul} 3 \textit{-/-} embryos

To determine the effects of the \textit{Cul}-3 deletion on development we analyzed embryos obtained from pregnant females at various days of gestation following mating of F1 heterozygous animals. Homozygous \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{-/-} embryos were identified either by PCR of DNA prepared from yolk sacs or, for embryos at E7.5 and younger, by immunostaining with anti-Cul-3 antibodies (Fig. 5, panel A). Note that we were unable to distinguish between \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{+/+} and \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{+/-} embryos at stage E7.5 or younger, because Cul-3 immunostaining does not differentiate between these two genotypes. However no systematic abnormalities were observed among the embryos that stained positively for Cul-3 protein and \textit{Cul}-3 heterozygous mice were represented in the expected proportion among the viable progeny of \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{+/-} intercrosses, suggesting that \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{+/-} embryos developed normally.

\textit{Cul}-3 \textit{-/-} embryos were found at the expected Mendelian ratio until E7.5, after which \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{-/-} yolk sacs contained partially degenerating or fully resorbed embryos. To further characterize the phenotype of Cul-3 mutant mice we performed histological analyses of serial sections prepared from E6.5 and E7.5 embryos. E6.5 \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{-/-} embryos were substantially smaller than wild-type embryos, and displayed markedly abnormal patterning both in the embryonic and extraembryonic tissues (Fig. 5, panel B). E7.5 embryos were characterized in greater detail. \textit{Cul}-3 \textit{-/-} embryos showed complete disorganization of the extraembryonic tissues, which were identified by RNA in situ hybridization with a probe for the H19 gene (Poirier et al. 1991) (Fig. 5, panel C), including the absence of an amnion and absence of the extraembryonic cavities. The trophectoderm, identified by staining with Troma-1 antibodies (Brulet et al. 1980),
was present but abnormally developed and trophoblast giant cells were more sparsely represented than in wild-type embryos (Fig. 5, panel D). Gastrulation was also abnormal without clear evidence for the presence of embryonic mesoderm and endoderm.

**Increased abundance of cyclin E protein in *Cul 3-/-* embryos.**

Serial sections of E7.5 embryos were stained with affinity purified antibodies against cyclin E (Figure 6 - note that these images are not the same scale). In embryos expressing wild type Cul-3 protein, cyclin E was most abundantly expressed in the trophoblast giant cells, and occasional, more weakly staining cells were detected scattered throughout the embryonic and extraembryonic tissues. *Cul-3 -/-* embryos also expressed abundant cyclin E protein in the trophoblast cells, but equally high amounts were detected in the majority of cells in the ectoplacental cone and the extraembryonic ectoderm. In situ hybridization using cyclin E antisense RNA as probe did not reveal increased expression of cyclin E mRNA in *Cul-3 -/-* embryos (not shown). Therefore, post-transcriptional events were the cause of elevated cyclin E protein expression in the *Cul-3 -/-* embryos. Immunostaining did not detect increased expression of either cyclin A or cyclin D1 protein in the *Cul-3* mutant embryos (not shown).

**Abnormal regulation of S phase in *Cul-3 -/-* embryos**

We studied the effects of the Cul-3 mutation on patterns of DNA replication in E7.5 embryos. Pregnant mice were injected intraperitoneally with BrdU. Four hours later embryos were removed, and sections stained with anti- BrdU antibodies to identify S phase cells. A
dramatic increase in the number of cells synthesizing DNA was detected in the extraembryonic ectoderm and ectoplacental cone of the *Cul-3* +/- embryos, the same cell types that expressed increased amounts of cyclin E protein (Fig. 6C).

Trophoblastic cells also expressed high amounts of cyclin E. Unlike the cells in the extraembryonic ectoderm, these cells undergo endoreduplicative cell cycles, increasing their DNA content many fold (MacAuley et al. 1998). DNA synthesis in these cells was studied *in vitro*, in cultured living blastocysts. Blastocysts were isolated 3.5 days p.c., cultured on cover slips for four days, then fixed and immunostained for the expression of various proteins (Fig. 7). Also, two hours prior to fixing and staining, BrdU was added to the culture media to label S phase nuclei. Wild type blastocysts developed both an inner cell mass, and migratory trophoblast giant cells (Fig. 7, panel A). The latter were readily identifiable by their large nuclei, which result from genome endoreduplication, and also by their positive cytoplasmic immunostaining with Troma-1 antibodies (Fig. 7, panel B). The trophoblast giant cells stained heterogeneously for cyclin E protein, consistent with the fact that cyclin E is known to oscillate in abundance during cycles of endoreduplication. BrdU staining revealed a good correspondence between the cyclin E positive cells and the cells which were in S phase (Fig. 7, panel B).

*Cul-3* +/- blastocysts were identified either by PCR or by immunostaining for expression of the Cul-3 protein. These mutant blastocysts also developed an inner cell mass and migratory trophoblast cells. But, unlike the trophoblasts which expressed Cul-3 protein, the *Cul-3* +/- trophoblasts had much smaller nuclei, often the size of a normal diploid cell (Fig. 7, panel A). Troma-1 immunostaining confirmed that these cells with small nuclei were trophoblasts (Fig. 7, panel C). Every one of these small, *Cul-3* +/- trophoblast cells exhibited strong nuclear cyclin E
immunostaining, suggesting that the normal cell cycle-dependent change in cyclin E levels was attenuated in these cells. Often the cyclin E staining was cytoplasmic as well as nuclear, and was more intense than in wild-type cells. Remarkably, none of the Cul-3 -/- trophoblasts were positive for BrdU despite the high levels of cyclin E protein. Thus, their small nuclear size correlated with an absence of ongoing genome duplication. The disparate effects of cyclin E overexpression on S phase in mitotic versus endoreduplicative cell cycles is consistent with what has been observed previously in other model systems (Ohtsubo and Roberts 1993; Follette et al. 1998; Weiss et al. 1998).

Discussion

Ubiquitination of cyclin E by Cul-3

Both molecular and genetic approaches show that Cul-3 is important for the ubiquitination and degradation of mammalian cyclin E. Knockout of the Cul-3 gene in mice caused an early embryonic lethal phenotype that was associated with increased amounts of cyclin E protein in the extraembryonic ectoderm and in the trophectoderm. The effect of the Cul-3 deletion was specific to cyclin E, as the expression of neither cyclin A nor cyclin D1 were increased.

The increased expression of cyclin E in cells lacking Cul-3 protein probably reflected a direct effect of Cul-3 on cyclin E turnover. We found that Cul-3 was tightly bound to cyclin E in vivo, and that increased expression of Cul-3 increased cyclin E ubiquitination. In contrast, Cul-3 neither bound to cyclin A nor had any effect on its ubiquitination. These observations support
the idea that Cul-3 is part of an E3 protein-ubiquitin ligase that selects certain proteins, including cyclin E, for ubiquitination.

We do not mean to imply that cyclin E is the only target of Cul-3, nor that cyclin E is selected for ubiquitination only by Cul-3. First, localization of Cul-3 to the Golgi apparatus suggests that Cul-3 may have additional substrates. These might include misfolded or improperly assembled proteins that arise during intracellular trafficking, proteins that are processed in preparation for export, or proteins that are modified by ubiquitin for retrograde transport (Hicke 1999). Second, overexpression of cyclin E is restricted to certain cell types in Cul 3 -/- embryos. Other turnover pathways (perhaps involving other cullins) may be operative in those cells in which cyclin E levels are unaffected by the absence of Cul-3. This possibility is discussed further below.

**More than one pathway for cyclin E ubiquitination?**

Ubiquitination of cyclin E depends on two parameters; its binding to a CDK, and its state of phosphorylation on threonine 380 (Clurman et al. 1996; Won and Reed 1996). These two pathways may be governed by distinct ubiquitinating enzymes, one which recognizes a feature unique to unbound cyclin E, and the other which directly recognizes phosphorylated T380. Indeed, we have previously shown that phosphorylation of T380 is required for ubiquitination of cyclin E bound to Cdk2, but not for ubiquitination of unbound cyclin E. Ubiquitination of a budding yeast G1 cyclin, Cln2, occurs by a phosphorylation-triggered pathway in which an E3 protein-ubiquitin ligase, the SCF complex, binds directly to the phosphorylated cyclin (Deshaies et al. 1995; Willems et al. 1996; Skowyra et al. 1997; Skowyra et al. 1999). The fact that
phosphorylation of T380 in cyclin E promotes the ubiquitination of cyclin E is consistent with the SCF paradigm, but direct evidence for the involvement of this pathway in the turnover of cyclin E is, thus far, lacking.

A second pathway, one which involves ubiquitination and degradation of proteins that are separated from their normal binding partners, may also be critically important. This pathway was initially recognized as being crucial for the rapid turnover of proteins within the endoplasmic reticulum that are either misfolded or incorrectly assembled into multiprotein complexes (Hurtley and Helenius 1989; Bonifacino and Weissman 1998). One example is the rapid destruction of T cell receptor alpha chains that fail to assemble into complexes with other receptor subunits (Bonifacino et al. 1989; Bonifacino et al. 1990). This general idea was then extended to include nuclear proteins including cyclin E, which is protected from ubiquitination when assembled with Cdk2 (Clurman et al. 1996), and E2F-1, which is protected from ubiquitination when bound to Rb (Hofmann et al. 1996). The α2 transcription factor in budding yeast is another example of a protein whose ubiquitination is controlled in this way (Johnson et al. 1998; Laney and Hochstrasser 1999). Within the α2 protein is the Deg1 sequence, which is a recognition motif for an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase. When the α2 protein is monomeric the Deg1 sequence is exposed, and α2 is rapidly ubiquitinated and turned over by the proteasome. However, when α2 binds to its partner, the α1 transcription factor, the Deg1 motif is buried in the heterodimer interface, and the protein is protected from ubiquitination. In the case of cyclin E, Cul-3 recognizes and stimulates the ubiquitination of unbound cyclin E, not cyclin E within cyclin E-Cdk2 complexes. Cyclin E, like α2, might contain an instability determinant that is masked in the cyclin E-Cdk2
complex. Alternatively, it is possible that other features of the cyclin E-Cdk2 complex, such as its kinase activity, might downregulate the interaction between cyclin E and Cul-3. It is important to emphasize that this pathway for cyclin E ubiquitination is unlikely to be limited to the destruction of unfolded or otherwise non-functional protein. Cells lacking Cul-3 appear to accumulate excess, biologically active cyclin E as evidenced by the misregulation of S phase in *Cul 3*−/− embryos. It therefore seems that the unbound cyclin E is at least potentially active, and it is crucial for the cell to limit the size of this pool.

Other features of Cul-3 also suggest that there may be significant differences between its mechanism of action and the phosphorylation-dependent pathway controlled by the SCF. Among the mammalian cullins, Cul-1 not Cul-3 is most closely related to Cdc53 (the cullin component of the budding yeast SCF). Cul-1, like Cdc53, binds to Skp1 and to the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Cdc34 (Lisztwan et al. 1998; Michel and Xiong 1998; Yu et al. 1998). Moreover, human Cul-1 can complement mutations in budding yeast Cdc53 and Cul-1 is involved in the phosphorylation-triggered ubiquitination of proteins in mammalian cells, including E2F-1, B-catenin and IκB (Hatakeyama et al. 1999; Kroll et al. 1999; Latres et al. 1999; Suzuki et al. 1999; Winston et al. 1999). Cul-3, on the other hand, does not bind to Skp-1 (Michel and Xiong 1998) or Cdc34 (our unpublished observations), does not complement mutations in Cdc53 (our unpublished observations), and does not require substrate phosphorylation for binding and ubiquitination. It therefore seems possible that these two pathways for ubiquitinating protein substrates will be governed by very distinct E3 enzymes. In some embryonic tissues, like the embryonic ectoderm, loss of Cul-3 had no apparent effect on cyclin E abundance, suggesting that the relative importance of different pathways for controlling cyclin E abundance may vary among
different cell types. Phosphorylation-triggered ubiquitination of cyclin E, perhaps mediated by Cul-1, may be a counterpart to the Cul-3 pathway which specifically recognizes unbound cyclin E. The above discussion is not intended to exclude the possibility that Cul-3 is also involved in the ubiquitination of phosphorylated cyclin E. We have suggested that phosphorylation may trigger the separation of cyclin E from its CDK partner, in which case the two ubiquitination pathways would converge.

**S phase regulation by Cul-3**

Regulation of S phase is abnormal in *Cul 3-*/- embryos. In extraembryonic ectodermal cells, which undergo a standard mitotic cycle, the loss of Cul-3 results in a greatly elevated frequency of cells in S phase. In the trophoblast giant cells, which endoreduplicate their genomes, the loss of Cul-3 has the opposite effect of imposing a block to S phase entry. These paradoxical results can both be explained by elevated expression of cyclin E.

Most current models of cell cycle regulation incorporate the idea that each round of DNA replication (i.e. each S phase) is regulated by two CDK-dependent steps. The first step requires that CDK activity be low or absent, creating an environment permissive for the assembly of initiation complexes at replication origins. The second step requires active CDKs to initiate DNA synthesis from those primed origins and to simultaneously establish an environment refractory to assembly of new initiation complexes. Rounds of genome duplication, as occur either during endocycles or in consecutive mitotic cycles, would then each require that CDK activity oscillate between a state permissive for assembly of initiation complexes and a state permissive for starting DNA synthesis.
This model predicts that constitutively high CDK activity would interrupt the replication cycle by not allowing assembly of new initiation complexes at replication origins. This was tested in the salivary gland cells of developing Drosophila embryos (Follette et al. 1998; Weiss et al. 1998). These cells endoreplicate their genomes, each round of S phase being preceded by a fall in cyclin E activity and then initiated in concert with a rise in cyclin E activity. Overexpression of cyclin E prevented this oscillation and the endoreduplication cycles were blocked. We suggest that S phase entry in Cul 3 +/- trophoblasts is similarly prevented by the constitutively elevated amounts of cyclin E in these cells.

In contrast to what happens in cells undergoing endoreduplication, increased expression of cyclin E in cells undergoing a typical mitotic cycle decreases the duration of G1 and increases the percentage of cells in S phase (Ohtsubo and Roberts 1993; Resnitzky and Reed 1995). This is consistent with what we observed in the Cul 3 +/- cells of the extraembryonic ectoderm, which have increased cyclin E and extra S phase cells. There are various ways to reconcile the seemingly contradictory effects of elevated cyclin E in mitotic cycles and endocycles. Most explanations focus on a key difference between these two types of cell cycles - the presence or absence of an M phase - and postulate that cyclin E somehow becomes functionally inactivated during mitosis, permitting the replication cycle to continue despite the constitutive presence of the cyclin. One interesting idea is that during mitosis nuclear cyclin-CDK enzymes are dispersed into the cytoplasm by nuclear envelope breakdown, resulting in a de facto oscillation in CDK activity (Hua et al. 1997).
Methods

2-hybrid screen

The R130A cyclin E cDNA was cloned in frame to the LexA gene in the vector BTM116. Yeast cells containing LexA binding sites in the HIS3 promoter and carrying a plasmid containing a LexA dependant promoter driving LacZ expression were transformed with the cyclin E bait as well as a mouse embryonic cDNA library. Transformed cells were grown under selection for the plasmids overnight and plated for the 2-hybrid interaction the next day. Potential candidates were screened for β-galactosidase activity and positive clones were rechecked with a LexA lamin bait for specificity.

Antibodies

hCul-3 was subcloned as three parts in frame with a polyhistidine tag in the vector pET16; the amino terminal portion contains amino acids 1-286, the middle portion amino acids 287-553 and the carboxyl terminal portion amino acids 554-768. All three peptides were expressed in the bacterial strain BL21(DE3) and cell lysates were either passed over nickel columns after which Cul-3 was eluted with imidazole or the lysates were mixed with SDS sample buffer and separated from other cellular proteins by electrophoresis followed by electroelution of the Cul-3 protein. Purified protein was then used for inoculation into rabbits. To affinity purify antibodies a strip of membrane containing the Cul-3 peptide was incubated with serum and the bound antibodies eluted with low pH glycine. Cells studied included human diploid fibroblasts, human diploid microvascular endothelial cells, human diploid umbilical vein endothelial cells,
HeLa cells, h293 cells, U2-OS cells, NIH 3T3 cells and primary mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs).

The following antibodies were used in these experiments: monoclonal anti-myc tag (9E10) and rabbit polyclonal anti-cyclin E (Clurman et al. 1996); monoclonal anti-cyclin E (M20)( Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc); monoclonal anti-Troma-1( Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa); rabbit polyclonal anti-HA tag (HA.11)( Berkeley Antibody Company); rabbit polyclonal anti Cul-1 (J. Michel and Y. Xiong).

Transient transfections, cell lysis, western blots and immunoprecipitations were performed as previously described (Clurman et al. 1996). Immunoprecipitations were routinely checked for the presence of the immunoprecipitated protein, and all interactions between two transfected proteins were shown to be dependent upon, or stimulated by, transfection of both proteins.

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence was performed on cells adhering to cover slips by fixing in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes followed by treatment with 0.2% Triton X-100 for an additional 10 minutes. Cells were then blocked with 1% BSA and 20% goat serum for 30 minutes. Coverslips were inverted onto 20 µls of primary antibody and incubated for 1 hour. The coverslips were washed and placed on 20 µls of secondary antibody for an additional hour. They were then incubated with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) for five minutes, dehydrated in 100% MeOH followed by mounting in 6 µls of Vectashield and sealed with nail
polish. Blastocysts were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde for 15 minutes, permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 and stained as above. Images were obtained on a Nikon E800 fluorescent microscope using a digital camera (SenSys) and Metamorph software.

**Immunohistochemistry**

7.5 day embryos were prepared by timed matings with *Cul-3* heterozygous animals. The pregnant uterus was surgically removed and the individual decidua were separated, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C. Embryos were then embedded in paraffin blocks and cut into 4 μm sections. The sections were placed on slides to be used for either antibody staining or RNA *in situ* hybridization.

For antibody staining the sections were deparaffinized and placed into a 3% solution of hydrogen peroxide in methanol for ten minutes. Slides were immersed in 10 mM citrate buffer and boiled for ten minutes in a microwave oven. The slides were allowed to cool and then they were treated with 5% serum followed by an overnight incubation at 4°C with primary antibody. Sections were then incubated with biotinylated secondary for one hour and avidin-HRP complex for an additional 30 minutes. The slides where then immersed in DAB (3,3′-diaminobenzidine)/NiCl₂ solution for 3 minutes, rinsed, dehydrated and mounted.

*In situ* hybridizations were performed by deparaffinizing the sections and treating them with proteinase K for 5 minutes. The sections were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes. The slides were then placed in prehybridization solution for 2 hours at 65°C in a sealed humidified container. The sections were then hybridized overnight with digoxigenin labelled
riboprobe. The slides were washed, blocked and incubated overnight with alkaline phosphatase conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody. The RNA was visualized by staining with a NBT/BCIP solution for 8 hours followed by dehydration and mounting.

For the H19 in situ a 2kb EcoR1 fragment from the carboxyl terminus of the cDNA was used for making the riboprobe. The cyclin E riboprobe was made from the last 600 bps of the mouse cyclin E cDNA. The antibodies used for cyclin A (c19), cyclin D1 (72-13G) and cyclin E (M20) immunohistochemistry were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. or cyclin E antibody previously described was used (Clurman et al. 1996).

**Targeted mouse gene disruption**

A 22kb Not1 fragment containing a portion of the Cul-3 gene was obtained from a mouse 129/Sv λ genomic library using portions of the Cul-3 cDNA as a probe. Sequencing of the genomic insert was done partially by shotgun cloning HaeIII/Alu1 partial digest fragments of the 22kb Not1 fragment into the EcoRV site of pBSII (Stratagene) and sequencing 100 individual clones with both the T7 and T3 sequencing primers. The sequencing data was then assembled into multiple contigs using Sequencher software. Alignments with the Cul-3 cDNA sequence and sequence analysis was performed using DNA Strider. pJS1052 was constructed by cloning a 6.8 kilobase EcoR1 fragment as the upstream arm that ended at amino acid number 126 of the Cul-3 coding region and a downstream 1.4 kilobase Xba1-EcoRV Cul-3 genomic fragment that contained coding regions starting with amino acid number 294 of the Cul-3 protein into the targeting vector pPNT. The vector was linearized with Not1 and transfected into XY AK7 ES cells using
electroporation. The ES cells were then selected in 400 μg/ml G418 and 0.2 μM FIAU. ES cell colonies with homologous recombination were identified by PCR amplification of a 2 kb fragment using a primer from the neomycin gene (pgk2, CCCTTCCCAGCCTCTGAG) and a primer from Cul-3 genomic DNA (cul3PCR2, CAACTCATACATTCAACATGG). PCR reactions were performed for 40 cycles (93°C for 30 s; 57°C for 30 s; 65°C for 2 min). ES cells were introduced into 5 d.p.c. C57/B6J mouse embryos. Germline transmission, as determined by PCR, was identified in chimeric males obtained from two independent clones that were used for subsequent experiments.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Cloning of human Cullin 3. (A) Two-hybrid interaction between cyclin E and Cul-3. Left panel: growth of S. cerevisae when selected for the presence of the cyclin (either wild-type cyclin E or a CDK non-binding mutant) and cullin plasmids. Right panel: growth of same strains when selection is applied for a two-hybrid interaction between the cyclin and the cullin. (B) Graphical representation of the two Cul-3 cDNAs identified. Cul-3 long contains 768 amino acids and Cul-3 short is missing one exon of 66 amino acids and is therefore only 702 amino acids long.

Figure 2. Characterization of human Cul-3. (A) Immunoblot of Cul-3 in whole cell extracts from h293 cells. Left lane contains extract from cells transfected with full length Cul-3 cDNA and right lane shows untransfected cell extract. (B) Immunolocalization of Cul-3. The indicated cells were stained with DAPI and Cul-3 antibodies. In addition the NIH 3T3 cells were stained with rhodamine-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin to identify the Golgi apparatus. (C) Cul-3 expression in mouse tissues. Cul-3 was immunoprecipitated from tissue extracts with antibodies against the C terminal portion of Cul-3, and then analyzed by western blots with antibodies against the amino terminal portion of Cul-3. (D) NEDD8 modification of Cul-3 protein. Left panel: Cul-3 was transfected into h293 cells with or without HA-NEDD8. Extracts were blotted with anti-Cul-3 antibodies. Inclusion of epitope tagged NEDD8 shifted the mobility of higher molecular weight form of Cul-3. Right panel: Cul-3 protein was immunoprecipitated from the same extracts and the material was analyzed on a western blot using anti-HA antibody to detect
NEDD8. The higher molecular weight form of Cul-3 was immunoreactive with the anti-HA antibody.

**Figure 3.** Cul-3 binds to cyclin E in mammalian cells. (A) Myc-epitope tagged cyclins A, B, D and E were cotransfected into cells with a Cul-3 cDNA. Left panel: Western blot with the antmyc tag antibody shows that the four cyclins were expressed at equivalent levels. Right panel: Immuneprecipitates of Cul-3 contained cyclins E and D1, and not A or B. (B) Cotransfection of cells with cyclin E and Cdk2 prevented the binding of Cul-3 to cyclin E. (C) Cyclin E cDNA was cotransfected into h293 cells with either Cul-3 long cDNA or Cul-3 short cDNA. Only Cul-3 long binds to cyclin E. (D) A complex between Cul-3 and cyclin E can be detected in extracts from untransfected h293 cells. Binding of Cul-1 to cyclin E was not seen (E) Epitope tagged Cul-1 and Cul-3 were expressed in h293 cells together with cyclin E. Western blotting with an anti-epitope tag antibody showed that equivalent amounts of the two cullins were expressed (not shown). Immuneprecipitations showed that only Cul-3 bound to cyclin E. HC indicates position of immunoglobulin heavy chain.

**Figure 4.** Cul-3 stimulates ubiquitination of cyclin E. (A) Cyclin E and Cul-3 cDNAs were cotransfected into h293 cells. Left panel: higher molecular weight forms of cyclin E are observed either in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG-132, or in the presence of Cul-3. Right panel: the same experiment was performed in the presence of HA-tagged ubiquitin. Both Cul-3 and MG-132 stimulate the conjugation of ubiquitin to cyclin E (B) Same as part A except that cyclin A was used instead of cyclin E. Cul-3 had no effect on the ubiquitination of cyclin A.
Figure 5. Embryonic lethal phenotype of *Cul 3 -/-* mice. (A) Affinity purified anti-Cul-3 antibodies were used to stain sections from E7.5 embryos. The left panel shows Cul-3 staining in a wild type embryo. Note that the most intense nuclear staining is present in the extraembryonic ectoderm and the trophoblast giant cells. The right panel shows that the *Cul-3 -/-* embryo does not stain with Cul-3 antibodies. (B) H and E staining of embryos at either E6.5 (upper two panels) or E7.5 (lower two panels). (C) RNA in situ hybridization to detect expression of the H19 gene. H19 positive cells represent the extraembryonic ectoderm and trophectoderm lineages. (D) Detection of the trophectoderm lineage with Troma-1 antibodies.

Figure 6. Expression of cyclin E protein in wild-type and *Cul 3 -/-* embryos (A) Montage images of either wild type (upper panel) or *Cul-3 -/-* embryos (lower panel) stained with anti-cyclin E antibodies. The images are not to scale. A dashed line has been place on both photos to delineate the border between the extraembryonic tissue and the trophectoderm as determined by staining with Troma-1 antibodies. Increased cyclin E protein is detected specifically in the extraembryonic ectoderm. (B) A high magnification picture of the same embryos, to scale, to highlight the extraembryonic region where cyclin E expression is increased in the *Cul 3 -/-* embryo. (C) Wild type (left panel) and mutant embryos (right panel) isolated from BrdU injected animals were stained for BrdU incorporation. The *Cul 3 -/-* embryo showed many more BrdU positive cells, with the greatest number being in the same region shown to overexpress cyclin E.
Figure 7. Analysis of wildtype and Cul-3 -/- blastocysts. Bar represents 100 μm. (A) DAPI staining of wild type (left panel) and Cul-3 -/- blastocysts. Images are to scale. Note the much smaller nuclei of the migratory Cul 3 -/- trophoblasts. (B) Wild type trophoblast cells are stained with DAPI, anti-cyclin E and anti-BrdU antibodies (left three panels). The right two panels show blastocysts stained with DAPI and Cul-3 antibody to show that the giant cells normally express Cul-3. The bottom three panels show that the giant cells in wild type blastocysts also express Troma-1. (C) Same as B, except that Cul-3 -/- blastocysts are shown. The mutant trophoblasts do not stain for Cul-3 or BrdU.
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