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Abstract

Dynamic characterization tests were performed on the Advanced Gun System (AGS) vehicle. The tests were designed to provide modeling information for high-frequency shock prediction codes, as well as finite element codes. These data obtained were also used to validate the modeling codes. The vehicle was analyzed in a full-up condition with the turret attached. A model analysis was performed to a maximum frequency of 100 Hz. The high-frequency characterization was performed up to 10 kHz.

Methodologies to extract damping estimate up to 10 kHz were developed and implemented. Damping estimates up to 10 kHz were extracted from the structural data obtained during this test.
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1. Introduction

A series of dynamic characterization tests was performed on the Advanced Gun System (AGS) vehicle. These tests were designed to provide the experimental validation of new high-frequency shock prediction codes, as well as a conventional finite element model. After validation, ballistic shock predictions based on these codes were to be compared against live-fire test results to assess the code’s predictive capability.

The testing was performed at the Aberdeen Test Center (ATC). Unlike previous similar tests (Bradley Fighting Vehicle [BFV] [1], M113 armored personnel carrier [APC] [2], heavy composite hull [3]), testing and instrumentation were performed exclusively by ATC and U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) employees. The Army was able to rent a large portion of the test instrumentation, which significantly reduced the equipment cost. A summary of the modal analysis theory can be found in ARL-MR-246 [2].

Army research has found that critical components in armored vehicles can be damaged by high-frequency ballistic shock waves resulting from nonperforating impacts or blast effects. To enhance the survivability of new vehicles, the Army has set the upper frequency range for ballistic shock hardness at 10 kHz, based on measurements in live-fire tests.

Prediction of the levels of the high-frequency ballistic shock(s) under battlefield threat conditions is needed to establish hardness requirements for the design and test qualification of components in new vehicles. Prior to live-fire testing, pretest predictions are also needed to increase confidence in the vehicle’s survivability under the test conditions.

The 10-kHz frequency range of the shock is well beyond the practical limits of standard prediction techniques such as the finite element method (FEM), which is typically limited to 500 Hz for large and complex structures such as armored vehicles. Consequently, new, practical, and experimentally verifiable techniques that can perform predictions at these high frequencies are sought. The MANTA code, developed by Teledyne Brown Engineering, is potentially one
such analytical tool. The code has been successfully verified on tests of surrogate armored vehicle structures. The AGS vehicle represents the first test/model correlation of the code for a fully configured armored vehicle.

Certain operations of the MANTA Code require experimentally obtained structural parameters such as frequency-dependent damping and frequency response functions (FRF). Analytical predictions are very sensitive to damping value, but it is difficult to experimentally extract damping value with high accuracy, especially higher frequency damping. Therefore, the AGS posttest analysis concentrated on new or improved techniques to obtain more accurate damping estimates.

1.1 AGS Configuration. The limited quantity of time over which the vehicle was available permitted testing of only a single vehicle configuration. The primary impetus for this series of tests was to verify ballistic shock predictions against a live-fire test. Therefore, the configuration with the most applicability to the live-fire test was utilized for the modal test.

The AGS vehicle tested was number PV6. The vehicle was in operational condition and dressed in armor level II. Since the objective of the test was to measure the hull response, the external bolt-on armor had to be removed so that sensors could be mounted to the hull itself. In an effort to linearize the structure, the tracks and coaxial machine gun were also removed from the vehicle. All of the nonattached internal accessories, as well as the commander’s machine gun mount, were removed. The wind sensor was folded down and secured with tape. Two mock rounds were in the autoloader throughout the test: one high-explosive antitank (HEAT) round and one kinetic energy (KE) round. Figure 1 is a photograph of the test setup. The fan in the lower left corner was used for cooling the shaker.

1.2 Vehicle Support System. There were two primary objectives that the vehicle support structure had to satisfy. First, the support had to have as minimal an effect on the structural dynamics of the hull as possible. Second, the test boundary conditions must be easy to model
numerically. This can be a difficult task since a true free-free or fixed-fixed condition is very difficult to realize in an experimental setup.

If the vehicle can be suspended on a very soft suspension, such that the six rigid-body modes are well below the first flexible mode, then the hull can be considered to be in a free-free boundary condition. To this end, the vehicle was placed on top of five Firestone airmount airbags. Two airbags were placed under the front corners of the vehicle. Three airbags were required under the rear of the vehicle due to the weight of the engine and its associated components. Each bag was kept inflated to 60 psi for the duration of the test. A specification sheet for the airbags appears in Appendix A. The suspension can easily be modeled as a set of springs with their spring rates given by the known airbag pressure and the specification sheet.

2. Modal Test and Analysis

2.1 Excitation System. Four MB Dynamic Modal 50 shakers were used to excite the AGS vehicle. Each shaker is rated at 50 lb of force with the use of forced cooling and 25 lb of force
utilizing natural convection cooling. Due to time constraints, only a single excitation configuration was tested. The two front shakers were placed just behind the lowest glacis panel on the floor of the vehicle at the left and right sides. The two rear shakers were also placed on the floor of the vehicle just forward of the final drive sprockets along the edge of the floor.

The excitation forces were measured with PCB Model 208A02 force transducers. The shakers were attached to the vehicle with a small-diameter stinger. The stinger arrangement significantly reduces the magnitude of nonaxial forces that are transmitted through the force transducer. The force transducers were then screwed into metal plates that were cemented with dental adhesive onto the underside of the vehicle. At each force input location, a driving-point accelerometer was also attached.

![Excitation Setup](image)

**Figure 2. Excitation Setup.**
The burst random method of excitation was chosen for this test. This excitation method minimizes the leakage and is well suited to heavily damped structures such as this vehicle hull. The bandwidth of the excitation was identical to the measurement bandwidth.

2.2 Response Measurement. Endevco Model 7254A and Model 61 accelerometers were used. Both the 100- and 500-mV/g sensitivity versions of these sensors were utilized. The less-sensitive sensors were placed closer to the sources of excitation to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio. The 7254A accelerometers were used for the driving-point acceleration measurements.

The data acquisition was performed using a Hewlett-Packard 725 workstation and a Hewlett-Packard 3565 data-acquisition front end. A PCB data harvester was utilized to provide low-pass analog signal filtering and to provide power to the accelerometers. The 3565 front end was configured with 40 input channels and 4 output channels. Data were collected up to 100 Hz at a resolution of 0.0625 Hz. Datasets were also collected to ascertain the degree of nonlinearity, as well as the predominate noise floor.

2.3 Modal Model. The modal model consists of 164 nodes. It is pictured in Figure 3. The nodal locations were chosen to provide a complete geometric description of the basic AGS hull. In addition, a set of sensors was allocated for each panel and hatch that could move independently of the basic hull. A set of transducers was allocated to the gun tube and another set to the engine/transmission assembly. The additional sensors permit the description of localized motion of the various parts of the hull. Although these modes have little effect on the overall flexibility of the structure, they account for the majority of differences between the various mode shapes.

2.4 Qualitative Data Assessment of Results. A noise floor measurement (NFM) was taken in addition to the data that were acquired for analysis. This dataset is acquired in an identical fashion to the other datasets. The only difference between the two datasets is that the NFM has
the excitation signal turned off. Therefore, any signal present in the NFM is due to a noise source. A comparison of the NFM with the analyzed data indicates that the noise floor is at least one order of magnitude less than the data signal in most of the measurements.

Due to limited funds, the test was completed with a limited number of transducers and acquisition channels. Twenty-two different patches were required to obtain the complete dataset. As a result, several patches of data had to be taken over several days. A complete set of driving-point measurements was acquired during every acquisition cycle. A comparison of these records yields a measure of the time variance of the acquired data. Figure 4 is a plot of the FRFs from driving-point 3. Each curve on this plot utilizes the same excitation amplitude, excitation point, and response point. If the system were time invariant, these 18 curves would overlap each other identically. However, due to the time variance, there is a difference between the curves. In some frequency ranges, the difference is severe.

As previously mentioned, modal analysis assumes that the structure under test is linear. A simple technique of verifying this assumption is to input varying force levels to the structure and measure the ensuing FRFs. For an ideal linear structure, all of the FRFs overlay each other exactly. The FRFs measured from three force levels are shown in Figure 5. Since a single 50-lb shaker was utilized for the linearity check, the total force input level was small. A driving-point response location was utilized for these measurements. At the these small force levels, the structure appears to be linear.
2.5 Parameter Extraction. All parameter extraction and data acquisition were performed on an HP725 workstation, utilizing SDRC's I-DEAS software. The time-domain polyreference method of curve-fitting was used throughout the analysis. The FRFs of interest are inverse
transformed into their impulse response functions (IRFs). Then these IRFs are curve-fit with a least-squares curve-fitter to extract the modal parameters. A complete description of this curve-fitting technique can be found in Brown, Allemang, and Zimmerman [4].

2.6 Accuracy and Certainty. The accuracy and uncertainty of the extracted modal parameters are heavily dependent on the nature of the structure under test. In a lightly damped structure with distinct modes, high accuracy is easily obtained. However, if the structure is heavily damped with many closely coupled modes, the extraction of individual modal parameters proves to be extremely difficult.

Unfortunately, the AGS vehicle, as tested, falls into the latter class of structures. The frequencies less than 50 Hz extracted in the modal analysis are accurate to within 3%. The frequencies over 50 Hz are accurate to within 7%. Clusters of extracted modes exist at various frequencies. In some instances, these clusters result from time-variant measurement data. The extracted damping parameters are accurate to with 10% below 20 Hz and 50% over 20 Hz. The damping values are extremely difficult to extract from these measurements. Since many of the extracted modes entail movement of various hatches, the damping and frequency parameters are heavily dependent on the condition of the rubber gaskets on those panels, as well as the tightness of the fasteners used to secure them.

2.7 Mode Shapes and Frequencies. Due to the complexity of the AGS structure, this report does not attempt to describe each mode shape, but they are illustrated in Appendix B. A description of the common features of groups of mode shapes is included in this report and a digital copy of the full set of mode shapes will be provided upon request. (A set of ARL-authored MATLAB scripts can also be supplied to facilitate viewing the mode shapes on any computational platform supporting MATLAB V4.2c.m files.)

Figure 6 displays the four driving-point FRF measurements from the AGS vehicle test. The measurements are the highest quality FRFs measured and give an indication of the modal density, as well as an indication of the form and quality of the response FRFs.
### Table 1. AGS Modal Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Damping (% Critical)</th>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Damping (% Critical)</th>
<th>Mode No.</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Damping (% Critical)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.408</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>1.884</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.859</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>1.770</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>3.518</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>1.256</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>1.899</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>1.724</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>1.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>3.024</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>1.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>1.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>1.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>1.846</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Driving-Point FRFs.

The mode indicator function (MIF) is shown in Figure 7. Minimas in the solid dark curve of this function indicate the presence of modes at those frequencies. The sharper the minima, the stronger the mode. Minimas in the other curves may indicate a cross-over point or a double mode.

The MIF can be used to ascertain the modal density of the structure. Many closely spaced minimas indicate high modal density and a high degree of modal coupling. Sharper minimas with more space between them indicate a low modal density with little interaction between modes. The AGS has a high modal density with significant coupling between modes.

A heavily coupled dynamic response makes the extraction of modal parameters very difficult. The MIF shown in Figure 7 is heavily coupled and exhibits a high modal density.
Figure 7. Mode Indicator Function.

Figure 8 is a graphical representation of the modal assurance criterion (MAC) matrix. The MAC provides an indication of the linear independence of each mode. In an ideal analysis, the MAC will have a value of 1 along the diagonal and value of 0 everywhere else. The majority of the modes extracted from this analysis are linearly independent. However, the duration over which a single dataset was measured resulted in time-varying data. This variance is somewhat accounted for by curve-fitting multiple modes where a single mode exists. This effect accounts for a portion of the linearly dependent mode shapes.

The first extracted mode of the AGS entails movement of the main weapon (7.9 Hz). The driver’s hatch is the primary participant in the second mode (14.5 Hz). The next several modes include various combinations of motion in the turret hatches in conjunction with gun tube motion. The gun tube moves in both the vertical and horizontal planes. Mode 9 (26.2 Hz) begins to include some elements of motion on the rear deck of the hull.
Figure 8. MAC Matrix Representation.

Mode 12 (27.9 Hz) begins to show an indication of global motion as the hull twists slightly in the rear sections. Mode 14 (28.6) begins to show some interactive motion between the turret and the hull. The next several modes include various combinations of tube motion, slight hull twisting, and hull/turret interaction.

Mode 21 (33.5 Hz) begins to show flexibility of the sponson. This type of motion is very similar to the flexibility that was seen in testing other vehicles of similar design. Mode 23
(36.9 Hz) includes significant flexure of the upper surface of the hull deck, particularly in the rear portion. The first primary global torsional mode is mode 25 (40.6 Hz). Although this mode includes motion of various hatches, its dominant feature is global torsion of the hull.

Mode 28 (44.9 Hz) begins to indicate flexibility in the hull floor and Mode 31 (47.2 Hz) indicates flexibility in the forward section of the hull. The next several modes include various combinations of the previous motions. Mode 37 (51.1 Hz) shows motion of the engine within its mounts. Sponson rotation is the primary motion exhibited by Mode 48 (64.3 Hz). The intervening modes, as well as the remaining modes, include various combinations of hatch, engine, floor, hull, and tube motion.

3. High-Frequency Dynamics Test

3.1 Experimental Damping Analysis Methods. Four different methods are utilized to determine damping.

(1) The conventional modal analysis theory based method works on high-quality FRFs. Results from the AGS modal test and analysis were obtained via this method and are presented elsewhere in this report. The method is very accurate when structural modes are well separated. As a result, this method is limited to the lower frequency range where distinct modes exist.

(2) A technique relying on narrow-band filtering and exponential curve-fitting was utilized. The accuracy of this method is reduced by two conflicting factors. When a passband is too wide, modal coupling can lead to a “beating” phenomena, which degrades the exponential curve-fit quality. When the passband is too narrow, the filtering itself causes unwanted distortion in the time domain signal. Nevertheless, this method is conceptually simple and easy to understand. It is also recommended by the MANTA developer. Therefore, AGS damping values used for MANTA predictions were obtained from this method.
(3) The power injection method evolved from Statistical Energy Analysis (SEA) and is based on energy conservation between input power and structural response. This method works well on simpler structures where response velocity and mass are known or can reliably be measured. This method is usually implemented in conjunction with obtaining vibration transmission coefficients (VTC) that are needed for certain analytical predictions. Some recent work, performed on the composite armored vehicle (CAV) composite panels, utilized this method and resulted in reasonable damping estimates. The method requires a response energy measurement on all structural panels, which was well beyond the scope of the AGS test. In general, experience has shown that this method is not practical for complex structures due to its tedious test process and a potential numerical difficulty resulting from matrix inversion.

(4) Initial experiments on a wavelet-transform-based new method seem promising, but further investigations are needed.

Method 1 is used to analyze the low-frequency modal data (to 100 Hz), while methods 2 and 4 were used to analyze the high-frequency data (to 10 kHz) in the AGS test.

3.2 High-Frequency Damping Determination by Wavelet Transform. The continuous wavelet transform (CWT) expands a signal into a time-scale space via correlation with a wavelet, generating a CWT coefficient $\Phi$. When the wavelet is chosen such that its spectral energy includes only a single mode, the scale of the transform is correlated to frequency. In other words, wavelet transforms decompose the signal in the frequency spectrum while retaining the time-domain information.

$$\Phi_{a,b(x)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) h_{a,b}(t) dt,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $\Phi$ is the wavelet transform coefficient, $x(t)$ is the time signal to be transformed, and $h_{a,b}(t)$ is the family of wavelets scaled and shifted from $h(t)$ and is defined as
\[ h_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} h \left( \frac{t - b}{a} \right). \tag{2} \]

The CWT process is computationally intensive, but its concept is straightforward, as shown in Figure 9.

(1) Starting from the left, compute the coefficient (1) between the wavelet and that section of the signal.

(2) Shift the wavelet to the right and repeat step 1 until the end of the signal. This results in a row of the coefficient matrix \( C \).

(3) Change the scale/frequency of the wavelet (by stretching or compressing it) and repeat steps 1–2 to cover the entire frequency range of interest.

The aforementioned process generates the desired wavelet transform coefficient matrix \( C \), where each scale forms a row and each wavelet time shift forms a column. From the definition of CWT, it can be seen that each element of the \( C \) matrix represents how closely the wavelet correlates to the signal at certain frequencies and times. When a typical structure is subjected to impact, its exponentially decaying responses are indicative of the damping characteristics. As energy is dissipated through various structural damping mechanisms, the unimodal energy (a row in \( C \) matrix) decreases along the time axis of the matrix. This time-dependent behavior of the CWT coefficients is observable and can be used to calculate the damping as follows:

\[ \zeta_m = \frac{1}{2\omega_m} \ln \left( \frac{\Phi_{a,b_2}}{\Phi_{a,b_1}} \right) \frac{a}{b_2 - b_1}. \tag{3} \]
The damping ratio ($\zeta_m$) can be extracted from (3), where $\frac{a}{b_2 - b_1}$ is the elapsed time between the two wavelet coefficients $\Phi_{a,b_2}$ and $\Phi_{a,b_1}$. A better estimate can be made by performing a curve-fit on a range of coefficients with the corresponding time as the ordinate. The process can be further simplified by linear curve-fitting the log of the coefficient ratio. The least-squared curve-fit reduces the effect from experimental noise and therefore generates more accurate damping estimates as can be seen in Figure 10. Because of the nature of wavelet transforms, coefficients of a mode may contain energy from adjacent modes. Therefore, the coefficients should be considered as moving averages in time and scale. Experience indicated that, in practice, high-frequency damping only changes gradually along the frequency axis (i.e., without sudden or wide variations), so the moving averages issue is insignificant.

The computation process is tested and validated using synthesized signals with known damping values. An example of these waveforms is shown in Figure 11, which is synthesized from damped sinewaves of 4, 6, and 7 kHz.
Figure 10. Curve-Fit of CWT Coefficients.

Figure 11. Synthesized Time Domain Signal.

Figure 12 compares the known damping ratios from the synthesized functions with the damping extracted by the CWT method from the same functions. Only a few selected AGS impact responses are analyzed by the wavelet method for comparison purposes. Figure 13 shows the typical structural response (due to hammer impact) and its FFT. Figure 14 is a CWT coefficient matrix of the time history. Figure 15 is an example of curve-fitting a row of CWT coefficients to obtain damping.
3.3 Moving Bandpass Filter and Log Decrement Method. This method extends the conventional single-mode log decrement method to a band-filtered signal. The procedure is outlined as follows.

1. The response signal is band-filtered by a fifth-order Butterworth filter moving from low to high frequency covering the entire 10-kHz range. The typical moving filter center frequency increment is 100 Hz. A synthesized test signal and its FFT ($\zeta = 0.02$) are shown in Figure 16.
(2) Each band-filtered time series now contains only the energy within the passband. Peaks of the damped oscillation are selected as indicated by +’s in Figure 17.

(3) The peaks selected from step 2 are curve-fit based on the formula for an underdamped single-mode time response to an impulse, $x(t) = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t} \sin(\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} \omega_n t + \phi)$. When only the oscillation peaks are used for curve-fitting, the $\sin()$ factor can be ignored and the peaks fit by $x(t) = e^{-\zeta\omega_n t}$. Figure 17 shows the synthesized damping curve plotted against the filtered time domain signal.
(4) This process is then repeated for each filter bank. When the entire frequency range is analyzed, the damping can be plotted as a function of filter bank center frequencies, as shown in Figure 18. Figure 18 compares the synthesized damping values with the damping values obtained by the wavelet method and filtering methods. In general, it is very difficult to accurately extract high-frequency structural damping. All three high-frequency methods described (power injection, bandpass, wavelet) yield approximate damping values.
3.4 High-Frequency FRF Test Description. The data gathered from the high-frequency testing were intended for MANTA model correlation and as a base to obtain live-fire test predictions. Since the vehicle could not be excited at ballistic levels for this test, hammer impact data were obtained from a variety of locations. Consequently, a thorough mapping of FRFs was obtained from this testing. This mapping consisted of 11 excitation input locations and 64 response locations, resulting in over 700 FRFs. Multiple excitation techniques were also used to provide a measure of data consistency and repeatability. These were broadband random, discrete sine sweep, and hammer impact excitations. The data obtained by the alternate excitations were particularly useful for estimating the high-frequency damping, which is a difficult parameter to characterize.

Because of the high-frequency range of this test, careful consideration was given to accelerometer selection and mounting. Also, since the loading levels used in this nondestructive testing were small, sensitive accelerometers were necessary. Endevco Model 7254A accelerometers were selected based on the following factors: (1) acceptable frequency response
to 10 kHz, (2) high sensitivity (500 mV/g for most accelerometers), and (3) extremely low noise floor (the noise floor is equivalent to 0.0002 g for model 7254A_500).

In order to assure a good frequency response measurement of the structure up to 10 kHz without influence of the accelerometer mount, direct screw mounting of the accelerometer is preferable. Fortunately, the threaded bolt holes normally used for attaching armor and accessories could be used with replacement bolts to house the accelerometers. The hex tops of these replacement bolts were drilled and tapped so that each accelerometer could be stud-mounted. The specially prepared replacement bolts were then screwed directly to the vehicle body at or near the desired measurement locations. For vehicle locations where threaded holes were not available, dental cement or glue was used. Experiments were performed prior to the AGS vehicle test to ensure that the adhesive-mount frequency responses, though not ideal, were acceptable. Figure 19 shows a typical stud-mounted sensor.

![Accelerometer, Mounting Bolt, Vehicle Accessory Mounting Hole]

Figure 19. Typical High-Frequency Sensor Attachment.

Careful consideration was also given to exciter selection and technique to ensure that the exciter had sufficient power to propagate vibration throughout this massive structure at levels above noise. It is difficult to provide this excitation since the available electrodynamic shakers are only designed to provide excitation below 5 kHz.
Although these shakers can be used to generate force up to 10 kHz, the force is at a considerably reduced level. Consequently, an instrumented impact hammer was used to provide complimentary FRF data (and also to provide time response data for high-frequency damping estimates). Figure 20 shows a typical hammer force measurement. Note that the relatively flat frequency response extends to 8 kHz, resulting in a force signal with a signal-to-noise ratio of 100 below 8 kHz and only about 10 from 8 to 10 kHz. The hammer provides some improvement at the high frequencies, but not without limitation.

![Figure 20. Typical Hammer Impact.](image)

The careful test design yielded data that were high quality and repeatable. Some interesting trends were apparent upon review of the data collection. First, there was a large attenuation of response amplitude at locations of increasing distance from the excitation source. This attenuation was particularly pronounced as frequency increased, as shown in Figure 21 and Table 2. Also noted was that for a remote excitation source, the vibration was typically uniform within a single panel of the vehicle structure. Table 2 compares relative responses of six locations on the right turret panel due to vibration input at five locations.
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Figure 21. Octave Analysis of FRFs.

Table 2. Response Location Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Location</th>
<th>Input 2</th>
<th>Input 3</th>
<th>Input 4</th>
<th>Input 5</th>
<th>Input 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD/Mean</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It must be noted that these estimated damping values are very approximate because several modes are usually present within the bandwidth. These additional modes create an apparent damping value as represented by a single theoretical mode.

An important result of the damping estimates that is of particular use to the analytical modeling effort is that the damping of various locations on the structure appeared relatively
uniform. Figure 22 shows a histogram of estimated damping (averaged over frequency) at the various response locations from an impact at the left hull side in the middle. The mean value is seen to be roughly 1.75% critical damping. The damping estimates for these locations do not seem to be dependent on impact location. Figure 23 shows a similar histogram for an impact at the left-turret middle panel. A similar result of 1.6% critical damping is seen.

Figure 22. Estimated Average Damping for Various Locations for Hull Impact.

Figure 23. Estimated Average Damping for Various Locations for Turret Impact.
4. Conclusions and Recommendations

Previous modal analyses of the BFV, M113 and heavy composite hull have concentrated on either bare hull or almost completely stripped hull vehicles. Conversely, this modal test and analysis of the AGS was performed on a full-up vehicle. In addition, the test of the AGS included the turret, whereas all of the previous tests excluded the turret from the tested configuration. As a result, the measurements were extremely noisy compared to previous tests. Despite the high noise, modal parameters were extracted from the measured data.

This test has shown that, although modal parameters are extractable from a full-up vehicle, the accuracy of the parameters is much lower. The additional noise greatly reduced the confidence in the mode-shape estimation. The large number of modes resulting from hatches and other subcomponents tended to mask global vehicle modes. However, despite the hatch-induced noise, at least one turret/hull interactive mode was extracted. Removal of hatches and other nonstructural components on future tests will enhance the ability of the analyst to extract meaningful structural modes from future modal tests of similar vehicles.

The analysis was further complicated by time variance in the measured data. The large number of patches required for a single data set required several days to measure. Changes in the structural response of the vehicle occurred during the time span required to complete a single measurement cycle. These changes reduced the accuracy of the modal analysis. More sensors and data acquisition channels in future tests reduce the measurement time, thus increasing the accuracy of the resulting modal parameters. Ideally, a single measurement cycle should be completed within a few hours or, at most, a single day.

Throughout this test and analysis, several methods of damping estimation were used. Based on this experience, there is no single estimation technique that is best on all structures. Where usable, modal damping for individual modes is the most reliable method of damping estimation. The power injection technique is a good method for high frequencies where individual modes
cannot be analyzed. However, power injection is only applicable to simple plate-like structures under free-free boundary conditions.

The remaining two estimation techniques are both applicable to vehicle class structures. Both the narrow-band filtering and the wavelet transform techniques yielded reasonable damping estimates. However, neither method was completely satisfactory. Other time-frequency decomposition techniques should be explored and compared against the modal damping and power-injection damping estimation techniques.

The AGS was heavier than any previous vehicle that the authors have tested. The shakers utilized provided a marginal excitation force. More powerful shakers would have moved the data further above the noise floor, thus permitting high-quality measurements at remote hull locations. In addition, the hammer excitation did not come close to approximating the force levels expected in a live-fire test. For a reasonable comparison to live-fire predictions, the impact excitation should approximate the force levels expected in a live-fire test.
5. References


INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.
Appendix A:

Airmount Data Sheet
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.
**Airstroke Airmount**

**Firestone 113**

**Description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style 113</th>
<th>Order No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blind nuts, 1/4 NPT</td>
<td>WO1-358-7103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind nuts, 1/4 NPT, bumper</td>
<td>WO1-358-7104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind nuts, 3/4 NPT</td>
<td>WO1-358-7101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind nuts, 3/4 NPT, bumper</td>
<td>WO1-358-7109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Button head steel ball bearings, 1/4&quot; bolts, nuts, washers</td>
<td>WO1-358-7110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind nuts, 1/8 NPT</td>
<td>WO1-753-7113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind nuts, 1/4 NPT</td>
<td>WO1-753-7114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber bellows only</td>
<td>WO1-358-0135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assembly weight**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Force to collapse to minimum height</th>
<th>14.5 lbs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Force to collapse to minimum height</td>
<td>17 lbs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dynamic Characteristics at 5.0 in. Design Height**

(Required for Airstroke isolator design only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume @ 100 PSIG (in3)</th>
<th>Natural Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume @ 100 PSIG</strong></td>
<td><strong>Natural Frequency</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
<td>163 CPM 2.72 HZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,220</td>
<td>155 CPM 2.58 HZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,030</td>
<td>150 CPM 2.50 HZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,890</td>
<td>147 CPM 2.45 HZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended Airmount Design Height**

6.0 INCHES

**Static Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>100 PSIG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Force @ 100 PSIG</td>
<td>8.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force @ 40 PSIG</td>
<td>6.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force @ 20 PSIG</td>
<td>4.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force @ 10 PSIG</td>
<td>3.800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Volme WITHOUT BUMPER CU IN. X 100**

**Force LBS X 1000**

**NOTE:** This part is also available with bead rings (rather than end plate). SEE PAGE 8.

**SEE PAGE 12 for instructions on how to use chart.**

**Force Table (Use for Airstroke actuator design)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assembly Height (in)</th>
<th>Volume @ 100 PSIG (in3)</th>
<th>Pound Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>1,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>2,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>2,390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA ARDEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSTA AR FSF T C LIVECCHIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07806-5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA ARDEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSTA AR QAC T/C C PATEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA ARDEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSTA AR M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D DEMELLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F DIORIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA ARDEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSTA AR FSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A WARNASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B MACHAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M CHIEFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMCWW QAE Q B VANINA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 44 WATERVLIET ARSENAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMCWW SPM T MCCLOSKEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLDG 253 WATERVLIET ARSENAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DIRECTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BENET LABORATORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSTA AR CCB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J KEANE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J BATAGLIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J VASILAKIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G FFIAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V MONTVORI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G DANDREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R HASENBEIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R S SOPOK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WATERVLIET NY 12189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WATERVLIET ARSENAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMCWW QA QS K INSCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRODUCTION BASE MODERN ACTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA ARDEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSMC PBM K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US ARMY BELVOIR RD&amp;E CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STRB E JBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA ARDEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSTA AR FSP G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M SCHIKSNIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D CARLUCCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>US ARMY COLD REGIONS RSRCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AND ENGINEERING LAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P DUTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72 LYM E RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HANOVER NH 03755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DIRECTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US ARMY RESEARCH LAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSRL WT L D WOODBURY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2800 POWDER MILL RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADELPHI MD 20783-1145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US ARMY MISSILE CMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSMI RD W MCCORKLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSMI RD ST P DOYLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSMI RD ST CN T VANDIVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A CROWSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J CHANDRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 12211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ENGINEERING SCIENCES DIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R SINGLETON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G ANDERSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K IYER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 12211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27709-2211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PM TMAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFAE GSSC TMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COL PAWLICKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K KIMKER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E KOPACZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R ROESER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B DORCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PM TMAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFAE GSSC TMA SMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R KOWALSKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PEO FIELD ARTILLERY SYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFAE FAS PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H GOLDMAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T MCWILLIAMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PM CRUSADER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G DELCOCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J SHIELDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07806-5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS 266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMSRL VS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W ELBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F BARTLETT JR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAMPTON VA 23681-0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INNOVATIVE CONCEPTS DIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R ROHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6801 TELEGRAPH RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALEXANDRIA VA 22310-3398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE DIV N85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F SHOUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000 NAVY PENTAGON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WASHINGTON DC 20350-2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OFFICE OF NAVAL RSRCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D SIEGEL 351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 N QUINCY ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARLINGTON VA 22217-5660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J H FRANCIS CODE G30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN VA 22448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D WILSON CODE G32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R D COOPER CODE G32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN VA 22448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J FRAYSSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E ROWE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T DURAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L DE SIMONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CODE G33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN VA 22448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMMANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS CMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D LIESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2531 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARLINGTON VA 22242-5160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M E LACY CODE B02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17320 DAHLGREN RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN VA 22448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TECH LIBRARY CODE 323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17320 DAHLGREN RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN VA 22448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DIR LLNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R CHRISTENSEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S DETERESA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F MAGNESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M FINGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIVERMORE CA 94550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LANL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F ADDESSIO MS B216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J REPPA MS F668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 1633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS ALAMOS NM 87545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R M DAVIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAK RIDGE TN 37831-6195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C BAKIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>227 N HAMMOND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>UDLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4800 EAST RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P JANKE MS 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T GIOVANETTI MS 236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B VAN WYK MS 389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MINNEAPOLIS MN 55421-1498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DIR SNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APPLIED MECHANICS DEPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIV 8241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W KAWAHARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K PERANO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D DAWSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P NIELAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIVERMORE CA 94550-0096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DREXEL UNIVERSITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A S D WANG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32ND AND CHESTNUT ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHILADELPHIA PA 19104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BATTENELL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C R HARGREAVES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>505 KING AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COLUMBUS OH 43201-2681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1             | PACIFIC NORTHWEST LAB  
                M SMITH  
                PO BOX 999  
                RICHLAND WA 99352 |
| 1             | LLNL  
                M MURPHY  
                PO BOX 808 L 282  
                LIVERMORE CA 94550 |
| 1             | NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIV  
                CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPT  
                W RASDORF  
                PO BOX 7908  
                RALEIGH NC 27696-7908 |
| 1             | PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV  
                R MCNITT  
                227 HAMMOND BLDG  
                UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802 |
| 1             | PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV  
                R S ENGEL  
                245 HAMMOND BLDG  
                UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802 |
| 1             | PURDUE UNIVERSITY  
                SCHOOL OF AERO & ASTRO  
                C T SUN  
                W LAFAYETTE IN 47907-1282 |
| 1             | STANFORD UNIV  
                DEPT OF AERONAUTICS &  
                AEROBALLISTICS DURANT BLDG  
                S TSAI  
                STANFORD CA 94305 |
| 1             | UCLA MANE DEPT ENGR IV  
                H T HAHN  
                LOS ANGELES CA 90024-1597 |
| 2             | U OF DAYTON RSRCH INSTITUTE  
                R Y KIM  
                A K ROY  
                300 COLLEGE PARK AVE  
                DAYTON OH 45469-0168 |
| 1             | UNIV OF DAYTON  
                J M WHITNEY  
                COLLEGE PARK AVE  
                DAYTON OH 45469-0240 |
| 2             | UNIV OF DELAWARE  
                CTR FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS  
                J GILLESPIE  
                M SANTARE  
                201 SPENCER LABORATORY  
                NEWARK DE 19716 |
| 1             | UNIV OF ILLINOIS AT  
                URBANA CHAMPAIGN  
                NATIONAL CTR FOR COMPOSITE  
                MATERIALS RESEARCH  
                216 TALBOT LABORATORY  
                J ECONOMY  
                104 S WRIGHT ST  
                URBANA IL 61801 |
| 1             | UNIV OF KENTUCKY  
                L PENN  
                763 ANDERSON HALL  
                LEXINGTON KY 40506-0046 |
| 1             | UNIV OF UTAH  
                DEPT OF MECH & INDUSTRIAL ENGR  
                S SWANSON  
                SALT LAKE CITY UT 84112 |
| 2             | THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN  
                CTR FOR ELECTROMECHANICS  
                A WALLS  
                J KITZMILLER  
                10100 BURNET RD  
                AUSTIN TX 78758-4497 |
| 3             | VA POLYTECHNICAL INSTITUTE  
                & STATE UNIV  
                DEPT OF ESM  
                M W HYER  
                K REIFSNIDER  
                R JONES  
                BLACKSBURG VA 24061-0219 |
| 1             | UNIV OF MARYLAND  
                DEPT OF AEROSPACE ENGINEERING  
                A J VIZZINI  
                COLLEGE PARK MD 20742 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AAI CORPORATION</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T G STAIGNY</td>
<td></td>
<td>C CANDLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 126</td>
<td></td>
<td>R BECKER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HUNT VALLEY MD 21030-0126</td>
<td></td>
<td>L LEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>J HEBERT</td>
<td></td>
<td>R LONG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 1072</td>
<td></td>
<td>D KAMDAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HUNT VALLEY MD 21030-0126</td>
<td></td>
<td>G KASSUELKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARMTEC DEFENSE PRODUCTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>600 2ND ST NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S DYER</td>
<td></td>
<td>HOPKINS MN 55343-8367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85 901 AVE 53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COACHELLA CA 92236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ADVANCED COMPOSITE MATERIALS CORPORATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AMOCO PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P HOOD</td>
<td></td>
<td>M MICHAEL JR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J RHODES</td>
<td></td>
<td>4500 MCGINNIS FERRY RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1525 S BUNCOMBE RD</td>
<td></td>
<td>ALPHARETTA GA 30202-3944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SAIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>APPLIED COMPOSITES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D DAKIN</td>
<td></td>
<td>W GRISCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2200 POWELL ST STE 1090</td>
<td></td>
<td>333 NORTH SIXTH ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMERYVILLE CA 94608</td>
<td></td>
<td>ST CHARLES IL 60174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SAIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>BRUNSWICK DEFENSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M PALMER</td>
<td></td>
<td>T HARRIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2109 AIR PARK RD S E</td>
<td></td>
<td>STE 410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALBUQUERQUE NM 87106</td>
<td></td>
<td>1745 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SAIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>ARLINGTON VA 22202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R ACEBAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECTILE TECHNOLOGY INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1225 JOHNSON FERRY RD STE 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>515 GILES ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MARIETTA GA 30068</td>
<td></td>
<td>HAVRE DE GRACE MD 21078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SAIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>CUSTOM ANALYTICAL ENGR SYS INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G CHRYSOMALLIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>A ALEXANDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3800 W 80TH ST</td>
<td></td>
<td>13000 TENSOR LANE NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STE 1090</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLINTSTONE MD 21530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NOESIS INC</td>
<td></td>
<td>NOESIS INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A BOUTZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>A BOUTZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1110 N GLEBE RD STE 250</td>
<td></td>
<td>1110 N GLEBE RD STE 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARINGTON VA 22201-4795</td>
<td></td>
<td>ARINGTON VA 22201-4795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ARROW TECH ASSOC</td>
<td></td>
<td>ARROW TECH ASSOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1233 SHELBRUNE RD STE D 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1233 SHELBRUNE RD STE D 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOUTH BURLINGTON VT 05403-7700</td>
<td></td>
<td>SOUTH BURLINGTON VT 05403-7700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR</td>
<td></td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R HUBBARD G33 C</td>
<td></td>
<td>R HUBBARD G33 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN DIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN DIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN VA 22448-5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAHLGREN VA 22448-5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5             | GEN CORP AEROJET  
D PILLASCH  
T COULTER  
C FLYNN  
D RUBAREZUL  
M GREINER  
1100 WEST HOLLYVALE ST  
AZUSA CA 91702-0296 |
| 7             | CIVIL ENGR RSRCH FOUNDATION  
H BERNSTEIN  
C MAGNELL  
K ALMOND  
R BELLE  
M WILLET  
E DELO  
B MATTES  
1015 15TH ST NW STE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20005 |
| 1             | NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARD AND TECHNOLOGY  
STRUCTURE & MECHANICS GROUP  
POLYMER DIV POLYMERS RM A209  
G MCKENNA  
GAITHERSBURG MD 20899 |
| 1             | DUPONT COMPANY  
COMPOSITES ARAMID FIBERS  
S BORLESKE  
CHESTNUT RUN PLAZA  
PO BOX 80702  
WILMINGTON DE 19880-0702 |
| 1             | GENERAL DYNAMICS  
LAND SYSTEMS DIV  
D BARTLE  
PO BOX 1901  
WARREN MI 48090 |
| 3             | HERCULES INC  
R BOE  
F POLICELLI  
J POESCH  
PO BOX 98  
MAGNA UT 84044 |
| 3             | HERCULES INC  
G KUEBELER  
J VERMEYCHUK  
B MANDERVILLE JR  
HERCULES PLZ  
WILMINGTON DE 19894 |
| 1             | HEXCEL  
M SHELENDICH  
11555 DUBLIN BLVD  
PO BOX 2312  
DUBLIN CA 94568-0705 |
| 4             | INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED TECH  
H FAIR  
P SULLIVAN  
W REINECKE  
I MCNAB  
4030 2 W BRAKER LN  
AUSTIN TX 78759 |
| 1             | INTEGRATED COMPOSITE TECH  
H PERKINSON JR  
PO BOX 397  
YORK NEW SALEM PA 17371-0397 |
| 1             | INTERFEROMETRICS INC  
R LARRIVA  
8150 LEESBURG PIKE  
VIENNA VA 22100 |
| 1             | AEROSPACE RES & DEV  
ASR DDD CORP  
D ELDER  
PO BOX 49472  
COLORADO SPRINGS CO  
80949-9472 |
| 1             | PM ADVANCED CONCEPTS  
LORAL VOUGHT SYSTEMS  
J TAYLOR  
PO BOX 650003  
MS WT 21  
DALLAS TX 76265-0003 |
| 2             | LORAL VOUGHT SYSTEMS  
G JACKSON  
K COOK  
1701 W MARSHALL DR  
GRAND PRAIRIE TX 75051 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRIGS CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J BACKOFEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2668 PETERBOROUGH ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HERDON VA 22071-2443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SOUTHWEST RSRCH INSTITUTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENGR &amp; MATERIAL SCIENCES DIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J RIEGEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6220 CULEBRA RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO DRAWER 28510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO TX 78228-0510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ZERNOW TECHNICAL SVCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L ZERNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>425 W BONITA AVE SUITE 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAN DIMAS CA 91773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R EICHELBERGER CONSULTANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>409 W CATHERINE ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEL AIR MD 21014-3613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DYNA EAST CORPORATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P C CHOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3201 ARCH ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHILADELPHIA PA 19104-2711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MARTIN MARIETTA CORP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P DEWAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L SPONAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230 EAST GODDARD BLVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OLIN CORPORATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLINCHBAUGH DIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E STEINER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B STEWART</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RED LION PA 18356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OLIN CORPORATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L WHITMORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10101 9TH ST NORTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST PETERSBURG FL 33702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RENNSAELER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R B PIPES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PITTSBURGH BLDG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TROY NY 12180-3590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SPARTA INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J GLATZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9455 TOWNE CTR DRIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAN DIEGO CA 92121-1964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>UNITED DEFENSE LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P PARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G THOMAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1107 COLEMAN AVE BOX 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAN JOSE CA 95103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MARINE CORPS SYS CMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM GROUND WPNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COL R OWEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2083 BARNETT AVE SUITE 315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QUANTICO VA 22134-5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OFFICE OF NAVAL RES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J KELLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 NORTH QUINCEY ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARLINGTON VA 22217-5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARDEROCK DIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R CRANE CODE 2802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C WILLIAMS CODE 6553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3A LEGGETT CIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANnapolis MD 21402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SIKORSKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H BUTTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T CARSTENsAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B KAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S GARBO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J ADELsMANN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6900 MAIN ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 9729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STRATFORD CT 06601-1381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D ADAMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U WYOMING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 3295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LARAMIE WY 82071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO. OF COPIES</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MICHIGAN STATE UNIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R AVERILL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3515 EB MSM DEPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAST LANSING MI 48824-1226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AMOCO POLYMERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J BANSAUKAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4500 MCGINNIS FERRY RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALPHARETTA GA 30005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HEXCEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T BITZER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11711 DUBLIN BLVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DUBLIN CA 94568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BOEING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R BOHLMANN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 516 MC 5021322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST LOUIS MO 63166-0516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NAVSEA OJRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G CAMPONESCHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2351 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARLINGTON VA 22242-5160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LOCKHEED MARTIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R FIELDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1195 IRWIN CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WINTER SPRINGS FL 32708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WL MLS OL A HAKIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5225 BAILEY LOOP 243E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCCLELLAN AFB CA 55552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PRATT &amp; WHITNEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D HAMBRICK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 MAIN ST MS 114 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAST HARTFORD CT 06108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BOEING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOUGLAS PRODUCTS DIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L J HART-SMITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3855 LAKEWOOD BLVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D800 0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LONG BEACH CA 90846-0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P LAGACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77 MASS AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMBRIDGE MA 01887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NASA LANGLEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J MASTERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS 389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAMPTON VA 23662-5225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CYTEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M LIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1440 N KRAEMER BLVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANAHEIM CA 92806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BOEING ROTORCRAFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P MINGURT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P HANDEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 B PUTNAM BLVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WALLINGFORD PA 19086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FAA TECH CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D OPLINGER AAR 431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P SHYPRYKEVICH AAR 431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATLANTIC CITY NJ 08405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NASA LANGLEY RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C C POE MS 188E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEWPORT NEWS VA 23608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LOCKHEED MARTIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S REEVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8650 COBB DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D 73 62 MZ 0648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MARIETTA GA 30063-0648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>WL MLBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E SHINN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2941 PST STE 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WRIGHT PATTerson AFB OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45433-7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IIT RESEARCH CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D ROSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201 MILL ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROME NY 13440-6916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MATERIALS SCIENCES CORP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B W ROSEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500 OFFICE CENTER DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STE 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FORT WASHINGTON PA 19034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. OF COPIES</td>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DOW UT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S TIDRICK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 STERLING DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WALLINGFORD CT 06492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TUSKEGEE UNIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MATERIALS RSrch LAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCHOOL OF ENGR &amp; ARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S JEELANI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H MAHFUZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U VAIDYA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TUSKEGEE AL 36088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R PARNAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J DUNKERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M VANLANDINGHAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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Dynamic characterization tests were performed on the Advanced Gun System (AGS) vehicle. The tests were designed to provide modeling information for high-frequency shock prediction codes, as well as finite element codes. These data obtained were also used to validate the modeling codes. The vehicle was analyzed in a full-up condition with the turret attached. A model analysis was performed to a maximum frequency of 100 Hz. The high-frequency characterization was performed up to 10 kHz.

Methodologies to extract damping estimate up to 10 kHz were developed and implemented. Damping estimates up to 10 kHz were extracted from the structural data obtained during this test.
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