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OBJECTIVES AND METHODS

The U.S. Army Environmental Center (AEC) tasked the Mandatory Center of Expertise for the Curation and Management of Archaeological Collections (MCX) with the job of assisting Army installation personnel in complying with the requirements of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (P.L. 101-601, NAGPRA). The MCX was asked to locate and assess archaeological collections derived from Army-owned lands, to identify the federally recognized Native American tribes most likely culturally affiliated with the collections, to draft Section 6 Summary letters for each installation, and to conduct physical inventories of any collections that contain human skeletal remains. This report conveys the results of the collections research completed to assist Fort Rucker in complying with the Section 6 Summary requirements of NAGPRA.

The MCX used a two-stage process to identify, locate, and assess the contents of archaeological collections from the installation. First, archival research was performed to review all archaeological site records and reports for the installation. Second, telephone interviews were conducted with personnel at installations, universities, museums, and archaeological contractors that were identified during the archival research as possible repositories of Army collections. The MCX did not physically verify the existence of collections and, as such, the information contained in this report is based on background record reviews and information obtained via telephone interviews with the aforementioned installation personnel and institution professionals.

Archival research for Fort Rucker began with a search of the National Archeological Data Base (NADB) for references pertaining to the installation. This was followed by a thorough examination of all archaeological site forms and a literature review of all pertinent archaeological reports and manuscripts on file at the Office of Archaeological Services, University of Alabama Museum, Moundville, Alabama. The records search was performed at the Office of Archaeological Services in July 1995 and sought to identify any work on the installation that may have produced archaeological collections.

Subsequent telephone interviews to potential collections repositories ascertained whether the materials were present and the range of objects in each collection. Once the collections were located and assessed, MCX personnel identified federally recognized Native American tribes that are likely to be culturally affiliated with the materials in the collections. Draft Section 6 Summary letters are enclosed for each of these tribes (Appendix I), as well as a listing of the current tribal chairperson (Appendix II).
RESEARCH RESULTS

ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS AT FORT RUCKER

Archaeological work on Fort Rucker began in 1983 with a systematic survey conducted by Southeastern Wildlife Services, which covered 35% of the installation. Since that time, five other groups have conducted archaeological work on the Fort:

Brockington and Associates, Charleston, South Carolina,
Geo-Marine, Plano, Texas,
Southeastern Archaeological Services, Athens, Georgia,
Troy State University, Department of Archaeology, Troy, Alabama, and
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District, Mobile, Alabama,

A total of 20 archaeological investigations took place on the installation. These projects consisted of systematic surveys and test excavations. According to Eric Poplin of Brockington and Associates, as of August 1995, 100% of all undisturbed land on Fort Rucker has been surveyed (telephone conversation, 14 August 1995).

SUMMARY OF ARCHAELOGICAL COLLECTIONS

A total of approximately 17 ft³ of boxed archaeological materials and associated documentation has been identified for Fort Rucker. Artifacts recovered from the post include prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, and shell, as well as historic ceramics, glass, bricks, and personal items. No human remains were excavated. As of September 1995, the collections are reportedly located in the following five repositories:

Brockington and Associates, Charleston, South Carolina 3 ft³
Geo-Marine, Plano, Texas 2 ft³
Troy State University, Department of Archaeology,
Troy, Alabama 2 ft³
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District 2 ft³
University of Alabama Museum, Moundville
Archaeological Park, Moundville, Alabama 8 ft³
The attached *Summary of Current Locations of Archaeological Collections from Fort Rucker* (Appendix III) provides detailed information about each collection derived as a result of archaeological investigations at the installation. A collection consists of all of the materials, artifacts and associated documentation (e.g., field notes, maps, photographs, data analyses, correspondence), produced as a result of an archaeological investigation or project at a single site or multiple sites. In some cases, the same archaeological site may have been investigated by various individuals or organizations. Depending on where the resulting collections are curated, they may be stored and identified as separate collections or separate components of a single collection. In cases where the artifacts and records have become separated, we list the records collections repository as well.

Every attempt has been made to locate all collections cited on available archaeological site records or in published and unpublished references to archaeological investigations on the installation.

Fort Rucker collections housed at Geo-Marine, Plano, Texas, are the result of a 1994 survey on the Fort which was terminated before completion. There is no report or site numbers associated with this collection. As of the date of this report, Geo-Marine is in the process of submitting a proposal to complete this project.

**NAGPRA-Related Materials**

No human remains, associated or unassociated funerary objects were identified in the archival search and telephone interviews conducted by the MCX. The final determination of any funerary objects, sacred objects or objects of cultural patrimony among the Fort Rucker collections may be established upon further consultation with culturally affiliated Native American tribes according to the definitions and restrictions specified in NAGPRA.

**REFERENCES TO ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

Two sets of references regarding archaeological work conducted on Fort Rucker are attached: Appendix IV contains the list of references reviewed by the MCX during its archival research; Appendix V is the list of references contained in the National Archeological Data Base (NADB).
NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES ASSOCIATED WITH FORT RUCKER

The present location of Fort Rucker is in close proximity to the lower Chattahoochee River Valley which, during the Mississippian period (ca. A.D. 900 - 1600) was the heartland of the Fort Walton culture. Numerous archaeological sites located on the installation contain Fort Walton-like pottery (Braley 1986:16). It is believed that this Mississippian culture is ancestral to the Lower Creek, who are believed to have invaded the area in the sixteenth century (Hudson 1978:83).

The aboriginal territory of the Creek Confederacy, which includes both Upper and Lower Creek tribes, encompasses the area that is now most of Georgia and Alabama, as well as a small part of northern Florida, eastern Louisiana and southern Tennessee. The majority of Creek villages were situated along the banks of the Coosa, Tallopoosa, Flint, Ocmulgee, and Chattahoochee rivers (Waldman 1988:74). Based on the 1978 Indian Land Claims cases, the Creek were adjudicated aboriginal tribal occupancy lands that include present day Fort Rucker (U.S.G.S. n.d.).

In 1814, the Creek Indians ceded the southeastern portion of what is now Alabama, including the area now occupied by Fort Rucker, to the United States government (Peterson and Otter n.d.:6-22). In 1836, the federal government and the government of Alabama used a unified Creek resistance under Eneah Emothla as justification for the tribe's complete relocation to Oklahoma (Waldman 1985:184). However, a few small Creek groups were able to avoid the relocation, and descendants of these people are dispersed into discrete communities east of the Mississippi. Three of these communities are in close approximation to Ft. Rucker. The Principle Creek Indian Nation East of the Mississippi is located in Florala, Alabama about 40 miles southwest of Fort Rucker. The MaChis Lower Alabama Creek Indian Tribe is located in New Brocton, Alabama, less than ten miles from Ft. Rucker. Finally, the Poarch Creek Indian Reservation, is about 100 miles directly west of Fort Rucker in Atmore, Alabama. According to the July 1995 *Summary Status of Native American Acknowledgement Cases*, the only federally recognized tribe of the three is the Poarch Band (Bureau of Indian Affairs 1995). The Poarch Band is also, according to the Alabama State Historic Preservation Officer, the only federally recognized tribe which might have claims to materials from Fort Rucker (Peterson and Otter n.d.:6-22).

In 1937, as part of the Indian Reorganization Act, federal charters were offered to Creek tribal towns located in Oklahoma. Only three of them accepted. These three federally recognized Creek tribal towns in Oklahoma are: the Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town, the Kialegee Tribal Town, and the Thlopthlocco Tribal Town (Moore 1994:151).

The Seminole claim aboriginal occupation of the land directly south of the Creek territory (U.S.G.S. n.d.). This aboriginal land claim is approximately twenty miles directly south of Fort Rucker at the Alabama-Florida state border. The first of the Seminoles in this area were
Lower Creeks who in late colonial times had moved in to occupy the region which was left vacant by the Apalachees who were forced out during the Moore raid of 1704 (Cotterill 1954:231). Later, the Seminole also incorporated members of the Eastern Muskogean peoples, such as the Yamasis and Apalachees (Sattler 1994:574-577). However, during the course of the Second Seminole War, 1835 to 1842, members of this tribe were forcibly removed to what is now east central Oklahoma by the United States government, and at that point they became the Seminole of Oklahoma (Sattler 1994:574-577).

The Chatot, the only Apalachicola River tribe which maintained an existence apart from the Creek Confederacy, were believed to have lived somewhere near the middle course of the Chipola River, which is directly southeast of present day Fort Rucker. The first European mention of this tribe was in a 1639 letter from the Spanish governor of Florida (Swanton 1922:134). Due to hostility on the part of the Creek Indians of the Yuchi tribe, the Chatot by 1680 had abandoned their country and withdrawn among the Apalachee further into Florida (Swanton 1922:135). The last historical report of the Chatot was a reference in Jedidiah Morse’s 1822, Report to the Secretary of War Regarding the Indians, in which he reports they were living fifty miles above the mouth of the Sabine River in Louisiana (Swanton 1922:137). Several sources confirm the belief that their descendants are now represented by the Choctaw Indians (Swanton 1922:137, Pickett 1896:119).
SECTION 6 COMPLIANCE

P.L. 101-601 (NAGPRA) requires that federal agencies engage in active consultation with Native Americans with federally recognized tribes and/or lineal descendants who may be culturally affiliated with the archaeological collections from the installation. Enclosed are draft NAGPRA Section 6 Summary letters (Appendix I) and a list of the tribal chairpersons from the federally recognized tribes which may be culturally affiliated with the collections (Appendix II).

Of the tribes referenced above, the Creek, Seminole, and Choctaw have federally recognized tribes. Other tribes, particularly the Lower Muskogee Creek Tribe East of the Mississippi in Georgia, Creeks East of the Mississippi in Florida, Principal Creek Indian Nation in Alabama, and the MaChis Lower Alabama Creek Indian Tribe have petitioned and been denied federal recognition (Bureau of Indian Affairs 1995:3). The Florida Tribe of Eastern Creek Indians, the Seminole Nation of Florida, the Oklewaha Band of Seminole Indians of Florida, and the Choctaw-Apache Community of Ebarb, Louisiana are in the process of petitioning for federal recognition.

Initial consultation should be conducted on a government-to-government basis with federally recognized tribes. Refer to P.L. 101-601 (NAGPRA) for specifics on required Native American consultation and the legal definitions of items subject to NAGPRA.
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APPENDIX I

DRAFT SECTION 6 SUMMARY LETTERS
FOR FORT RUCKER, ALABAMA

[Installation Header]

Mr. Bill S. Fife, Principal Chief
Muscogee Creek Nation of Oklahoma
P.O. Box 580
Okmulgee, Oklahoma 74447

Dear Mr. Fife:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Creek. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Creek because some of these materials were found in, or around, the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Creek. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Creek on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC  U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
       ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
       Fort Monroe, VA  23651-5000

USAEC  Commander, US Army Environmental Center
       ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
       Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD  21010-5401

MCX  Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
       ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
       1222 Spruce Street
       St. Louis, MO  63103-2833
Dear Mr. Tullis:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Creek. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Creek because some of these materials were found in, or around, the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Creek. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Creek on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC
U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

USAEC
Commander, US Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

MCX
Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
1222 Spruce Street
St. Louis, MO 63103-2833
Mr. Tony Martin, Town King
Kialgee Tribal Town of the Creek Indian Nation of Oklahoma
318 S. Washita, P.O. Box 332
Wetumka, OK 74883

Dear Mr. Martin:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Creek. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Creek because some of these materials were found in, or around, the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Creek. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Creek on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC
U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

USAEC
Commander, US Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

MCX
Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
1222 Spruce Street
St. Louis, MO 63103-2833
Dear Mr. McGertt:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Creek. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Creek because some of these materials were found in, or around, the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Creek. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Creek on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC
U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

USAEC
Commander, US Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

MCX
Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
1222 Spruce Street
St. Louis, MO 63103-2833
Mr. Duke Harjo, Chief
Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town of the Creek Indian Nation of Oklahoma
P.O. Box 537
Henryetta, OK 74437

Dear Mr. Harjo:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Creek. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Creek because some of these materials were found in, or around, the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Creek. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Creek on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC
U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

USAEC
Commander, US Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

MCX
Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
1222 Spruce Street
St. Louis, MO 63103-2833
Dear Mr. Martin:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Choctaw. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Choctaw because some of these materials were found near the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Chatot. Several sources confirm the belief that the Chatot's decendants are now represented by the Choctaw Indians. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Choctaw on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC  U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
Fort Monroe, VA  23651-5000

USAEC  Commander, US Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD  21010-5401

MCX  Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
1222 Spruce Street
St. Louis, MO  63103-2833
Dear Mr. Roberts:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Choctaw. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Choctaw because some of these materials were found near the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Chatot. Several sources confirm the belief that the Chatot's descendants are now represented by the Choctaw Indians. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Choctaw on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF:      TRADOC        U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
         ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
         Fort Monroe, VA  23651-5000

USAEC    Commander, US Army Environmental Center
         ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
         Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD  21010-5401

MCX      Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
         ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
         1222 Spruce Street
         St. Louis, MO  63103-2833
Dear Dr. Jackson:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Choctaw. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Choctaw because some of these materials were found near the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Chatot. Several sources confirm the belief that the Chatot’s descendants are now represented by the Choctaw Indians. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Choctaw on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC
U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

USAEC
Commander, US Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

MCX
Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
1222 Spruce Street
St. Louis, MO 63103-2833
Dear Mr. Billie:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Seminole. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Seminole because some of these materials were found near the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Seminole. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Seminole on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

USAEC Commander, US Army Environmental Center
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Gulenzopf)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

MCX Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)
1222 Spruce Street
St. Louis, MO 63103-2833
Mr. Jerry Haney, Principal Chief  
Seminole Nation of Oklahoma  
P.O. Box 1498  
Wewoka, Oklahoma 74884

Dear Mr. Haney:

I am writing to inform you of collections held by Fort Rucker that may contain Native American cultural items, as defined by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the Seminole. Fort Rucker has documented these archaeological collections in a Summary in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of NAGPRA.

Fort Rucker is responsible for collections that include approximately 15 cubic feet housed at Troy State University, Department of Archaeology; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District; Brockington and Associates; and The University of Alabama Museum, Moundville. These archaeological collections were generated from 19 different surveys and/or test excavations conducted on Fort Rucker from 1983 to the present. The collections consist of prehistoric lithics, ceramics, floral remains, animal bone, historic ceramics, glass, bricks, metal, and personal items, as well as associated documentation such as field notes, photographs, and correspondence. No human skeletal remains or associated funerary objects were identified in our review of the collections documentation.

We are notifying the Seminole because some of these materials were found near the area thought to be part of the territory occupied or used aboriginally by the Seminole. In accordance with Section 6 of NAGPRA, we are inviting you to consult with us regarding these archaeological collections. Upon your written request, we will provide you access to review our records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data for the purpose of determining the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of these collections.

Please feel free to contact [Installation POC name] regarding any of these issues, at [telephone, address].
To facilitate discussions between Fort Rucker and the Seminole on these matters, please forward the name and method of contacting your tribal representative, traditional religious leader, or preferred NAGPRA point of contact. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Commander's signature]

CF: TRADOC  
U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command  
ATTN: ATBO-SE (Chris McDaid)  
Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

USAEC  
Commander, US Army Environmental Center  
ATTN: SFIM-AEC-EC (Guldenzopf)  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

MCX  
Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers  
ATTN: CELMS-PD-C (Trimble)  
1222 Spruce Street  
St. Louis, MO 63103-2833
APPENDIX II

NATIVE AMERICAN POINTS OF CONTACT
FOR FORT RUCKER, ALABAMA

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

Creek:
Mr. Bill S. Fife, Principal Chief
Muscogee Creek Nation of Oklahoma
P.O. Box 580
Okmulgee, Oklahoma 74447
Phone: (918) 756-8700
Fax: (918) 756-2911

Mr. Eddie Tullis, Chairman
Poarch Band of Creek Indians
HCR 69A, Box 85B
Atmore, AL 63502
Phone: (205) 368-9136
Fax: (205) 368-4502

Mr. Tony Martin, Town King
Kialegee Tribal Town of the Creek Indian Nation of Oklahoma
318 S. Washita, P.O. Box 332
Wetumka, OK 74883
Phone: (405) 452-3413

Mr. Charley McGertt, Town King
Thlopthlocco Tribal Town of the Creek Indian Nation of Oklahoma
P.O. Box 706
Okemah, OK 74859
Phone: (918) 623-2620
Fax: (918) 623-0419

Mr. Duke Harjo, Chief
Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town of the Creek Indian Nation of Oklahoma
P.O. Box 537
Henryetta, OK 74437
Phone: (918) 652-8708
Choctaw:
Mr. Phillip Martin, Tribal Chief
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians
P.O. Box 6010- Choctaw Branch
Philadelphia, Mississippi 39350
Phone: (601) 656-5251
Fax: (601) 656-1992

Dr. Jerry Jackson
Jena Band of Choctaw
P.O. Box 14
Jena, Louisiana 71342-0014
Phone: (318) 992-2717

Mr. Hollis E. Roberts, Chief
Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma
P.O. Drawer 1210, 16th and Locust St.
Durant, Oklahoma 74702
Phone: (405) 924-8280
Fax: (405) 924-1150

Seminole:
Mr. James E. Billie, Chairman
Seminole Tribe of Florida
6073 Stirling Road
Hollywood, Florida 33024
Phone: (305) 584-0400
Fax: (305) 581-8917

Mr. Jerry Haney, Principal Chief
Seminole Nation of Oklahoma
P.O. Box 1498
Wewoka, Oklahoma 74884
Phone: (405) 257-6287
Fax: (405) 257-6205
APPENDIX III

SUMMARY OF CURRENT LOCATIONS OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL COLLECTIONS FROM FORT RUCKER, ALABAMA

All collections information has been entered into a Paradox data base file and can be queried by any of the fields listed below, as well as by the name of the installation and by MACOM. The data base will be delivered by the MCX to the U.S. Army Environmental Center upon completion of the U.S. Army NAGPRA Compliance Project. Inquiries for additional information are welcome (MCX: 314-331-8865; U.S. Army Environmental Center NAGPRA Compliance Project: 410-671-1573). The data fields listed in the summary of collections contain the following information:

REPOSITORY: The current location in which the collection is stored, as of the date of this report.

REPOSITORY POC: The person contacted by the MCX, or the person to whom inquiries regarding the collection should be addressed.

TELEPHONE: The telephone number for the repository POC.

COLLECTION ID: The identifying unit used by the repository to store and/or locate the collection. This can be a unique accession number assigned by the repository, the archaeological site number or project name, the name of the collector of the collection, or another number or name assigned by the repository.

SITE NUMBERS: The official site number or name only for those sites from which materials were collected. An investigator may have performed work at additional sites but did not collect any materials. Those site numbers are not included in this field.

FIELDWORK DATES: The date(s) during which the investigation(s) occurred. This information is provided to differentiate between projects that may have investigated the same site repeatedly.

EXCAVATOR/COLLECTOR: The individual and/or organization that conducted the investigation.
COLLECTION SIZE: The volume or number of objects in a collection, estimated by the repository POC or from project reports.

DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS: General material classes of the objects in the collection derived from data provided on site records, in references, and/or by the Repository POC.

ANTIQUITY/ARCH. PERIOD: Chronological or cultural-historical designations recorded on site records or in references specific to the collection.

CULTURAL AFFILIATION: This column contains only those ethnic identifications found in the site records or references specific to the collection. This field is left blank if no such information was recorded.

BASIS OF DETERMINATION: Documents the source of the cultural affiliation information (e.g., site record, oral testimony, reference).

SECTION 5 MATERIALS: Describes the number and kind of human skeletal remains and associated funerary objects in the collection, as indicated by the site records, references, repository management documents, or information from repository POC. If these materials are present or are suspected to be present, NAGPRA Section 5 requires a physical inventory of the materials.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repository</th>
<th>Repository POC</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Collection ID</th>
<th>Site Numbers</th>
<th>Fieldwork Dates</th>
<th>Excavator/Collector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brockington and Associates</td>
<td>Eric Poplin</td>
<td>(803) 681-3128</td>
<td>1995 Fort Rucker Survey</td>
<td>1Co8, 1Co25, 1Da43, 1Da45, 1Da47, 1Da168; CE-1-92, CE-2-92; 8 Sites Without Numbers 39 isolated Finds</td>
<td>Jul. 1995</td>
<td>Todd McMacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resource Office, US Army Corps of Engineers - Mobile District</td>
<td>Jerry Nielsen</td>
<td>(334) 694-4113</td>
<td>Administrative Collection, Lake Tholocco Survey</td>
<td>Lake Tholocco Survey CE1-CE9</td>
<td>Sept. 3-12, 1990</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Archaeology, Troy State University</td>
<td>Bill Grantham</td>
<td>(334) 671-3637</td>
<td>1Da267 thru 1Da289, 1Da276 thru 1Da282</td>
<td>1Da267 thru 1Da289, 1Da276 thru 1 Da282</td>
<td>Oct. 1991; Apr. 1992</td>
<td>McDonald Broom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo-Marine, Plano, TX</td>
<td>Blaine Ensor</td>
<td>(214) 423-5480</td>
<td>Waterway Experimental Station Survey, Fort Rucker</td>
<td>No Site Numbers</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Blaine Ensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Archaeological Services, University of Alabama Museum, Moundville</td>
<td>Dr. Eugene Futato</td>
<td>(205) 371-2266</td>
<td>Southeastern Archaeological Services, Fort Rucker Survey and Test Excavation</td>
<td>1Da41 thru 1Da256, 1Co19, 1Co122 thru 1Co42</td>
<td>1983 &amp; 1985 - 1986</td>
<td>Chad O. Braley, Elizabeth Misner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Numbers</td>
<td>Fieldwork Dates</td>
<td>Excavator/Collector</td>
<td>Collection Size</td>
<td>Description of Materials</td>
<td>Antiquity/Archaeological Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Co8, 1Co25; 1Da43, 1Da45, 1Da47; 1Da168; CE-1-92, CE-2-92; 8 Sites Without Numbers 39 Isolated Finds</td>
<td>Jul. 1995</td>
<td>Todd McMacon</td>
<td>2 cu. ft. Artifacts; 1 linear ft. Associated Records</td>
<td>20% Prehistoric Ceramics, 60% Lithics; 20% Historic Ceramics &amp; Glass</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988 Timber Harvest Site 1</td>
<td>Nov. 14-18, 1988</td>
<td>Jerry Nielsen, Neil Robsin</td>
<td>9 Objects</td>
<td>8 Flakes, 1 Point Frag.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989 Timber Harvest CE1-CE16</td>
<td>May 8-12 1989; Sept. 5-8, 1988</td>
<td>Jerry Nielsen</td>
<td>155 Objects</td>
<td>24 Prehistoric Ceramics; 32 Historic Ceramics; 2 Historic Glass, 7 Lithic Tools, 90 Flakes</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-91-1, 1-91-2, 1-91-3, 1-91-4, 4-91-5, -91-6</td>
<td>Jul. 30 - Aug. 9, 1991</td>
<td>Charles Moorehead, Jerry Nielsen</td>
<td>29 Objects</td>
<td>2 Lithic Tools, 18 Flakes; 8 Historic Ceramics, 1 Piece of Glass</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Numbers Not Given</td>
<td>Feb. 22-23, 1992</td>
<td>Charles Moorehead, Jerry Nielsen</td>
<td>3 Objects</td>
<td>3 Lithic Flakes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Numbers Not Given</td>
<td>Apr. 1992</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3 Objects</td>
<td>3 Lithic Flakes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-1-92, CE-2-92; 11 Artifact occurrences</td>
<td>Apr. 1992</td>
<td>Jerry Nielsen, Charles Moorehead</td>
<td>138 Objects</td>
<td>65 Lithic Flakes, 1 Projectile Point, 1 Chert Nodule, 67 Prehistoric Ceramics; 1 Historic Ceramic</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Numbers Not Given; 9 Artifact concentrations</td>
<td>Jul. 12-23, 1993</td>
<td>Dottie Gibbens, Jerry Nielsen</td>
<td>33 Objects</td>
<td>33 Flakes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ike Toloroco Survey CE1-CE9</td>
<td>Sept. 3-12, 1990</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>221 Objects</td>
<td>129 Prehistoric Lithic Flakes, 12 Lithic Tools, 1 Steatite Bowl Fragment, 65 Prehistoric Ceramics, 6 Glass, 4 Metal</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ja267 thru 1Da269, 1Da276 thru Ja282</td>
<td>Oct. 1991; Apr. 1992</td>
<td>McDonald Broom</td>
<td>1 cu. ft. Artifacts; &gt; 1 linear ft. Records</td>
<td>Prehistoric Ceramics, Lithics; Historic Glass, Whiteware Lead, Brick, 1 Unident Molar</td>
<td>Woodland, Middle to Late Archaic; Historic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Numbers</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Blaine Ensor</td>
<td>2 cu. ft.</td>
<td>Prehistoric Ceramics, Lithics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a41 thru 1Da296, 1Co19, 1Co122 u 1Co42</td>
<td>1983 &amp; 1985 - 1986</td>
<td>Chad O. Brailey, Elizabeth Misner</td>
<td>7 cu. ft.</td>
<td>Prehistoric Ceramics, Lithics, Botanical Remains, 51 Pieces of Bone; Historic Ceramics, Glass, Metal, Brick</td>
<td>Paleo-Indian through Historic Aboriginal, Historic European</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Description of Materials</td>
<td>Antiquity/Archaeological Period</td>
<td>Cultural Affiliation</td>
<td>Basis of Determination</td>
<td>Section 5 Materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>20% Prehistoric Ceramics, 60% Lithics; 20% Historic Ceramics &amp; Glass</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Flakes</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Prehistoric Ceramics; 32 Historic Ceramics, 2 Historic Glass, 7 Lithic Tools, 90 Flakes</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Lithic Tools, 18 Flakes; 8 Historic Ceramics, 1 Piece of Glass</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Lithic Flakes</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Lithic Flakes</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 Lithic Flakes, 1 Projectile Point, 1 Chert Nodule, 67 Prehistoric Ceramics; 1 Historic Ceramic</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Flakes</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129 Prehistoric Lithic Flakes, 12 Lithic Tools, 1 Steatite Bowl Fragment, 65 Prehistoric Ceramics, 4 Historic Ceramics, 6 Glass, 4 Metal</td>
<td>Prehistoric; Historic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric Ceramics, Lithics; Historic Glass, Whiteware Lead, Brick, 1 Unident Molar</td>
<td>Woodland, Middle to Late Archaic; Historic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric Ceramics, Lithics</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th Century Ceramics &amp; Glass</td>
<td>20th Century Euro-American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric Ceramics, Lithics, Botanical Remains, 51 Pieces of Bone; Historic Ceramics, Glass, Metal, Brick</td>
<td>Paleo-Indian through Historic Aboriginal, Historic European</td>
<td>Creek</td>
<td>Report Braley and Misner 1988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX IV

MCX LIST OF REFERENCES

In addition to the references reviewed by MCX personnel at the archaeological site information office, every attempt was made to obtain references cited but not on file. Jerry Nielsen at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District, was able to provide copies of reports produced by the Mobile District which were not on file at the Office of Archaeological Services, University of Alabama Museum. Information taken from all these references was coded for data relating to collections made from site located on installation property (see attached sample of PD-C Bibliographic Data Sheet form) and entered into a data base for ease of manipulation. Report titles were drawn directly from the title page of reports, and consist of the following fields:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIELD</th>
<th>DATA ENTERED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject Property</td>
<td>Army Installation name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Primary author's last name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Primary author's first name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Initial</td>
<td>Primary author's middle initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Authors</td>
<td>Names of secondary authors, or in instances where the author is a company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rather than an individual, the company name is listed here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Title of the reference. For letter reports, the person or agency to whom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the correspondence is addressed is listed as the title.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series</td>
<td>If the report is part of a publication series, the name and number are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provided here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Date of publication or submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Report length in pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Number</td>
<td>Contract number and delivery order number, if applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The data for the next three fields are drawn directly from the report title page and reflect the hierarchy of contracting agencies involved in accomplishing the work. In some cases, the sponsoring agency is listed as the Army installation; in others, the intermediary contracting agent, (e.g., the Army Corps of Engineers or the National Park Service) is listed as the Sponsoring Agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsoring Agency</th>
<th>Agency for which the report was prepared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>The agent contracted to perform the work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor</td>
<td>The agent subcontracted to perform the work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of the citations for archaeological investigations on Army land refer to unpublished reports prepared under contract with federal agencies, consequently the MCX printout was designed to address these reports. In instances where the author is a company rather than an individual, the company name is listed in the Secondary Authors field (due to the length of the field). For published references, the publisher is listed in the Sponsoring Agency field.
PD-C Bibliography Data Sheet

Date: ____________________________  Information obtained by: ____________________________

PD-C Project:

Subject Property:

Repository (name and location):

Record Collection Name/Number:

Report Date and Length (in pages):

Author(s):

Title:

Contractor/Address or Publisher/Address (city, state):

Subcontractor/Address (city, state):

Report Series and Number:

Contract/Purchase Order Number(s):

Sponsoring Agency/Address:

Project Name and Location:

   Principal Investigator(s)/Director(s):

   Fieldwork Dates:

   Type of Investigation (e.g., survey, testing, mitigation):

CELMPS Form 810  Previous Editions of This Form Obsolete  CELMS-PD-C
Feb. 1995
Site Numbers:

Archaeological Period (e.g., Hohokam, Mississippian):

Material Classes (range):

Artifact Collections and Locations:

Approximate Size of Collections (e.g., number of objects):

Record Collections and Locations:

NAGPRA Materials (Check if present)

Human Skeletal Remains

Objects

Associated Funerary

Unassociated Funerary

Sacred

Cultural Patrimony

Cultural Affiliation(s):

Basis for Affiliation Determination (e.g., geographic location, burial practices):

Comments:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Initial:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title: 1988 Cultural Resource Investigations Timber Harvest Areas 1-88, 2-88, 3-88 and 4-88 Fort Rucker, Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 12/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Agency: US Army Aviation Center - Fort Rucker, AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Initial:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title: 1989 Historic Resource Investigation Fort Rucker, Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 09/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Agency: US Army Aviation Center - Fort Rucker, AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Initial:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title: 1991 Historic Resource Investigations Fort Rucker, Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 08/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Agency: US Army Aviation Center - Fort Rucker, AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Last name: First name: Middle Initial:

Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Title: A Cultural Resource Reconnaissance of the Longstreet Helicopter Stagefield and Ordnance Impact Area at US Army Fort Rucker, Coffee and Dale Counties, Alabama

Series:

Date: 06/05/85 Length: 5 Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency:

Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Subcontractor:

---

Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Last name: First name: Middle Initial:

Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Title: A Cultural Resource Survey of Three Proposed Helicopter Stagefields in Coffee County, Alabama, For Fort Rucker Army Aviation School.

Series:

Date: 03/05/85 Length: 5 Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency: Fort Rucker

Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Subcontractor:

---

Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Last name: First name: Middle Initial:

Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Title: A Cultural Resources Survey of Timber Sale Areas Fort Rucker Enterprise, Alabama

Series:

Date: 08/27/87 Length: Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency:

Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Subcontractor:
Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Title: Archeological Survey of Golf Course Expansion Areas Fort Rucker, Alabama

Date: 02/92 Length: 10 Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency: US Army Aviation Center - Fort Rucker, AL
Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District
Subcontractor:

---

Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Title: Cultural Resources Survey of the Proposed Knox Field Expansion Project

Date: 04/92 Length: 11 Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency: US Army Aviation Center - Fort Rucker, AL
Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District
Subcontractor:

---

Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Secondary Authors: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District

Title: Examination of Aerial Gunnery Range Construction at Former Site of Salem Church, Fort Rucker, Alabama

Date: 06/16/88 Length: Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency:

Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District
Subcontractor:
### MCX List of References for Fort Rucker, Alabama [TRADOC] as of November 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>Sponsoring Agency</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Last name: Braley
First name: Chad
Middle Initial: O.

Secondary Authors: Elizabeth J. Misner

Title: The Archeological Testing & Evaluation of Eight Sites at Fort Rucker, Alabama.

Series:

Date: 05/05/86
Length: 189
Contract Number: CX5000-5-0034

Sponsoring Agency: US Army Aviation Center, Fort Rucker, AL
Contractor: National Park Service, Archeological Services Division
Subcontractor: Southeastern Archeological Services, Inc.

---

Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Last name: Ehrenhard
First name: John
Middle Initial: E.

Secondary Authors:

Title: Letter Report

Series:

Date: 01/24/85
Length: 2
Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency: Environmental Office, Fort Rucker, AL
Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District
Subcontractor:

---

Subject property: Fort Rucker, AL

Last name: McClure, IV
First name: N.
Middle Initial: D.

Secondary Authors:

Title: Letter Report

Series:

Date: 04/07/88
Length:
Contract Number:

Sponsoring Agency: US Army
Contractor: US Army Corps of Engineers-Mobile District
Subcontractor:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject property</th>
<th>Fort Rucker, AL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last name</td>
<td>Oaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First name</td>
<td>F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Initial</td>
<td>Lawrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Letter Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>08/18/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Contract Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>State of Alabama, Alabama Historical Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX V

NATIONAL ARCHEOLOGICAL DATA BASE REFERENCES FOR FORT RUCKER, ALABAMA

No attempt has been made to edit the National Archeological Data Base format or to correct obvious errors.

Braley, Chad O. and Ronald L. Mitchelson

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Unknown