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This was part of the first major project addressing the potential impact of an operational DoD LFS source on free-ranging marine mammals. Based on available prior research, the expectation was that we would detect obvious and statistically significant responses at received levels of around 120dB re 1 µPa, and that such changes would be manifested across multiple measures. This was not entirely the case. Although our measures were sensitive enough to detect subtle changes indicating that animals detected the sound, animals were tolerant of received levels between 120-130dB. Most tests for statistical significance were negative. The few statistically significant behavioral responses were subtle, short-term and small-scale. All responses were small relative to natural variation, leading to the interpretation that ATOC LFS has a negligible impact on marine mammals.
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Program objectives: The overall objectives were to establish methods and collect data to quantify the potential impact of Low Frequency Sound (LFS) on marine mammals, and to evaluate methodologies and resulting data to provide guidance for monitoring and mitigating for the impacts of DoD operations that produce LFS in the marine environment.

Approach: To test the hypothesis that marine mammals are affected by exposure to DoD LFS operations, we used an integrated approach involving acoustics, behavioral observation, tagging, aerial survey, and photo-ID on selected free-ranging marine mammal species (elephant seals, blue, humpback, and sperm whales) under control and experimental conditions. The LFS experimental condition used the underwater transmitters off Pioneer seamount (PSM) and Kauai, HI installed for the Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate (ATOC) project. The integrated approach and use of the LFS transmitter allowed us to document potential responses under operational conditions and place any observed responses within the proper ecological framework.

Accomplishments: Field research was conducted at two sites (PSM, CA; Kauai, HI) during 1997 and 1998 as a continuation of earlier research. Data were analyzed for the significance of differences in measures (surface behaviors, vocal activity, movements, distribution and density) between control and experimental conditions. Levels of acoustic exposure were quantified based on empirical validation of sound field models for predictive value. For translocated elephant seals based on time-depth recorder and acoustic data logger tags there were statistically significant changes in dive profile and speed. For humpback (fall feeding) and sperm whales (winter) around PSM there were statistically significant differences in the distribution over time scales of several years. For humpback off Kauai, HI (winter calving and breeding) there were statistically significant differences in a few surface activity measures. There were no immediately obvious responses to the onset of the LFS-ATOC signal. All statistically significant differences for behaviors were subtle and of short duration (< 30min). The small but statistically significant differences in distribution for the PSM region do not appear to be long-term.

Significance: This was part of the first major project addressing the potential impact of an operational DoD LFS source on free-ranging marine mammals. Based on available prior research, the expectation was that we would detect obvious and statistically significant responses at received levels of around 120dB re 1 μPa, and that such changes would be manifested across multiple measures. This was not entirely the case. Although our measures were sensitive enough to detect subtle changes indicating that animals detected the sound, animals were tolerant of received levels between 120-130dB. Most tests for statistical significance were negative. The few statistically significant behavioral responses were subtle, short-term and small-scale. All responses were small relative to natural variation, leading to the interpretation that ATOC LFS has a negligible impact on marine mammals.

The broad integrated research approaches developed for this project spanning biology, oceanography, and acoustics were initially a challenge to implement. However, these working relationships paved the way for other integrated programs on LFS (e.g., DoD LFA SRP). This approach underscores the need for advances in technology (tags, acoustic methods, survey), statistical analysis of large spatial and
temporal data sets, and the training of students in these various multidisciplinary fields. Of even greater challenge and a major source of energy drain were the nearly constant public hearings and presentations before various agencies, environmental groups and news organizations. These unexpected distractions to the science underscore the global need for scientists to better articulate to the public about the process of science and the value of research.

All these results for the ATOC LFS can be placed in a broader perspective now that the topic of LFS in general is better understood. The two operational 195 dB re 1 μPA ATOC sound sources produced an intentionally distinctive sound field that did not cause abandonment of critical habitat or abnormal behaviors within the local marine mammal communities. Most animals experienced relatively small levels (<130dB) of sound exposure and the probability of an animal receiving a high acoustic dose (>130dB) were extremely small. Careful thought has lead to identification of the specific fulcrums of uncertainty. Research has lead to quantification of biological response and a fuller understanding of potential environmental impact.

Work Plan: We have completed all the research and are in the final stages of analysis and write up of papers for submission to peer reviewed scientific journals.
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Technology Transfer: A combination of acoustic methods were developed for and applied during the research. The objective was to develop and implement tools to enable measurement of free-ranging marine mammal surface and dive behaviors, acoustic activity, and distribution. Several of the methods were extensions of tools initially developed with previous ONR support (e.g., elephant seal tags, vessel acoustics). Autonomous seafloor acoustic recorders, referred to as "pop-ups", were modeled after existing oceanographic tools but with modifications to make their use more amenable to marine mammal research. All methods were later employed in 1997-1998 for the LFA Scientific Research Program, and the lessons learned from this research significantly advanced their application in the LFA-SRP project. We have no immediate plans or funds for a technology transfer of these method to an operational DoD context. However, the techniques and protocols developed for this research could be readily transferred to other situations where real-time marine mammal mitigation and monitoring procedures are required (e.g., LFA, MF sonars).

There were extensive interactions with a wide range of organizations and agencies regarding the potential impact of the Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate LFS on marine mammals. This included appearances in Congress and at State and Federal public hearings, participation in workshops, and supporting the development of the EISs for the project. The lessons learned for this project were incredibly valuable for the LFA SRP project and EIS process.
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Potential Effects of Low Frequency Sounds on Marine Mammals
W. Munk, Scripps Institution of Oceanography and C.W. Clark, Cornell University

Objectives:
- Potential impact of low frequency sound (LFS) on marine mammals
- Data on monitoring and mitigating for guidance on impacts of DoD LFS operations in the marine environment

Approach:
- Integrated field studies on selected free-ranging species using operational LFS-ATOC system under control and experimental conditions

Accomplishments:
- Multiple field studies using sensitive measures of response
- Some short-term responses detected, but none considered biologically significant

Impact and Transition:
- Low potential for ATOC impact on marine mammals
- Techniques to improve mitigation and monitoring of LFS impacts
Captions for supporting view graphs

1. (previous page) Distribution of humpback whales based on aerial survey sightings during control and experimental conditions, where experimental condition refers to blocks of days during which the LFS-ATOC source was transmitting for 25 minutes once every four hours.

2. Distribution of sperm whales based on aerial survey sightings during control and experimental conditions, where experimental condition refers to blocks of days during which the LFS-ATOC source was transmitting for 25 minutes once every four hours.

3. An example of the data collected on juvenile elephant seals that passed near the ATOC sound sources. The top chart is a sound spectrogram, the middle the swim speed record and the bottom the time-depth record. The 5-minute ramp up period is shaded in light gray and the 20 minutes full power operational period in darker gray. The 5-minute ramp started 5 minutes prior to the hour and full power occurred on the hour. Points on the time-depth record delineate where the various sound pressure level measurements were taken from the spectrogram.

4. Spectrogram for 24-hour period on 3 September 19976 during experimental ATOC conditions. Data are for a single hydrophone from the Pt. Sur SOSUS array. Six ATOC transmissions are evident as well as considerable noise from vessel traffic.

5. Plot showing the measured received level as a function of range for the ATOC LFS source off north Kauai. This transmission loss (TL) plot illustrates a) the dramatic difference between the empirically based TL values (45 Log [range]) and TL predicted from spherical spreading (20 Log [range]), and b) the effect of shallow water on TL.

6. Plot of relationship between adjusted segment length (the normalized distance between two surfacings) for humpback whale pods off north Kauai and estimated received level of the ATOC sound at the pod. Multiple regression analysis revealed that received level was a significant factor affecting segment length.

7. Histogram comparing the relationship between number of humpback whale pods and their distance from the Kauai ATOC source during periods when the source was off (control) and on (ATOC). There was a very small, but statistically significant difference between these control and ATOC distributions.
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