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Abstract

The Al/Al₂ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ metal-matrix composite is unique. Unlike most MMC’s, which are formed by chemical reaction of the reinforcing phase with the matrix, the intermetallic particles in this material develop by solidification. The particles are formed when a molten mixture of the elemental constituents in the composite is cast. The apparent result of this process is a particle/matrix interface of impressive strength. The composite has shown excellent ductility, but there has been no evidence of particle pullout or debonding. It is proposed that the mechanical properties and microscopic features of this composite be determined and compared to those found in materials with apparently brittle interfaces, e.g. Al/SiC. The properties will also be compared to those found in a composite of the same composition but prepared differently, i.e. by long-term, high temperature aging of supersaturated Al solid solutions containing small quantities of Zr and Ti. These comparisons will help to explain the interfacial strength of the Al/Al₂ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ metal-matrix composite.
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1. Introduction

Metal-matrix composites (MMCs) are engineering materials which have begun to receive great attention. Though the mechanical properties of MMC's have, in many cases, been extensively studied, fundamental knowledge of the interfacial region in these composites is lacking. Determination of the extent to which this aspect of the composites affects their performance is the broad goal of this research. Mechanical strength, for example is largely determined by the bonding between a two-component material. The chemical stability of the interfacial region is of importance in its long-time performance. The defect structure of the region, e.g. particle-dislocation interactions, may determine the material's properties. Thus, rational design of a composite material requires an understanding of intrinsic interfacial behavior.

The material system consisting of $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}$ crystallites in an Al matrix is a different type of composite. Unlike other metal matrix composites, which usually consist of metal alloys reinforced with ceramics, the $\text{Al}/\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}$ system is more accurately described as a "natural" composite. The intermetallics exist as equilibrium phases; the material is prepared by casting of a molten mixture of Al, Zr and Ti. Thus, the composite's interfacial properties are unique: the second phase develops upon solidification rather than by chemical reaction with the matrix material. In an as-cast condition, the particles are far too large (hundreds of microns) to confer strengthening by the means found in precipitation-hardened alloys, e.g. Orowan strengthening. Rather, strengthening in composites occurs by the transfer of load from the matrix to the reinforcing phase, which consists of large particles, fibers, whiskers, etc. Despite the size, as well as the brittleness, of the particles in the the $\text{Al}/\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}$ composite material, it has shown impressive ductility. Although particles are dramatically reduced in size during physical deformation of the sample, the particle/matrix interface apparently has considerable strength and it appears to "heal": there is no evidence of particle debonding or fallout.
Solidification of $\text{Al}_2\text{Zr}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}$ from the liquid phase is not the only way to manufacture this MMC. It has been shown that, from the melt, accelerated cooling rates result in a supersaturated solid solution of Zr and Ti in Al. Proper thermal and mechanical treatments of this solid produce (either directly or indirectly, via a metastable phase) the equilibrium intermetallic phase. It seems possible that long-term aging at high temperatures will result in particles of the same approximate size as those found in the heavily deformed composites above. The $\text{Al/Al}_2\text{Zr}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}$ composite therefore invites some interesting comparisons, not only between it and synthetic MMC's, but between the two methods of preparing the same material. The purpose of this research is to explore, by mechanical testing and microscopic analysis, the role the interface plays in distinguishing amongst the properties of these different species of composites.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 Present MMC’s

Synthetic MMC’s are most frequently prepared by chemical reaction of the reinforcing phase with the matrix material. The result is often a reaction zone, which may adversely affect the composite’s properties. A common example is the Al/SiC MMC. Possible reaction products are $\text{Al}_4\text{C}_3$, and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (from reduction of the silicon oxide layer at the surface of the SiC), and MgO if Mg is present in the Al alloy[1, 2]. The resulting reaction zone is brittle and acts as a preferred site for crack initiation. Additionally, the formation of reaction products has been used as an explanation for the poor abrasive wear of Al/SiC composites due to the weakness of the brittle interface[3]. The presence of a reaction zone is not expected in the $\text{Al/Al}_2\text{Zr}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x}$ system, in which equilibrium solidification produces the second phase, or in which coarsening creates large particles.

Another example of the poor bonding at a metal-ceramic interface is the $\text{Al/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ system. Elastic moduli of composites with various fractions of second phase show only small increases over the modulus of the Al matrix, in spite of the much larger modulus of alumina[4].
Furthermore, Clegg, et al[5] have shown that composites of 99.99% Al reinforced with Al$_2$O$_3$ fibers demonstrate brittle fracture behavior. The bonding in this system is largely ionic in character, whereas metallic bonding predominates in metal matrices reinforced with intermetallics. It has been suggested[6] that there may be less tendency for fatigue crack initiation at the interface if it is characterized by metallic bonding rather than by covalent or ionic bonding. For example, fatigue cracks initiate at the Al/Al$_2$O$_3$ interface[7, 8], but not at the Fe/Fe$_3$C interface[9]. For these reasons, the Al/Al$_3$Zr$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$ system may offer promise in areas where other MMC’s have failed. Indeed, the ductility already shown by the composite encourages optimism.

2.2 Materials Selection

The materials chosen for this study were originally selected in a search for high-temperature aluminum alloys. In this application, fine thermodynamically stable particles which resist coarsening at elevated temperatures are desired. By minimizing the lattice disregistry across the particle/matrix interface, the interfacial energy would, presumably, be lowered. This, in turn, would reduce the driving force for particle growth by Ostwald ripening. Fine[10] suggested a variety of intermetallic compounds which might satisfy these conditions. Two of these, Al$_3$Zr and Al$_3$Ti, possess tetragonal crystal structures with lattice mismatches along the a-axis of approximately 1% and 5%, respectively, compared to the lattice parameter of FCC aluminum[11]. Furthermore, owing to the solid solubility between these two compounds, it seemed probable that a mixed crystal of the type Al$_3$Zr$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$ could be formed.

Phase diagrams of the Al-Zr and Al-Ti systems are given in Figures 1 and 2[12]. At the aluminum-rich end of both diagrams, the Al$_3$(Zr, Ti) compound reacts peritectically with liquid Al to form a solid solution:

$$\text{Al}(l) + \text{Al}_3(\text{Zr}, \text{Ti}) \rightarrow \text{Al}(ss)$$
The maximum solid solubility of Zr in Al is strongly temperature-dependent. At 663°C, the solubility is 0.083 a/o (0.28 w/o) Zr. At 20°C, the value is 0.012 a/o (0.03 w/o). The maximum solubility for Ti in Al is 0.57 - 0.68 a/o (1.0 - 1.2 w/o) at the peritectic temperature (660.5°C) and it decreases with temperature as well.[13]

The Al₃Ti intermetallic compound is of the DO₂₂ crystal structure. The unit cell contains 6 Al atoms and 2 Ti atoms. The Al₃Zr compound possesses the DO₂₃ crystal structure, the unit cell of which has 12 Al atoms and 4 Zr atoms. Essentially, the DO₂₃ crystal is formed by taking a DO₂₂ unit cell and placing an inverted DO₂₂ unit cell on top of it. Both the DO₂₂ and DO₂₃ structures are shown in Figure 3, along with the FCC unit cell of aluminum. As may be observed, both the DO₂₂ and DO₂₃ structures are FCC-derivative[14].

Tsunekawa and Fine[15] explored the crystal structure of the mixed crystal intermetallic compound Al₃ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ. Lattice parameters for both the intermetallic compound and the aluminum solid solution in Al-2 at.% (Ti + Zr) alloys were determined by x-ray crystallography. The values determined are plotted as a function of x in Figure 4. The parameter c in the tetragonal phase is compared to 4a₀, where a₀ is the lattice parameter of the Al solid solution formed by minimal solubility of Zr and Ti atoms; a₀ differs from the parameter of pure Al by only about 0.1%. They determined that the crystal structure is DO₂₃ for values of x greater than or equal to 0.25 and DO₂₂ for x < 0.11. For the range 0.11 < x < 0.25, apparently both structures may form. As may be seen in Figure 5[11], the overall mismatch was approximately the same for all values of x > 0.25. The overall mismatch is simply an average of mismatches for all parameters; it is defined as:

\[
\delta = \left[ \frac{100}{3} \right] \left[ 2 \left( 1 - \frac{a(Al₃X)}{a₀(Al)} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{c(Al₃X)}{na₀(Al)} \right) \right]
\]

where n = 4 for DO₂₃-type structures.
Although the present concern is not high-temperature alloys, the properties of the particle/matrix interface are of clear importance in the development of metal matrix composites: most composites appear to fail in this region. Thus, even for particles of the tetragonal phase, coherent or semi-coherent interfaces may offer strength and effective load transfer from particle to matrix.

Another property of concern for second phase particles in metal matrix composites is their ductility. Sawamura [16] has shown that, in the Al$_3$Zr$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$ intermetallic of DO$_{23}$ structure, resistance to cracking is improved by minimizing $x$. Increased ductility in the intermetallic particles should improve the composite's tensile and creep properties.

3. Work To-Date

3.1 Sample Preparation

Samples of the Al/Al$_3$Zr$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$ metal matrix composite have been prepared by nonconsumable tungsten arc-melting under an argon atmosphere, with a water-cooled copper crucible used as the cathode. Initial components are chips of 99.999% Al, granules of 99.9% Ti, and shavings of 99.9% Zr. The melted buttons are flipped ten times to insure compositional homogeneity. The drawbacks of this method are twofold: porosity in the as-cast materials is high, in some cases as much as 25%; and, the largest sample which can be produced with the available apparatus weighs 12g. The intermetallic particles in the as-cast structure are plate-like; in a composite with 15 volume percent particles, the largest ones may be over 200 $\mu$m long but only 6 $\mu$m thick (see Figure 6). They are tetragonal, of the DO$_{23}$ structure, as confirmed by x-ray diffractometry.

The arc-melted composite has shown considerable ductility, in spite of the porosity. An Al-Al$_3$Zr$_{0.25}$Ti$_{0.75}$ (15v/o) has been hot-pressed (500 °C) to a final reduction of 59% and then successively cold-rolled to a final reduction of 95% (a thickness of 0.50 mm). Longitudinal and long transverse sections of this sample are shown in Figures 7 and 8. The particles have been reduced considerably in size: in the rolling plane, the platelets have widths
of no greater than 15 \mu m. The transverse section shows that the particles have thicknesses no
greater than 6 \mu m. Further rolling of this sample resulted in a total reduction of 99.74% (a
thickness of 26\mu m). By folding this foil and rolling it, an effective reduction of 99.983% has
been achieved. After this extreme deformation, though, particles appear to be no smaller than
they were at 95% reduction.

3.2 Transmission Electron Microscopy

An arc-melted Al-Al\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{0.75}Ti\textsubscript{0.25} (15v/o) sample was prepared for microscopy as
follows. The sample was hot-pressed (500°C) to a reduction of 60% and then cold-rolled to a
thickness of 120 \mu m, representing a total reduction of 98.8%. From the resulting sheet of
material, 3mm discs were cut on an electric discharge machine. These discs were then polished
on 600 grit paper to a final thickness of 60 \mu m. Finally, these were jet polished using an
etchant of 2.7% perchloric acid (70%), 36% n-butyl alcohol, and 61% methyl alcohol, by
volume at a temperature of -27°C. A Fischione polisher was used; the polishing conditions
were 14 V and 8 mA. As this solution is specific for the intermetallic, the Al matrix was still
thick and was thinned further by argon ion-milling at an incidence angle of 10° for one hour.

Figure 9 is a TEM micrograph of the sample prepared above. The particle/matrix
interface appears to be sharp and continuous. The diffraction pattern of the particle is also
included (Fig. 10). By indexing this pattern, the lattice parameters of the intermetallic particle
may be determined if the camera constant is known. This value, determined previously, is
13.86 mmÅ. The lattice parameter values were then calculated as c = 16.53Å and a = 4.110 Å.
Compared to the results of Tsunekawa and Fine[15], these represent errors of 2.01% and
1.49%, respectively. Due to the error in the camera constant, which was obtained previously
and may differ from the true value at the time the photograph was taken, the error in these
values seems reasonable.

3.3 Matrix Strength
The matrix strength in the Al/Al\textsubscript{3}Zr\textsubscript{x}Ti\textsubscript{1-x} system was determined using a method first described by Argon, et al.[17, 18, 19] to determine interfacial bond strengths in spheroidized 1045 steel, Cu-0.6% Cr alloy and and maraging steel containing various carbides. Flom and Arsenault later used this approach to determine interfacial strength in an Al-SiC composite[20]. In all of these materials, fracture occurs by nucleation and growth of voids at the particle/matrix interface due to decohesion. Nucleation of the voids is promoted by the imposition of a state of triaxial tensile stress. From the theoretical bonding analysis of Argon et al.[18], the interfacial stress $\sigma_{\text{rr}}$ can be expressed as:

$$\sigma_{\text{rr}} = \sigma_T + Y(\varepsilon_p)$$

where $\sigma_T$ is the local triaxial tensile stress and $Y(\varepsilon_p)$ is the true flow stress in tension corresponding to the local average plastic strain, had the particle been absent. The assumptions of this analysis are: the particles are of equiaxed shape; the volume fraction of particles is small; and, the particles are undeformed. The triaxial tensile stress distribution along the radius in the plane of the tensile specimen notch may be expressed as:

$$\frac{\sigma_T}{\sigma_0} = \frac{c}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2\right)^{y/2}}$$

where $\sigma_T/\sigma_0$ is the triaxiality, $\sigma_T$ is the negative pressure, $\sigma_0$ is the flow stress (average ligament stress), $z$ is the vertical distance along the tensile axis of the specimen, and $r$ is the distance from the $z$ axis. Triaxiality is maximized when $r = a$ (i.e. at the notch); $2a$ is the ligament diameter. Parameters $c$ and $a'$ are defined as:

$$c = \frac{1 + a/R + (1 + a/R)^{y/2}}{2\left[2 + a/R + (1 + a/R)^{y/2}\right]}$$
\[ a' = \frac{a(1 + a/R)}{a/R} \]

where \( a \) is the radius of the ligament and \( R \) is the radius of the groove (see Figure 11).

For the present study, two 12g samples were prepared by arc-melting: Al-Al\(_3\)Zr\(_{0.25}\)Ti\(_{0.75}\) (3v/o) and Al-Al\(_3\)Zr\(_{0.5}\)Ti\(_{0.5}\) (3v/o). They were swaged to a final diameter of 0.312 in (a reduction in cross-sectional area of 80%). Each of these rods was then electric discharge machined to produce specimens for tensile testing (Fig. 12). Specimens were tested in tension to fracture on an Instron model 1125 machine. The cross-head speed was \(10^{-3}\) in s\(^{-1}\).

By determining the stress at fracture, a lower bound value for the interfacial strength may be obtained; the actual value is either higher or equal to this. This, of course, assumes void nucleation at the particle/matrix interface. For the samples tested above, however, fracture of the composite occurred in the matrix. Thus, a value for matrix strength is obtained. The average value for the Al-Al\(_3\)Zr\(_{0.25}\)Ti\(_{0.75}\) (3v/o) system was 411 MPa; for the Al-Al\(_3\)Zr\(_{0.5}\)Ti\(_{0.5}\) (3v/o) system, the value was 442 MPa. SEM micrographs of the fracture surface are indicative of ductile fracture (Figure 13). They do not show fracture at the particle-matrix interface; hence, a value for the strength of the interface can not be determined explicitly.

### 3.4 Elastic Modulus

The elastic moduli of composites of varying compositions were determined by a dynamic method. The modulus of a material is related to the resonant frequency of a displacement wave travelling through it by the relation:

\[ E = \frac{4L^2f_n^2}{n^2} \]
where \( L \) is the length of the sample, \( r \) is its density, \( f_0 \) is its resonant frequency, and \( n \) is the number of zero-displacement nodes in the sample (for this experiment, assumed to equal one). If the material is cut in the form of a rectangular slab and clamped at one end to a piezoelectric crystal of known resonant frequency, then the resonant frequency of the slab of material will be given by:

\[
f_S = f_C + (M_X/M_S)(f_C - f_X)
\]

where \( f_S \) is the resonant frequency of the material sample, \( f_C \) is the resonant frequency of the composite system, \( M_X \) is the the mass of crystal, \( M_S \) is the mass of the sample, and \( f_X \) is the resonant frequency of the crystal[21].

The modulus values obtained from this method are plotted against the fraction of intermetallic in the composite (Fig. 14 and Fig. 15). Moduli values predicted by two theoretical models deserve comparison[4]. Voight averaging assumes uniform strain in both phases, resulting in a modulus which is a weighted average of the moduli of the components:

\[
E_{voigt} = X_{Al_{5}Zr_{1-x}} E_{Al_{5}Zr_{1-x}} + X_{Al} E_{Al}
\]

where \( x \) is the volume fraction of the component and \( E \) is its Young's modulus. This is simply the linear rule of mixtures. Reuss averaging assumes uniform stress in both phases, leading to a modulus given by:

\[
E_{Reuss} = \frac{1}{X_{Al_{5}Zr_{1-x}} E_{Al_{5}Zr_{1-x}} + X_{Al} E_{Al}}
\]

Presumably, weak or discontinuous interfaces will lead to lowering of experimental moduli values; hence, poorer transfer of either stress or strain, and greater deviation from the
ideal case predicted by either model. The moduli values obtained by Voight averaging are
shown in Figs. 14 and 15. Zedalis, et al.[22] showed that moduli values for the Al/Al\textsubscript{2}Zr
system prepared by arc-melting followed the linear relationship predicted by Voight averaging.
Moduli of samples containing 5, 10, 15 and 25 v/o Al\textsubscript{2}Zr were determined by sound velocities.
The plot of E vs. fraction of Al\textsubscript{2}Zr showed a linear coefficient of correlation of 0.96. Thus it is
expected that the Al/Al\textsubscript{2}Zr\textsubscript{x}Ti\textsubscript{1-x} system will obey a linear law.

Plots are given for two different composite systems: Al/Al\textsubscript{2}Zr\textsubscript{0.5}Ti\textsubscript{0.5} and
Al/Al\textsubscript{2}Zr\textsubscript{0.25}Ti\textsubscript{0.75}. All of the samples tested were hot-pressed and cold-rolled to a total
reduction of approximately 80%. Although this breaks up particles and partially destroys the
native interface, the cold-working was necessary to reduce porosities to values where their
effect upon modulus was negligible. Indeed, modulus values for as-cast samples were shown
to decrease in a roughly linear (correlation coefficient of 0.92) fashion with porosity fraction
(Fig. 16). Deviation from the Voight average may be explained by the partial destruction of the
interface. More measurements, perhaps on samples possessing higher percentages of
intermetallic, are needed to determine the extent of debonding as a function of cold work.

3.5 Creep Testing

Al/Al\textsubscript{2}Zr\textsubscript{0.75}Ti\textsubscript{0.25}. (15 v/o) samples were rolled to a final thickness of approximately
0.5 mm (95% reduction) and annealed 3 hrs. at 425°C. They were then dead-load crept at 10
MPa (initial) stress. The average steady creep rate obtained for two samples was 1.6 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}. This is not an impressive value, per se, but the steady creep rate of pure (99.99%) Al at 10
MPa is only about 10\textsuperscript{-1}[23]. Apparently, the creep properties of pure Al are so poor that even
if a great fraction of the load is transferred from the matrix to the intermetallic, the overall creep
strength of the composite is still reduced by the matrix. Future creep testing must involve a
strengthened matrix, e.g. a solution-hardened Al alloy.

4. Proposed Research
4.1 Sample Preparation

All samples which have been prepared to-date have contained large fractions of intermetallic particles. In the as-cast condition, these particles may be over 200 μm long. Any reduction in particle size has been obtained by severe physical deformation of the sample. Therefore, the native particle/matrix interface no longer exists. Microscopy of the deformed samples, however, has shown that the interface apparently heals. This likely explains the impressive ductility shown by the composite. Quantitative analysis of the interface has not yet been possible. What is now desired is an Al/Al₃Zr₅Ti₁₋ₓ composite possessing a *native* interface. The properties of such a material may then be directly compared to those in the deformed sample.

Zedalis [11] has shown that the stable tetragonal DO₂₃ phase may be directly precipitated from a supersaturated solid solution of Al-0.75 w/o Zr prepared by arc-melting. If the as-cast button is 90% cold-rolled, preaged at 600°C for 50 hours, and isothermally aged at 425°C, the stable phase apparently precipitates directly from solution without first forming metastable L₁₂ particles. This was an interesting result because early reports[24, 25] had shown the presence of a fairly stable L₁₂ phase. Ryu showed that the equilibrium DO₂₃ structure occurs via the intermediate L₁₂ phase; aging at 500°C for 120 hours resulted in the equilibrium crystallography. These particles formed mostly at grain boundaries and occasionally in the matrix as well[26]. Zedalis found that the coarsening rate showed either a \( r^2 \) or \( r^3 \) dependence, where \( r \) is the average particle radius.

From Zedalis' work, it appears that a sample containing only the stable tetragonal DO₂₃ phase may be prepared. Some important modifications will be made, however, for the present system. At 425°C, particles were only 500 nm long (60 nm radius) even after 400 hours aging. To obtain particles on the order of 5 to 10 μm long, this temperature will have to be raised, perhaps to 500°C or greater. Finally, the systems studied by Zedalis produced only one volume percent particles. It is likely that if this value is to be raised to 5 or 10 percent, the cooling rate in the sample solidification process will need to be increased. This will be made
possible by the design of a new copper crucible geometry. Presently, samples cool in long
cylindrical horizontal slots. A configuration which increases the surface area-to-volume ratio
will provide enhanced cooling rates. Although it may not be possible to produce a
supersaturated solid solution without precipitates, it should minimize the number of L1₂
particles and reduce the size of stable tetragonal particles in the as-cast sample. At any rate, if a
supersaturated solid solution is formed, some coarsening will be possible. Haugan, et al. [27]
have shown that for Al-Al₃Zr (2 v/o) arc-melted in a wedge-shaped copper crucible, cooling
rates of 100°C s⁻¹ or less produce stable Al₃Zr particles without also causing the metastable
form to precipitate. Cooling rates which are too low, however, will not sufficiently
supersaturate the Al solid solution.

Manufacture of samples containing large tetragonal particles has been achieved with
samples containing 15 or 25 v/o second phase. As described above, these samples have
shown considerable ductility, and extensive cold rolling has produced samples with particles
on the order of 10 μm or less. This has required 99.99% reduction in thickness. Samples
containing only 10 or less percent precipitate should possess smaller particles in the as-cast
condition than those found in the 15 v/o composites previously produced. Thus, less reduction
in thickness will be required.

By careful sample preparation and the appropriate deformation, samples possessing
particles of roughly equal size will be obtained. Proper heat treatments will then be necessary
to obtain equivalent grain sizes in both types of sample. The end result of these procedures
will be two types of material, as similar as possible in all respects except for the condition of
the particle/matrix interface: in one class of material, the interface will be in its "native", post-
coarsening state; in the other, the interface will have formed after severe deformation of the
sample.

4.2 Mechanical Testing

4.2.1 Tension Testing
Tension testing of each class of material will provide values for the ultimate tensile strength, the yield strength and the percent elongation. In both varieties of composite, these characteristics will be obtained for various Zr/Ti ratios. For the deformed samples (henceforth designated as Type "A"), the effect of particle size and volume fraction of second phase particles will be determined. SEM analysis of the fracture surfaces will reveal the location of cracks and the direction of their growth, as well as the presence of particle/matrix debonding. Due to the low strength of pure aluminum (yield strength of 10 MPa, 50% elongation in tension for 99.99% Al), the composite matrices may be alloyed with 5 w/o Mg to produce improved properties via solid solution strengthening. Al-5 w/o Mg has a yield strength of 155 MPa and 25% elongation in tension[28]. This should reduce considerably the likelihood of matrix cracking, which was previously observed in interfacial strength testing.

Comparison of the results of this testing with those found for Al/SiC composites should be revealing. In the latter system, a debate regarding the nature of the relevant strengthening mechanism exists. Nardone and Prewo have applied a modified shear lag theory to the problem of short fiber composites and subsequently explained the strengthening of Al/SiC_{w} composites by shear lag strengthening (i.e. by a continuum mechanics treatment of load transfer)[29]. On the other hand, Arsenault has attributed the strength of the composite to, primarily, high dislocation density arising from cooling of the system following heat treatment[30]. As will be explained below, this dislocation density may not exist in the Al/Al_{2}Zr_{x}Ti_{1-x} system; therefore, load transfer may be the primary, if not exclusive, mode of strengthening in this MMC.

4.2.2 Creep Testing

The creep behavior of metal matrix composites, particularly aluminum alloys reinforced with SiC whiskers, has been an area of considerable interest recently. As opposed to oxide dispersion strengthened (ODS) alloys, the particles in the MMC's are generally too large (= 5 \mu m) and the interparticle spacing is too great to enable Orowan strengthening[31, 32]. As a
result, the load transfer from matrix to the stiffer particles has been proposed as a significant strengthening mechanism. Morimoto, et al.[33] have indicated the effect of debonding or partial debonding on creep resistance. Goto and McClean[34] have proposed a model which accounts for the degree of bonding at the interface. Their calculations predict that a weak, slipping interface may reduce creep rates by several orders of magnitude. Additionally, Al alloys reinforced with SiC whiskers show better (by one or two orders of magnitude) steady state creep rates than those reinforced with particulate SiC, owing to the whiskers’ greater effectiveness in bearing loads[32, 35]. Two other strengthening mechanisms have been described for SiC whiskers which may not be relevant for the Al/Al₃ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ system: 1) the Al/SiCₙ composite shows a large capacity for work hardening, an effect which diminishes with temperature; and, 2) an attractive particle/dislocation interaction due to the incoherent interface may enhance creep strength[31].

A comparison of creep properties of the two classes of materials may indicate the effectiveness of the interface in transmitting load to the interface. A direct comparison of the performance of class A materials with the "native" interface composites (class B) will provide information on the integrity of the interface after physical deformation. Again, SEM analysis of the fracture surfaces, as well as optical microscopy, will reveal the degree of particle debonding. The enhancement of matrix strength by alloying with magnesium may again be necessary, as the creep properties of pure aluminum are poor. TEM of crept specimens will provide useful information on particle/dislocation interactions, and the degree of coherency. Unlike the Al alloy/SiC system, no reaction zone between particle and matrix is expected.

4.2.3 Interfacial Strength

The test developed by Argon will be used to determine, once again, the interfacial strength in the Al/Al₃ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ composite. This time, the matrix will be strengthened by addition of 5 w/o Mg. The Al-Mg alloy will be used as a starting component; it will be
obtained elsewhere. This will hopefully reduce the likelihood of matrix failure, which invalidated the previous test.

4.2.4 Nanoindentation

The nanoindenter at Sandia National Laboratories will be used to obtain values of particle and matrix hardness. Stress-strain relationships in the vicinity of particles will show if, and to what extent, work hardening due to high dislocation density exists. These data will be compared to those in Al/SiC, where high dislocation densities are known to exist[30].

4.2.5 Elastic Modulus

As mentioned previously, it has been proposed that moduli values are higher in those composites with strong interfaces (which will effectively transfer stresses and strains from the matrix to the second phase particles). Thus, a direct comparison of the interfacial strengths in types A and B composites is possible. The modulus of aluminum is not affected by the degree of cold work [28]. Thus, controlling grain size will not be as important in determining moduli values as it is in the other mechanical tests mentioned previously. Porosity, however, does have a strong effect on the modulus. Fortunately, both classes of material will have been rolled (in one case to promote precipitation, in the other to reduce particle size). This has been shown to reduce the sample porosity to under 2%.

4.2.6 Transmission Electron Microscopy

TEM will be used to explore the structure of the particle/matrix interface in both the coarsened and fractured particles. In particular, the nature of particle-dislocation interactions will be studied primarily by weak beam techniques. Dislocation structure may have a profound effect on the composite strength. In Al-alloys reinforced with SiC whiskers, part of the ultimate strength has been attributed to the high dislocation density at the particle/matrix interface. The dislocations arise upon cooling of the sample from some processing
temperature; the coefficients of thermal expansion between the aluminum and the SiC are drastically different (about a factor of 10)[30]. Such high dislocation densities are not expected in the Al/Al$_3$Zr$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$ system: the difference in coefficients of thermal expansion is probably less and the interface is expected to be semicoherent, as opposed to the incoherent Al/SiC interface, a low energy site for dislocations. The presence of an orientation relationship between particle and matrix will be explored. Izumi and Oelschlägel[24] showed a relationship of the form:

\[
<001>_{\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}} // <001>_{\text{Al}}
\]
\[
(100)_{\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}} // (100)_{\text{Al}}
\]

for the equilibrium tetragonal phase Al$_3$Zr particles in an Al matrix.

If time permits, EELS techniques will be used to investigate possible chemadsorption at the interface. High resolution electron microscopy (HREM) will be used to produce atomic images of the interface and particles. Lattice images of Al$_3$Zr (DO$_{23}$) particles have been obtained at Northwestern University before, but on particles roughly two orders of magnitude smaller than those found in the samples to be tested in this research[36].

Preparation of this composite material for electron microscopy has proven to be difficult. Improved techniques, particularly in mechanical polishing, must be used. Electropolishing has been used with some success to thin the sample. Newer techniques, however, involving acidless electropolishing may offer promise, particularly for the thinning of the intermetallic particles[37]. Ion milling will be used to eliminate surface contamination.

5. Conclusion

The particle/matrix interface in the Al/Al$_3$Zr$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$ composite is unique. It is important in the material's manufacture (i.e. either when particles coarsen or when they solidify) and in its mechanical behavior, where the effectiveness of load transfer is of extreme importance. It is proposed that the interface in this system may be explored in two conditions. In the first, the intermetallic phase has coarsened due to isothermal aging at high temperature. In such a state,
the interface may be said to exist in a "native" state. In the second condition, the particles have been formed by solidification and then have been greatly reduced in size due to extreme deformation of the material. Here, the interface is mostly, if not exclusively, new. Direct comparison, by microscopic investigation and mechanical testing, of the two classes of material thus formed will provide information on the strength and integrity of the interface which exists after deformation. In addition, comparison to other MMC's will help explain the unique role of the interface in the Al/Al₃ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ composite.
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Fig. 1. Equilibrium Phase Diagram of the Al-Zr system.[12]
Fig. 2. Equilibrium Phase Diagram of Al-Ti system.[12]
Fig. 3. a) FCC unit cell of Al. \( c = a \); b) tetragonal DO\(_{22} \) structure; c) tetragonal DO\(_{23} \) structure[14]
Fig. 4. Lattice parameters of a) a and b) c of Al₃ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ [15].

Fig. 5. Average Overall lattice mismatch in Al₃ZrₓTi₁₋ₓ [11].
Fig. 6. Al/Al$_2$Zr$_{0.25}$Ti$_{0.75}$ (15 v/o). As-cast.
Fig. 7. Al/Al₃Zr₀.25Ti₀.75 (15 v/o). Hot-pressed and cold-rolled to 95% reduction. Longitudinal section.

Fig. 8. Al/Al₃Zr₀.25Ti₀.75 (15 v/o). Hot-pressed and cold-rolled to 95% reduction. Long transverse section.
Fig. 9. Al/Al$_3$Zr$_{0.75}$Ti$_{0.25}$ (15 v/o). Electron micrograph of particle/matrix interface.

Fig. 10. Electron diffraction pattern of Al$_3$Zr$_{0.75}$Ti$_{0.25}$ particle above.
Fig. 11. Notched region in tensile specimen.[20]
Fig. 12. Tensile specimens for interfacial strength test.
Fig. 13. Fracture surface of AlAl$_3$Zr$_{0.25}$Ti$_{0.75}$ (3 v/o) sample tested in tension to failure.
Fig. 14. Young's Modulus vs. volume percent precipitate $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}_{.5}\text{Ti}_{.5}$
Fig. 15. Young's Modulus vs. volume percent precipitate \( \text{Al}_3\text{Zr}_{.25}\text{Ti}_{.75} \)
Fig. 16. Young's Modulus vs. Percent Porosity.  
Al-Al3-Zr0.25-Ti0.75 (15 v/o). As-Cast.