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The Visual Enhancement and Eye Protection, Integrated Product Team (VEEP-IPT) designed and distributed in early fiscal year 1996 the "1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey." This comprehensive, operationally relevant survey with 161 questions was sent to all 31,205 total force, rated, US Air Force aircrew members (Active Duty, Air National Guard, Reserve). The survey included sections on general information, aircrew spectacles, contact lenses, clinic support, sunglasses, aircrew clear, sun, and high contrast visors, laser eye protection, night vision goggles, ballistic protective dust/wind goggles, vision standards, and a section for written comments. The total force return rate was over 55% with 60% of Active Duty aircrew returning their surveys. Data from the 17,282 returned surveys are being used to define aircrew vision problems, prioritize mission deficiencies, validate user requirements, modify aeromedical policy, and establish valid research requirements. Included in this initial report are the results and analysis of responses from the returned surveys. Survey questions and the raw question by question results are included as Appendices 1 and 2. Selected data have been compiled and cross-correlated, and are found in Appendix 3. The data provide a cross-section of aircrew demographics and opinions, and highlight critical vision and safety issues. Each section has a summary of VEEP-IPT recommendations and recognition of safety of flight issues. Data are maintained in an accessible database at the Ophthalmology Branch at Brooks AFB, and efforts are under way to continue analysis and cross-correlation of the data, including 3400 hand written comments.
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PREFACE

The 1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey was conceived, designed, and implemented by members of the Visual Enhancement and Eye Protection Integrated Product Team (VEEP-IP). Due to USAF organizational changes, the performing organization previously part of Armstrong Laboratory is now part of the USAF School of Aerospace Medicine. A special thanks goes to all VEEP-IPT members who wrote and edited questions for the survey, to SSgt Vincent Franco for transcribing data from the database, and to Maj Ron Tutt for formatting data in the appendices, and graphics support. Thanks to the 17,282 aircrew members who took the time to complete the survey, and for patience awaiting publication of the results.
THE 1995 AIRCREW OPERATIONAL VISION SURVEY: RESULTS, ANALYSIS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SUMMARY

The Visual Enhancement and Eye Protection, Integrated Product Team (VEEP-IPT) designed and distributed the “1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey.” This comprehensive, operationally relevant survey was sent to all 31,205 total force, rated, US Air Force aircrew members (Active Duty, Air National Guard, Reserve). Data from the 17,282 returned surveys are being used to define aircrew vision problems, prioritize mission deficiencies, validate user requirements, modify aeromedical policy, and establish valid research requirements. Included in this report are the results and analysis of responses from the returned surveys. Survey questions and the raw results are included as Appendices 1 and 2. Selected data have been compiled and cross-correlated, and are found in Appendix 3.

INTRODUCTION

History of Vision Enhancement and Eye Protection, Integrated Product Team (VEEP-IPT)

An Integrated Product Team (IPT) is a multidisciplinary organization that brings together all functions that have a stake in a product or process, in order to make integrated decisions affecting that product or process, and is empowered to act upon those decisions. The VEEP-IPT was created in March 1994 at the direction of Major General George Anderson, Commander, Human Systems Center, and Brigadier General Robert Bilih, Air Force Material Command Surgeon. A newly created IPT to evaluate current vision standards was incorporated into the VEEP-IPT by General Anderson on 15 April 94. The VEEP-IPT was chartered to explore operational aerospace vision issues. The original IPT charter included the following mission statements:

a. Build a diverse team that includes users, aerospace vision scientists, flight surgeons, planners, developers, and procurement specialists to provide operational vision support for USAF aircrew members.

b. Evaluate current operational vision issues.

c. Develop better products for vision enhancement and eye protection, faster.

d. Improve aeromedical vision standards.

e. Be a single point of contact for funneling information to the users.

The charter membership of the VEEP-IPT included representatives from the scientific and research community, life support, engineering, acquisition, FAA, NASA, the Army and the Navy, as well as actively flying pilots, navigators, and flight surgeons (Table 1). One of the major products of the VEEP-IPT has been the 1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey (the survey).
<table>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col John Stepp</td>
<td>USAFSAM/CC</td>
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Table 2. VEEP-IPT Working Groups, March 1994
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</table>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col David Hammer</td>
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</tbody>
</table>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Col Robert E. Miller II (Co-chair)</td>
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<td>Col (sel) Steve Sem (Co-chair)</td>
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<td>Col Dick Levy</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Gordon Ford</td>
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<td></td>
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</tbody>
</table>
Development of the Survey

At the charter VEEP-IPT meeting, March 1994, the committee Chairman, Colonel Douglas J. Ivan, Chief, Ophthalmology Branch, Armstrong Laboratory, directed the IPT to form four major working groups. The Aircrew Survey Working Group (Table 2) was charged with development of a comprehensive survey that included input from users (pilots, operations, safety, etc.), as well as input from medical staff. The survey was regarded as a necessary and pivotal requirement upon which to base more far reaching initiatives and vision programs under the management of the VEEP-IPT.

The first Chairman of the Survey Working Group was Colonel Eric Wohlrab, HQ Air Force Medical Operations Agency (AFMOA). His initial thrust was to distribute a March 1994 letter notifying all AF Major Commands that a survey was being developed, and to solicit input for question development. Originally, a six-month time line was established to develop, distribute, and return the surveys. Sample surveys from the field were requested to be returned by 22 April 1994, but due to a number of administrative problems the initial six month time line was extended.

In August 1994, Group Captain Duncan Mitchell (AFMOA) assumed the interim Survey Working Group Chair due to transfer of Colonel Wohlrab. Sample questions that had been submitted by Major Command representatives were compiled by Group Captain Mitchell and forwarded to the VEEP-IPT Chairman for review in late August 1994. Shortly thereafter, Colonels Richard Dennis and Robert Miller, Armstrong Lab/AOCO, were appointed Co-Chairmen of the Survey Working Group and guided the project to completion. Four major tasks were completed prior to distributing the survey:

1. Questions were developed from VEEP-IPT and field input.
2. A contractor was selected to print and distribute copies.
3. The AF Chief of Staff endorsement was obtained by Brigadier General Belihar for the survey cover letter.
4. An advertising campaign was undertaken to encourage high participation.

Question Development

An initial call for sample questions went out to VEEP-IPT members and to Major Command representatives in March 1994. Questions arriving from the field were archived, and other questions were written by the VEEP-IPT executive staff, located at Brooks AFB, TX. Over 500 questions were reviewed, consolidated, and arranged in 11 sections related by topic. After numerous local reviews and revisions, in February 1995, a draft survey with 152 questions was sent to all VEEP-IPT members for review and comments. One reviewer of this draft stated “The most effective surveys are the ones that only ask relevant ‘have-to-know’ data input—short/concise! This one would end up in the trash.” Long surveys often do end up in the trash. A previous Human Resources Directorate survey of 12,000 aircrew, with 160 questions, had a poor return rate of 33%; therefore, Human Resources personnel recommended the VEEP-IPT survey have no more than 120 questions. The fact that the final survey, with 161 questions,
produced an outstanding 60% return rate for Active Duty is testament to the quality of question writing and editing, teamwork, the importance of the issues, the effectiveness of the advertising campaign, and the impact of the Chief of Staff endorsement.

Survey Production Contract

A number of commercial and military sources were consulted as prospects to print, distribute, score, and analyze the survey data. Estimated costs from commercial sources ranged from $68K to over $100K. The Survey Branch at HQ Air Force Military Personnel Center (AFPC) offered to administer the survey at a cost of $45K. This cost included purchase of a new Scantron 8699 booklet scanner, Forms/Labels Integrated Printing System (FLIPS) software, printing of booklets and envelopes, postage, and initial analysis and report writing. Funds from the Armstrong Laboratory were authorized in the amount of $45K in February 1995. The final product actually came in under budget at $27,481. During survey development, the Survey Branch was able to repeatedly edit and update the format of draft Scantron booklets as changes were provided by the VEEP-IPT. The orange color of the survey itself was driven by the new scanning system.

Chief of Staff of the Air Force (CSAF) Endorsement

The CSAF endorsement was sought for a number of reasons. The Survey Working Group wanted to avoid the impression that the survey was a "medic" survey, and felt that endorsement from the CSAF would emphasize the importance and operational relevance of the information that would be extracted from the results. In fact, the CSAF, General Ronald Fogleman had been an avid supporter of the survey, and in July 1995, at the request of Brigadier General Belihar (HSC/CC), he signed the cover letter attached to the final edition of the survey that was distributed in October 1995.

Experience with other large surveys indicated that a special emphasis on completing the survey was needed in order to optimize the response rate. General Fogleman's letter stressed that the survey was anonymous, and that timely and accurate responses were needed. Certainly, the General's endorsement substantially contributed to the high 55.4% overall and 60% Active Duty rate of return.

Pre-production and Advertising Campaign

There were two main goals in the advertising strategy. First, aircrew members needed to know that a survey was forthcoming. Also, flight surgeons needed to be prepared to brief entire squadrons on the history of the VEEP-IPT, the contents of the survey, and the importance and operational relevance of the data. As early as March 1994 a "heads up" letter was sent to all Major Command Surgeon's offices outlining plans for the survey and soliciting support. Details of the forthcoming survey were spread by word of mouth, at professional meetings, and by correspondence.

Four months prior to distributing the survey, members of the VEEP-IPT executive staff prepared an informational memorandum for all operational flight surgeons. This memorandum
also included briefing slides and instructions for conducting squadron briefings. Additionally, each active duty optometrist and ophthalmologist received a memorandum describing the goals of the survey with a charge to encourage aircrew to complete it. The forthcoming survey was also publicized in Air National Guard and Reserve publications. The surveys were distributed to all Active Duty rated aircrew members the last week of October 1995, and to Reserve and Guard component members during their November training assemblies.

SURVEY DATA ANALYSIS

Introduction

This section contains a brief review of data from each of the 11 sections of the survey. The Survey Section of the AF Military Personnel Center, Randolph AFB, TX, scanned the returned survey booklets. After some initial analysis, the data were transferred to Armstrong Laboratory/AOCO for further statistical analysis and presentation/publication of results. Actual survey questions and raw question-by-question results are found as Appendices 1 and 2. A partial compilation and cross-correlation of the data appears as Appendix 3. Sections covered include:

I: General Information
II: Aircrew Spectacles
III: Contact Lenses
IV: Clinic Support
V: Sunglasses
VI: Aircrew Clear, Sun, High Contrast Visors
VII: Laser Eye Protection (LEP)
VIII: Night Vision Goggles (NVGs)
IX: Ballistic Protective Dust/Wind Goggles
X: Vision Standards
XI: Comments

The VEEP-IPT committee met in October 1996 (Table 3) to review findings of the survey that had been compiled up to that date by the executive staff. The section analysis below is largely derived from the Committee’s analysis of the data. Selected items from each section are reviewed, and where applicable, comments related to operational issues not specifically covered in the survey are also included. In particular, safety of flight issues are highlighted. Note that some numbers and percentages in Appendices 2 and 3 will not match exactly, for example when comparing actual survey answers with correlated data. Small discrepancies exist due to management of missing data (unanswered questions) and rounding. Some reported percentages are referenced to the entire survey population, and some to a subset of responses to a particular question felt to be operationally relevant. Additionally, numbers of respondents reported will underestimate the true total force numbers by an amount proportional to the return rates (60% for Active Duty).
Table 3. Meeting Attendance, VEEP-IPT Meeting, 24 Oct 1996

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>OFFICE SYMBOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BGen Robert Belihar</td>
<td>HSC/CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col Richard J. Dennis</td>
<td>AL/OEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col Douglas J. Ivan</td>
<td>AL/AOCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col Paul Lilly</td>
<td>AL/CFHV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col Melvin O’Neal</td>
<td>HSC/XRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col Tim Ray</td>
<td>DODMERB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LtCol Leon McLin</td>
<td>AL/OEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LtCol John F. Kent</td>
<td>AL/CF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LtCol William Thornton</td>
<td>ACC/SGPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LtCol Richard Trifilo</td>
<td>AMC/SGPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LtCol Courtney Scott</td>
<td>HQ/USAF/AFMOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LtCol Isaac Shaw</td>
<td>ACC/SGPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj Bruce Baldwin</td>
<td>AL/AOCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj Terry Peacock</td>
<td>HSC/XRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt Steve Rafferty</td>
<td>AETC/SGP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Van Nakagawara</td>
<td>FAA/CAMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Terry Yates</td>
<td>AL/AOCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Robert Miller</td>
<td>AL/OEO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section I. General Information

The survey was sent to all 31,205 Active Duty, Air National Guard, and Reserve rated, USAF aircrew on record as of the 1 Oct 95 survey distribution date (Table 4). Rated aircrew include pilots, navigators, and flight surgeons. Weapon system officers, and electronic warfare officers are included in the “Navigator” category. Returned surveys include a mixture of 64 various other crew positions and are lumped together in the category “other.”

Table 4. Survey Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>15,515</td>
<td>4,069</td>
<td>2,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>5,463</td>
<td>1,155</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight surgeons</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>21,852</td>
<td>5,499</td>
<td>3,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12,695</td>
<td>2,329</td>
<td>1,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aircrew were requested to complete and return the survey by 1 January 1996. The overall return rate was an outstanding 55.4% with a 60% rate from the Active Duty force (Table 5). This return rate was acknowledged to be extremely high for this type of survey; in fact, it was the highest return rate ever achieved for a USAF operational aircrew survey. The high participation was attributed to pre-distribution of the VEEP-IPT prepared aircrew slide briefing on the survey that was given by local flight surgeons, advertisement from ophthalmologists and optometrists, the survey cover letter endorsement obtained by BG Gen Belihar from General Fogleman, and other factors discussed above.

The return for females was about 2% of the total return, which correlates with the number of female aircrew as of October 1995 (Air Force Personnel Center/DPSARA). Since 1995, the number of female pilot candidates has increased. For the period July 1994 to July 1995, about 5% of student pilots were identified as female (Callister, King & Retzlaff, 1996). From July 1995 to September 1997 approximately 8.4% of over 3400 individuals entering undergraduate flying training were female (Enhanced Flight Screening database, Brooks AFB, TX). In FY 99 approximately 3% of rated aircrew were female (AFPC/DPSARA).

Table 5. Survey Return Rates
(Overall Return Rate: 55.4%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>9,114 (59%)</td>
<td>1,720 (42%)</td>
<td>1,209 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>3,473 (64%)</td>
<td>566 (49%)</td>
<td>298 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeons</td>
<td>395 (45%)</td>
<td>112 (41%)</td>
<td>99 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>13,076 (60%)</td>
<td>2,411 (44%)</td>
<td>1,620 (42%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 8 asked "Are you currently flying with a medical waiver?" The relatively high numbers of medical waivers for Active Duty (28%) and eye-related waivers (13%) seemed unusually high, but these numbers were confirmed through a subsequent mathematical analysis of waiver statistics from a USAF waiver file maintained by USAF/SG and AL/AOC.

The survey reported rate of smoking by aircrew is generally low at 6% or less compared to the national average of 25.5 in 1994 (CDC, July 1996), and the overall Air Force rate of 25.1% (Risk Factor Pilot Project-BRFESS, 1995).

Section II. Aircrew Spectacles

The survey indicated that as of October 95, 39.4% of Active Duty pilots, 63.6% of navigators, and 78% of flight surgeons required corrective lenses to fly. Spectacle or contact lens correction is required, during flying duties, when vision in either eye is less than 20/20 (AFI 48-123, 1994). The relatively high 39% figure for pilots is consistent with previous trends in such data seen whenever a relaxation of visual standards has occurred. There have been two sets of visual acuity and refraction standards relaxation since a 1988 analysis indicated that 27% of pilots wore spectacles (Miller, Woessner, Dennis, O'Neal, & Green, 1990). In 1980, only 20% of pilots wore corrective spectacles (Provines, Woessner, Rahe, & Tredici, 1983). Estimates of data from Dusky and Levene (1969) show that in 1969 about 17% of pilots and 29% of navigators were required to wear glasses for flying in 1969.

Table 6. Percent of Rated Aircrew Requiring Spectacles, 1969 - 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pilot</th>
<th>Nav</th>
<th>FS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The survey results reflected dissatisfaction with the progressive degradation in quality of the current aircrew frame expressed by over 56% of pilots and navigators. Progressive deterioration in the quality of the original frame has occurred as a consequence of the competitive bidding process. A total of 51% of all DoD frame users reported a lens falling out of the DoD frame at sometime (flying or not flying). For civilian frame users, 31% reported a lens fell out of the frame at sometime. Over 1600 aircrew, including 24% of DoD frame users, reported a lens falling out in flight between 1 to 10 times, some during critical phases of flight. This was identified as a potential safety of flight issue.

An overwhelming majority (83.5%) of respondents wants a new flight frame. The majority of spectacle wearers (50%) desires a black combat frame, and 60% requested an alternate gold colored dress frame. The negative ratings of the current aircrew frame and the desire for a new frame highlight the requirement for a new USAF or DoD aircrew frame.
A proposed new improved aircrew spectacle (IAS) has been developed and in May 1996 a proposal package transitioned to the AF Surgeon General (SG) for review and purchase decision. The new proposed frame meets current safety frame standards (ANSI Z87.1, 1989), whereas the current frame does not. The IAS also incorporates other features that improve upon its operational effectiveness, such as non-reflective matte black color, and strengthened eyewire, which improves stability of the lenses.

The IAS has been highly rated by users and evaluators. If adopted, the new frame would be available in three widths (52, 55, and 58 mm) and three temple lengths (140, 145, and 150 mm) which should allow fitting 99+% of all aircrew. A matte, anti-reflective black "combat" version and an optional gold colored dress frame are supported by the aircrew survey, and proposed as part of the transition package. The VEEP-IPT members have formally endorsed the new IAS.

The survey suggested that there is a problem with unauthorized use of unapproved civilian spectacle frames. Pending acquisition of a new aircrew frame, the USAF/SG and Armstrong Lab/AOCO developed specific criteria for an interim authorization for civilian frames and lenses. A 1990 policy letter (HQ USAF/SGP, 90-082) listed the requirements for the alternative civilian frame to be used by aircrew, and that policy was recently updated. About 23% of all spectacle wearers currently wear a civilian frame, but 68% of civilian frame users did not know if their frame met the approved policy guidelines previously outlined by the USAF/SG. About 3% of civilian frame users responded that they were aware that their frames did not comply with the existing policy but were wearing them anyway. This was identified as a potential safety of flight issue. The VEEP-IPT recommended that the civilian frame policy should be incorporated into an appropriate Air Force Instruction (AFI). Air Force Regulation 167-3, Ophthalmic Services, is currently being revised as an AFI and the VEEP recommended that it incorporate the USAF/SG civilian frame requirements in its revised contents.

Air Force Instruction 11-206, General Flight Rules (1996), requires that "Crew members who wear corrective spectacles or contact lenses must carry a spare set of clear prescription spectacles on their person while performing aircrew duties." Of contact lens wearers surveyed, 17% indicated that they do not carry a spare pair of spectacles when flying, and presumably a higher percent of spectacle wearers may not carry a spare. Although the survey did not specifically query non-contact lens wearers about carrying spare spectacles, from previous reports and anecdotally, we know that many pilots do not carry back-up spectacles on flying missions. The spectacle back-up requirement was established as a criterion for participation in the USAF Soft Contact Lens Program. Non-compliance with the spare spectacle requirement is a potential safety of flight issue.

Currently, 18% of Active Duty, 39% of ANG and 46% of Reserve spectacle wearing aircrew require multifocals (bifocals or trifocals) in the cockpit. Over 75% of these aircrew did not have their multifocals specifically fitted to cockpit measurements. A guide booklet, *Prescribing Spectacles for Aviators*, prepared by Miller, Kent & Green, 1989, is available that has cockpit measurements, which should be used to custom fit multifocal prescriptions for
specific aircraft. This should be accomplished prior to flight, and individual aircrew should have adequate time to adapt to new multifocals before the next flight.

Although aircrew use of progressive addition (no line) multifocals (Figure 1) is currently prohibited, 175 respondents indicated that they use progressive addition lenses. This is a potential safety of flight issue. However, 51% of multifocal users state they would prefer progressive lenses, despite the fact that 47% of pilots do not believe multifocals provide an adequate field of view. The progressive type of multifocal often has the smallest field of view of any multifocal type.

Figure 1. Multifocal Types

- A. Progressive addition lens with peripheral distortion zones.
- B. Flat top bifocal
- C. Flat top trifocal
  
  (n = near viewing zone, f = far zone, i = intermediate zone)

Research on the use of progressive addition bifocals in the cockpit should be encouraged, including appropriate simulator studies. However, progressive lenses are expensive, more difficult to adapt to, difficult to fit, and may be incompatible with high performance aircraft because of optical blind spots, increased visual field limitations, and induced head movements.

Forty-seven percent of pilots feel their multifocals do not provide a wide enough field of view. The standard bifocal width is 28mm, but the optical fabrication labs also have the larger 35 mm size available as a custom order. Some of the problems identified with bifocals may
actually represent the need for a larger bifocal width, or a trifocal instead of a bifocal. Aircrew should have unrestricted access to larger multifocals, including trifocals, when requested. Unfortunately, the USAF must rely on Army and Navy spectacle fabrication laboratories with regard to availability of non-standard multifocals. The labs and aircrew should be educated as to the special needs and availability of non-standard multifocals. A dedicated USAF or DoD aircrew optical fabrication laboratory should be considered.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. Dissemination of policy on the backup spectacle requirement should be improved (17% of contact lens wearers do not always take back-up spectacles on flights).
2. The VEEP-IPT supports the new IAS.
   a. 60% of aircrew are dissatisfied with the current aircrew frame.
   b. Tri-service endorsement of the new IAS should be encouraged.
3. Confirm number (%) of aircrew wearing prescription spectacles by records review.
4. Aircrew should have unrestricted access to proper multifocals (large D segment, trifocals).
5. Investigation of the feasibility of an aeromedical progressive lens study should be pursued.
6. Expedited review and processing of proposed new IAS package by SG staff should occur.
7. The USAF/SG civilian frame policy should be incorporated into the appropriate AFI, i.e., the AFI replacing AFR 167-3.

Six potential safety of flight issues were identified in this section:

1. The current aircrew frame is not a safety frame.
2. 47% of aircrew had a lens drop out of the frame, with 22% in flight.
3. 68% of civilian frame wearing aircrew do not know if their frames meet USAF/SG requirements.
4. Unauthorized progressive lenses are being used.
5. 75% of aircrew do not have bifocals fitted to cockpit measurements.
6. 17% of contact lens wearers do not carry backup spectacles.

Section III. Contact Lenses

At the time of the survey the Air Force had over 8 years of routine operational aircrew soft contact lens (SCL) experience. The initial implementation plan for this program was signed June 1989, and has been updated several times since then, to include participation by undergraduate flying training (UFT) students in 1995. However, the use of contact lenses for medical reasons has been authorized and managed through the Aeromedical Consultation Service of the Clinical Sciences Division at Brooks AFB, TX, for nearly 30 years. Since 1989 there have been no Class A mishaps or permanent groundings reported secondary to over 25,000 man-years of contact lens wear in rated aircrew members. A Class A mishap involves loss of life or permanent disability, greater than 1 million dollars of equipment damage, or destruction of an aircraft (AFI 91-204, 1996). A permanent grounding from flying duties might result from a
complication such as a corneal ulcer that causes a permanent reduction of visual acuity below established standards.

The School of Aerospace Medicine/AFCO maintains an active database on SCL problems related to the operational use of SCLs (USAF Soft Contact Lens Incident Data Base) and has collected data from the field since 1995. This database compiles information sent by squadron flight surgeons on SCL related problems such as corneal infections, and less serious problems that result in grounding or a change in SCL type or wearing schedule. There have been fewer than 30 incidents reported since 1995 despite an estimated 13,000 man-years of SCL use. This complication rate from SCL is quite low compared to the general population (Poggio, Glynn, Schein, Seddon, Shannon, Scardino, & Kenyon, 1989). This may be the result of several primary mitigating factors: USAF aircrew are younger, more educated and may actually manage their lens wear better, resulting in lower overall complication rates compared to the general public; or, the low rates reported may reflect an under-reporting phenomenon, especially since civilian eye care providers are utilized and may be unaware of the reporting requirement.

As of October 1995, there were about 4400 rated aircrew in the SCL program and a large non-rated population. Current Air Force policy states that government purchase of lenses may be made with line (non-medical) squadron funds, with approval determined by each line squadron commander (HQ USAF/SG Memorandum, 1996). The survey showed that 33% of Active Duty, 4% of ANG, and 8% of Reserve aircrew members are provided soft contact lenses (SCLs) and solutions purchased with squadron funds. The remainder of individuals must purchase lenses with personal funds. Roughly, 90% of aircrew from all components believed the squadron should provide lenses and solutions at no cost to the aircrew member.

USAF mobility policy requires that all SCL wearing aircrew maintain an adequate supply of spare SCLs in the mobility bag (AFI 48-123, A16, 1994). The relatively poor compliance (42%) with the mobility bag requirement for spare pairs of SCLs may be directly related to the funding issue. Only 30% of contact lens wearers said their lenses were purchased by the squadron. Furthermore, 16% had difficulty getting lenses during deployment, and 26% had difficulty getting cleaning solutions. The survey indicated that 98% of those wearing SCLs perceived an operational advantage from wearing contact lenses over spectacles. Due to operational advantages associated with SCL use, the VEEP-IPT has recommended that the Air Force fully fund the aircrew SCL program.

The survey results indicated that 17% of contact lens wearers are not carrying a backup pair of spectacles when they fly, although the SCL policy letter and AFI 11-206, 1996, require that spare spectacles be carried. One recommended solution to poor compliance with the backup spare spectacle requirement would be to include this requirement in aircrew preflight checklists.

The survey revealed that 26% of aircrew experienced a displacement of their contact lens one or more times; 10% experienced a loss of a lens one or more times; and 29% reported particles under a lens (dirt, eyelash) one or more times. A contact lens was reported removed inflight by 24% of aircrew, primarily for dryness and foreign bodies beneath the lens. Of all these events, only 2% of respondents reported the mission was affected. However, any inflight
problems that affect the mission are potential safety of flight issues or may have different operational significance in combat.

Although all contact lenses used in the SCL program are approved by the FDA for extended wear, the SCL program prohibits overnight wear of lenses, except under "certain operational circumstances," (HQ USAF/SG Memorandum, 1996). Even though over 97% said they knew extended wear was prohibited, in question 53, 1038 people said they had slept in their lenses, and 205 said they wore lenses on an extended wear basis over 10 times. Extended wear increases the risk of serious eye infections by as much as 15 times (Poggio, et al, 1989), and should be avoided except under operational necessity.

AL/AOCO had previously determined that the policy requiring aircrew to be proficient in removal of SCLs while wearing flight gloves had certain limitations related to compliance, efficacy, and safety. The survey results confirmed the existence of these problems, and this requirement has subsequently been dropped from the SCL program. Aircrew are now instructed to remove their flying glove(s) in order to remove a contact lens.

The survey indicated that 93% of Active Duty aircrew were adequately briefed by the flight surgeon on the program. Fewer numbers of ANG (84%) and Reserve (76%) indicated they were briefed. A comprehensive Flight Surgeon Briefing Package was distributed during the early years of the SCL program, but is in need of revision and redistribution. USAFSAM/AFCO is developing a new PowerPoint based briefing guide on the SCL Program especially targeted for flight surgeons. This guide will be posted to the AFC website: http://triton.brooks.af.mil.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. Advertise and emphasize operational reasons, i.e., escape and evasion, for taking backup spectacles on missions, including missions where contact lenses are worn.
2. The survey results support a 100% AF buy policy for SCLs due to the operational advantages, customer demands, improved medical monitoring of the program, enhanced escape and evasion potential, properly stocked mobility bags, and inherent cost savings for volume purchase.
3. A Flight Surgeon Briefing Guide on the SCL Program, to be administered to aircrew, should be developed including the hazards of extended wear. This guide should be distributed to all flight surgeons, including ANG and Reserve members.

Four potential safety of flight issues were identified in this section:

1. 17% of aircrew do not carry a pair of back up spectacles on missions despite regulatory requirements to do so.
2. 26% of aircrew experience contact lens displacement, and 10% had a contact lens fall out in flight.
3. Lenses are being worn on an overnight basis in lieu of policy.
4. Non-compliance with mobility requirements (spare lenses), and poor resupply during contingency operations may lead to disrupted operational readiness.
Section IV. Clinic Support

Overall, vision support from flight surgeon offices and eye clinics was rated highly. However, 70% of those responding reported that the eye clinic did not make the recommendation that new glasses be evaluated in the cockpit before flying, and 95% did not have glasses fitted with helmet, mask, or headset on. The VEEP-IPT recommended that the USAF Cockpit Spectacle Fitting Guide (Miller, Kent, & Green, 1989) be updated and/or redistributed.

Currently, annual dental exams are required for Active Duty flyers. However, a complete eye exam is not required, only a visual acuity screening by Flight Medicine technicians. The survey indicated that 63% of respondents desired an annual eye exam by an AF eyecare professional, and 16% did not believe they received as comprehensive an assessment of vision in the Flight Medicine office as was occupationally necessary.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. Cockpit specific fitting of spectacles should be performed; this message needs better dissemination to all eye clinic personnel and flying squadrons.
2. Consider updating written policy on fitting of spectacles with flight gear.
3. Reinforce the need to have aircrew check their new eyewear in the cockpit before a mission.
4. Consider complete annual eye exams for aircrew.
5. Update USAF Cockpit Spectacle Fitting Guide.

Section V. Sunglasses

The survey indicated that there is a problem with wear of unauthorized civilian sunglasses. Currently, 52% of all aircrew wear sunglasses in flight (prescription and non-prescription). Of all sunglass wearers, 47.5% wear USAF issue sunglasses, and 52.5% wear commercially purchased types. Commercially purchased, non-prescription sunglasses were reported worn by over 4000 aircrew, representing 80% of all non-prescription sunglasses (commercial and DoD issue). This large number of non-DoD issued sunglasses presents a potential safety hazard if proper design guidelines and lens requirements are not met.

Air Force regulations only authorize neutral density (gray) lenses for aircrew use. Of the commercial sunglass wearers, only 35% wear neutral density lenses, with 26%, 21%, and 6% wearing unapproved green, brown, and yellow lenses respectively. Such non-neutral tints induce color vision deficits that are unpredictable and potentially incompatible with modern cockpit displays, color-based ground references, targets, etc. Although the specific problem of color distortion induced by colored lenses was not specifically addressed for sunglass wearers, questions 106 and 107 indicate that yellow tints can cause difficulties seeing cockpit displays. A 1992 incident involving naval aviators wearing yellow visors has been reported, where closed runway markings were rendered invisible because of the yellow tinted visors (Yacavone & Erickson, 1992). The use of non-neutral tints thus is a safety of flight issue.
We have known, anecdotally, for some time that many pilots do not wear sunglasses when flying, especially in air-to-air engagements, because the lenses are regarded by aircrew to be too dark and block too much light, often making instruments difficult to see. Overall, survey respondents reported that DoD supplied sunglasses were too dark (20%), too light (16%), or just right (64%). Twenty-six percent of fighter aircrew reported that the DoD supplied sunglasses were too dark. The density of neutral gray tinting may vary with prescription as a function of lens type and thickness. The standard aircrew tint is N-15 (15% transmission), but N-31 tints are available for individuals desiring a lighter tint (AFR 167-3). Quality control, for both density and color, of tinting plastic lenses is a known problem (Rabin, Wiley, Levine, Wicks & Rivers 1996), and may have impacted the response to this question. This is another potential safety of flight issue.

Another potential safety hazard was identified: 40% of respondents overall, and as high as 62% of fighter crew indicated that they wear sunglasses plus the USAF sun visor at the same time. This combination results in a transmission of only 2.2%, which is much too dark for safe flying. This combination has already resulted in one potentially avoidable high altitude bird strike mishap (Data on file USAFSAM/AFCO).

The current DoD frame is rather small and may let too much glare in from the sides, so some aircrew flip down the tinted visor, especially while cruise flying, to reduce this peripheral glare. Follow on development of new DoD issued non-prescription sunglasses will be influenced by the decision on adoption of the proposed new improved aircrew spectacle (IAS) frame. The proposed IAS frame is larger than the current standard size DoD frame and would eliminate much of the problem with peripheral glare. Other issues dealing with tinted visors will be covered in the following section.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. DoD provided tinted lenses are too dark or too light for some aircrew.
2. Individual aircraft differences may potentially drive the need for different cockpit specific types of lenses or tints.
3. A wider range of neutral gray transmission tints may be needed for aircrew depending on operational requirements.
4. Emphasis is needed regarding education of aircrew about the hazards of using sunglasses and tinted visors in combination.
5. There is widespread non-compliance on the wear of civilian sunglasses by aircrew; need to incorporate policy into aircrew guidance.
6. Continue to monitor quality of sun tinted lenses from DoD laboratories.

Three potential safety of flight issues were identified:

1. Unauthorized tinted sunglasses induce unpredictable color vision disturbances that may be incompatible with modern cockpit displays and impair color based ground references, such as navigation aids or target features.
2. Sunglasses and sun visors worn in combination reduce light transmission to hazardous levels.
3. Previously identified tint irregularities from DoD optical fabrication laboratories may still exist, and result in tint densities too dark for safe flight.

Section VI. Aircrew Clear, Sun, High Contrast Visors

Eighteen percent of pilots (24% of fighter pilots) believe the current USAF sun visor is too dark, almost exclusively on the basis of difficulties seeing cockpit displays. Over 61% of all aircrew and 66% of fighter crews would like more than one darkness of sun visor to be available for specific mission requirements.

Fifty-five percent of aircrew reported they do not ever wear a visor. This is potentially a safety of flight issue. For example, T37 regulations require a visor be worn, either clear or sun visor, below 27,000 feet specifically as a preventive step for the bird strike hazard (AETCI 11-201, 1995). Wearing sunglasses only, without the clear visor, provides little ballistic (i.e., bird strike) protection. Appropriately tinted visors suitable for various missions or personal needs are required to optimize ballistic protection compliance. Survey data is available to determine which aircrew who routinely fly at low altitudes wear a visor (question 98 and question 2). Cross-correlation analyses of this type will be pursued in future publications.

The yellow tinted High Contrast Visor (HCV) has been worn by over 3660 aircrew overall (25% of this survey), and 43% of fighter aircrew. Seventy-four percent of those aircrew who have worn the HCV responded that they believe it improves target acquisition. Of the fighter aircrew who have worn the HCV, 74% also reported an improvement in target acquisition. However, 296 respondents (16%) indicated that the HCV interfered with seeing cockpit displays, and 465 respondents (23%) indicated difficulties seeing ground targets with the HCV. Of those wearing the HCV, 18% mistakenly believed that the HCV also provided laser protection. Overall, 87% of pilots who have worn it rated the HCV to be combat effective (20% very, 42% somewhat, 26% minimally), while 13% regarded it to be not effective.

Although the survey results support use of the HCV, the reported color distortions indicate a need for enhanced education and training for users. Yacavone & Erickson (1992) reported an incident involving two naval aviators using an unauthorized yellow visor. The yellow tint rendered the "closed" yellow markings of an Air Force runway invisible. According to the authors "An attempt to land 800 ft short of the displaced threshold resulted in a tower-called wave-off.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. Analyze survey to determine in what types of aircraft instrument readability problems exist with sun visors and the HCV.
2. Analyze survey to determine bird strike protection hazard in threatened aircraft.
3. Analyze survey (cross reference Q 98, Q 100, and aircraft type) to determine what flight conditions are associated with visor problems.
4. The high percentage of acceptance of the HCV as positively influencing combat
effectiveness warrants further investigation.
5. Determine impact of HCV on visibility and readability of cockpit displays, etc. in both color normal and color defective individuals.
6. Develop a neutral density sun visor that is less dark (greater transmission) than present sun visor; consider several shades be available, especially for fighter aircraft.
7. Incorporate advisory messages regarding the wear of the clear visor for ballistic protection, during day or night, in appropriate regulatory documents.
8. Educate aircrew regarding non-laser protective aspect of HCV.

Four potential safety of flight issues were identified:

1. Aircrew may not be adequately protecting themselves against the bird strike hazard.
2. Sun visors should not be worn in combination with sunglasses especially at low altitudes.
3. Non-neutral density tinted visors, including the HCV, induce color deficits that interfere with cockpit displays and ground target references.
4. Currently fielded neutral density visors may be too dark to view cockpit instruments under daylight conditions, resulting in either persistent use with visual degradation or abandonment of wear with subsequent loss of ballistic and sun protection.

Section VII. Laser Eye Protection (LEP)

The survey revealed that 92% of all aircrew have never worn LEP, and of those who have, 73% were fighter aircrew. Of those involved in training exercises using lasers, only 13% overall (22% fighter) have worn LEP during those exercises. It may not be significant that so few fighter aircrew reported they wear LEP during exercises because many of the older systems including LANTIRN (Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared for Night) did not require LEP. Many new weapon systems utilize lasers of various wavelengths, therefore LEP issues are becoming increasingly important. In addition, many aircrew may not be wearing LEP for reasons that include: approved LEP devices have not been fielded in large enough numbers, an LEP does not yet exist for night use, system problems may preclude acquisition, or the requirement to wear LEP may not exist in individual cases. This is a potential safety of flight issue.

The survey indicates that 43% of fighter aircrew report difficulty seeing cockpit displays while wearing LEP, and between 13 to 22% (depending on the visor type) have trouble seeing other aircraft, aircraft lights, or ground targets. This is a potential safety of flight issue. By design, LEP devices screen out certain wavelengths of light. For example, one LEP lens blocks the green color typically found in head up displays (HUD) making readability of the HUD symbology difficult. Due to a number of different types of laser threats (hostile and friendly), and different cockpit configurations, cockpit specific LEPs or displays that can be seen regardless of LEP will certainly have to be developed.

The survey indicated that at least 38 individuals have been flashblinded by commercial operated outdoor laser light shows, so called COOLS. After over 50 commercial incidents, FAA regulations were implemented that restrict where and when laser light shows can take place, so
the future risk from legitimate laser light shows may become less. However, the threat from exposure of aircrews during a critical phase of flight, for example, from COOLs, or deliberately from a terrorist or rogue laser, remains high. This is a potential safety of flight issue.

The survey indicated that the nuclear flash protection goggles (PLZT) are confused with laser eye protection by many aircrew. PLZTs do not provide laser protection. Question 111 included PLZT as a selection specifically to see if a perceived confusion between PLZT with LEP was real and could be validated through the survey. Since 1029 individuals indicated the PLZT as the LEP they most often used, there appears to be a misconception about this function of the PLZT goggles. A less likely possibility is that the question may have been misinterpreted.

Per the survey, 12-16% of users across the three AF components, and 10% of fighter aircrew, have never had any briefing on biohazards of laser use. Historically, slide presentations, booklets, and videos have been available to use for conducting briefings. A slide presentation and booklet entitled “Operational Hazards of Military Lasers, a Guide for Medical Personnel” (Green, Cartledge & Cheney, 1989) was developed by the USAF School of Aerospace Medicine and made available to all flight surgeons, but it is currently out of print. New materials are being developed by several agencies including the Air Force Safety Center, Air Force Special Operations Command, and the Federal Aviation Administration. Several laser safety presentations are currently available from AFRL/HEDO (www.brooks.af.mil/AFRL). There appears a need for a laser flashblindness simulation to indoctrinate aircrew and minimize cockpit effects from unexpected laser illumination. Although flashblindness simulators are in use in laboratories (AFRL/HED), these devices are not widely available to flying squadrons.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. Develop a formal aeromedical training program on laser effects, or ensure any presentations currently developed are available to all; develop aeromedical briefing.
2. Inform aircrew regarding LEP cockpit incompatibility issues/laser hazard awareness. Develop laser simulation training for aircrew to minimize impact from cockpit illuminations.
3. Issue advisory regarding confusion of PLZT with LEP.

Five safety of flight issues were identified in this section:

1. The risk of eye injury or disruption of flight deck duties during a critical phase of flight from an inadvertent or deliberate laser illumination remains potentially high.
2. LEP is not universally being worn during training, perhaps due to lack of availability or other factors.
3. LEP induces significant color deficits and interferes with cockpit displays and target referencing.
4. Information needs to be disseminated to aircrew that effectively transmits the message that the HCV and the PLZT are not laser eye protection devices.
5. Commercial laser light shows remain an inflight hazard that require coordinated oversight by regulatory authorities.
Section VIII. Night Vision Goggles

According to the survey 15% of respondents (2479 individuals) have used NVGs while flying. Most aircrew (65%) reported using the AN/AVS-6 (ANVIS) device although fighter aircrew now primarily use the F4949. Of those using NVGs, 26% of Active Duty, 38% of ANG and 30% of Reserves did not take a formal NVG training course. The most often reported limitations of NVG use were the small field of view (43%), followed by decreased depth perception (29%), and poor visual acuity (14%). These operational limitations remain safety of flight issues.

New NVG designs are being developed to overcome the current limitations of poor visual acuity and small field of view. Newer models of the F4949, and the prototype AN/AVS-8 (ITT Night Vision) provide visual resolution that is considerably better than earlier models of NVGs. Prototypes of a 100 degree field of view NVG are being developed under the direction of Air Force Research Laboratory/HEC. Research and development efforts will continue to improve upon the current physiological limitations of these devices in the future.

Survey question 130 asked, “Have you ever had an in-flight incident or accident that was due to the operational limitations of NVGs?” There were 66 “yes” responses. A subsequent validation of this question with accident data from the Air Force Safety Center was in agreement with the magnitude of this apparently high number when multicrew aircraft responses are considered. Since the survey date, 6 Class A mishaps involving the loss of 16 lives have occurred (data on file, USAF Safety Center, Kirtland AFB, NM). This illustrates the importance of enhanced ground and flight training for NVG users.

Nearly 1/3 of aircrew using NVGs have had an in-flight electronic malfunction of the goggles. We are not able to determine from the data if the reported malfunctions were simply dead batteries or some other more significant failure.

Although AFI 11-206 requires all aircrew to do a preflight check of NVGs before each flight, 19% reported that no test lane was available for preflight testing. This is a potential safety of flight issue. In particular, 59% of Air Mobility Command (AMC) crewmembers reported that no NVG lanes were available. This was disproportionately high and probably represented mission variability, i.e., AMC has fewer NVG missions than some other commands. Also, AMC crews frequently travel around the world, and even if the home base has a test lane, a temporary duty base may not. Aircrew did respond, however, that if a test lane was available most used it.

Hoffman Engineering, Stamford, CT, manufactures a portable, battery operated NVG preflight test unit designated the ANV-20/20. It is currently available for purchase and would allow NVG preflighting anywhere, including inside the aircraft and in the field. The ANV-20/20 allows precise focusing at optical infinity, which is more applicable to flying than using a chart positioned at 20 feet for focusing. Improper preflight NVG focusing is responsible for poor inflight NVG visual acuity in many aircrew members (Chyrek, 1995).
The survey indicated that potential problems exist with acuity, re-focusing, and comfort associated with operational use of NVGs. Up to 20% of NVG users had to refocus the NVGs during a mission and between 29-40% experienced NVG vision changes during a mission. Between 10-12% of NVG users experienced after-images or altered color vision after an NVG mission, most of which lasted between 1-5 minutes, but was beyond 15 minutes in 22 respondents. Between 40-60% of aircrew had headaches or eye fatigue after an NVG mission.

About 14% of respondents indicated that poor visual acuity was the one most significant operational limitation of NVG use. Currently there are no uniform visual acuity standards (go/no go) for NVG use. AFI 48-123 states that an individual that fails “to achieve 20/50 visual acuity in the NVG pre-flight test lane should be referred for a routine clinical eye examination.” MCR-55-41 (now rescinded) required 20/45 NVG vision for use in specific weapon systems. Data available in the aerospace literature indicate that there is a sub-population of up to 15% of NVG users who fail to achieve adequate (20/50 or better) NVG visual acuity (Silberman, Apsey, Ivan, Jackson, Mitchell, 1994; DeVilbiss, Antonio, & Fiedler, 1994). New improved NVGs, such as the F4949G, provide better NVG acuity than earlier models, and acuities of 20/25 are possible for many observers.

There are no reports of the range of visual acuity for a large number of subjects using late model NVGs, therefore we do not know if a subset of users also have poor acuity with these improved NVG models. Preliminary data from the USAF Photorefractive Keratectomy Study (data on file, USAFSAM/AFCO) show that there are very few people with NVG acuity poorer than 20/50 when proper NVG adjustment techniques and late model NVGs are used. Although new improved NVGs provide better NVG acuity than earlier models, uniform acuity standards would probably enhance the safety of night operations and could be developed through additional research efforts.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. All NVG users should have formal NVG training; presently the only formal Air Force NVG instructor course is at Air Force Research Lab/HEA, Luke AFB, AZ.
   (http://www.williams.af.mil/html/nvgup.htm)
2. Organize mishap data from NVG operations in coordination with the AF Safety Center.
3. Adequate test lanes or a suitable testing device should be required and are needed by all flying units engaged in NVG operations.
   a. AMC should reevaluate their program.
   b. NVG lanes are used if provided.
4. NVG performance enhancement and development should continue.
   a. Continue development of improved acuity and expanded field of view devices.
   b. Physiological effects of NVGs need continued investigation.
   c. In-flight NVG vision changes need further investigation.
5. NVG performance should be investigated, and vision standards developed for normal individuals and aircrew who have medical waivers to fly with ocular diseases, in order to maximize mission effectiveness and flying safety.
Four potential safety of flight issues were identified in this section:

1. Formal NVG training is not universal (26-38% deficient).
2. NVGs have significant vision performance limitations (field of view, acuity, reduced depth perception, monochromatism, cockpit compatibility).
3. Preflight test facilities are not universal (19-59% deficient).
4. A high number of in-flight electronic malfunctions were reported.
5. Numerous mishaps were reported at the time of the survey, and 6 Class A mishaps have occurred since the time of the survey.

Section IX. Ballistic Protective Dust/Wind Goggles

Dust and wind are operational problems in 8-37% of aircraft types, the lowest incidence in tankers and the highest in rotary aircraft. Although 11-16% of aircrew state that dust/wind create eye problems in their operational environment, less than 1% of aircrew currently use protective dust/wind goggles. This varies considerably with the operational environment. Between 35-39% of aircrew did respond that the AF should develop an improved ballistic/protective goggle. Protective goggles currently are bulky and interfere with the use of NVGs and other life support equipment. They are often not worn as a matter of convenience. Primary use of any newly developed goggle would be most beneficial for the rotary aircrew community.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. Continue development of a suitable aircrew dust/wind goggle.
2. Eliminate dust from the world.

Section X. Vision Standards

About 6% of respondents believed aircrew vision standards should be determined by the Medical Corps, 19% said by Rated Corps, and 74% said by both. The responses were the same from both spectacle-wearing and non-spectacle wearing aircrew. Seventy-four percent of all aircrew believed current vision standards are adequate, 24% said too strict, and only 2% too lenient. Furthermore, current standards were considered adequate in 69% of spectacle wearers and 79% of non-spectacle wearers. Twenty-seven percent of all pilots believed undergraduate flying training (UFT) candidates should have 20/20 uncorrected vision.

There were some differences of opinion about vision standards when comparing non-spectacle versus spectacle wearing aircrew members. About 1/3 of non-spectacle wearing pilots and navigators believed the UFT vision standards should be 20/20 uncorrected. For those that do wear spectacles while flying, the rates were only 19% and 8% for pilots and navigators, respectively. Understandably, more aircrew who normally function with spectacles think that uncorrected 20/20 vision should not be a requirement.
As seen in answers to questions 159 and 160 (Appendix 2, 3), the majority of all aircrew believe pilots should have different visual qualifications than navigators (Nav/WSO) and flight surgeons:

Table 7. Vision Standards Opinions About Pilots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots should have the same standards as NAV/WSO</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilots should have the same standards as FS</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When analyzed by crew position (Appendix 3), more pilots than other crew positions agree that pilots should have separate standards from navigators or flight surgeons. Furthermore, spectacle and non-spectacle wearing aircrew differ little in their opinions as to whether pilots and navigators should have the same visual qualifications; both feel different standards are appropriate.

Results of question 161 confirm that, overall, aircrew have widely disparate views on visual standards for pilot selection. About 41% of all respondents indicated that pilot candidates should have uncorrected 20/20 vision, whereas, another 43% said vision correction should not be a factor. A smaller number (17%), selected “a spectacle or contact lens wearer corrected to 20/20” would be their choice for selection into UPT. Sixty percent of flight surgeons felt uncorrected 20/20 should be required, but smaller numbers of pilots and navigators felt normal 20/20 vision should be required (Table 8).

Table 8. Vision Standards Opinions About UPT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 161. All things being equal, which of the following candidates would you select into UPT?</th>
<th>Pilot</th>
<th>Nav</th>
<th>FS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A spectacle or contact lens wearer corrected to 20/20</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A non-spectacle wearer with normal 20/20 vision</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearing a vision correction should not be a factor for UPT selection</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eighty-five percent of all aircrew believed UPT candidates should have normal color vision. According to data maintained by the Aeromedical Consult Service at Brooks AFB, TX, about 81 USAF rated aircrew members, including 39 pilots, are currently flying with a waiver for some type of color vision deficit. It can be expected that about 1 in 12 male, and 1 in 200 female pilot candidates will have a color vision deficit (Birch, 1993).

Overall, 61% of all aircrew believed the USAF should incorporate strict night vision standards. When analyzed by crew position, the numbers are 58% of pilots, 68% of navigators, and 76% of flight surgeons believe night vision standards are warranted. Currently there is no widely accepted night vision tester that could be used for screening subjects or establishing
standards. However, with the increasing numbers of night operations, especially during wartime, the issue of night vision standards requires greater emphasis and more research especially from the refractive surgery perspective.

The Air Force needs more research and development specifically designed to address standards issues, including risk analyses. In some cases, these studies may be unattractive because of cost and time requirements to generate a valid answer. However, with the rapidly changing advances in engineering, such as headup displays and cockpit design, it is important that vision standards issues continue to receive a high priority. The standards data contained in the survey results are being evaluated by the VEEP subcommittee on Aeromedical Standards and were discussed at the Operational Aeromedical Problems (OAP) meeting at Brooks AFB in January 1998.

Recommendations/Conclusions

1. Strict night vision and color vision standards are desired and supported by aircrew overall.
2. The majority of aircrew (74%) believes both the rated and Medical Corps should develop standards together.
3. Development of dual track vision standards for pilots offers attractive operational alternatives that may solve many current interface issues.
4. Consideration should be given to establishing a comprehensive vision AFI, or ensure that current requirements and issues identified by the VEEP-IPT and this survey are disseminated in appropriate AFIs.
5. Vision standards should be based on and supported by performance based data whenever possible and funds provided to develop such data.

Section XI. Comments

The last page of the survey contained space for written comments. The intense interest in this survey, as demonstrated by the high overall return rate, was confirmed by the 3,430 comments returned. As might be expected, there was a wide range of opinions in the comments. A comprehensive report of comments is planned for a future publication but some trends in the comments are included here.

Respondents are quite dissatisfied with DoD issued sunglasses and the aircrew frame in general. The frame is small and of poor quality. Many people reported that it takes too long to order and receive aircrew spectacles. The USAF Soft Contact Lens Program is viewed extremely favorably except for the inconsistent funding by squadrons. A few additional comments are included in Appendix 3.
CONCLUSIONS

The 1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey was disseminated to all rated aircrew in early fiscal year 1996. At the time of the survey, there were 31,205 rated individuals, with approximately 76% actively flying (over 90% of Air Reserve Components). Since the date of the survey, the number of aircrew members has fluctuated due to restructuring of the forces. However, the results of the survey provide a timeless “snapshot” view of operational vision issues, regardless of present or future personnel end strengths. The data are now being used, and will be used for years to come, to evaluate aircrew needs and establish aerospace vision research efforts.

The Aircrew Survey Working Group, a sub-committee of the VEEP-IPT, developed the survey. Other working groups (Table 2) have been actively engaged in a variety of operational aircrew issues. The High Contrast Visor (HCV) Working Group authored an all MAJCOM message (032100ZMAY94) that established guidance for use of the yellow colored HCV. The HCV Working Group was subsequently disbanded and the Aeromedical Vision Standards Working Group will cover any future HCV issues.

There are a number of programs currently under development, directly or indirectly as a result of the VEEP-IPT survey. A new improved aircrew spectacle frame has been developed and evaluated in the field. Contracting personnel are currently evaluating the IAS. The office of the USAF Surgeon General has been briefed on the possibility of full funding of the aircrew soft contact lens program. Participants of the FY 1998 Operational Aeromedical Problems (OAP) meeting were briefed on the survey results and used the data for making decisions regarding aeromedical standards. Preliminary survey results have been briefed to the international community at NATO Advisory Group for Aerospace research and Development (AGARD) meetings. Preliminary survey results (Dennis, Ivan, Miller, Tredici & Belihar, 1996) and safety of flight issues (Baldwin & Ivan, 1998) have been presented to the annual meeting of the Aerospace Medical Association. A summary of results has been forwarded to all Major Command representatives and the USAF Safety Center. Additionally, there are numerous research projects throughout the USAF research community that address the recommendations outlined in this technical report. However, there are numerous additional research efforts that should be initiated, as outlined in the text.

This technical report is considered an initial report on the survey data. The database is maintained at Brooks AFB, TX, USAFSAM/ACF (formerly AL/AOCO). Current efforts are aimed at detailed cross-correlation of data with respect to specific Major Commands, aircraft types, etc. For example, an analysis of NVG use by fighter pilots is in manuscript form. This original report, and some subsequent reports, will be accessible on the worldwide web at the USAFSAM Aeromedical Consultation Service web page. In addition to this technical report, information from the survey, especially identified “safety of flight issues” will be disseminated to users and medics by various means of communication such as MAJCOM safety publications and other military and DoD publications.
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APPENDIX 1

ACTUAL SURVEY

This section contains images of the actual Scantron survey booklet. The surveys were completed with pencil and automatically scanned using Forns/Labels Integrated Printing System (FLIPS) software. The answers are currently stored in a database located at The USAF School of Aerospace Medicine/AFCO, Brooks AFB, TX.
1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey

USAF Vision Enhancement and Eye Protection
Integrated Product Team

Armstrong Laboratory
Human Systems Center
Brooks AFB, TX
MEMORANDUM FOR ALL USAF RATED AIRCREW

FROM:  HQ USAF/CC
1670 Air Force Pentagon
Washington, DC 20330-1670

SUBJECT:  1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey

I ask that you take the time to accurately complete the 1995 Aircrew Operational Vision Survey. This survey will help identify visual performance and eye protection problems that may exist in today's operational environment. With your help, we can focus our immediate attention on solving those issues that will significantly improve aircrew performance and safety.

This survey is completely anonymous and by design it will not allow anyone to tie responses back to you as an individual. It is absolutely vital that you provide honest feedback and that you return this survey as soon as possible. The data will be used to determine valid needs, design solutions to quickly solve critical operational deficiencies, and modify aeromedical vision standards for entry into the Air Force.

If you have any questions, contact your base flight surgeon for assistance. Thank you for completing this important operational survey.

RONALD R. FOGLEMAN
General, USAF
Chief of Staff
INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING
THE 1995 AIRCREW OPERATIONAL VISION SURVEY

NOTE
This survey has 11 sections. You may not have to complete every section. For example, if you are a C-5 pilot and do not require spectacles or contact lenses then you would only have to answer items in Sections I, IV, V, IX, X and XI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTIONS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ITEMS</th>
<th>PAGE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section I: General Information</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section II: Aircrew Spectacles</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section III: Contact Lenses</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section IV: Clinic Support</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section V: Sunglasses</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section VI: Aircrew Clear, Sun, High Contrast Visors</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section VII: Laser Eye Protection</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section VIII: Night Vision Goggles</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section IX: Ballistic Protective Dust/Wind Goggles</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section X: Vision Standards</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section XI: Comment Sheet</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To mark your answers:

1) Use a No. 2 pencil

2) Make heavy black marks that fill the circle for your answer.

3) DO NOT make stray marks of any kind.

☐ This is the correct way to enter a response.

☒ These are incorrect ways to enter a response.
SECTION I
GENERAL INFORMATION

1. What is your age?

2. To what type of aircraft have you most recently been assigned?

3. What is your gender?

4. Current Rank

5. Commission Source

6. What is your Air Force component?

7. What is your current flying status?

8. Are you currently flying with a medical waiver?

9. Is at least one of your waivers for an eye-related condition?

10. What is your current MAJCOM?

11. What is your total number of military flying hours?

12. What is your most recent crew position?

13. What is your current medical flying category?

14. Do you use tobacco products?
SECTION II
AIRCrew SPECTACLES

15. What type of eyewear do you wear when you fly?
☐ N/A, I do not wear spectacles or contact lenses for flying
   → SKIP TO SECTION IV
☐ I primarily wear contact lenses when I fly
☐ I only wear spectacles when I fly
☐ I wear spectacles some of the time and contact lenses some of the time when I fly

16. What kind of spectacle frame do you wear for flying?
☐ N/A, I do not wear spectacles for flying
☐ Only the USAF approved standard aircrew frame
☐ Civilian frame that meets USAF approved specifications
☐ Civilian frame that does not meet USAF approved specifications
☐ Civilian frame - Do not know if it meets USAF approved specifications
☐ Other

17. Are you satisfied with the current USAF aircrew spectacle frame?
☐ Very Dissatisfied
☐ Dissatisfied
☐ Slightly Dissatisfied
☐ Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
☐ Slightly Satisfied
☐ Satisfied
☐ Very Satisfied

18. What are the most annoying operational problems with the current USAF aircrew spectacle frame? (Please mark all that apply.)
☐ N/A, I have never worn the current aircrew spectacle frame
☐ Hot spots around ears
☐ Slipping under G-acceleration
☐ Fogging up
☐ Sweat on the lenses
☐ Reflections and glints
☐ Reduced field-of-vision
☐ Hot spots around nose
☐ Interferes with peripheral vision
☐ Incompatible with life-support equipment
☐ Color of the frame
☐ I have not experienced any problems
☐ Other

19. Would you like to see a new aircrew spectacle frame developed?
☐ Yes ☐ No

20. What non-reflecting color do you think a combat aircrew spectacle frame should be?
☐ Gold ☐ Silver ☐ Black ☐ Other

21. What color would you like for a new dress aircrew spectacle frame if there was an alternate choice to the combat frame?
☐ Gold ☐ Silver ☐ Black ☐ Other

22. If you wear a civilian spectacle frame rather than the current USAF aircrew spectacle frame, what are the most important reasons? (Please mark all that apply.)
☐ N/A, I wear USAF aircrew frames
☐ Looks better
☐ More comfortable
☐ More compatible with life-support gear
☐ Allows more peripheral vision
☐ Lighter than the current aircrew frame
☐ Slips less under G-force acceleration
☐ Easier to obtain than current aircrew frame
☐ Other

23. Have you ever had a lens fall out of your spectacle frame during flight?
☐ No, never
☐ No, but have had a lens fall out at other times
☐ Yes, 1-5 times
☐ Yes, 6-10 times
☐ Yes, greater than 10 times

24. Have you ever ejected wearing spectacles?
☐ Yes ☐ No

25. If so, did they remain in place during egress?
☐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No

26. If so, were you injured by the spectacle frame?
☐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No

27. What do you consider the most important criterion in aircrew spectacle frame design?
☐ Integration with life-support equipment
☐ Cosmetically acceptable for general wear
☐ Tell the world you are an aviator
☐ Comfort
☐ Field of vision
☐ Other
SECTION II Continued

AIRCrew SPECTACLES

28. When you last ordered a new pair of military aircrew spectacles, did you experience any of the following problems? (Mark all that apply.)

☐ N/A, never ordered military aircrew spectacles
☐ I have not had any problems
☐ Lengthy ordering time
☐ Incorrect prescription
☐ Incorrect fit
☐ Other

29. Before flying, do you routinely take time to check a new aircrew spectacle prescription in the cockpit to see if all distances are clear?

☐ Yes ☐ No

30. How long did it take you to get your last pair of standard USAF aircrew spectacles?

☐ N/A, never ordered aircrew spectacles
☐ Less than 1 week
☐ 1 but less than 2 weeks
☐ 2 but less than 3 weeks
☐ 3 but less than 4 weeks
☐ 4 weeks or more
☐ Don’t know

31. How frequently do you replace your flight spectacles?

☐ Less than 6 months
☐ 6-12 months
☐ 13-24 months
☐ Greater than 24 months

32. What is the most common reason for replacing your flight spectacles?

☐ Spectacles lost
☐ Lenses scratched
☐ Broken frame
☐ Broken lens
☐ Change in prescription

If you wear multifocals (bifocals or trifocals), please answer items 33 through 42. Otherwise, skip to Section III.

33. Did the eye doctor prescribe your multifocals based on cockpit measurements for your aircraft?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don’t know

34. Did the eye doctor measure you for your multifocals in the actual cockpit?

☐ Yes ☐ No

35. Do your multifocals provide a large enough uninterrupted field of view for your flying duties?

☐ Yes ☐ No

36. With what style of multifocal do you fly?

☐ Executive - line goes all the way across the lens
☐ Straight Top Series - line goes partially across the lens
☐ Progressive - no-line
☐ Do not know

37. With what style of multifocal would you prefer to fly?

☐ Executive - line goes all the way across the lens
☐ Straight Top Series - line goes partially across the lens
☐ Progressive - no-line
☐ Do not know

38. Were you able to obtain the type of multifocals you wanted?

☐ Yes ☐ No

39. Do you wear trifocals to fly?

☐ Yes ☐ No

40. Do you wear a double segment multifocal to fly, e.g., top bifocal for upper panels?

☐ Yes ☐ No

41. Would a double segment multifocal help you to perform your flight duties?

☐ Yes ☐ No

42. Do you use a separate pair of multifocals for desk work that is a different prescription than your flight multifocals?

☐ Yes ☐ No
SECTION III
CONTACT LENSES

43. Which program authorizes you to wear contact lenses?
□ N/A, don't wear contact lenses → SKIP TO SECTION IV
□ USAF approved soft contact lens (SCL) program
□ Medically waived SCL program
□ Medically waived hard contact lens (HCL) program
□ Do not know

44. What type of SCLs do you wear?
(Medically waived CL wearers skip to item #56)
□ Spherical lenses in both eyes
□ Toric (corrects astigmatism) lenses in both eyes
□ One spherical lens and one toric lens
□ Do not know

45. Was the SCL program adequately briefed to you by your flight surgeon?
□ Yes □ No

46. Were you fully briefed on the SCL cleaning/disinfection system by the eye clinic?
□ Yes □ No

47. Are you using the Air Force recommended AOSSept cleaning/disinfection system?
□ Yes □ No

48. If you are not using the AOSSept cleaning/disinfection system, why not? (Mark all that apply.)
□ N/A, I use AOSSept
□ Unaware AOSSept is the Air Force recommended system
□ System is too complicated
□ Not available in this area
□ Allergic to a system component
□ Too expensive
□ Other

49. Were you adequately trained by the flight surgeon or eye clinic to remove your SCLs with your flight gloves on, in case of an emergency?
□ Yes □ No

50. Do you have the required two pair of SCLs or two six-packs of disposable SCLs and a 30-day current supply of solutions in your mobility bag?
□ Yes □ No

51. Does your squadron pay for your contact lenses and supplies?
□ Yes □ No

52. Do you think contact lenses and supplies should be furnished free of cost to all aircrew members that are authorized SCL-wear by the Air Force?
□ Yes □ No

53. How often have you worn your SCLs on an extended-wear basis, i.e., sleeping with them overnight or wearing them more than 24 hours straight?
□ Never □ 1-5 times □ 6-10 times □ More than 10 times

54. Are you aware that you should NOT wear SCLs on an extended-wear basis?
□ Yes □ No

55. Are there mission-related instances when you would like to wear your SCLs on an extended-wear basis?
□ Yes □ No

56. Do you always carry a back-up pair of spectacles on missions?
□ Always □ Most of the time □ Sometimes □ Never

57. Have you ever ejected with CLs in place?
□ Yes □ No

58. If yes, did they remain in place during the ejection sequence?
□ N/A □ Yes □ No
SECTION III Continued
CONTACT LENSES

59. Have you ever had a CL fall completely off your eye during flight, and if so, how many times?
   - No
   - 1-5 times
   - 6-10 times
   - More than 10 times

60. Have you ever had a CL displace (slide off center) in your eye during flight, and if so, how many times?
   - No
   - 1-5 times
   - 6-10 times
   - More than 10 times

61. Have you ever gotten anything (e.g., eyelash or a piece of dirt) under your lens during flight, and if so, how many times?
   - No
   - 1-5 times
   - 6-10 times
   - More than 10 times

62. Have you ever had to remove a CL in flight? If so, why?
   - No
   - CL uncomfortable
   - CL too dry
   - Particle under lens
   - CL in other eye displaced or lost
   - Other

63. If you have had any problems with your contact lenses while in flight, (such as those addressed in items 59-62), did any of these incidences have an effect on the mission?
   - Yes
   - No

64. Do you use rewetting drops when wearing CLs during flight, and if so, how many times during each mission?
   - No
   - 1-5 times
   - 6-10 times
   - More than 10 times

65. Do you feel CLs offer an operational advantage over spectacle wear?
   - Yes
   - No

66. If yes, what is the major advantage for you?
   - N/A, no advantage
   - Life-support compatibility
   - Improved visual acuity
   - Improved peripheral vision
   - Eliminates fogging
   - Eliminates reflections
   - Enhances self-esteem
   - No slipping during G-related maneuvers
   - Other

67. What is the biggest operational problem for you with CL wear during flight?
   - I experience no problems
   - Vision not adequate
   - Lenses dry out in the cockpit
   - Lenses not comfortable
   - Lenses are not stable during Gs
   - Particles get under the lenses
   - Other

68. Have you ever had any CL-related DNIF days, and if so, how many?
   - No
   - 1-5 days
   - 6-10 days
   - 11-15 days
   - More than 15 days

69. Have you ever had difficulty getting CLs during deployment?
   - N/A, never deployed
   - Yes
   - No

70. Have you ever had difficulty getting CL solutions during deployment?
   - N/A, never deployed
   - Yes
   - No
SECTION IV
Clinic Support

Please answer items 71 - 75 using the following scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

71. How satisfied are you with the vision support you get from your aerospace medicine squadron (flight medicine office)?

72. How satisfied are you with the vision care you get from your eye clinic?

73. If you wear CLs, how satisfied are you with the CL support that you get from your eye clinic?

74. How satisfied are you with your access to an eyecare professional?

75. How satisfied are you with the knowledge of your eyecare professional about your visual demands while flying?

76. Did your eyecare professional or flight surgeon advise you to evaluate your new spectacles for effectiveness in the cockpit before flying with them?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

77. Did eye clinic personnel fit your flight spectacles to you with your helmet/mask/headset on?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

78. Are you reluctant to identify any vision problems you experience in flight to your flight surgeon?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

79. Do you feel current vision testing, as administered by the flight medicine office, is satisfactory for flying purposes?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

80. Do you believe a yearly, full, complete, eye examination by USAF eyecare professionals, to determine eye and vision problems, should be required on all rated aircrew?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

81. If you are 20/20 and not required to wear spectacles or contacts for flying, would you wear spectacles or contacts to fly if your vision could be corrected to better than 20/20?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION V
Sunglasses

82. Do you wear sunglasses while flying?
☐ No --> SKIP TO SECTION VI
Yes, I wear ...
☐ AF issue prescription
☐ AF issue non-prescription
☐ Commercial prescription
☐ Commercial non-prescription

83. If you wear commercial sunglasses for flying, what color is the tint?
☐ Gray
☐ Green
☐ Brown
☐ Yellow
☐ Orange
☐ Other
☐ N/A

84. What do you think about the tint on the USAF sunglasses provided for your flying duties?
☐ Too dark
☐ Just right
☐ Too light

85. Would you prefer a gradient (darker on top and lighter on the bottom) or a solid sunglass tint for flying?
☐ Gradient
☐ Solid

86. Do you ever wear your sunglasses in combination with your sun visor when flying?
☐ Always
☐ Often
☐ Seldom
☐ Never

87. Do you ever wear your sunglasses in combination with a laser visor when flying?
☐ Always
☐ Often
☐ Seldom
☐ Never

88. What kind of sunglasses do you wear for non-flying duties and recreational activities?
☐ N/A, I don't wear sunglasses
☐ AF issue
☐ Commercial

SECTION VI
Aircrew Clear, Sun, High Contrast Visors

89. Do you wear any of the following types of visors when you fly? (Mark all that apply.)
☐ No --> SKIP TO SECTION VII
Yes, I wear ...
☐ Clear visors
☐ Sun visors
☐ High Contrast visors

90. When you wear your flying spectacles with your visor, does your visor get scratched?
☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ N/A

91. How long is your typical visor serviceable for flying?
☐ Less than 3 months
☐ 3-6 months
☐ 6-9 months
☐ 9-12 months
☐ Greater than 1 year

92. Do you assess your visual performance in the cockpit with each new type of visor before your initial flight with that visor?
☐ Yes
☐ No

93. At night, do you normally wear the clear visor for protection?
☐ Yes
☐ No

94. Is the sun visor the proper darkness for your flying needs?
☐ Much too dark
☐ Somewhat too dark
☐ Just right
☐ Somewhat too light
☐ Much too light

95. Have you ever had any difficulty seeing any of your cockpit displays while wearing the sun visor?
☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ N/A

96. Would you like to have more than one darkness of sun visor available to you?
☐ Yes
☐ No
SECTION VI Continued
Aircrew Clear, Sun, High Contrast Visors

97. How often do you use your sun visor under the following flight conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxiing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take-off / Landing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-air</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-ground</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level cruise</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level cruise</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refueling</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn / Dusk</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During Airdrops</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

98. How often do you use your clear visor under the following flight conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxiing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take-off / Landing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-air</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-ground</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level cruise</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level cruise</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refueling</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn / Dusk</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During Airdrops</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

99. Have you ever worn the yellow high contrast visor (HCV)?

☐ Yes
☐ No - Please skip to Section VII

100. How often do you use your high contrast visor under the following flight conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxiing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take-off / Landing</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-air</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-ground</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level cruise</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level cruise</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refueling</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn / Dusk</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During Airdrops</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

101. Were you ever given any operational or aero-medical instructions for using the HCV?

☐ Yes ☐ No

102. Do you believe the HCV improves your ability to see differences in contrast?

☐ Yes ☐ No

103. Do you believe the HCV improves your ability to visually acquire targets?

☐ Yes ☐ No

104. How often do you wear the HCV during hazy conditions?

☐ Never ☐ 26 - 50%
☐ Less than 10% ☐ 51 - 75%
☐ 11 - 25%   ☐ 76 - 100%

105. How often do you wear the HCV during sunny conditions?

☐ Never ☐ 26 - 50%
☐ Less than 10% ☐ 51 - 75%
☐ 11 - 25%   ☐ 76 - 100%

106. Have you ever had difficulty seeing any of your cockpit displays while wearing the HCV?

☐ Yes ☐ No

107. Have you ever had difficulty detecting targets or target colors on the ground when wearing the HCV?

☐ Yes ☐ No

108. Have you ever experienced headaches or eye fatigue during or after using the HCV?

☐ Yes ☐ No

109. Are you aware that the HCV does not provide laser protection?

☐ Yes ☐ No

110. How would you rate the effectiveness of the HCV in improving your fighting capability?

☐ Very effective ☐ Somewhat effective
☐ Minimally effective ☐ Not effective
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### SECTION VII
Laser Eye Protection (LEP)

111. With which laser eye protection have you had the most experience?

- [ ] Barnes visor (rose colored, day)
- [ ] EEK (green, mid 1980’s)
- [ ] FV-4 (dark amber, day)
- [ ] Gentex prototype (gold)
- [ ] PLZT nuclear flash goggles
- [ ] KG3 spectacles (light blue)
- [ ] FV-2 spectacles (amber lenses, day)
- [ ] Army 2 notch spectacles (blue-green lenses)
- [ ] Army 3 notch spectacles (brown)
- [ ] Other
- [ ] Do not know
- [ ] N/A, never worn LEP → SKIP TO SECTION VIII

112. Were you ever given operational or aeromedical instructions for using LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

113. Have you ever flown with LEP in combat?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No  
- [ ] N/A, never flown in combat

114. Do you feel that USAF aviators should train with LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

115. Do you routinely wear LEP during training exercises involving lasers?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

116. Have you ever been operationally or aeromedically briefed on how lasers can damage your eyes or temporarily disrupt your vision?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

117. Has glare from the sun ever been a problem when you flew with LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

118. Do you assess your visual performance in the cockpit with each new LEP before your initial flight with that LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

119. Have you ever had difficulty seeing other aircraft when wearing LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

120. Have you ever had difficulty seeing other aircraft’s lights when wearing LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

121. Have you ever had difficulty seeing ground targets when wearing LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

122. Have you ever had difficulty seeing cockpit displays when wearing LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

123. Have you ever experienced any headaches or eye fatigue during or after flying with LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

124. Have you ever noticed bothersome visual distortions from your LEP?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No

125. Have you ever flown at night using a LEP designated for daytime only use?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No  
- [ ] Do not know

126. Have you ever been flash blinded or visually disturbed by a commercial laser light show during flight?

- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No
SECTION VIII
NIGHT VISION GOGGLES (NVGs)

127. Which type of NVG do you primarily use for flying duties?

☐ Don’t wear --> SKIP TO SECTION IX
☐ AN/AVS-6 (ANVIS)
☐ F4949
☐ Cats Eyes
☐ AN/PVS-5
☐ Other

128. Have you ever taken a formal USAF Night Vision Device training course?

☐ Yes ☐ No

129. What do you feel is the one most significant operational limitation of the NVGs you use?

☐ Insufficient field-of-view
☐ Poor resolution (visual acuity)
☐ Reduced depth perception
☐ Lack of color contrast (green image)
☐ Other
☐ No significant operational limitations

130. Have you ever had an in-flight incident or accident that was due to the operational limitations of NVGs?

☐ Yes ☐ No

131. Have your NVGs ever electronically malfunctioned in flight?

☐ Yes ☐ No

132. Do you feel that you received adequate training on how to properly focus/adjust the NVG before you started flying with them?

☐ Yes ☐ No

133. What type of eyewear do you wear with NVGs?

☐ None
☐ Standard aircrew prescription spectacles
☐ Special safety prescription spectacles
☐ Contact lenses
☐ Non-prescription ballistic protective dust/wind goggles (e.g., Gargoyles or Oakleys)
☐ Contact lenses and goggles in combination

134. If you are NOT required to wear spectacles or contact lenses when you fly, would you wear protective safety spectacles with NVGs if they were available?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ N/A, I wear prescription spectacles

135. If available, would you wear a ballistic protective dust/wind goggle or visor with NVGs?

☐ Yes ☐ No

136. Does your unit have a NVG test lane available for you to pre-flight your NVGs?

☐ Yes
☐ Yes, but it is inadequate
☐ No

137. If you do have a test lane, do you use it to pre-flight your NVGs?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

138. Have you ever noticed a change in your vision that required you to refocus your NVGs during an ascent or descent?

☐ Yes ☐ No

139. Have you ever experienced a decrease in NVG vision with increased altitude that would not improve by refocusing the NVGs?

☐ Yes ☐ No
SECTION VIII Continued
NIGHT VISION GOGGLES (NVGs)

140. During a NVG mission, does your NVG vision normally:
☐ Remain stable throughout the mission
☐ Gradually increase
☐ Fluctuate throughout the mission

141. How long does it normally take your eyes to adjust to the dark after NVG wear to a point where you can safely land the aircraft?
☐ Less than 1 minute
☐ 1 but less than 3 minutes
☐ 3 but less than 6 minutes
☐ 6-10 minutes
☐ More than 10 minutes
☐ N/A

142. Have you ever experienced after-images or altered color vision after a NVG mission?
☐ Yes    ☐ No

143. If yes, how long does it take for the after-images or altered color vision to disappear?
☐ Less than 1 minute
☐ 1 but less than 5 minutes
☐ 5 but less than 10 minutes
☐ 10-15 minutes
☐ More than 15 minutes
☐ N/A

144. Do you ever experience headaches or eye fatigue when flying with NVGs?
☐ Yes, frequently
☐ Yes, sometimes
☐ No

145. Have you ever felt that your depth perception was altered after flying a NVG mission?
☐ Yes, frequently
☐ Yes, sometimes
☐ No

146. Have you ever felt that your visual acuity was decreased after flying a NVG mission?
☐ Yes, frequently
☐ Yes, sometimes
☐ No

SECTION IX
BALLISTIC PROTECTIVE DUST/WIND GOGGLES

147. Do problems from dust and wind create eye discomfort or affect your vision when flying?
☐ Yes    ☐ No

148. Do you currently use a ballistic protective dust/wind goggle?
☐ Yes    ☐ No

149. If you do use a ballistic protective dust/wind goggle, is it adequate?
☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ N/A, don't use a protective dust/wind goggle

150. Would you like to see the USAF develop and provide an improved ballistic protective dust/wind goggle?
☐ Yes    ☐ No
SECTION X
VISION STANDARDS

151. In your opinion, who should determine USAF aircrew vision standards?

☐ Rated Corps
☐ Medical Corps
☐ Both
☐ Other

152. Do you believe that the current USAF aircrew vision standards are:

☐ Adequate as written
☐ Too strict
☐ Not strict enough

153. Should USAF aircrew vision standards for flying applicants (entry) be more strict than vision standards for current rated aircrew?

☐ Yes ☐ No

154. If you feel entry and retention standards should be different, when should retention vision standards be applied, i.e., when should aircrew be held to Class II (already trained aircrew) standards?

☐ Before UPT/UNT
☐ During UPT/UNT
☐ After graduation from UPT/UNT
☐ N/A, standards should be the same

155. Do you believe we should select only UPT/UNT candidates with at least uncorrected 20/20 vision acuity?

☐ Yes ☐ No

156. Do you believe we should select only UPT/UNT candidates with normal color vision?

☐ Yes ☐ No

157. Do you believe that color vision plays a key role for your crew position in your aircraft?

☐ Yes ☐ No

158. Do you believe the USAF should incorporate a strict night visual acuity standard?

☐ Yes ☐ No

159. Do you believe that pilots and Nav/WSOs should have the same visual qualifications?

☐ Yes ☐ No

160. Do you believe that pilots and flight surgeons should have the same visual qualifications?

☐ Yes ☐ No

161. All things being equal, which of the following candidates would you select into UPT?

☐ A spectacle or contact lens wearer corrected to 20/20
☐ A non spectacle wearer with normal 20/20 vision
☐ Wearing a vision correction should not be a factor for UPT selection
Section XI - Comments

COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS: (Please feel free to comment on any operational vision problems that you may have so that the scientific community might find ways to help solve them and improve your fighting capability.)

Spectacle use:  ☐ I wear spectacles/contact lenses  ☐ I do not wear spectacles/contact lenses

RANK:  ☐ 0-1  ☐ 0-2  ☐ 0-3  ☐ 0-4  ☐ 0-5  ☐ 0-6  ☐ 0-7 or above

WEAPONS SYSTEM:  ☐ Fighter  ☐ Bomber  ☐ Tanker  ☐ Transport  ☐ Recon  ☐ Rotary  ☐ Other

THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO COMPLETE THIS SURVEY
PLEASE RETURN THIS SURVEY BOOKLET IN THE PRE-ADDRESSED ENVELOPE PROVIDED.
APPENDIX 2

QUESTION BY QUESTION

ANSWERS

This Appendix contains the raw answers to all 161 questions in the survey. Most questions have an associated graph to more efficiently view the answers. Results from more than one question are cross-correlated in Appendix 3.
SECTION I
GENERAL INFORMATION
Questions 1 - 14
1. What is your age?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE*</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE*</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age range was truncated at 22 and 65 years.
2. To what type of aircraft have you most recently been assigned?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACFT</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-10</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT-38</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC-130</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-52</td>
<td>939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-12</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-17</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-21</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC-25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-27</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-130</td>
<td>1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-130</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC-130</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC-130</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-130</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-130</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-135</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC-135</td>
<td>2217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-135</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKC-135</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-135</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC-10</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-137</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-141</td>
<td>1124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACFT</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF-111</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF-4</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-15</td>
<td>1178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-16</td>
<td>1778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-111</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-117</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF-100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF-102</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH/MH/TH-53</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH-1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH-1</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH-3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH-60</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH-60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA-10</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA-37</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-1</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-37</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-38</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-39</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV-18</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-3</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-4</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. To what type of aircraft have you most recently been assigned?  
(Sorted by frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACFT</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC-135</td>
<td>2217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-130</td>
<td>1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-16</td>
<td>1778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-15</td>
<td>1178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-141</td>
<td>1124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-52</td>
<td>939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-38</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-10</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-37</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-4</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-111</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC-10</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-135</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC-130</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-21</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC-130</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-3</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH-60</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC-130</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-1</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-17</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH/MH/TH-53</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC-130</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH-1</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT-38</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-3</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-12</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACFT</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-135</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF-4</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF-111</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKC-135</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-117</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-137</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-4</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-130</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-27</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA-10</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV-18</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-39</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH-1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH-3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-135</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA-37</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-130</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC-25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH-60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF-100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF-102</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. What is your gender?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>16578</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No resp</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Current Rank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 LT</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 LT</td>
<td>769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPT</td>
<td>7278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAJ</td>
<td>3977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT COL</td>
<td>3517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL</td>
<td>1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No resp</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Commission Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Commission</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF Academy</td>
<td>4601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTS</td>
<td>3853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTC</td>
<td>7039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Service Academy</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. What is your Air Force component?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>13076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air National Guard</td>
<td>2411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. What is your current flying status?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>12813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>4131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Are you currently flying with a medical waiver?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12692</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Is at least one of your waivers for an eye-related condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. What is your current MAJCOM? (Major Command)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAJCOM</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Combat Command - ACC</td>
<td>6102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Communications Agency - AFCC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Inspection Agency - AFIC</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Materiel Command - AFMC</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Space Command - AFSPC</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Special Operations Command - AFSOC</td>
<td>623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Mobility Command - AMC</td>
<td>3997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Education and Training Command - AETC</td>
<td>2294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headquarters USAF - HQ USAF</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Air Forces - PACAF</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Air Force Academy - USAFA</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Air Forces Europe - USAFE</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. What is your total number of military flying hours?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 200 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 but less than 1,000 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 but less than 2,500 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500 but less than 5,000 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000-10,000 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 10,000 hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. What is your most recent crew position?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>12043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigator</td>
<td>2774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weapons Systems Operator</td>
<td>846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Warfare Operator</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeon</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. What is your current medical flying category?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLASS II (Unrestricted)</td>
<td>13653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS IIA (Tanker/Transport/Bomber Only)</td>
<td>1431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS IIB (Nonejection Only)</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS IIC (Other Special Requirements)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>1748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Do you use tobacco products?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tobacco Use</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes-Smoke</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes-Chew</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes-Smoke and Chew</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>15390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION II
AIRCrew SPECTACLES
Questions 15 - 42
15. What type of eyewear do you wear when you fly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, I do not wear spectacles/contacts for flying</td>
<td>8869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I primarily wear contact lenses when I fly</td>
<td>1534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I only wear spectacles when I fly</td>
<td>5593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I wear spectacles or contact lenses when I fly</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. What kind of spectacle frame do you wear for flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, I do not wear spectacles for flying</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only the USAF approved standard aircrew frame</td>
<td>5696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian frame that meets USAF specifications</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian frame does not meet USAF specifications</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian frame unknown if meets USAF specifications</td>
<td>1139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Are you satisfied with the current USAF aircrew spectacle frame?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly Satisfied</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dissatisfied: 4448
Neither: 806
Satisfied: 2718
18. What are the most annoying operational problems with the current USAF aircrew spectacle frame?

- N/A, I have never worn the current aircrew frame: 199
- Hot spots around ears: 3535
- Slipping under G-acceleration: 1441
- Fogging up: 2204
- Sweat on the lenses: 2937
- Reflections and glints: 1370
- Reduced field-of-vision: 2906
- Hot spots around nose: 1748
- Interferes with peripheral vision: 2633
- Incompatible with life-support equipment: 1715
- Color of the frame: 1356
- I have not experienced any problems: 473
- Other: 1049

18. (Arranged by frequency)

- Hot spots around ears: 3535
- Sweat on the lenses: 2937
- Reduced field-of-vision: 2906
- Interferes with peripheral vision: 2633
- Fogging up: 2204
- Hot spots around nose: 1748
- Incompatible with life-support equipment: 1715
- Slipping under G-acceleration: 1441
- Reflections and glints: 1370
- Color of the frame: 1356
- Other: 1049
- I have not experienced any problems: 473
- N/A, I have never worn the current aircrew frame: 199

19. Would you like to see a new aircrew spectacle frame developed?

- Yes: 6571
- No: 1299
20. What non-reflective color do you think a combat aircrew spectacle frame should be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>1713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>3939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. What color would you like for a new dress aircrew spectacle frame if there was an alternate choice to the combat frame?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>4708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>2204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. If you wear a civilian spectacle frame rather than the current USAF aircrew spectacle frame, what are the most important reasons? (Please mark all that apply.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, I Wear USAF aircrew frames</td>
<td>3761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Looks better</td>
<td>2817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More comfortable</td>
<td>2741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More compatible with life-support gear</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allows more peripheral vision</td>
<td>1380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighter than the current aircrew frame</td>
<td>1380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slips less under G-force acceleration</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier to obtain than current aircrew frame</td>
<td>665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. Have you ever had a lens fall out of your spectacle frame during flight?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No, never</td>
<td>4254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, but have had lens fall out at other times</td>
<td>1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, 1-5 times</td>
<td>1498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, 6-10 times</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, greater than 10 times</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
24. Have you ever ejected wearing spectacles?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. If so did they remain in place during egress?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. If so, were you injured by the spectacle frame?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. What do you consider the most important criterion in aircrew spectacle frame design?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>criterion</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integration with life-support equipment</td>
<td>1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetically acceptable for general wear</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell the world you are an aviator</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort</td>
<td>2832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of vision</td>
<td>2364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. (Arranged by frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>criterion</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comfort</td>
<td>2832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of vision</td>
<td>2364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration with life-support equipment</td>
<td>1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetically acceptable for general wear</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell the world you are an aviator</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
28. When you last ordered a new pair of military aircrew spectacles, did you experience any of the following problems? (Mark all that apply.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, never ordered military aircrew spectacles</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have not had any problems</td>
<td>2904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lengthy ordering time</td>
<td>2761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect prescription</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect fit</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29. Before flying, do you routinely take time to check a new aircrew spectacle prescription in the cockpit to see if all distances are clear?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30. How long did it take you to get your last pair of standard USAF aircrew spectacles?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Duration</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A , never ordered military aircrew spectacles</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 week</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 but less than 2 weeks</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 but less than 3 weeks</td>
<td>1605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 but less than 4 weeks</td>
<td>1763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks or more</td>
<td>2959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31. How frequently do you replace your flight spectacles?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 6 months</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-24 months</td>
<td>3670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 24 months</td>
<td>3275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
32. What is the most common reason for replacing your flight spectacles?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectacles lost</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenses scratched</td>
<td>2605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken frame</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken lens</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in prescription</td>
<td>3793</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32. (Arranged by frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in prescription</td>
<td>3793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenses scratched</td>
<td>2605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken frame</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectacles lost</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken lens</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. Did the eye doctor prescribe your multifocals based on cockpit measurements for your aircraft?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. Did the eye doctor measure you for your multifocals in the actual cockpit?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. Do your multifocals provide a large enough uninterrupted field of view for your flying duties?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
36. With what style of multifocal do you fly?

   Executive - line goes all the way across the lens  FREQ 202
   Straight Top Series - line goes partially across the lens 1365
   Progressive - no-line 175
   Do not know 98

37. With what style of multifocal would you prefer to fly?

   Executive-line goes all the way across the lens 232
   Straight Top Series-line goes partially across the lens 356
   Progressive-no-line 954
   Do not know 313

38. Were you able to obtain the type of multifocals you wanted?

   Yes 878
   No 943

39. Do you wear trifocals to fly?

   Yes 121
   No 1733

40. Do you wear a double segment multifocal to fly, e.g., top bifocal for upper panels?

   Yes 88
   No 1745
41. Would a double segment multifocal help you to perform your flight duties?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

42. Do you use a separate pair of multifocals for desk work that is a different prescription than your flight multifocals?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1563</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION III
CONTACT LENSES
Questions 43 - 70
43. Which program authorizes you to wear contact lenses?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, don't wear contact lenses</td>
<td>4975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAF approved soft contact lens (SCL) program</td>
<td>2270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically waived SCL program</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically waived hard contact lens (HCL) program</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44. What type of SCLs do you wear?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spherical lenses in both eyes</td>
<td>1410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toric (corrects astigmatism) lenses in both eyes</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One spherical lens and one toric lens</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45. Was the SCL program adequately briefed to you by your flight surgeon?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
46. Were you fully briefed on the SCL cleaning/disinfection system by the eye clinic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes  2219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No    252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

47. Are you using the Air Force recommended AOSept cleaning/disinfection system?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes  1903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No    552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

48. If you are not using the AOSept cleaning/disinfection system, why not?
(Mark all that apply.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, I use AOSept 1621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaware AOSept is the AF recommended system 194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System is too complicated 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available in this area 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic to a system component 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too expensive 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

49. Were you adequately trained by the flight surgeon or eye clinic to remove your SCLs with your flight gloves on, in case of an emergency?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes  727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No    1727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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50. Do you have the required two pair of SCLs or two six-packs of disposable SCLs and a 30-day current supply of solutions in your mobility bag?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51. Does your squadron pay for your contact lenses and supplies?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

52. Do you think contact lenses and supplies should be furnished free of cost to all aircrew members that are authorized SCL-wear by the Air Force?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

53. How often have you worn your SCLs on an extended-wear basis, i.e., sleeping with them overnight or wearing them more than 24 hours straight?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 times</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 times</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 times</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

54. Are you aware that you should NOT wear SCLs on an extended-wear basis?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
55. Are there mission-related instances when you would like to wear your SCLs on an extended-wear basis?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

56. Do you always carry a back-up pair of spectacles on missions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>2146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most of the time</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

57. Have you ever ejected with CLs in place?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

58. If yes, did they remain in place during the ejection sequence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

59. Have you ever had a CL fall completely off your eye during flight, and if so, how many times?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 times</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 times</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 times</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
60. Have you ever had a CL displace (slide off center) in your eye during flight, and if so, how many times?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 times</td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 times</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 times</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

61. Have you ever gotten anything (e.g., eyelash or a piece of dirt) under your lens during flight, and if so, how many times?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 times</td>
<td>706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 times</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 times</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

62. Have you ever had to remove a CL in flight? If so, why?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL uncomfortable</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL too dry</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle under lens</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL in other eye displaced or lost</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

63. If you have had any problems with your contact lenses while in flight, (such as those addressed in items 59-62), did any of these incidences have an effect on the mission?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
64. Do you use rewetting drops when wearing CLs during flight, and if so, how many times during each mission?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 times</td>
<td>905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 times</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 times</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

65. Do you feel CLs offer an operational advantage over spectacle wear?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

66. If yes, what is the major advantage for you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, no advantage</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life-support compatibility</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved visual acuity</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved peripheral vision</td>
<td>793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminates fogging</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminates reflections</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances self-esteem</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No slipping during G-related maneuvers</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

67. What is the biggest operational problem for you with CL wear during flight?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I experience no problems</td>
<td>1514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision not adequate</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenses dry out in the cockpit</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenses are not comfortable</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenses are not stable during Gs</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles get under the lenses</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
68. Have you ever had any CL-related DNIF days, and if so, how many?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 days</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 days</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 days</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 15 days</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

69. Have you ever had difficulty getting CLs during deployment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, never deployed</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1337</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

70. Have you ever had difficulty getting CL solutions during deployment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, never deployed</td>
<td>923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION IV
CLINIC SUPPORT
Questions 71 - 81
"Please answer items 71 - 75 using the following scale."
Not Applicable - Very Satisfied - Somewhat Satisfied
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied - Somewhat Dissatisfied - Very Dissatisfied

71. How satisfied are you with the vision support you get from your aerospace medicine squadron (flight medicine office)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>6671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>3507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>2510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

72. How satisfied are you with the vision care you get from your eye clinic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>6297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>3226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>2360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

73. If you wear CLs, how satisfied are you with the CL support that you get from your eye clinic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>1059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
74. How satisfied are you with your access to an eyecare professional?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>6115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>3569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>2603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>844</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

75. How satisfied are you with the knowledge of your eyecare professional about your visual demands while flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>5227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>3578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>3498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

76. Did your eyecare professional or flight surgeon advise you to evaluate your new spectacles for effectiveness in the cockpit before flying with them?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

77. Did eye clinic personnel fit your flight spectacles to you with your helmet/mask/headset on?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
78. Are you reluctant to identify any vision problems you experience in flight to your flight surgeon?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

79. Do you feel current vision testing, as administered by the flight medicine office, is satisfactory for flying purposes?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

80. Do you believe a yearly, full, complete, eye examination by USAF eyecare professionals, to determine eye and vision problems, should be required on all rated aircrew?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

81. If you are 20/20 and not required to wear spectacles or contacts for flying, would you wear spectacles or contacts to fly if your vision could be corrected to better than 20/20?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION V
SUNGLASSES
Questions 82 - 88
82. Do you wear sunglasses while flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF issue prescription</td>
<td>3191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF issue non-prescription</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial prescription</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial non-prescription</td>
<td>4010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

83. If you wear commercial sunglasses for flying, what color is the tint?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>1821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>1361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84. What do you think about the tint on the USAF sunglasses provided for your flying duties?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too dark</td>
<td>1743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just right</td>
<td>5570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too light</td>
<td>1389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

85. Would you prefer a gradient (darker on top and lighter on the bottom) or a solid sunglass tint for flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gradient</td>
<td>3237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>5752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
86. Do you ever wear your sunglasses in combination with your sun visor when flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>1491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seldom</td>
<td>1785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>5363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

87. Do you ever wear your sunglasses in combination with a laser visor when flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seldom</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>8457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

88. What kind of sunglasses do you wear for non-flying duties and recreational activities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, I don't wear sunglasses</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF issue</td>
<td>2265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>6628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION VI
AIRCREW CLEAR, SUN, HIGH
CONTRAST VISORS
Questions 89 - 110
89. Do you wear any of the following types of visors when you fly?  
(Mark all that apply.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visor Type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear visors</td>
<td>4342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun visors</td>
<td>6622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High contrast visors</td>
<td>1087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90. When you wear your flying spectacles with your visor, does your visor get scratched?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

91. How long is your typical visor serviceable for flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serviceable Period</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3 months</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6 months</td>
<td>1179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-9 months</td>
<td>1111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12 months</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 1 year</td>
<td>3441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

92. Do you assess your visual performance in the cockpit with each new type of visor before your initial flight with that visor?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
93. At night, do you normally wear the clear visor for protection?

FREQ
Yes  4725
No   2718

94. Is the sun visor the proper darkness for your flying needs?

FREQ
Much too dark  118
Somewhat too dark  1141
Just right  5260
Somewhat too light  781
Much too light  26

95. Have you ever had any difficulty seeing any of your cockpit displays while wearing the sun visor?

FREQ
Yes  1874
No  5225
N/A  343

96. Would you like to have more than one darkness of sun visor available to you?

FREQ
Yes  4545
No  2860
97. How often do you use your sun visor under the following flight conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>*%</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>*%</th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th>*%</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>*%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxiing</td>
<td>2246</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3396</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1139</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1284</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take-off/Landing</td>
<td>4225</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2194</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-air</td>
<td>2764</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2092</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-ground</td>
<td>2752</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2059</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level cruise</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2234</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level cruise</td>
<td>3246</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2915</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refueling</td>
<td>2624</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn/Dusk</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2332</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3255</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During airdrops</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percent of total survey population.

A=Always, O=Often, S=Seldom, N=Never
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98. How often do you use your clear visor under the following flight conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>*%</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>*%</th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th>*%</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>*%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxiing</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3685</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take-off/Landing</td>
<td>2269</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2451</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-air</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3479</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-ground</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3076</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level cruise</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1498</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2754</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level cruise</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3196</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refueling</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3149</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn/Dusk</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1689</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2701</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During airdrops</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3742</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percent of total survey population.
A=Always, O=Often, S=Seldom, N=Never
100. How often do you use your high contrast visor under the following flight conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Always</th>
<th><strong>%</strong></th>
<th>Often</th>
<th><strong>%</strong></th>
<th>Seldom</th>
<th><strong>%</strong></th>
<th>Never</th>
<th><strong>%</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxiing</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take-off/Landing</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-air</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-to-ground</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level cruise</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level cruise</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refueling</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn/Dusk</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During airdrops</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percent of total population.

A=Always, O=Often, S=Seldom, N=Never
99. Have you ever worn the yellow high contrast visor (HCV)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100. (See previous page)

101. Were you ever given any operational or aeromedical instructions for using the HCV?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

102. Do you believe the HCV improves your ability to see differences in contrast?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

103. Do you believe the HCV improves your ability to visually acquire targets?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

104. How often do you wear the HCV during hazy conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-25%</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-50%</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-75%</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-100%</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
105. How often do you wear the HCV during sunny conditions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-25%</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-50%</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-75%</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-100%</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

106. Have you ever had difficulty seeing any of your cockpit displays while wearing the HCV?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1735</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

107. Have you ever had difficulty detecting targets or target colors on the ground when wearing the HCV?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1556</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

108. Have you ever experienced headaches or eye fatigue during or after using the HCV?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

109. Are you aware that the HCV does not provide laser protection?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

110. How would you rate the effectiveness of the HCV in improving your fighting capability?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very effective</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally effective</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not effective</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION VII
LASER EYE PROTECTION (LEP)
Questions 111 - 126
111. With which laser eye protection have you had the most experience?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protection Type</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEK</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex prototype</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLZT nuclear flash goggles</td>
<td>1029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG3 spectacles</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-2 spectacles</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army 2 notch spectacles</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army 3 notch spectacles</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A, never worn LEP</td>
<td>13964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

111. (Sorted by frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protection Type</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A, never worn LEP</td>
<td>13964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLZT nuclear flash goggles</td>
<td>1029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEK</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex prototype</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-2 spectacles</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army 2 notch spectacles</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG3 spectacles</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army 3 notch spectacles</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

112. Were you ever given operational or aeromedical instructions for using LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
113. Have you ever flown with LEP in combat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A, never flown in combat</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

114. Do you feel that USAF aviators should train with LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

115. Do you routinely wear LEP during training exercises involving lasers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

116. Have you ever been operationally or aeromedically briefed on how lasers can damage your eyes or temporarily disrupt your vision?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

117. Has glare from the sun ever been a problem when you flew with LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
118. Do you assess your visual performance in the cockpit with each new LEP before your initial flight with that LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>656</td>
<td>1563</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

119. Have you ever had difficulty seeing other aircraft when wearing LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

120. Have you ever had difficulty seeing other aircraft's lights when wearing LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1795</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

121. Have you ever had difficulty seeing ground targets when wearing LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

122. Have you ever had difficulty seeing cockpit displays when wearing LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>667</td>
<td>1409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
123. Have you ever experienced any headaches or eye fatigue during or after flying with LEP?

FREQ
Yes 229
No 1840

124. Have you ever noticed bothersome visual distortions from your LEP?

FREQ
Yes 300
No 1779

125. Have you ever flown at night using a LEP designed for daytime use only?

FREQ
Yes 69
No 1572
Do not know 463

126. Have you ever been flash blinded or visually disturbed by a commercial laser light show during flight?

FREQ
Yes 38
No 2146
SECTION VIII
NIGHT VISION GOGGLES (NVG)
Questions 127 - 146
127. Which type of NVG do you primarily use for flying duties?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Don't wear</td>
<td>14011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/AVS-6 (ANVIS)</td>
<td>1621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

128. Have you ever taken a formal USAF Night vision Device training course?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

129. What do you feel is the one most significant operational limitation of the NVGs you use?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient field-of-view</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor resolution (visual acuity)</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced depth perception</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of color contrast (green image)</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No significant operational limitations</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

130. Have you ever had an in-flight incident or accident that was due to the operational limitations of NVGs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2554</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
131. Have your NVGs ever electronically malfunctioned in flight?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1825</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

132. Do you feel that you received adequate training on how to properly focus/adjust the NVG before you started flying with them?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

133. What type of eyewear do you wear with NVGs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard aircrew prescription spectacles</td>
<td>555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special safety prescription spectacles</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lenses</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Rx ballistic protective goggles</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lenses &amp; goggles in combination</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

134. If you are NOT required to wear spectacles or contact lenses when you fly, would you wear protective safety spectacles with NVGs if they were available?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A, I wear prescription spectacles</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
135. If available, would you wear a ballistic protective dust/wind goggle or visor with NVGs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

136. Does your unit have a NVG test lane available for you to pre-flight your NVGs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, but inadequate</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

137. If you do have a test lane, do you use it to pre-flight your NVGs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

138. Have you ever noticed a change in your vision that required you to refocus your NVGs during an ascent or descent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

139. Have you ever experienced a decrease in NVG vision with increased altitude that would not improve by refocusing the NVGs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
140. During a NVG mission, does your NVG vision normally:

- Remain stable throughout the mission: 1599 FREQ
- Gradually decrease: 277 FREQ
- Gradually increase: 263 FREQ
- Fluctuate throughout the mission: 446 FREQ

141. How long does it normally take your eyes to adjust to the dark after NVG wear to a point where you can safely land the aircraft?

- Less than 1 minute: 824 FREQ
- 1 but less than 3 minutes: 486 FREQ
- 3 but less than 6 minutes: 255 FREQ
- 6-10 minutes: 126 FREQ
- More than 10 minutes: 50 FREQ
- N/A: 849 FREQ

142. Have you ever experienced after-images or altered color vision after a NVG mission?

- Yes: 244 FREQ
- No: 2345 FREQ

143. If yes, how long does it take for the after-images or altered color vision to disappear?

- Less than 1 minute: 61 FREQ
- 1 but less than 5 minutes: 92 FREQ
- 5 but less than 10 minutes: 47 FREQ
- 10-15 minutes: 46 FREQ
- More than 15 minutes: 25 FREQ
- N/A: 2144 FREQ
144. Do you ever experience headaches or eye fatigue when flying with NVGs?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, frequently</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, sometimes</td>
<td>1231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

145. Have you ever felt that your depth perception was altered after flying a NVG mission?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, frequently</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, sometimes</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

146. Have you ever felt that your visual acuity was decreased after flying a NVG mission?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, frequently</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, sometimes</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1908</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION IX
BALLISTIC PROTECTIVE
DUST/WIND GOGGLES
Questions 147 - 150
147. Do problems from dust and wind create eye discomfort or affect your vision when flying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

148. Do you currently use a ballistic protective dust/wind goggle?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

149. If you do use a ballistic protective dust/wind goggle, is it adequate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

150. Would you like to see the USAF develop and provide an improved ballistic protective dust/wind goggle?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQ</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8849</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION X
VISION STANDARDS
Questions 151 - 161
151. In your opinion, who should determine USAF aircrew vision standards?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated Corps</td>
<td>3242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Corps</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>12436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

152. Do you believe that the current USAF aircrew vision standards are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequate as written</td>
<td>12494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too strict</td>
<td>3968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not strict enough</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

153. Should USAF aircrew vision standards for flying applicants (entry) be more strict than vision standards for current rated aircrew?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

154. If you feel entry and retention standards should be different, when should retention vision standards be applied, i.e., when should aircrew be held to Class II (already trained aircrew) standards?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before UPT/UNT</td>
<td>2474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During UPT/UNT</td>
<td>2315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After graduation UPT/UNT</td>
<td>3733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A, same standard</td>
<td>7937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

155. Do you believe we should select only UPT/UNT candidates with at least uncorrected 20/20 vision acuity?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12643</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
156. Do you believe we should select only UPT/UNT candidates with normal color vision?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2574</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

157. Do you believe that color vision plays a key role for your crew position in your aircraft?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

158. Do you believe the USAF should incorporate a strict night visual acuity standard?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

159. Do you believe that pilots and Nav/WSOs should have the same visual qualifications?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

160. Do you believe that pilots and flight surgeons should have the same visual qualifications?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

161. All things being equal, which of the following candidates would you select into UPT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A spectacle or contact lens wearer corrected to 20/20</td>
<td>2749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A non spectacle wearer with normal 20/20 vision</td>
<td>6709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearing a vision correction should not be a factor for UPT selection</td>
<td>7050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS: (Please feel free to comment on any operational vision problems that you may have so that the scientific community might find ways to help solve them and improve your fighting capability.)

Spectacle Use:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I wear spectacles/contact lenses</td>
<td>7038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not wear spectacles/contact lenses</td>
<td>7612</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RANK:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>6328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>3408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>2996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>1072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-7 or above</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WEAPONS SYSTEM:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FREQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>4197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>1391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>2426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>4327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# APPENDIX 3

CROSS-CORRELATIONS

## EXAMPLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q151</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated Corps</td>
<td>2,356</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Corps</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>9,695</td>
<td>1,656</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Q6 x Q151" = Question 6 correlated with question 151. See Appendix 1 or 2 for questions.
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SURVEY SECTIONS

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>GENERAL INFORMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.</td>
<td>AIRCREW SPECTACLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>CONTACT LENSES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.</td>
<td>CLINIC SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.</td>
<td>SUNGLASSES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI.</td>
<td>AIRCREW VISORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII.</td>
<td>LASER EYE PROTECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII.</td>
<td>NIGHT VISION GOGGLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX.</td>
<td>BALLISTIC AND DUST/WIND PROTECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X.</td>
<td>VISION STANDARDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI.</td>
<td>COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SURVEY POPULATION

*Surveys Sent To All 31,205 Total Force Rated Aircrew*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>15,515</td>
<td>4,069</td>
<td>2,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>5,463</td>
<td>1,155</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeons</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21,852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### I. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### SURVEY RETURN RATES

17,282* (55.4%) Total Survey Returns  
* 175 Surveys Did Not Indicate Which AF Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q6 x Q12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>9,114 (59%)</td>
<td>1,720 (42%)</td>
<td>1,209 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>3,473 (64%)</td>
<td>566 (49%)</td>
<td>298 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeons</td>
<td>395 (45%)</td>
<td>112 (41%)</td>
<td>99 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13,076 (60%)</td>
<td>2,411 (44%)</td>
<td>1,620 (42%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PERCENT OF TOTAL RETURN BY RANK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q6</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd Lieutenant</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lieutenant</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Officer</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PERCENT OF TOTAL RETURN BY GENDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q3 x Q6</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12,695 (98%)</td>
<td>2,329 (98%)</td>
<td>1,554 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>265 (2%)</td>
<td>51   (2%)</td>
<td>46 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FEMALE RETURN BY RANK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q3 x Q4 x Q6</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd Lieutenant</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lieutenant</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Officer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RETURN BY WEAPON SYSTEM
*Missing Data = 1,013*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q6</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>3,536</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>1,398</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>3,230</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>1,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PERCENTAGE ON ACTIVE FLIGHT STATUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q12</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigator</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeon</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PERCENT RETURN BY FLYING HOURS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q11</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 200 Hrs</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 &lt; 1,000 Hrs</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 &lt; 2,500 Hrs</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500 &lt; 5,000 Hrs</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 + Hrs</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PERCENT FLYING WITH MEDICAL WAIVERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q8 x Q9</th>
<th>All Waivers</th>
<th>At Least One Waiver Eye Related</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PERCENT OF AIRCREW USING TOBACCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q14</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chew</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PERCENT BY CREW POSITION USING TOBACCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q14</th>
<th>Smoke</th>
<th>Chew</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeons</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## II. AIRCREW SPECTACLES

### PERCENT AIRCREW WEARING SPECTACLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q12 x Q15</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigator</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeon</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>81.1%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PERCENT FRAME TYPE WORN FOR FLYING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q16</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DoD Standard</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civ Approved</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civ Not Approved</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civ Do Not Know</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PERCENT SATISFIED WITH DoD AIRCREW FRAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q17</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly Sat</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly Dissat</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPECTACLE WEARERS WANTING NEW FLIGHT FRAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q19</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>2,295</td>
<td>2,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>1,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>1,675</td>
<td>1,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-7 Or Above</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,293 (16.5%)</td>
<td>6,528 (83.5%)</td>
<td>6,512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COLOR FOR NEW COMBAT AIRCREW FRAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q20</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Silver</th>
<th>Gold</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>1,427</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-7 Or Above</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,914</td>
<td>1,704</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>589</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### COLOR FOR NEW DRESS AIRCREW FRAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q21</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Silver</th>
<th>Gold</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>1,444</td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-7 Or Above</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>543</td>
<td>2,193</td>
<td>4,678</td>
<td>410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPECTACLE LENSES FALLING OUT IN-FLIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q23</th>
<th>No Never</th>
<th>Yes Not Fly</th>
<th>Yes 1-5</th>
<th>6-10</th>
<th>&gt;=10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>1,192</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,945</td>
<td>1,819</td>
<td>1,398</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPECTACLE LENSES FALLING OUT IN-FLIGHT ALL AIRCREW COMBINED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q23</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>54%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, Not Flying 25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, Flying, 1-5 19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10 1.8% 22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 10 1.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SPECTACLE LENSES FALLING OUT IN-FLIGHT
**BY FRAME SOURCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q16 x Q23</th>
<th>DoD FRAME</th>
<th>CIV FRAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, Not Flying</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, Flying, 1-5</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PERCENT OF SPECTACLE WEARERS IN MULTIFOCALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q33-42</th>
<th>Multifocal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MULTIFOCALS BASED ON COCKPIT MEASUREMENTS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q33</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Do Not Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>382</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MULTIFOCALS PROVIDE WIDE ENOUGH FIELD?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q35</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>539 (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>168 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeons</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>52 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>766 (42%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Multifocal Key

- **Exec** = Executive - Multifocal Goes Completely Across The Width Of The Lens
- **ST** = Straight Top - Multifocal Goes Partially Across The Width Of The Lens (22, 25, 28, 35 mm Series)
- **Prog** = Progressive - No Line Multifocal That Progressively Increases In Power As You Go Down The Lens

### Styles of Multifocals in the Cockpit

#### Q6 x Q36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EXEC</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>PROG</th>
<th>UNSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1,365</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Q12 x Q36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EXEC</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>PROG</th>
<th>UNSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surg</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1,365</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Preferred Multifocals in the Cockpit

#### Q6 x Q37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EXEC</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>PROG</th>
<th>UNSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>232</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- (13%) - (19%) - (51%) - (17%)
PREFERRED MULTIFOCALS IN THE COCKPIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q37</th>
<th>EXEC</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>PROG</th>
<th>UNSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surg</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>232</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREFERRED MULTIFOCAL AVAILABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q38</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>526 (50%)</td>
<td>523 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>214 (49%)</td>
<td>224 (51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>138 (41%)</td>
<td>196 (59%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREFERRED MULTIFOCAL AVAILABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q38</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-7 Or Above</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>872 (48%)</td>
<td>932 (52%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. CONTACT LENSES

CONTACT LENS WEARERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q43</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCL Program</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL Medical</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL Medical</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CONTACT LENS WEARERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q43</th>
<th>Active Duty</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCL Program</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL Medical</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL Medical</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ADEQUATE SCL BRIEFING BY FLIGHT SURGEON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q45</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>2,010 (93%)</td>
<td>141 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>184 (84%)</td>
<td>36 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>95 (76%)</td>
<td>30 (24%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ADEQUATE SCL REMOVAL TRAINING WITH GLOVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q49</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>632 (30%)</td>
<td>1,492 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>65 (31%)</td>
<td>147 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>30 (25%)</td>
<td>89 (75%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SQUADRON PAYS FOR SCLS AND SOLUTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q51</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>713 (33%)</td>
<td>1,418 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>9 (4%)</td>
<td>210 (96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>10 (8%)</td>
<td>111 (92%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REQUIRED SCLS AND SUPPLIES IN YOUR MOBILITY BAG?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q50</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SHOULD SCLS BE FURNISHED TO AIRCREW?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q52</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>1,957(90%)</td>
<td>207(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>204(91%)</td>
<td>19(9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>112(89%)</td>
<td>14(11%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SHOULD SCLS BE FURNISHED TO AIRCREW?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q52</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-7 Or Above</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BACK-UP SPECTACLES CARRIED ON MISSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q56</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>1,230</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Times</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FREQUENCY OF CL FALLING OUT IN-FLIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q59</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>2,309 (90%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-5 Times</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 Times</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(&lt; 1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10 Times</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(&lt; 1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FREQUENCY OF CL DISPLACEMENT IN-FLIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q60</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>1,907 (74%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-5 Times</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>(24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 Times</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10 Times</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(&lt; 1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FREQUENCY OF PARTICLES UNDER CL IN-FLIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q61</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>1,809 (71%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-5 Times</td>
<td>706 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10 Times</td>
<td>38 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 10 Times</td>
<td>11 (&lt; 1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REASONS FOR CL REMOVAL IN-FLIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q62</th>
<th>Never Had To Remove</th>
<th>1,909 (76%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL Uncomfortable</td>
<td>112 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL Too Dry</td>
<td>204 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particle Under CL</td>
<td>164 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other CL Displaced</td>
<td>65 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Reasons</td>
<td>49 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EFFECT OF CL DISPLACEMENT & PARTICLES ON MISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q63</th>
<th>No Effect</th>
<th>2,340 (98%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mission Affected</td>
<td>56 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CLS AN OPERATIONAL ADVANTAGE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q65</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>1,364</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigators</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Surgeons</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,505 (98%)</td>
<td>59 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CLS AN OPERATIONAL ADVANTAGE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q65</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAJOR CL OPERATIONAL ADVANTAGES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q12 x Q66</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pilots</strong></td>
<td><strong>Navs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Advantage</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-S Compatibility</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Acuity</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved FOV</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elim Fogging</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elim Reflections</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Esteem</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No G Slipping</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAJOR CL OPERATIONAL DISADVANTAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q12 x Q67</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA Not Adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLs Dry Out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not G Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL Particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CLINIC SUPPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FLIGHT MEDICINE VISION SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q6 x Q71</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EYE CLINIC VISION SUPPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q72</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>5,030</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>2,499</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EYE CLINIC CONTACT LENS SUPPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q73</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SATISFACTION WITH ACCESS TO EYECARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q74</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>5,054</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>2,808</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>1,851</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OPERATIONAL KNOWLEDGE OF EYECARE PROFESSIONAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q75</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>4,078</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>2,830</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither</td>
<td>2,640</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dissatisfied</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### COCKPIT EVALUATION OF NEW RX BEFORE FLIGHT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q76</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>1,740</td>
<td>4,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,294 (30%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,345 (70%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EYE CLINIC FIT SPECTACLES TO FLIGHT GEAR?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q77</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>162 (3%)</td>
<td>5,536 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>49 (5%)</td>
<td>1,045 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>24 (3%)</td>
<td>694 (97%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FSO VISION TESTING ADEQUATE FOR FLYING?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q79</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>6,187</td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>3,255</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>2,784</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-7 Or Above</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,066 (84%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,630 (16%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FSO VISION TESTING ADEQUATE FOR FLYING?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q15 x Q79</th>
<th>Spectacle Wearers</th>
<th>Non Spectacle Wearers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6,205 (80%)</td>
<td>7,667 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1,587 (20%)</td>
<td>1,013 (12%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNUAL EXAM BY USAF EYECARE PROFESSIONAL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q80</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>4,295</td>
<td>2,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>2,457</td>
<td>1,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>2,385</td>
<td>1,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-7 Or Above</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,602 (63%)</td>
<td>6,104 (37%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. AIRCREW SUNGLASSES

AIRCREW WEARING SUNGLASSES IN-FLIGHT
*Only 20% of plano sunglass wearers use those provided by the DoD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q82</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Wear</td>
<td>6,397</td>
<td>1,103</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>7,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD Rx</td>
<td>2,426</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>3,191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD Plano</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Rx</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Plano</td>
<td>2,867</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>*4,010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOST POPULAR TINT FOR COMMERCIAL
SUNGLASSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q83</th>
<th>Tint</th>
<th>Number Wearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>1,821 (35.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>1,361 (26.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>1,056 (20.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>308 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>188 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>425 (8.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DoD PROVIDED SUNGLASSES IN-FLIGHT

*64% of aircrew think they are just right*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q84</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too Dark</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just Right</td>
<td>4,069</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too Light</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DoD PROVIDED SUNGLASSES IN-FLIGHT

*26% Of Fighter Aircrew - Lenses Too Dark*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q84</th>
<th>Too Dark</th>
<th>Just Right</th>
<th>Too Light</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>1,214</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>2,342</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUNGLASSES AND SUN VISOR WORN TOGETHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q86</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seldom</td>
<td>1,347</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>3,908</td>
<td>1,222</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUNGLASSES AND SUN VISOR WORN TOGETHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q86</th>
<th>Fighter Aircrew Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>40 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>197 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seldom</td>
<td>380 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>375 (38%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUNGLASSES AND LASER VISOR WORN TOGETHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q87</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seldom</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never *</td>
<td>6,402</td>
<td>1,660</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*97% Have Not Worn Together

VI. AIRCREW VISORS

VISORS WORN BY AIRCREW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q89</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Visor Worn</td>
<td>5,845</td>
<td>2,699</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Only</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun Only</td>
<td>2,155</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV Only</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear &amp; Sun</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear &amp; HCV</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun &amp; HCV</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Three</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VISORS WORN BY AIRCREW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q89</th>
<th>Fighter</th>
<th>Bomber</th>
<th>Rotary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Visor Worn</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Only</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun Only</td>
<td>1,657</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV Only</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear &amp; Sun</td>
<td>1,310</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear &amp; HCV</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun &amp; HCV</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Three</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CLEAR VISOR WORN AT NIGHT FOR PROTECTION?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q93</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>3,559</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,725(63.5%)</td>
<td>2,718(36.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CLEAR VISOR WORN AT NIGHT FOR PROTECTION?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q93</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>2,606 (64%)</td>
<td>1,443 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>736 (73%)</td>
<td>266 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>187 (41%)</td>
<td>264 (59%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUN VISOR DARKNESS IN-FLIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q94</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much Too Dark</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Too Dark</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just Right</td>
<td>3,964</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Too Light</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much Too Light</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUN VISOR DARKNESS IN-FLIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q94</th>
<th>Fighter</th>
<th>Bomber</th>
<th>Rotary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much Too Dark</td>
<td>*88</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Too Dark</td>
<td>*863</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just Right</td>
<td>2,751</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Too Light</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much Too Light</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* "Too Dark" Equals 24% Of Fighter Responses
### DIFFICULTY SEEING COCKPIT DISPLAY WITH SUN VISOR?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q95</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>1,480 (26.2%)</td>
<td>4,062 (71.8%)</td>
<td>115 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>330 (22.8%)</td>
<td>913 (63.0%)</td>
<td>206 (14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>56 (18.7%)</td>
<td>225 (75.3%)</td>
<td>18 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8 (21.6%)</td>
<td>25 (67.6%)</td>
<td>4 (10.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DIFFICULTY SEEING COCKPIT DISPLAY WITH SUN VISOR?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q95</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>1,480 (26.2%)</td>
<td>4,062 (71.8%)</td>
<td>115 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>1,044 (25.7%)</td>
<td>2,959 (72.9%)</td>
<td>56 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>320 (32.0%)</td>
<td>543 (54.3%)</td>
<td>137 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>136 (30.2%)</td>
<td>310 (68.9%)</td>
<td>4 (0.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WOULD YOU LIKE MORE THAN ONE DARKNESS OF SUN VISOR?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q96</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>3,557</td>
<td>2,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,545 (61.4%)</td>
<td>2,860 (38.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WOULD YOU LIKE MORE THAN ONE DARKNESS OF SUN VISOR?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q96</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>2,664 (65.8%)</td>
<td>1,382 (34.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>548 (55.6%)</td>
<td>438 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>247 (54.9%)</td>
<td>203 (45.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EVER WORN THE HIGH CONTRAST VISOR (HCV)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q99</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>1,745</td>
<td>4,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>1,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2,028 (25%)</td>
<td>6,148 (75%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EVER WORN THE HIGH CONTRAST VISOR (HCV)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q99</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>1,852 (43%)</td>
<td>2,427 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>30 (3%)</td>
<td>1,046 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>19 (4%)</td>
<td>456 (96%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HCV IMPROVES TARGET ACQUISITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q103</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>1,286 (72.9%)</td>
<td>477 (27.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>187 (75.1%)</td>
<td>62 (24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>24 (58.5%)</td>
<td>17 (41.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8 (88.9%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,372 (73.8%)</td>
<td>486 (26.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DIFFICULTY SEEING COCKPIT DISPLAY WITH HCV?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q106</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>261 (14.8%)</td>
<td>1,497 (85.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>56 (22.5%)</td>
<td>193 (77.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>6 (14.3%)</td>
<td>36 (85.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (10.0%)</td>
<td>9 (90.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>296 (15.9%)</td>
<td>1,562 (84.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TROUBLE DETECTING GROUND TARGET COLORS WITH HCV?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q107</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(23.7%)</td>
<td>(76.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(20.2%)</td>
<td>(79.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15.0%)</td>
<td>(85.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(11.1%)</td>
<td>(88.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 x Q107</td>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(23.3%)</td>
<td>1,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(76.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AWARE THAT HCV DOES NOT PROVIDE LASER PROTECTION?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q109</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(81.9%)</td>
<td>(18.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Aircrew</td>
<td>1,681</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RATE THE COMBAT EFFECTIVENESS OF THE HCV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q110</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Effective</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Effective</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RATE THE COMBAT EFFECTIVENESS OF THE HCV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q110</th>
<th>Fighter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Effective</td>
<td>374 (20.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. LASER EYE PROTECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITH WHAT LEP* HAVE YOU HAD THE MOST EXPERIENCE?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*1,029 Listed PLZT As The LEP Of Most Experience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q111</th>
<th>All Aircrew</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never Worn Lep</td>
<td>13,964 (92.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>429 (2.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>282 (1.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>137 (0.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEK</td>
<td>111 (0.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex</td>
<td>103 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-2</td>
<td>25 (0.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army-2n</td>
<td>23 (0.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG3</td>
<td>12 (0.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army-3n</td>
<td>9 (0.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43 (0.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIRCREW WITH PLZT EXPERIENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q111</th>
<th>Weapon System</th>
<th>Aircrew</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>16 (1.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>157 (16.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>613 (62.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>65 (6.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>62 (6.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>62 (6.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIRCREW WITH LEP EXPERIENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q111</th>
<th>Weapon System</th>
<th>Aircrew</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>823 (73.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>299 (26.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### LEP WORN DURING COMBAT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q113</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Combat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LEP WORN DURING TRAINING EXERCISES INVOLVING LASERS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q115</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,685 (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>307 (89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>103 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>301</td>
<td>2,095 (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 x Q115</td>
<td>All Fighter</td>
<td>199 (21.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AEROMEDICAL BRIEFING ON LASER EYE INJURY OR FLASH BLINDNESS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q116</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>1,694 (85.4%)</td>
<td>290 (14.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>312 (87.6%)</td>
<td>44 (12.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>102 (83.6%)</td>
<td>20 (16.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 x Q116</td>
<td>All Fighter</td>
<td>847 (90.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DIFFICULTY SEEING OTHER AIRCRAFT WHEN WEARING LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q111 x Q119</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>47 (16.9%)</td>
<td>232 (83.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3K</td>
<td>14 (13.5%)</td>
<td>90 (86.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>26 (19.4%)</td>
<td>108 (80.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex</td>
<td>14 (13.9%)</td>
<td>87 (86.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>42 (12.0%)</td>
<td>309 (88.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 x Q119</td>
<td>All Fighter</td>
<td>136 (16.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DIFFICULTY SEEING OTHER AIRCRAFT'S LIGHTS WHEN WEARING LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q111 x Q120</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>55 (20.3%)</td>
<td>216 (79.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEK</td>
<td>14 (13.9%)</td>
<td>87 (86.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>29 (22.1%)</td>
<td>102 (77.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex</td>
<td>14 (14.0%)</td>
<td>86 (86.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>48 (14.0%)</td>
<td>295 (86.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 x Q120</td>
<td>All Fighter</td>
<td>148 (17.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DIFFICULTY SEEING GROUND TARGETS WHEN WEARING LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q111 x Q121</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>55 (20.5%)</td>
<td>213 (79.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEK</td>
<td>20 (19.8%)</td>
<td>81 (80.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>25 (19.1%)</td>
<td>106 (80.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex</td>
<td>15 (15.0%)</td>
<td>85 (85.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>40 (11.7%)</td>
<td>303 (88.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 x Q121</td>
<td>All Fighter</td>
<td>149 (18.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DIFFICULTY SEEING COCKPIT DISPLAYS WHEN WEARING LEP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q111 x Q122</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>132 (48.0%)</td>
<td>143 (52.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEK</td>
<td>34 (33.0%)</td>
<td>69 (67.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV-4</td>
<td>68 (51.5%)</td>
<td>64 (48.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentex</td>
<td>36 (35.6%)</td>
<td>65 (64.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>102 (29.6%)</td>
<td>243 (70.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 x Q122</td>
<td>All Fighter</td>
<td>354 (42.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FLASH BLINDED BY COMMERCIAL LASER LIGHT SHOW IN-FLIGHT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q126</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Aircrew Using LEP</td>
<td>38 (2%)</td>
<td>2,146 (98%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May Be Underestimated As Question Was Asked Of LEP Wearers Only*
## VIII. Night Vision Goggles

### Aircrew Flying with NVG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Fly With NVG</th>
<th>Do Not Fly With NVG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q127</td>
<td>2,479</td>
<td>14,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15%)</td>
<td>(85%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Type of NVG Primarily Used for Flying Duties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q127</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>1,308</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1,621</td>
<td>(65.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>(23.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>(5.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>(4.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Type of NVG Primarily Used for Flying Duties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q127</th>
<th>Fighter</th>
<th>Bomber</th>
<th>Tanker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>397</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Type of NVG Primarily Used for Flying Duties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q127</th>
<th>Trans</th>
<th>Recon</th>
<th>Rotary</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>819</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FORMAL NVG TRAINING COURSE TAKEN?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q 128</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>(74.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>(62.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>(70.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MOST SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL LIMITATION OF NVGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q129</th>
<th>Small FOV</th>
<th>Visual Acuity</th>
<th>Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MOST SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL LIMITATION OF NVGS (Cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q129</th>
<th>Lack of Color</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>No Sig. Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>89 (3.7%)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>(4.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IN-FLIGHT ACCIDENT OR INCIDENT DUE TO NVG LIMITATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q130</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>57 (2.8%)</td>
<td>2,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>6 (1.7%)</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>3 (1.5%)</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### IN-FLIGHT ACCIDENT OR INCIDENT DUE TO NVG LIMITATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q130</th>
<th>Accidents or Incidents</th>
<th>% Of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IN-FLIGHT ACCIDENT OR INCIDENT DUE TO NVG LIMITATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q130</th>
<th>Accidents or Incidents</th>
<th>% Of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NVGS EVER ELECTRONICALLY MALFUNCTION IN-FLIGHT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q131</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>523 (33%)</td>
<td>1,079 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>140 (25%)</td>
<td>424 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>5 (18%)</td>
<td>23 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An/PVS-5</td>
<td>41 (29%)</td>
<td>99 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>30 (27%)</td>
<td>80 (73%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ADEQUATE NVG FOCUS TRAINING BEFORE FLIGHT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q132</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>1,801 (87%)</td>
<td>265 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>320 (92%)</td>
<td>26 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>165 (84%)</td>
<td>31 (16%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### UNIT NVG TEST LANE AVAILABLE FOR PRE-FLIGHT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q136</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Yes, but Inadequate</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>1,442</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,884 <strong>(72.7%)</strong></td>
<td>223 <strong>(8.6%)</strong></td>
<td>484 <strong>(18.7%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UNIT NVG TEST LANE AVAILABLE FOR PRE-FLIGHT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q10 x Q136</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Yes, but Inadequate</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFSOC</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMC*</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETC</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACAF</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAFE</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*59% Of AMC NVG Wearers Report No Lane For Pre-Flight*

### TEST LANE USED TO PRE-FLIGHT NVGS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q137</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>1,555</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2,022 <strong>(77.8%)</strong></td>
<td>81 <strong>(3.1%)</strong></td>
<td>497 <strong>(19.1%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TEST LANE USED TO PRE-FLIGHT NVGS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q10 x Q137</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFSOC</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMC</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETC</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACAF</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAFE</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

133
# Refocus Change Required During Ascent or Descent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q138</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>315 (20%)</td>
<td>1,290 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>94 (17%)</td>
<td>474 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
<td>25 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>29 (21%)</td>
<td>110 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18 (17%)</td>
<td>90 (83%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Does Your NVG Vision Change During a Mission?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q140</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Gradual Decr.</th>
<th>Gradual Incr.</th>
<th>Fluctuates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>943 (60%)</td>
<td>199 (12%)</td>
<td>161 (10%)</td>
<td>291 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>398 (71%)</td>
<td>41 (7%)</td>
<td>50 (9%)</td>
<td>74 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>21 (70%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>76 (56%)</td>
<td>16 (12%)</td>
<td>16 (12%)</td>
<td>28 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>65 (60%)</td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
<td>13 (12%)</td>
<td>23 (21%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# After-Images or Altered Color Vision After NVG Mission?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q142</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>145 (9%)</td>
<td>1,446 (91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>59 (10%)</td>
<td>506 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>30 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>17 (12%)</td>
<td>121 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>105 (96%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# How Long Do After-Images or Altered Color Vision Last?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q143</th>
<th>ANVIS</th>
<th>F4949</th>
<th>AN/PVS-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 Min</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 &lt; 5 Min</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 &lt; 10 Min</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15 Min</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 15 Min</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IX. BALLISTIC PROTECTIVE DUST/WIND GOGGLES

**HEADACHES OR EYE FATIGUE AFTER NVG MISSION?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q127 x Q144</th>
<th>Yes, Frequent</th>
<th>Yes, Sometimes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANVIS</td>
<td>162 (10%)</td>
<td>800 (50%)</td>
<td>628 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4949</td>
<td>47 (8%)</td>
<td>288 (51%)</td>
<td>229 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats Eyes</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
<td>25 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN/PVS-5</td>
<td>11 (8%)</td>
<td>55 (40%)</td>
<td>72 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>34 (31%)</td>
<td>72 (65%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DUST/WIND CREATE EYE DISCOMFORT OR AFFECT VISION?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q147</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>1,243 (11.1%)</td>
<td>9,938 (88.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>446 (10.9%)</td>
<td>3,634 (89.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>90 (15.7%)</td>
<td>483 (84.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15 (15.0%)</td>
<td>85 (85.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q147</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>551 (13.0%)</td>
<td>3,683 (87.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>157 (11.2%)</td>
<td>1,242 (88.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>207 (8.0%)</td>
<td>2,381 (92.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>411 (8.9%)</td>
<td>4,217 (91.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>66 (11.1%)</td>
<td>528 (88.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>180 (37.0%)</td>
<td>307 (63.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>123 (11.3%)</td>
<td>966 (88.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRENTLY USE DUST/WIND GOGGLE?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q148</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12,156 (99.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2,173 (99.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,453 (98.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CURRENTLY USE DUST/WIND GOGGLE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q148</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>113 (&lt;1%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,876 (&gt;99%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DEVELOP AN IMPROVED DUST/WIND GOGGLE?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q150</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty</td>
<td>3,704 (35.0%)</td>
<td>6,889 (65.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>698 (37.1%)</td>
<td>1,182 (62.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>493 (38.8%)</td>
<td>778 (61.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2 x Q150</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fighter</td>
<td>1,116 (31.0%)</td>
<td>2,485 (69.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomber</td>
<td>432 (34.5%)</td>
<td>822 (65.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanker</td>
<td>704 (31.5%)</td>
<td>1,533 (68.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>1,461 (37.0%)</td>
<td>2,487 (63.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recon</td>
<td>176 (35.0%)</td>
<td>327 (65.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td>358 (75.1%)</td>
<td>119 (24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>349 (36.6%)</td>
<td>604 (63.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### X. VISION STANDARDS

### WHO SHOULD DETERMINE USAF AIRCREW VISION STANDARDS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q151</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated Corps</td>
<td>2,356</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Corps</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>9,695</td>
<td>1,656</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

136
### WHO SHOULD DETERMINE USAF AIRCREW VISION STANDARDS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4 x Q151</th>
<th>Rated</th>
<th>Medical</th>
<th>Both</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>1,498</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>5,190</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>2,885</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2,607</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-7 Or Above</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WHO SHOULD DETERMINE USAF AIRCREW VISION STANDARDS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q15 x Q151</th>
<th>Spectacle Wearer</th>
<th>Non Spectacle Wearer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated Corps</td>
<td>1,399 (18%)</td>
<td>1,769 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Corps</td>
<td>538  (7%)</td>
<td>451  (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>5,769 (74%)</td>
<td>6,439 (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>61 (1%)</td>
<td>84 (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CURRENT USAF VISION STANDARDS ARE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 x Q152</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ANG</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>9,742</td>
<td>1,679</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too Strict</td>
<td>2,837</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too Lenient</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CURRENT USAF VISION STANDARDS ARE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q152</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>9,290</td>
<td>2,666</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too Strict</td>
<td>2,330</td>
<td>1,504</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too Lenient</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### UFT Candidates Should Have At Least 20/20 Uncorrected VA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q155</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>3,210 (27.2%)</td>
<td>8,613 (72.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>638 (15.0%)</td>
<td>3,629 (85.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>264 (45.5%)</td>
<td>316 (54.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>23 (21.3%)</td>
<td>85 (78.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UFT Candidates Should Have Normal Color Vision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q156</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>9,991 (84.6%)</td>
<td>1,820 (15.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>3,595 (84.4%)</td>
<td>663 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>510 (87.6%)</td>
<td>72 (12.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>89 (82.4%)</td>
<td>19 (17.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Should USAF Have a Strict Night VA Standard?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q158</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>6,783 (58.1%)</td>
<td>4,894 (41.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>2,846 (67.6%)</td>
<td>1,366 (32.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>442 (75.7%)</td>
<td>142 (24.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>67 (63.8%)</td>
<td>38 (36.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Should Pilots and Nav Have the Same Visual Qualifications?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q159</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>3,165 (26.8%)</td>
<td>8,647 (73.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>1,797 (42.1%)</td>
<td>2,471 (57.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>215 (36.7%)</td>
<td>371 (63.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>45 (41.3%)</td>
<td>64 (58.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SHOULD PILOTS AND FS HAVE THE SAME VISUAL QUALIFICATIONS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q160</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilots</td>
<td>1,432</td>
<td>10,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nav</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>3,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(12.1%) (87.9%) (15.2%) (84.8%) (16.0%) (84.0%) (22.5%) (77.5%)

ALL EQUAL, WHICH CANDIDATE WOULD YOU SELECT INTO UPT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12 x Q161</th>
<th>Pilots</th>
<th>Navs</th>
<th>FS</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected to 20/20 by CLs or spectacles</td>
<td>1,748</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal 20/20 without correction</td>
<td>5,007</td>
<td>1,318</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision correction should not be a factor</td>
<td>4,883</td>
<td>1,964</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XI. COMMENTS

Approximate Numbers of Returns With Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q15</th>
<th>Spectacle Wearers</th>
<th>Non Spectacle Wearers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>(28%)</td>
<td>1,230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Frequently Reported Comments

The current silver aircrew frame must go
The frame is too small
Poor quality - the screws are always backing out
Flat temples cause hot spots

It still takes too long to obtain prescription flight spectacles through the eye clinics

The current issue sunglass is too small
Excessive peripheral glare
Plastic lens tints are not consistent - (this is a persistent problem)
We would like to see more wrap-around sunglass styles for cockpit use
The USAF soft contact lens program is a universal hit with aircrew
Operationally they are a must
The USAF needs to buy SCLs and supplies for all SCL wearing aircrew

What about corneal refractive surgery for aircrew?

Aircrew are concerned about UV protection at altitude - most unaware of windscreen and visor UV protection

Aircrew should be able to see a USAF eyecare professional whenever needed

Please publish the results of this survey

Thanks for asking and for your interest in aircrew vision needs

**The numerous survey comments will be sorted and analyzed in a future publication.**