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MEMORANDUM FOR COMMANDER IN CHIEF, U.S. PACIFIC COMMAND
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY (FINANCIAL
MANAGEMENT AND COMPTROLLER)

SUBJECT: Audit Report on Year 2000 Compliance of the Trident Submarine
Command and Control System (Report No. 99-167)

We are providing this report for your information and use. This report is one in
a series being issued by the Inspector General, DoD, in accordance with an informal
partnership with the Chief Information Officer, DoD, to monitor efforts to address the
year 2000 computing challenge. Because this report contains no findings or
recommendations, no written comments were required, and none were received.

We appreciate the courtesies extended to the audit staff. Questions on the audit
should be directed to Mr. Joseph Doyle at (703) 604-9348 (DSN 664-9348) or
Mr. John Yonaitis at (703) 604-9340 (DSN 664-9340). See Appendix C for the report
distribution. The audit team members are listed inside the back cover.

David K. Steensma
Deputy Assistant Inspector General
for Auditing
Executive Summary

Introduction. This is one in a series of reports being issued by the Inspector General, DoD, in accordance with an informal partnership with the Chief Information Officer, DoD, to monitor DoD efforts to address the year 2000 computing challenge. For a list of audit projects addressing the issue, see the year 2000 web page on the IGnet at http://www.ignet.gov.

Objectives. The overall audit objective was to assess the status of Military Department and Defense agency mission critical systems, identified by the U.S. Pacific Command and U.S. Forces Korea, as being of particular importance to them in attaining compliance with year 2000 conversion requirements. Specifically, we reviewed the progress of each system toward year 2000 compliance, testing and integration of modifications, and contingency plans. For this report, we reviewed the Trident Submarine Command and Control System, revisions 5.5 and 6.3.

Results. The Trident Submarine Command and Control System, revisions 5.5 and 6.3 were certified year 2000 compliant in September 1998. The program manager followed the Navy certification process and documented the system verification, testing, interfaces, implementation, and contingency plan. The implementation of the command and control system, revisions 5.5 and 6.3 has been completed for 13 of the 18 Trident submarines and is on schedule for the remaining 5 Trident submarines.

Management Comments. We provided a draft of this report on April 27, 1999. Because this report contains no findings or recommendations, written comments were not required, and none were received. Therefore, we are publishing this report in final form.
# Table of Contents

**Executive Summary**  
1

**Introduction**

- Background  
  1  
- Objectives  
  1

**Discussion**

- Year 2000 Compliance of the *Trident* Submarine Command and Control System  
  2

**Appendixes**

- A. Audit Process  
  - Scope  
    4  
  - Methodology  
    5  
  - Summary of Prior Coverage  
    5
- B. Implementation Schedule  
  6
- C. Report Distribution  
  7
Background

The Executive Order, "Year 2000 Conversion," February 4, 1998, mandates that Federal agencies do what is necessary to ensure that no critical Federal program experiences disruption because of the year 2000 (Y2K) computing problem. The Executive Order also requires that the head of each agency ensure that efforts to address Y2K issues receive the highest priority.

The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence) is the principal staff assistant responsible for the DoD Y2K management plan. The DoD Y2K Management Plan, version 2, December 1998, provides guidance for testing and certifying systems and preparing contingency plans for those systems, and stipulates the criteria that DoD Components must use to meet reporting requirements.


Trident Submarine CCS. The Trident Submarine CCS provides the means for integrating the various subsystems supporting the management of a Trident submarine. The subsystems include the following elements: antenna, data processing, defensive weapons, electronics monitoring, exterior and interior communications, periscope, radar, ship command and control, sonar, and tactical navigation systems. The Trident Submarine CCS was implemented in revisions 5.5 and 6.3 configurations.

CCS Revisions. Revision 5.5 of CCS is to be implemented on 13 Ohio Class Trident submarines, and revision 6.3 of CCS is to be implemented on 5 Ohio Class Trident submarines. The implementations are scheduled to be completed by August 1999. See Appendix B for a list of implementations.

Objectives

The overall objective was to assess the status of Military Department and Defense agency mission critical systems, identified by the U.S. Pacific Command and U.S. Forces Korea as being of particular importance to them, in attaining compliance with Y2K conversion requirements. Specifically, we reviewed the progress of each system towards Y2K compliance, testing and integration of modifications, and contingency plans. For this report, we reviewed the Trident Submarine CCS, revisions 5.5 and 6.3. See Appendix A for a discussion of the scope and methodology, and a summary of prior coverage.
Year 2000 Compliance of the *Trident* Submarine Command and Control System

The *Trident* Submarine CCS was appropriately certified as Y2K compliant in September 1998. The CCS program manager followed the Navy certification process and properly documented the verification, testing, interfaces, and contingency documentation of the CCS. As a result, the Navy has minimized the risk of year 2000 failure of the CCS. The implementation of the CCS was on schedule.

Y2K Compliance of the CCS

The Strategic and Attack Submarine program office, a part of the Naval Sea Systems Command, is responsible for the CCS with oversight from Headquarters, Department of the Navy. The Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division, Newport, Rhode Island, conducted the Y2K tests of the CCS, revisions 5.5 and 6.3 and interfaces in March and May 1998, and certified them as year 2000 compliant in September 1998.

**CCS Revision 5.5 and 6.3 Tests.** The Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division, performed system testing from March 2 to 16 and from May 6 to June 8, 1998. The revisions were evaluated and four primary tests were performed including: date processing for the 20th and 21st centuries; date processing between the 20th and 21st centuries; and data transfers across interfaces. The revisions passed all of the tests and were certified as Y2K compliant on September 16, 1998. However, a revised certification was signed on April 8, 1999 updating the original certification to include the DoD certification level.

**CCS Interfaces.** The CCS interfaces with the Integrated Radio Room and Strategic Weapon Systems. The Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division, successfully conducted Y2K interface testing in March and May 1998 and determined the interfaces to be Y2K compliant with the CCS.
Implementation Plan

Revision 5.5 of the CCS is to be implemented on 13 Ohio Class Trident submarines, and revision 6.3 of the CCS is to be implemented on 5 Ohio Class Trident submarines. As of March 1999, implementation was still required on 4 of the 13 submarines receiving revision 5.5, and on 1 of the 5 submarines receiving revision 6.3. Implementation is dependent on the submarine schedule. The implementations are scheduled to be completed by August 1999. See Appendix B for an implementation schedule.

Contingency Management Plan

The Trident CCS program manager prepared and approved a Y2K contingency plan for Trident CCS, revisions 5.5 and 6.3 in December 1998. The purpose of the plan was to ensure the continued effective operation of the Trident CCS into and beyond the Y2K. The plan identified specific risks and the likelihood of occurrence. The plan identified alternative strategies for recovering from failure, procedures for implementing the plan, reporting failures, and recovering lost or damaged data.

Conclusion

The Trident Submarine CCS program manager complied with DoD and Navy guidance in processing the CCS, revisions 5.5 and 6.3 Y2K certification. The CCS, revisions 5.5 and 6.3 have been determined to be Y2K compliant. The Trident Submarine CCS program manager is on schedule to implement the CCS, revisions 5.5 and 6.3 on Trident submarines. Therefore, we have no recommendations.
Appendix A. Audit Process

This is one in a series of reports being issued by the Inspector General, DoD, in accordance with an informal partnership with the Chief Information Officer, DoD, to monitor DoD efforts to address the Y2K computing challenge. For a list of audit projects addressing the issue, see the Y2K web page on the IGnet at http://www.ignet.gov.

Scope

We reviewed and evaluated the *Trident* Submarine CCS, revisions 5.5 and 6.3. We visited the Naval Sea Systems Command program management office responsible for the *Trident* Submarine CCS and met with officials to obtain the year 2000 status of the mission critical system. During our meetings, we obtained data pertaining to the *Trident* Submarine CCS.

**DoD-wide Corporate Level Government Performance and Results Act Goals.** In response to the Government Performance Results Act, the Department of Defense has established 6 DoD-wide corporate level performance objectives and 14 goals for meeting these objectives. This report pertains to achievement of the following objectives and goals.

**Objective:** Prepare now for an uncertain future. **Goal:** Pursue a focused modernization effort that maintains U.S. qualitative superiority in key war fighting capabilities. *(DoD-3)*

**DoD Functional Area Reform Goals.** Most major DoD functional areas have also established performance improvement reform objectives and goals. This report pertains to achievement of the following functional area objectives and goals.

- **Information Technology Management Functional Area.**
  **Objective:** Become a mission partner. **Goal:** Serve mission information users as customers. *(ITM-1.2)*

- **Information Technology Management Functional Area.**
  **Objective:** Provide services that satisfy customer information needs. **Goal:** Modernize and integrate DoD information infrastructure. *(ITM 2.2)*

- **Information Technology Management Functional Area.**
  **Objective:** Provide services that satisfy customer information needs. **Goal:** Upgrade technology base. *(ITM-2.3)*
General Accounting Office High-Risk Area. In its identification of high-risk areas, the General Accounting Office has specifically designated risk in resolution of the Y2K problem as high. This report provides coverage of that problem and the overall Information Management and Technology high-risk area.

Methodology

Audit Type, Dates, and Standard. We performed this program audit in March and April 1999, in accordance with auditing standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, as implemented by the Inspector General, DoD. We did not use computer-processed data to perform this audit.

Contacts During the Audit. We visited or contacted individuals and organizations within DoD. Further details are available upon request.

Management Control Program. We did not review the management control program related to the overall audit objective because DoD recognized the Y2K issue as a material management control weakness area in the FY 1998 Annual Statement of Assurance.

Summary of Prior Coverage

The General Accounting Office and the Inspector General, DoD, have conducted multiple reviews related to the Y2K issues. General Accounting Office reports can be accessed over the Internet at http://www.gao.gov. Inspector General, DoD, reports can be accessed over the Internet at http://www.dodig.osd.mil.
# Appendix B. Implementation Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submarine Name</th>
<th>Submarine Number</th>
<th>Revision Number</th>
<th>Implementation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Alabama</td>
<td>SSBN-731*</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>September 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Alaska</td>
<td>SSBN-732</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>July 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Florida</td>
<td>SSBN-728</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>May 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Georgia</td>
<td>SSBN-729</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>September 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Henry M. Jackson</td>
<td>SSBN-730</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>July 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Kentucky</td>
<td>SSBN-737</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>August 1999**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Louisiana</td>
<td>SSBN-743</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>February 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Maine</td>
<td>SSBN-741</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>March 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Maryland</td>
<td>SSBN-738</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>October 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Michigan</td>
<td>SSBN-727</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>May 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Nebraska</td>
<td>SSBN-739</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>May 1999**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Nevada</td>
<td>SSBN-733</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>April 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Ohio</td>
<td>SSBN-726</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>March 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Pennsylvania</td>
<td>SSBN-735</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>May 1999**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Rhode Island</td>
<td>SSBN-740</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>April 1999**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Tennessee</td>
<td>SSBN-734</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>March 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. West Virginia</td>
<td>SSBN-736</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>December 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S. Wyoming</td>
<td>SSBN-742</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>April 1999**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SSBN Ship Submersible Ballistic Nuclear.
** Planned implementation date (dependent on ship availability).
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