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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Military Manpower Training Report (MMTR) of the Secretary of Defense is submitted to the Congress in accordance with Section 138(d)(2) of Title 10, United States Code. The Secretary of Defense is required to submit to Congress a written report each fiscal year recommending student loads for each category of individual institutional training for each active and reserve component of the armed forces as justification for, and explanation of, the recommended student load. The FY 1993 Military Manpower Training Report specifically supports the Department of Defense request for authorization of military student training load for each component, active and reserve, of each Service for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993. Please note that many calculations in this report are affected by rounding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Requested Training Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active Components</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reserve Components</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army National Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air National Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The requested load is derived from the President's Budget for FY 1993 and the Department of Defense request for authorization of military manpower strengths, active and reserve. Military student load authorizations enacted by Congress are subject to adjustments, as prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, to be consistent with service component end strengths authorized by Congress.
Definitions and Explanation of Training Load

This report discusses individual training and education within the Department of Defense provided by military service training and education institutions. Individual training and education, for purposes of this report, is divided into six categories:

- **Recruit Training**, given to enlisted entrants who have not had previous military service.

- **One-Station Unit Training**, an Army program which combines Recruit Training and initial Specialized Skill Training into a single course.

- **Officer Acquisition Training**, which leads to a commission in one of the Services.

- **Specialized Skill Training**, which prepares military personnel for specific jobs in the Military Services.

- **Flight Training**, which prepares prospective pilots and navigators for an initial operational assignment.

- **Professional Development Education**, relating to the advanced professional duties of military personnel or to advanced academic disciplines to meet Service requirements.

"Training load" is the average number of students and trainees participating in formal institutional training and education courses during the fiscal year. For a full fiscal year, training load is the equivalent of the student/trainee man-years of the participants, including both those in temporary duty and permanent change of station status.

The requirement for training is derived from the need to replace losses in each skill required in the military force structure. Losses, through separations, promotions and other causes, are projected at various points in the future and compared to the projected inventory of trained personnel. The deficit between the requirement in each skill and the inventory becomes a demand for newly trained personnel. A phased input of students to the training establishment is then scheduled so that trained personnel, in each skill and skill level, are available at the proper time to replace the losses in those skills. The resulting workload is the basis of the training load addressed in this report.

The training load for each component is the measure of the amount of training required for members of that component, although some of the training will be done by other Services, in DoD schools or, in some cases, by institutions outside the Department of Defense. The training of members of the Reserve Components included in the report is the formal school training provided by the active training establishment to individual members of the Reserve Components while they are on active duty for training. This is
primarily training provided to non-prior service personnel entering the Reserve Components.

An Overview of Training Load

For FY 1993 total requested DoD training load is 191,660. About 82 percent of this training load is for members of the active forces. The remaining 18 percent is training for members of the Reserve Components on active duty at training establishments operated by the Active Components. Whenever possible, Reserve Component personnel attend the same classes and are provided the same instruction as Active Force personnel.

Table 2 displays the distribution of total Active Force and Reserve Component load attributable to each of the major categories of training in FY 1992 and FY 1993.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Category</th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit Training</td>
<td>39,660</td>
<td>39,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-Station Unit Training (Army)</td>
<td>9,266</td>
<td>9,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer Acquisition Training</td>
<td>19,435</td>
<td>19,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill Training</td>
<td>111,053</td>
<td>106,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Training</td>
<td>4,744</td>
<td>4,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Development Education</td>
<td>11,517</td>
<td>11,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>195,675</td>
<td>191,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest categories of training, in terms of training load, are Recruit Training and Specialized Skill Training, both of which, along with Army One-Station Unit Training, are strongly influenced by the number of enlisted non-prior service accessions. Specialized Skill Training is the largest training category for FY 1993 with 59 percent of the Active Force load and 56 percent of the Reserve Component load.

Table 3 divides the requested training load for FY 1992 and FY 1993 into two parts: (1) accession-related training which provides civilian entrants with the initial skills needed to perform the duties of their first military occupations; and (2) other training which is conducted to prepare members for more specialized duties in later stages of their military careers.
For FY 1993, training related to new accessions amounts to about 64 percent of all training programmed for the Active Forces. Only about 37 percent is for other subsequent training. For the Reserve Components, the percentages are about 85 and 15, respectively. The load dedicated to accession-related requirements highlights the priority the military services place on training new military members. Detailed information on each category of training is provided in Chapters III through VII of this report.

**TABLE 3. Accession-Related Training**  
(Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession Related Load</th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active Forces</td>
<td>Reserve Forces</td>
<td>Active Forces</td>
<td>Reserve Forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-Station Unit Training</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer Acquisition</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Skill (Off &amp; Enl)</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Flight</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>101.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>27.9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Training Load</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Specialized Skill</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Flight</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Development</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>59.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>56.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Load</td>
<td>159.4</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>158.7</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession Related Load as Percent of Total Load</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1992</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Forces</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Forces</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1993</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Forces</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Forces</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Manpower In Support of Individual Training

Individual training requires manpower to conduct and support instruction, manage military schools and training centers, maintain training bases, and provide support to students, military staff members and their dependents. Chapter VIII of this report provides information about the military and civilian manpower needed for individual training. Manpower in support of individual training for FY 1992 and FY 1993 is shown by Service in the following table.

**TABLE 4. DoD Manpower in Support of Individual Training By Service**

(End Strength, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Mil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manpower in support of individual training is continuing to decrease through FY 1993. Table 5 provides a breakout for each manpower function.

**TABLE 5. DoD Manpower in Support of Individual Training By Function**

(End Strength, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Mil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct of Individual Training a/</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Operating Support</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Headquarters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a/ All individual training categories are included. The manpower includes instructors, instructional support, school/training center administration, student supervision and direct training support.
Funding for Individual Training

The funds required to support training for FY 1993 total $14.7 billion. This includes pay and allowances for the students and trainees undergoing training, pay and allowances of military and civilian personnel in support of training, operations and maintenance costs, and training related procurement and construction. Table 6 displays total training costs to include the Defense Health Program previously funded in the Services.

### TABLE 6. Funding of Individual Training (All Appropriations) by Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>$5,660</td>
<td>$5,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>4,963</td>
<td>4,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>1,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>3,155</td>
<td>3,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Health Program</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$15,167</td>
<td>$14,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A breakout of the funding by categories of cost shows that reductions in training support account for much of the decrease between FY 1992 and FY 1993. This is part of a continuing effort to reduce support costs and improve the efficiency of training. Table 7 shows the funding for each of the major categories of training and for related support.

### TABLE 7. Funding of Individual Training (All Appropriations) by Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit Training</td>
<td>$1,252</td>
<td>$1,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer Acquisition Training</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill Training</td>
<td>4,747</td>
<td>4,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight Training</td>
<td>2,511</td>
<td>2,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Development Education</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army One-Station Unit Training</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Training</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition Trng</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Training Support</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Management Headquarters</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Base Support</td>
<td>2,792</td>
<td>2,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Component Pay and Allow</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$15,167</td>
<td>$14,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding estimates are based on data contained in DoD's Future Years Defense Program (FYDP). The MMTR is consistent with resource estimates in the President's Budget, the justification material submitted to the Congress, the FYDP and internal DoD management documents. Further detail on training funding is provided in Chapter IX, Appendix D and Appendix E of this report.

Congress has expressed a specific interest in the Operations and Maintenance Appropriation for individual training and education. As a result, Appendix E provides further details and compares the MMTR to the President's Budget Operations and Maintenance Overview.

Trends in Individual Training

This section provides information on the five-year trend of individual training load, workload, manpower and funding. Three years of actual data are provided to compare with the two budget year-estimates. It should be noted that significant decreases in training load were made in FY 1991. Slight load increases over FY 1991 levels are needed to sustain the new force levels for FY 1992 and FY 1993. Resources continue to decrease through the five-year period as training becomes more efficient.

Table 8 shows the FY 1989 to FY 1993 trend in training load for each Active and Reserve Component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 8. Active and Reserve Training Load Trends by Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army National Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air National Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Training workload accounts for all students trained by the Service training commands. This includes DoD military students, civilians, foreign students and students from other U.S. agencies.

TABLE 9. Training Workload Trends
(Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Health Program *</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>222</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next two tables demonstrate the Department's emphasis on improving training efficiencies. Although total training workload is the same for FY 1991 and FY 1993, there has been a 14 percent reduction in manpower and a 7 percent reduction in funding over this period.

TABLE 10. Manpower Trends in Support of Training
(Combined Military and Civilian End Strengths, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>179</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers under Defense for FY 1992 and FY 1993 represent costs for Defense Health Program workload activities that in the past have been funded in the Services.
TABLE 11. Individual Training Funding Trends (All Appropriations)  
($ Billions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actuals</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 89</td>
<td>FY 90</td>
<td>FY 91</td>
<td>FY 92</td>
<td>FY 93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Health Program *</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Necessity for Individual Training

The primary objective of individual training is to provide the operational forces with personnel adequately trained to assume jobs in both Active and Reserve military units. Without effective training and education programs, the operational forces would be manned by personnel who are less than fully qualified for their jobs.

One of the cornerstones of readiness is the conduct of effective individual training at Service Training institutions. Unlike in past wars, we may not be able to count on extended periods of mobilization and training in response to future conflicts. Maintaining excellence in our individual training at Service training programs during peacetime results in a military force ready to respond in a national emergency.

* Numbers under Defense for FY 1992 and FY 1993 represent costs for Defense Health Program training activities that in the past have been funded in the Services.
MILITARY MANPOWER TRAINING REPORT FOR FY 1993
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Training Requirements and Manpower Requirements

Requirements for training and education of military personnel are derived ultimately from national security objectives. The Military Manpower Training Report (MMTR), the Report of the Secretary of Defense to the Congress on the FY 1993 Budget, and the Defense Manpower Requirements Report, describe the progression from national security objectives to training load requirements. The Report of the Secretary of Defense explains the relationship between the threat and the forces designed to cope with the threat. The Defense Manpower Requirements Report describes the requirement for trained manpower to man the forces. The Military Manpower Training Report describes the requirement for trained manpower to be authorized for each component of the military services. The Defense Manpower Requirements Report and the Military Manpower Training Report are mutually supportive; however, the data in the two reports are not interchangeable or directly comparable. The principal reason for this difference is that the main focus of the Defense Manpower Requirements Report is upon requested strength on the last day of fiscal years (that is, end strength), whereas the main focus of the Military Manpower Training Report is upon requested student loads, a concept more comparable to average strength, or man-years, than to end strength.

Definition of "Individual Training and Education"

This report addresses the "individual training and education" activities of the Department of Defense; that is the training of individual military members in formal courses conducted by organizations whose primary mission is training. This training is different from training activities conducted by operational units incidental to their primary combat, combat support, or combat service support missions. Training conducted within operational units (including the training of crews and teams) is not included in the training loads discussed in this report. In certain categories of training, on-the-job training (OJT) in units substitutes to some extent for all or part of formal course training requirements. OJT is also not included in the training loads discussed in this report.

The purpose of individual training is to give individual service members the skills and knowledge that will qualify them to perform effectively as members of operational military organizations. "Individual training" includes formal military and technical training and professional education conducted under centralized control, generally under the supervision of a Service training command or similar organization. The trainees and students
undergoing the training and education addressed in the MMTR include Active Force members and Reserve Component members:

- Active Force trainees and students include officers, enlisted personnel, and service academy cadets and midshipmen.

- Reserve Component trainees and students include officers and enlisted members on active duty for training in formal school courses.

Some civilian students attend training in programs such as the Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) prior to their entry into a Service. These programs are also discussed in the report. However, training load authorizations are requested only for training and education of personnel while they are in active military status.

In general, the training discussed in this report is conducted under Major Defense Program VIII, "Training, Medical and Other General Personnel Activities," as presented in the Defense budget. Exceptions to these general rules are pointed out, where appropriate, in the body of the report.

Personnel undergoing individual training and education are classified for manpower accounting purposes as trainees, students, or cadets. The exceptions are: (1) personnel undergoing training while on temporary duty or temporary additional duty away from their unit of assignment, or (2) personnel being trained while en route to new stations as transients. The term "trainees" is generally used for all enlisted personnel in Recruit Training and Initial Skill Training. "Cadets" (or "midshipmen" in the case of the Naval Academy) are members being educated at one of the service academies. All others receiving individual training and education are identified as "students." The distinction is not important for the purposes of this report, and the term "student" will be used where appropriate to describe members of all three classifications as well as temporary duty and transient personnel being trained.

**FY 1993 Military Manpower Training Report and the FY 1993 Budget**

It is important to emphasize that this MMTR, while consistent with the Department of Defense Budget for FY 1993, differs in structure from the budget justification. Budget justifications are focused on explaining how, by whom, and why money is to be spent. Budgets for training and their justifications, therefore, are prepared by the Service which conducts the training programs. As a result, each Service must justify and obtain funds to train personnel from other Services in addition to its own personnel.

By contrast, the MMTR details and justifies the authorization request for training loads of the components of the parent Service whose members are undergoing the training. For example, Navy personnel being trained by the Air Force are treated in the MMTR as part
of the Navy military student training load since they are being trained to fill Navy requirements. However, in O&M budget justification documents, Navy students attending Air Force schools are included in the Air Force training workload tables that justify Air Force training resources. This report contains summary tables of the manpower and funding required by the Services to conduct training based on estimated workloads.

**Changes to the FY 1993 Military Manpower Training Report**

The FY 1993 report includes changes which improve the funding estimates and reconciles the MMTR to the Operation and Maintenance Budget Overview. The funding of TDY and PCS for individual training as previously reported in the MMTR was determined to be already included in the funding for the training categories. Therefore, this report does not separately report these costs. Additionally, an improved methodology was utilized for calculating the funding estimates for Reserve Component student pay and allowances.

The MMTR funding tables include individual training costs for all appropriations. Due to congressional interest in the Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Appropriation for Program 8 for individual training and education, an appendix has been added to the MMTR. Appendix E provides a comparison between O&M funding contained in the MMTR and tables submitted as a part of the President's Budget O&M Overview.

**Definitions of Major Training Categories**

The portion of this report which discusses training loads in detail is organized into five chapters (Chapters III through VII), each of which addresses one of the major categories of training. These major categories are briefly defined below. Each chapter will more fully describe the training category and its sub-categories, the requested training loads, and the training methodology.

**Recruit Training** includes the introductory physical conditioning, basic military training, and indoctrination given to all new enlisted entrants in each of the Services. **One-Station Unit Training** (OSUT) is an Army training program which meets the training objectives of both Recruit and Specialized Skill Training in certain skills through a single course conducted by a single training unit. Since it includes elements of two categories of training, it is treated separately in this report.

**Officer Acquisition Training**, sometimes called pre-commissioning training, includes all types of education and training leading to a commission in one of the Services. Examples are programs of the service academies and officer candidate/training schools. Students not in active military status, such as Reserve Officers' Training Corps students, are excluded from requested loads in this report.
Specialized Skill Training provides officer and enlisted personnel with initial job qualification skills or new or higher levels of skill in their current military specialty or functional area. This category includes Army Advanced Individual Training and Navy Apprenticeship Training. Certain flight-related training, such as training of air traffic controllers, aircraft mechanics, and Air Force survival training, is reported under Specialized Skill Training. Officer acquisition programs are not included in Specialized Skill Training. The Marine Corps Combat Training (MCT) phase of the Marine Battle Skills Training has been included in this category since FY 1989.

Flight Training provides the individual flying skills needed by pilots, navigators, and naval flight officers. The undergraduate flight training programs culminate in an officer or an Army warrant officer receiving "wings" and being categorized as a "designated" or "rated" officer. The undergraduate programs do not include the formal advanced flight training programs. Training conducted by Service advanced flight training organizations is beyond the scope of this report.

Professional Development Education includes educational courses conducted at the higher-level Service schools or at civilian institutions to broaden the outlook and knowledge of senior military personnel or to impart knowledge in advanced academic disciplines to meet Service requirements. Training of this type is required to prepare individuals for progressively more demanding assignments, particularly for higher command and staff positions. Programs include undergraduate and graduate education as well as courses not leading to a degree.

Training for senior non-commissioned officers, which has a broad professional content, is included in Professional Development Education rather than in Specialized Skill Training. Training of junior and middle-grade officers and non-commissioned officers is generally included in Specialized Skill Training where the training includes specific branch or job-specific training rather than broad, common skills. For example, Navy leadership training, which is given to all grades of petty officers, is included in Specialized Skill Training. Non-commissioned officer training for more junior personnel conducted by the other Services is also included in Specialized Skill Training.

Determining Training Requirements and Training Load

The amount and type of training to be conducted in the Department of Defense is the product of a series of calculations that is described in Appendix A to this report.

In brief, the process begins with the determination of the requirement for military personnel with specific skills to fill positions in the approved or projected force. The requirement for trained manpower must then be measured against the available inventory of trained personnel projected at various points in the future.
This comparison, made for each military skill and skill level, establishes the need for training personnel to fill current and projected skill shortages. The requirement for the training of personnel to maintain the skill inventory becomes part of the workload of the Service training establishments. It is measured in terms of the average military training student load, or "training load." The training load for a given period is a measure of the amount of training to be accomplished. It is also a basis for establishing the requirement for resources (manpower, funds, material, and facilities) needed to support the training to be conducted by a Service.

Conceptually, the training load for a given period is the average student strength for the period, roughly equal to man-years. The total training load is the sum of the loads for all the individual courses. Training loads for individual courses are determined by the following factors:

1. The length of the training course.
2. The desired number of graduates, or output, of the course.
3. The number of entrants, or inputs, into the course required to obtain the desired output. This, in turn, depends on the pattern of attrition, or failures of entrants to graduate, for the course.

The training load is computed by the following formula:

\[
\text{Load} = \frac{\text{Entrants} + \text{Graduates}}{2} \times \text{Course Length}^{1/2}
\]

1/ Training time is expressed as a fraction of a year

This is the basic method for computing the training loads discussed in this report. However, if attrition does not occur at a uniform rate (as is frequently the case) and the rate and phasing can be specified, more complex formulas and computer simulations are used to estimate training loads.

**Accuracy in Projecting Training Loads**

The law requires that training load authorizations be requested well in advance of the period when the training is actually conducted. This statutory requirement implies the capability to predict future training loads with precision. In actuality, while loads for some long lead-time programs, such as the service academies, can be predicted with considerable accuracy, there are many uncertainties in projecting training loads. Some of the causes of uncertainty are:
1. Unanticipated changes in end strength levels and force structure, requiring adjustment of the skill inventory and the mix of courses in the training load.

2. Unpredictability of individual decisions to enlist, re-enlist, or retire. These factors may lead to unanticipated changes in the skill inventory, requiring changes in the composition or size of training loads, or to shifts of portions of the training load from one fiscal period to the following period.

3. Changes in attrition rates and patterns, causing unprogrammed fluctuations in training rates and loads.

By forecasting training needs as far as possible into the future and continuously reviewing and adjusting training inputs and loads, the Services adapt the training system to changing conditions. However, it should be understood that extended projections are subject to error; adjustments are inevitable and, in fact, necessary for good management.

Training Load Request by Component and Category

The tables on the following two pages display by category the requested training loads for FY 1992 and FY 1993. The loads for each period are shown by component and by each of the major categories of training.
TABLE I-1. Military Training Student Loads, Fiscal Year 1992, By Component and Major Training Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Recruit Training</th>
<th>One-Station Unit Training</th>
<th>Officer Acquisition Training</th>
<th>Specialized Skill Training</th>
<th>Flight Training</th>
<th>Professional Development Education</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active Forces</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>8,691</td>
<td>5,166</td>
<td>5,092</td>
<td>36,197</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>3,267</td>
<td>59,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>10,025</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,962</td>
<td>33,545</td>
<td>1,546</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>53,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>7,168</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>8,686</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>2,137</td>
<td>18,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>4,893</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,218</td>
<td>12,144</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>3,347</td>
<td>27,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>30,777</td>
<td>5,166</td>
<td>17,676</td>
<td>90,572</td>
<td>4,114</td>
<td>11,056</td>
<td>159,361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserve Components</th>
<th>Recruit Training</th>
<th>One-Station Unit Training</th>
<th>Officer Acquisition Training</th>
<th>Specialized Skill Training</th>
<th>Flight Training</th>
<th>Professional Development Education</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army Reserve</td>
<td>3,137</td>
<td>1,419</td>
<td>1,478</td>
<td>7,514</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army National Guard</td>
<td>3,218</td>
<td>2,681</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>6,864</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>13,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,318</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Reserve</td>
<td>1,210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>1,558</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>3,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Reserve</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air National Guard</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,252</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>8,883</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>1,759</td>
<td>20,481</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>36,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>39,660</td>
<td>9,266</td>
<td>19,435</td>
<td>111,053</td>
<td>4,744</td>
<td>11,517</td>
<td>195,675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE I-2. Military Training Student Loads, Fiscal Year 1993, By Component and Major Training Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Recruit Training</th>
<th>One-Station Unit Training</th>
<th>Officer Acquisition Training</th>
<th>Specialized Skill Training</th>
<th>Flight Training</th>
<th>Professional Development Education</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Forces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>9,028</td>
<td>5,598</td>
<td>4,913</td>
<td>36,327</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>3,527</td>
<td>60,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>10,501</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,950</td>
<td>31,076</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>2,327</td>
<td>51,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>7,237</td>
<td></td>
<td>394</td>
<td>8,697</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td>19,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>4,918</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,511</td>
<td>12,226</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3,371</td>
<td>27,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>31,684</td>
<td>5,598</td>
<td>17,768</td>
<td>88,326</td>
<td>3,945</td>
<td></td>
<td>158,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Reserve</td>
<td>2,797</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>6,805</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>12,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army National Guard</td>
<td>2,090</td>
<td>2,322</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5,643</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve</td>
<td>649</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,217</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Reserve</td>
<td>1,417</td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1,634</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force Reserve</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air National Guard</td>
<td>445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>7,752</td>
<td>3,695</td>
<td>1,778</td>
<td>18,612</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>32,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39,436</td>
<td>9,293</td>
<td>19,546</td>
<td>106,938</td>
<td>4,595</td>
<td>11,852</td>
<td>191,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II

TRAINING PATTERNS

General Description

The development of Service members through formal training, education, and practical experience generally follows a common pattern. New Service members (or, in the case of some Officer Acquisition Training, prospective Service members) first receive training designed to develop the basic attributes of the members of their Service. In most cases, a graduate of the initial training is then taught the skills required for a military job at the lowest skill level. Service members who do not remain beyond their initial enlistments or obligated terms of service do not, in most cases, receive additional formal training. Those who remain, the career members, will further develop their military knowledge and technical skills through experience in military jobs augmented with training or education needed to prepare them for more responsible positions. During their terms of service, military personnel are also encouraged, as their military assignments may permit, to improve themselves through off-duty and voluntary education programs. This combination of job experience, training and education is essential to the development of a military force that is capable of carrying out the national security mission.

Enlisted personnel usually work in relatively specialized skill fields, whereas the duties of officers, particularly those in the career force, call for broader expertise. For these reasons, the training and education patterns of officers and enlisted personnel differ and will be discussed separately in the following sections of this chapter.

In addition to training members of the active forces, the Service training establishments also train members of the Reserve Components. Reserve Component training, as part of individual training and education, involves Reservists and Guardsmen who are on active duty for formal school training. It does not include training of Reserve Component members provided under the following circumstances:

- Training received by individuals while on extended active duty serving with an active component (this training is included in active force aggregates);
- On-the-job training (OJT) or other individual training conducted by Reserve units;
- Training received while on annual active duty for training, except if provided through courses conducted by the active training establishment;
- Training received while the individual is not in an active military status. (As a minor exception, some Reserve and Guard technicians attend military schools in Civil Service status.)
Training of members of the Reserve Components will comprise 15 percent of all individual training and education in FY 1992 and FY 1993.

**Officer Training Patterns**

Each Service has developed career patterns to prepare its officers to assume progressively higher command and staff responsibilities. These career patterns are composed of operational assignments during which the officers learn their profession through experience and periodic individual training and education. This provides them with the knowledge and skills needed for progressively more demanding follow-on assignments.

Officer training and education can be divided into three types. First, each Service maintains a progressive system of professional military education. This education is related more to the increasing responsibilities associated with career progression and promotion than to the individual's current assignment or specialty. The primary topics are the study of officership and the command and staff knowledge required of all professional military officers. The second type of education and training includes the many specific skill-producing courses that enable the officer to perform immediately upon assignment to a specialized or functional area. These courses vary in length from a few days to several months. They present, for the most part, strictly job-oriented training and are often orientation or refresher courses. Third, the Services provide selected officers with advanced academic education, either in-house or at civilian institutions, to meet specific requirements for officers educated in technical, scientific, engineering, and managerial fields. Officers also participate in a variety of other educational programs, many on a part-time basis, usually with the student sharing in the cost.

Training and education for career officers involves one or more of the types of training and education described above and follows the general patterns outlined in the following paragraphs. The patterns vary among the Services to some extent, and not all officers will participate in all of the schooling described. The number of officers participating in schooling becomes progressively smaller, and participation more selective and demanding, as officers move through their careers.

Generally, non-career officers (those who are expected to serve only an initial tour of active duty) receive training only at the entry level. In some cases, lengthy skill-oriented training (such as pilot training) results in a commensurately longer active duty obligation.

**Entry Level Training.** Initial officer training is Service-oriented and intended to prepare officers for duties at the lowest operational level, i.e., company, squadron, or ship. Newly commissioned Army officers will attend a basic course conducted by the particular branch of the Army, such as infantry, armor or artillery. Navy ensigns are usually assigned to school training based on their warfare specialty. All newly commissioned Marine officers attend the Basic School. A newly commissioned officer in the Air Force may go to Flight Training or training in a technical specialty.
Career Training. After some operational experience, the career officer requires further professional military education to prepare for service at the next level. For example, as a unit commander or a headquarters staff officer. In the Army this entails a return to branch school for more advanced training. Navy officers at this stage in their careers may attend a school in a specialty appropriate to their future assignments. A Marine Corps officer would normally attend the Amphibious Warfare School. An Air Force officer could be selected for the Squadron Officer School.

To satisfy Service requirements and as a further step in professional development, some officers are selected for participation in an advanced academic educational program at a civilian institution or at one of the two Service technical institutes, the Naval Postgraduate School and the Air Force Institute of Technology.

Intermediate Service Schools. As officers progress (between six and sixteen years of service, depending on Service criteria) they are ready for the next level of professional military education. These schools prepare officers for command and staff responsibilities in preparation for assuming higher responsibilities. Officers are competitively selected to attend each Service’s program. The Armed Forces Staff College, a joint school, is also conducted at this level.

Senior Service Colleges. Little technical training is provided after the intermediate years. The final level of professional military education is that of the Senior Service Schools (the war colleges) for which attendance is highly selective. The Army, Navy, and Air Force each has a war college. In addition, there is the National Defense University, consisting of the National War College, the Industrial College of the Armed Forces, and the Capstone course for general officers. Officers graduating from the Senior Service Schools have the academic foundation required for command and staff positions at the highest level. The different curricula of these schools reflect the different missions of the Services. In some instances Reserve officers are able to attend Senior Service Schools in residence. The schools also offer a non-resident course which consists of correspondence studies and resident phases.

Enlisted Training Patterns

Recruit Training introduces new enlistees to military life. Following this indoctrination, they will follow one of three possible avenues dictated by their respective component’s requirements:

(1) Initial Skill Training which prepares the enlistee for an initial duty assignment;

(2) Direct assignment to first duty unit based on skill already acquired in civilian life; or

(3) Direct assignment to first duty unit for on-the-job training (OJT).
The Army One-Station Unit Training (OSUT) program is a variation of the first of these three avenues, since it combines Recruit and Initial Skill Training into a single course, followed by assignment to an operational unit. About 31 percent of the FY 1992 and 34 percent of the FY 1993 Active Army entrants to initial skill enlisted training will be trained under OSUT. For the Reserve Components, about 34 percent of the FY 1992 and FY 1993 Army entrants to initial skill enlisted training will receive OSUT.

The expected distribution of Active Recruit Training graduates for FY 1993 is shown in the following table.

**TABLE II-1. Disposition of Active Recruit Training Graduates for FY 1993**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To Initial Skill Training</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>66% $\text{a/}$</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Duty Assignment (Civilian-Acquired Skill)</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Duty Assignment (On-the-Job Training)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a/\ 33\%$ of Navy Recruit Training graduates attend short "Apprenticeship Training" courses (carried under Initial Skill Training in this report) as a preliminary to further training on the job.

As the table indicates, most enlisted personnel receive formal Initial Skill Training to provide them with a basic military skill. This combination of Recruit Training and Initial Skill Training (or Army One-Station Unit Training) turns civilians into service members qualified to fill positions in Active or Reserve units.

During their initial enlistments, personnel normally receive no further formal skill training but gain experience through on-the-job training in the work environment. The major exception is Navy training, conducted by fleet training centers in such shipboard duties as firefighting.

After reenlistment, individuals may be selected for attendance at a journeyman-level course in their specific occupational area. This training emphasizes the appropriate military applications for the skills being taught. Most enlisted personnel are given the opportunity...
to attend Non-Commissioned Officer (NCO) professional development training programs which prepare them for increased supervisory and leadership responsibilities.

Normally, few enlisted personnel attend regularly programmed specialized courses after mid-career. There are instances, of course, where new equipment or systems are introduced into a Service, and senior level enlisted personnel are formally trained in operation and maintenance techniques. Selected Active and Reserve senior enlisted personnel attend schools, such as the Army's Sergeants Major Academy and Air Force Senior NCO Academy, which are on the NCO level, similar in purpose to the Intermediate and Senior Service Schools in the officer education system.
III

RECRUIT TRAINING AND
ARMY ONE-STATION UNIT TRAINING

General Description

Recruit Training is the basic indoctrination training given to enlisted personnel upon their initial entry into military service. Recruit Training provides an orderly transition from civilian to military life, instruction in the required basic skills, and motivation to become dedicated and productive. Training in each of the Services emphasizes discipline, military rules, social conduct, physical conditioning and development of self-confidence. Beyond these common objectives, Recruit Training in each Service is designed to meet the particular training requirements of that Service which reflect the Service’s mission. Graduates of Recruit Training have the basic knowledge and skills required to qualify them, after formal or on-the-job training in a particular skill, for service in an operational unit of the parent Service.

Army One-Station Unit Training (OSUT) is unique in that it combines Recruit Training and Initial Skill Training in certain skills into a single course conducted by a single training unit at a single training installation. OSUT therefore includes elements of two major training categories; consequently, it is treated separately at the end of this chapter. OSUT training loads are not included within the Recruit Training loads displayed in this chapter.

Recruit Training Loads

The training loads for FY 1987 through FY 1993 for each component of each Military Service are shown in Table III-1 on the following page. Note that the trend has been consistently down through FY 1991. The reductions in force structure caused the downward trend in recruit training. The slight increase in FY 1992 and FY 1993 is needed to sustain the new force structure levels and support enlisted career force planning.
### TABLE III-1. Recruit Training Load Trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 87</th>
<th>FY 88</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>11,481</td>
<td>10,091</td>
<td>11,102</td>
<td>11,559</td>
<td>7,049</td>
<td>8,691</td>
<td>9,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>3,487</td>
<td>3,528</td>
<td>3,405</td>
<td>4,004</td>
<td>2,590</td>
<td>3,137</td>
<td>2,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>3,972</td>
<td>3,559</td>
<td>3,516</td>
<td>4,058</td>
<td>2,531</td>
<td>3,218</td>
<td>2,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>14,564</td>
<td>14,211</td>
<td>12,045</td>
<td>10,085</td>
<td>10,419</td>
<td>10,025</td>
<td>10,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>1,017</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>7,420</td>
<td>7,689</td>
<td>7,572</td>
<td>7,605</td>
<td>7,092</td>
<td>7,168</td>
<td>7,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,879</td>
<td>1,818</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>1,775</td>
<td>1,639</td>
<td>1,210</td>
<td>1,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>6,287</td>
<td>4,684</td>
<td>4,713</td>
<td>4,308</td>
<td>3,856</td>
<td>4,893</td>
<td>4,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>39,752</td>
<td>36,675</td>
<td>35,432</td>
<td>33,557</td>
<td>28,416</td>
<td>30,777</td>
<td>31,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>11,814</td>
<td>10,733</td>
<td>10,481</td>
<td>11,618</td>
<td>8,177</td>
<td>8,883</td>
<td>7,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51,566</td>
<td>47,408</td>
<td>45,913</td>
<td>45,175</td>
<td>36,593</td>
<td>39,660</td>
<td>39,436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:**

In this table and in all subsequent tables in this report, training loads for the years prior to and including FY 1991 data are **actual**, FY 1992 and subsequent year data are **estimated**.

Table III-1 above does not include Army One-Station Unit Training loads.
Recollect Training

The following table displays the average Recruit Training loads for each year from FY 1990 to FY 1993 and, for FY 1992 and FY 1993, the number of entrants (input) and number of graduates (output). Data are shown separately for each component of each Service.

TABLE III-2. Recruit Training Input, Output and Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>11,559</td>
<td>7,049</td>
<td>55,840</td>
<td>53,299</td>
<td>8,691</td>
<td>57,969</td>
<td>55,389</td>
<td>9,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>4,004</td>
<td>2,590</td>
<td>20,046</td>
<td>19,156</td>
<td>3,137</td>
<td>17,886</td>
<td>17,077</td>
<td>2,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>4,058</td>
<td>2,531</td>
<td>20,578</td>
<td>19,646</td>
<td>3,218</td>
<td>13,359</td>
<td>12,752</td>
<td>2,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>10,085</td>
<td>10,419</td>
<td>62,637</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>10,025</td>
<td>66,638</td>
<td>60,641</td>
<td>10,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>2,987</td>
<td>2,718</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>4,119</td>
<td>3,748</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>7,605</td>
<td>7,092</td>
<td>32,430</td>
<td>26,748</td>
<td>7,168</td>
<td>31,866</td>
<td>27,992</td>
<td>7,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,775</td>
<td>1,639</td>
<td>5,289</td>
<td>4,684</td>
<td>1,210</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>5,416</td>
<td>1,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>4,308</td>
<td>3,856</td>
<td>39,000</td>
<td>36,270</td>
<td>4,893</td>
<td>39,000</td>
<td>36,600</td>
<td>4,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>3,407</td>
<td>2,566</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>2,844</td>
<td>2,604</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>3,360</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>3,245</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>33,557</td>
<td>28,416</td>
<td>189,907</td>
<td>173,317</td>
<td>30,777</td>
<td>195,473</td>
<td>180,622</td>
<td>31,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd</td>
<td>11,618</td>
<td>8,177</td>
<td>55,907</td>
<td>52,130</td>
<td>8,883</td>
<td>48,008</td>
<td>44,842</td>
<td>7,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45,175</td>
<td>36,593</td>
<td>245,814</td>
<td>225,447</td>
<td>39,660</td>
<td>243,481</td>
<td>225,464</td>
<td>39,436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each Service conducts training for women recruits that is similar in concept to Recruit Training for males. The training syllabi are essentially the same for males and females. The major difference between the two courses is that women recruits generally receive less
training in combat-oriented skills. The de-emphasis on combat skills in the Marine Corps causes the length of training for women to be somewhat shorter.

**Rationale for Recruit Training**

The underlying philosophy of Recruit Training is that the demands of military service are fundamentally different from those of civilian life. Military service requires a high level of discipline and physical fitness, a homogeneity of outlook, and an ability to live and work as part of a highly structured organization. There are few parallels in civilian society to the demands of military service. Each recruit, therefore, must be transformed into a member of the military team in order to function effectively in the military environment. The attitudes, habits, and basic skills formed in Recruit Training are the foundation of a cohesive military organization. Later training provides the skills and knowledge needed for specific jobs; Recruit Training shapes civilian entrants into dedicated members of their Military Services with the potential for further development.

The major determinants of Recruit Training loads are the total number of people entering service who must receive Recruit Training (input), the length of the training course, and projected patterns of attrition. Course length and attrition are discussed later in this chapter. The following two sections discuss inputs: first, inputs of active duty personnel, and second, inputs of members of the Reserve Components on active duty for initial training.

**Active Duty Input**

The annual recruiting objective for active duty enlistees without prior military service is a function of the following factors:

2. Number of enlisted personnel currently in training.
3. Projected enlisted losses through separations or other reasons (e.g., desertion, death, acceptance of a commission, retirement, etc.).
4. Projected prior service enlistments, i.e., the return from civilian life of former Service members.
5. The projected requirement for trained enlisted personnel.

"Trained strength" is the number of personnel required to fill "structure" spaces (i.e., positions in military organizations that require specific grades and skills) and individual "pipeline" spaces, such as transients en route between assignments. The Defense Manpower Requirements Report contains a full discussion of how military manpower requirements are determined. The projected trained strength requirement is compared with the projected trained strength inventory to forecast future skill and strength imbalances. Future shortages that are not expected to be satisfied, either by prior service enlistees or Service members currently in skill training courses, determine the training output needed to man the force.
with trained personnel. To determine the necessary input to achieve this output, allowance must be made for the number of students entering a course of instruction who fail to complete it. The total input requirement is increased to compensate for expected attrition losses.

The training organizations attempt to manage inputs to achieve the most efficient use of training staff personnel and training facilities is a continuing goal. However, the phasing of inputs may at times be varied in order to take advantage of the best recruiting periods for maintaining quality and quantity.

Historically, the highest accessions occur in June through September and January, a reflection of the civilian academic calendar. Enlistments increase (1) shortly after high school graduation, (2) when peers return to school in the fall, and (3) after the results of the first term of college academic work are announced.

The Services must be able to accept most prospective enlistees when they are ready to enter service. Requiring enlistees to enter military service in phase with requirements and on an even flow-basis would result in the loss of many potential enlistees to other sources of employment. Accepting enlistees as they become available, however, requires a training structure capable of accommodating surges of enlistments.

**Reserve Component Input**

Persons enlisting in the National Guard and Reserve forces without active duty experience require the same Recruit Training as active duty enlistees, and for the same reasons. Recruit Training loads for the Reserve Components are based on the same factors as active force loads. Guard and Reserve trainees, while in Recruit Training, are mingled with active duty trainees in units so that their training is identical.

Reserve Component recruits form a significant part of the workload of the active Recruit Training establishment. Recruit Training for the Reserve and Guard will account for 22 percent of all DoD Recruit Training in FY 1992 and 20 percent in FY 1993. Reserve Component training accounts for 44 percent of all Army One-Station Unit Training programmed in the Department of Defense for FY 1992 and 40 percent in FY 1993.

Planning considerations for Reserve Component personnel are essentially similar to those for the active force. Detailed phasing of this training is complicated, however, by the additional consideration of civilian employment or school commitments for these personnel. For this reason, a pool of personnel who have enlisted but who have not yet attended initial training is normal. This backlog is kept within a reasonable size.
Course Length and Course Content

Enlisted training loads depend not only upon the numbers of entrants but also on the extent of skills required of entering enlisted personnel. Enlisted personnel attain those skills in Recruit Training and in Specialized Skill Training. Recruit Training course lengths are determined in part by how much of the required training is to be provided during the Recruit Training phase and how much is to be deferred to later training. Because of differences in their missions, the Services take somewhat different approaches in establishing the content and length of their Recruit Training courses.

Recruit Training in each of the Services covers four areas: (1) some processing and testing; (2) introduction into Service life; (3) instruction in military courtesy, discipline, and hygiene; and (4) fundamental military-related training involving physical fitness, military drill, and self-defense. In addition, each Service provides training in military skills that should be possessed by most members of that Service. The degree to which these Service-wide skills exist differs among the Services. This factor accounts for most of the differences in course content and, therefore, course length.

Length of the standard Recruit Training course in each Service is shown in the following table.

TABLE III-3. Recruit Training Course Length
(Weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1992</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1993</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Chart reflects average weeks of training. Actual course time may vary by a few days depending upon Service requirements and training location.

Army and Marine Corps Recruit Training differ from the Air Force and Navy programs because all recruits are given intensive physical conditioning and instruction in basic ground combat skills, including the use of individual weapons. The Army and Marine Corps train all enlisted personnel to achieve a basic level of qualification in ground combat skills during their Recruit Training program.

The Air Force is able to accomplish Recruit Training in six weeks because the curricula concentrates on military indoctrination subjects. Relatively little training in Service-wide
occupational skills is provided, since there are few common occupational skills needed by all Air Force enlisted personnel. In addition to indoctrinating recruits to military life, the Navy course includes phases designed to prepare them for conditions in a fleet environment and common duties found on board ships.

The average length of time spent in recruit status in any of the Services may be longer than the standard course lengths discussed above. Some recruits fall behind their peers because of medical problems. Others require remedial training. A recruit may be sent to a special training unit or recycled to a following class to repeat a portion of the course.

Enlisted members of the Reserve Components without prior service receive the same basic qualification training as active service members. Each non-prior service enlistee in the Reserve Components undergoes, as a minimum, the equivalent of twelve weeks of active duty training. This is accomplished by sending the enlistee through Recruit Training and, in most cases, on to Initial Skill Training. Many Army Guardsmen and Reservists are provided initial military training in certain occupational skills through One-Station Unit Training.

A split training option is available to the Reserve Components. This program normally separates Recruit Training from Specialized Skill Training. This option is limited to enlisted entrants who cannot attend all their required training in one block due to educational or occupational commitments. The Reserve member attends unit drill after completing Recruit Training and normally returns to active duty within one year to complete Initial Skill Training.

Attrition in Recruit Training

A final factor in the computation of loads is the projection of the rate and timing of attrition. Recruits may fail to complete training for medical reasons, inability to absorb the instruction, lack of motivation, disciplinary problems, or a variety of administrative causes, such as discharge for fraudulent enlistment or family hardship.

The table below shows projected attrition losses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1992</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1993</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III-4. Recruit Training Attrition Projections
(Active and Reserve Combined)
The timing of attrition varies from case to case. In the case of slow learners or individuals who have difficulty in adjusting to military life, trainees usually are reentered or given special instruction. Those who do not respond adequately may not become attrition losses until late in the course.

Army One-Station Unit Training

The Army's One-Station Unit Training (OSUT) program combines Recruit Training and Initial Skill Training for certain skills into a single continuous course. Consequently, this report treats OSUT separately rather than arbitrarily breaking it into two segments.

OSUT loads for FY 1987 through FY 1993 are shown in the following tables.

### TABLE III-5. OSUT Training Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 87</th>
<th>FY 88</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>10,223</td>
<td>8,099</td>
<td>9,018</td>
<td>8,337</td>
<td>6,401</td>
<td>5,166</td>
<td>5,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,960</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>1,179</td>
<td>1,835</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>1,419</td>
<td>1,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>4,505</td>
<td>4,154</td>
<td>3,211</td>
<td>3,846</td>
<td>2,873</td>
<td>2,681</td>
<td>2,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,688</td>
<td>13,478</td>
<td>13,408</td>
<td>14,018</td>
<td>10,458</td>
<td>9,266</td>
<td>9,293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III-6. OSUT Training Input, Output, and Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Load</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>20,039</td>
<td>18,501</td>
<td>5,166</td>
<td>21,413</td>
<td>19,655</td>
<td>5,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>6,179</td>
<td>5,743</td>
<td>1,419</td>
<td>5,712</td>
<td>5,263</td>
<td>1,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>12,137</td>
<td>11,325</td>
<td>2,681</td>
<td>10,980</td>
<td>10,285</td>
<td>2,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>38,355</td>
<td>35,569</td>
<td>9,266</td>
<td>38,105</td>
<td>35,203</td>
<td>9,293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Approximately one third of Army active and Reserve Component entrants are trained under OSUT. Because of the programmed reduction in Army force structure, OSUT training loads will decrease approximately 11 percent from FY 1990 to FY 1992 and 14 percent from FY 1990 to FY 1993.

In FY 1992 and FY 1993 there will be 49 different OSUT courses for six major skill areas described in Table III-7. In general, OSUT requires less training time than the separate Recruit Training and Initial Skill Training courses that it replaces. Table III-7 shows training time for OSUT occupational skill areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Area</th>
<th>Training Time (Weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infantry a/</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artillery</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armor</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Police</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a/ Mechanized infantry soldiers require an additional 3 weeks of training for tracked vehicle qualification.

The time required to complete Recruit Training and the Initial Skill Training in separate courses for these skills would be about 4 weeks longer, including the time required to move the trainee from one training organization to another. The shorter OSUT course lengths provide a significant savings in trainee man-years and, consequently, in trainee pay, allowances, and support costs.
IV

OFFICER ACQUISITION TRAINING

General Description

Officer Acquisition Training consists of training and education programs leading to a commission in one of the Military Services. These programs fulfill the need both for junior officer entrants into the career force and for non-career junior officers in the force structure. Officer Acquisition Training programs produce officers for both the active forces and the Reserve Components.

ROTC and Health Professions Acquisition Programs

The total training loads in Table IV-3 on the following page do not include two types of Officer Acquisition Training: the Army, Navy, and Air Force Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) programs and the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship program. ROTC and Health Professions Scholarship students are not in active military status, whereas students who make up the training loads discussed in this report are either members of the active forces or members of the Reserve Components being trained on active duty by the active establishments. Although these two programs are not included in the requested training loads, they are discussed in this chapter to provide a complete account of Officer Acquisition Training. The following tables show the number of participants in these programs in the period FY 1990 through FY 1993.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>59,099</td>
<td>47,474</td>
<td>42,198</td>
<td>41,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>9,218</td>
<td>8,266</td>
<td>8,416</td>
<td>8,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>18,709</td>
<td>14,012</td>
<td>12,042</td>
<td>11,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87,026</td>
<td>69,752</td>
<td>62,606</td>
<td>60,880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>1,365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>1,243</td>
<td>1,379</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>1,315</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Health Prg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,146</td>
<td>4,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,998</td>
<td>4,009</td>
<td>4,146</td>
<td>4,135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The figures shown above for Health Professions Scholarships are actuals for FY 1990 and FY 1991; FY 1992 and FY 1993 figures are those currently authorized by DoD from the total of 5,000 authorized scholarships.

Junior ROTC is a program designed to develop leadership qualities, good citizenship, and an understanding of the basic elements of national security among high school students. Despite its name, it is not an officer acquisition program since it does not result in a commission and its participants do not incur any military obligation. Junior ROTC is not included within training loads covered by this report.

**TABLE IV-3. Total Officer Acquisition Training Load**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 87</th>
<th>FY 88</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>5,741</td>
<td>6,407</td>
<td>6,853</td>
<td>6,423</td>
<td>5,053</td>
<td>5,092</td>
<td>4,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,375</td>
<td>1,388</td>
<td>1,177</td>
<td>1,758</td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td>1,478</td>
<td>1,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>6,280</td>
<td>6,415</td>
<td>6,546</td>
<td>6,631</td>
<td>6,222</td>
<td>5,962</td>
<td>5,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>5,512</td>
<td>5,283</td>
<td>5,526</td>
<td>4,915</td>
<td>6,148</td>
<td>6,218</td>
<td>6,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>17,966</td>
<td>18,416</td>
<td>19,289</td>
<td>18,327</td>
<td>17,827</td>
<td>17,676</td>
<td>17,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>1,714</td>
<td>1,861</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>2,123</td>
<td>1,505</td>
<td>1,759</td>
<td>1,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19,680</td>
<td>20,277</td>
<td>20,924</td>
<td>20,450</td>
<td>19,332</td>
<td>19,435</td>
<td>19,546</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Officer Requirements and Structuring the Officer Acquisition Program

Requirements for new officers, like requirements for new enlisted personnel, are a product of the need for officers in the projected force as compared to the projected future inventory of officers. Properly functioning programs fill the gross requirements for officer entrants for any given year and provide an even flow of sufficient new officers to each Service to avoid the emergence of unmanageable shortages and overages by age and grade in the future. Each of the Services uses a mix of sources for new officers.

Officer Acquisition Training may be divided into six separate programs:

- Service Academies
- ROTC
- Officer Candidate Schools
- Off-Campus Commissioning Programs
- Other Enlisted Commissioning Programs
- Health Professions Acquisition Programs

The mix of officer acquisition programs used must recognize the characteristics of each source. Some of the differing characteristics of current programs are: stable input, long lead-time; flexible input, short lead-time; high academic quality with comprehensive military indoctrination; and high level of technical skill. Additionally, consideration must be given to each program's ability to attract applicants, the quality of the graduates, and their probable retention and attrition. These differences and others are recognized and exploited in planning officer procurement.

The Service Academies present a long lead-time program that produces highly trained career military officers.

ROTC is also a long lead-time program and provides the largest single input of officers to the active duty force, although many of these officers will leave active duty and join the Reserve Components. In this manner, ROTC provides officers to support the total force, both active and reserve.

Officer Candidate/Training Schools provide the short lead-time commissioning source necessary to respond to immediate surges in officer requirements, since the programs can be expanded or reduced in a relatively short period of time.

The Off-Campus Commissioning Programs, such as the Marine Corps Platoon Leader Corps (PLC) program, are long lead-time programs that provide a student at four-year colleges or universities the opportunity to earn a commission by training only in the summer but without military responsibilities during the school year.

Other Enlisted Commissioning Programs are relatively long lead-time in nature and provide a source of officers who possess specific technical skills and who have a proven high rate of retention. The lead-time for Other Enlisted Commissioning Programs is generally shorter.
than for Service Academies or ROTC programs since most participants have previous college credits and require less time to complete their program.

In addition to the practical considerations discussed above, having a variety of officer commissioning sources opens officership opportunities to a wide segment of the population and provides advancement opportunities for highly qualified enlisted personnel.

Service Academies

The mission of each of the Service Academies (United States Military Academy, United States Naval Academy, and United States Air Force Academy) is to meet a portion of the long-range requirement for career military officers. They provide instruction and experience to cadets or midshipmen so that they graduate with the knowledge and character essential to leadership and with the motivation to become career officers. Cadets and midshipmen receive a rigorous four-year undergraduate college education which includes a technically oriented core curriculum regardless of major. Successful completion of the specified academic, leadership and military requirements entitles the graduate to a Bachelor of Science degree and a Regular commission in one of the Military Services. Up to one-sixth of each year's Naval Academy graduates may be commissioned in the Marine Corps.

The Service Academies are distinctive in that their curricula are specifically designed to prepare young men and women for duty as professional officers. The total curriculum at each Academy is designed to develop the qualities of character, intellect, and physical competence needed by the officer who may, in the course of a full career, be called upon to perform duties ranging from leading a small combat unit to advising the highest government councils. The curricula, which include the sciences, the humanities, and military and physical training, form the basis for further professional development or, when required, graduate education.

The enrollment of each of the Service Academies is established by law. This fact establishes stable training loads for the Academies. Training load data for the Service Academies are shown in Table IV-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>5,422</td>
<td>4,365</td>
<td>1,220</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>1,220</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>4,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>4,356</td>
<td>4,153</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>1,021</td>
<td>4,079</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>4,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>4,364</td>
<td>4,433</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14,142</td>
<td>12,951</td>
<td>3,655</td>
<td>3,038</td>
<td>12,675</td>
<td>3,620</td>
<td>3,007</td>
<td>12,440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each of the Military Departments sponsors an Academy preparatory school. Marine Corps and Coast Guard personnel attend the Navy school. The missions of these schools are to provide approximately one year of intensive instruction and guidance to selected enlisted personnel in preparation for entry to the Service Academies. Students compete for nominations by the Secretaries of the Military Departments and from other sources. The Naval Academy Preparatory School also provides instruction to candidates for the Marine Corps Enlisted Commissioning Education Program during the summer months. Training load data for the Academy preparatory schools is shown in Table IV-5.

### TABLE IV-5. Training Input, Output, and Load, Academy Preparatory Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Input</th>
<th>FY 91 Output</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>605</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ROTC Programs**

ROTC is a long lead-time program which is the single largest source of officers for the Armed Forces. Like the Service Academies, ROTC is used to provide a relatively constant input of officers for active duty. The program is currently conducted at over five hundred civilian colleges and universities throughout the nation. The Army, Navy, and Air Force each sponsor a ROTC program. Up to one-sixth of the Navy ROTC graduates may be commissioned into the Marine Corps. Scholarships and subsistence allowances authorized by law, in addition to conventional recruiting and advertising methods, are used to attract qualified students. Scholarships are awarded to young men and women who exhibit potential ability as officers and have interests in fields of projected Service needs.

There are both scholarship and non-scholarship, as well as two-year and four-year, ROTC programs. The curriculum of each program is tailored to the needs of the individual Services. For example, the Navy teaches the basics of ship navigation, while the Army teaches the fundamentals of ground combat and the Air Force provides basic instruction in aerospace history and doctrine. Each of the programs includes instruction in leadership, military customs and military history, and each program provides prospective officers with a gradual transition from the civilian environment to the military environment. Each ROTC program consists of a series of regularly scheduled academic classes throughout the school year combined with mandatory summer camps or cruises that are designed to give the student realistic military experience and a first-hand view of military life.
The ROTC scholarship continues to be an important incentive to attract exceptionally qualified individuals to ROTC. The rising cost of education makes the scholarship even more attractive. Currently, the following numbers of scholarships are authorized by law: Army- 12,000, Navy- 5,266, Air Force- 9,500. Due to resource constraints, the Navy will be able to fund only of 5,174 scholarships in FY 1993. The Army will fund 8,600 scholarships and the Air Force 3,078 scholarships in FY 1993.

Reduced force structure requires fewer officers and the ROTC Program is being downsized accordingly. The Army now has 350 host institutions, the Navy has 53, and the Air Force has 147.

As noted at the beginning of this chapter, the ROTC program is not included in Service training loads because the students are not in an active military status. The following table shows the three Service ROTC programs for FY 1992 and FY 1993.

**TABLE IV-6. Senior ROTC Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th>Average Enrollments</th>
<th>Average Graduates</th>
<th>Average Scholarship Enrollees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>43,904</td>
<td>4,661</td>
<td>42,148</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>8,250</td>
<td>1,677</td>
<td>8,416</td>
<td>5,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>12,847</td>
<td>1,835</td>
<td>12,042</td>
<td>3,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>65,001</td>
<td>8,173</td>
<td>62,606</td>
<td>17,730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
<th>Average Enrollments</th>
<th>Average Graduates</th>
<th>Average Scholarship Enrollees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>43,412</td>
<td>4,216</td>
<td>41,345</td>
<td>8,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>8,150</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>8,169</td>
<td>5,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>12,144</td>
<td>1,515</td>
<td>11,366</td>
<td>3,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63,706</td>
<td>7,201</td>
<td>60,880</td>
<td>16,852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Off-Campus Commissioning Programs**

The only Officer Acquisition Training program off the college campus is the Marine Corps Platoon Leaders Class (PLC). This program provides for enlistment as a Marine Corps Reservist while the student is still an undergraduate. All PLC training takes place in the summer. For freshmen and sophomores, PLC consists of two six-week training sessions at the Marine Corps Officer Candidate School in Quantico, Virginia. Juniors attend one ten-week session.

Students participating in this program attend either one or two summer training sessions, depending upon when during their college career they were enrolled. The objective of the program is to indoctrinate, motivate and train the enrollees by providing instruction in basic military subjects, leadership and physical conditioning. PLC students are commissioned when their college degrees are conferred. Newly commissioned Marine Corps officers then attend The Basic School at Quantico, Virginia.

The training loads in Table IV-7 are based only on the time spent in summer training.

**TABLE IV-7. Training Input, Output, and Load, Off-Campus Commissioning Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Reserve</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1,526</td>
<td>1,150</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>1,456</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Officer Candidate Schools (OCS)**

Each of the Military Services operates an Officer Candidate School. The Air Force school is entitled Officer Training School (OTS).

Enlisted members can use this route to "rise from the ranks." The existence of OCS and the other enlisted commissioning programs covered in the next section is a significant advancement incentive to ambitious and promising enlisted personnel.

The four Services offer direct entry into OCS to selected college graduates without previous enlisted service. Some college students in highly specialized academic disciplines, such as engineering and physical sciences, cannot afford the time required to participate in ROTC. The OCS program commissions well qualified college students who desire to become officers after graduation. Because of reductions in officer end strength, Officer Candidate School workloads have decreased.
The following tables show length and load data for Officer Candidate Schools.

**TABLE IV-8. Course Lengths (Weeks), Officer Candidate Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Army OCS</th>
<th>Navy OCS</th>
<th>Marine Corps OCS</th>
<th>Air Force OTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV-9. Training Input, Output, and Load Officer Candidate Schools.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1,299</td>
<td>1,176</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>1,349</td>
<td>1,227</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2,265</td>
<td>1,970</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>2,797</td>
<td>2,344</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>4,563</td>
<td>3,942</td>
<td>1,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>3,176</td>
<td>2,692</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>5,069</td>
<td>4,385</td>
<td>1,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other Enlisted Commissioning Programs

The Services each have enlisted commissioning programs in addition to Officer Candidate Schools. The purposes of these programs are: (1) to provide a source of officers in specific skills with an expected high rate of retention; (2) to provide an avenue whereby enlisted personnel with proven qualifications can augment the commissioned ranks; and (3) to provide a measure of motivation to enlisted personnel. The Navy's Enlisted Commissioning Programs now number five. A similar program, the Marine Enlisted Commissioning Education Program, has been expanded to offer degrees in technical and liberal arts academic disciplines. Students in the USAF Airman Education and Commissioning Program (AECP) major in engineering and computer science or physical science, with matriculation up to three years. The average academic time spent in the program is about 27 months. In the Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force, participants attend the Officer Candidate School of their Service before they are commissioned. Like OCS/OTS, these education programs carry an active duty service requirement. In FY 1988 the Army began reporting the warrant officer certification program in this category. While the other Services' participants are all on active duty, the Army's program also includes members of the Reserve and National Guard.

During FY 1986 the Navy instituted the Officer Sea and Air Mariner (OSAM) Program which provides officer accessions directly into the Naval Reserve. The program covers all phases of training from Officer Candidate School to specific training in a designated warfare specialty. Training is completed after approximately two years and individuals are released from active duty to complete a four-year drilling obligation with the Selected Reserve.

The following table displays load data for these programs. All participants are members of the active forces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>1,137</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>1,655</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>1,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,452</td>
<td>2,212</td>
<td>2,583</td>
<td>2,205</td>
<td>2,290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV-9
**Health Professions Acquisition Programs**

This subcategory may be conveniently divided into two parts, the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program and the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Program.

The Health Professions Scholarship Program was established in 1972 by Public Law 92-426. Participants are selected from among students or those accepted for enrollment in recognized health professions schools. Participants are commissioned in grade 0-1 in the Reserve of their parent Service, but except for a short period of annual active duty are not in active status. They are, therefore, not included in the training loads of their Services. Upon graduation, participants must serve obligated tours of duty, the length of which depends on the length of their participation in the program.

The program is authorized a total of 5,000 scholarships at its current level. Service data for FY 1992 and FY 1993 are shown in Table IV-11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Scholarships</th>
<th>FY 1992 Graduates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>1,429</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>1,496</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD Total</td>
<td>4,282</td>
<td>1,112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 1993**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Scholarships</th>
<th>FY 1993 Graduates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>1,448</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,292</td>
<td>1,173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An additional acquisition program for health professionals, the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), began operation in 1976. In accordance with PL 92-426, the student body of the USUHS is composed of commissioned officers of the Uniformed Services. The first students graduated from this program in 1980.
The USUHS will, over the long term, provide approximately 25 percent of DoD's projected physician requirements. Training information for this DoD school is shown in Table IV-12.

### TABLE IV-12. USUHS Training Input, Output, and Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defense Agencies</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Air Force also fulfills some of their needs for new doctors through training at civilian institutions. The following table is new to the MMTR this year.

### TABLE IV-13. Other Health Professions Acquisition Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,271</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>1,380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING

General Description

Specialized Skill Training provides officer and enlisted personnel with skills and knowledge needed to perform specific jobs. Each Service has established a job structure that makes it possible to carry out assigned missions. Each Service's mission is supported by an established job structure and each position within that job structure has been analyzed to determine the skill it requires. Specialized Skill Training provides these required skills to the proper number of individuals in a phased manner so that each vacancy in the structure can be filled promptly with a qualified replacement.

Specialized Skill Training, as used in this report, is defined as:

Initial, progression and functional training for both officers and enlisted personnel. Specialized Skill Training includes such programs as Army Advanced Individual Training, Navy Apprenticeship Training and Marine Combat Training. This training category also includes aviation-related ground training and initial enlisted leadership training below the level of that carried in Professional Development Education.

Army One-Station Unit Training (OSUT) provides Army personnel with job-related training in a number of skills. However, since OSUT is conducted as one course which combines Recruit and Specialized Skill Training, it is treated separately in this report (see Chapter III). OSUT loads are not included in the Specialized Skill Training loads in this chapter.

Specialized Skill Training loads for Active personnel will increase 1,827 or 2 percent between FY 1991 and FY 1992 and decrease 419 or 1 percent between FY 1991 and FY 1993. Reserve Components training loads increased about 23 percent from FY 1991 to FY 1992 and about 11 percent from FY 1991 to FY 1993. Although entry level training for enlisted personnel makes up 80 percent of total Reserve Component training loads, Reserve and Guard officers and enlisted personnel beyond the initial entry stage are also trained by the Active establishment. DoD wide, the requirement to improve the technical skills of career personnel to keep pace with new equipment acquisition and modifications to the existing inventory will continue into the foreseeable future, and this is reflected in the estimated Specialized Skill Training load.

Specialized Skill Training loads for FY 1987 through FY 1993 are as shown in Table V-1.
Table V-1. Specialized Skill Training Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 87</th>
<th>FY 88</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army a/</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>39,220</td>
<td>38,554</td>
<td>40,641</td>
<td>40,438</td>
<td>32,103</td>
<td>36,197</td>
<td>36,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>6,856</td>
<td>6,613</td>
<td>6,305</td>
<td>7,502</td>
<td>6,036</td>
<td>7,514</td>
<td>6,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>7,159</td>
<td>7,435</td>
<td>6,976</td>
<td>9,189</td>
<td>6,309</td>
<td>6,864</td>
<td>5,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>41,663</td>
<td>43,158</td>
<td>41,023</td>
<td>39,283</td>
<td>36,763</td>
<td>33,545</td>
<td>31,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,607</td>
<td>1,775</td>
<td>1,497</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,213</td>
<td>1,318</td>
<td>1,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>9,248</td>
<td>8,580</td>
<td>8,470</td>
<td>10,456</td>
<td>9,046</td>
<td>8,686</td>
<td>8,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,534</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>1,228</td>
<td>2,356</td>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>1,558</td>
<td>1,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>21,638</td>
<td>17,858</td>
<td>13,294</td>
<td>15,521</td>
<td>10,833</td>
<td>12,144</td>
<td>12,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>1,254</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>1,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>1,850</td>
<td>2,031</td>
<td>1,660</td>
<td>1,475</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>2,252</td>
<td>2,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>111,769</td>
<td>108,150</td>
<td>103,428</td>
<td>105,698</td>
<td>88,745</td>
<td>90,572</td>
<td>88,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>20,312</td>
<td>20,507</td>
<td>18,744</td>
<td>22,429</td>
<td>16,710</td>
<td>20,481</td>
<td>18,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>132,081</td>
<td>128,657</td>
<td>122,172</td>
<td>128,127</td>
<td>105,455</td>
<td>111,053</td>
<td>106,938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a/ Army One-Station Unit Training load is not included.

As in the other types of training covered in this report, the demand placed on the training establishment for individuals is determined by comparing projected requirements for each skill and skill level with the projected future inventory of trained service members.

When anticipated losses are deducted from the current inventory, shortages in various skill areas are revealed. These shortages, except for those that can be satisfied through on-the-job training, or, in a few cases, through lateral entry from civilian life of individuals who already possess needed job skills, create a demand for a phased output of trained replacement personnel. Also, estimates are made of the proportion of students in each training course who will fail to complete the course. These course attrition factors determine the inputs necessary to achieve the desired course outputs. Inputs, outputs,
attrition patterns, and course lengths determine the training loads. These factors are discussed for each sub-category of Specialized Skill Training in the remainder of this chapter.

One of the challenges facing the Reserve Components is matching an individual's occupational specialty to a specific billet. The majority of the specialties or ratings require formal school training prior to designation. Since limited availability for active duty prevents members of the Selected Reserve from attending many formal schools, initial skill training programs are being developed to train prior-service Reservists in selected occupational specialties using combinations of two-week formal schools, on-the-job training, correspondence courses, mobile training teams and civilian vocational technical courses.

Specialized Skill Training is the most diverse of the major categories of individual training. In the interest of clarity, the full category has been divided into five sub-categories. Two are concerned with initial skill training, one for officers, the other for enlisted personnel. Two others cover more advanced training, again divided by officer and enlisted. The last category covers both officer and enlisted training which conveys required knowledge or skills without changing the student's primary skill or skill level.

**Initial Skill Training (Enlisted)**

Initial Skill Training (Enlisted) includes all formal training normally given immediately after Recruit Training and leading toward the award of a military occupational specialty or rating at the lowest skill level. Successful completion of the training qualifies the enlisted member to take a position in the job structure of the Service and to progress to the journeyman level through job experience. Army One-Station Unit Training satisfies this same purpose but, because it combines the skill training with recruit training in a single course, it is treated separately in this report.

The great majority of Service recruits are drawn from the least skilled segment of the population. Most recruits are under age 21 and have little civilian job experience. In addition, some civilian specialties are not in demand in the military job structure, and many of the most important military skills have no civilian counterpart. Consequently, only a small number of people enter the Service with a skill that can be used with little or no additional training. Enlistees must be trained in a technical skill before they can become productive. Some skills can be acquired through experience and on-the-job training. The vast majority, however, are most effectively and efficiently learned through formal courses. In some situations, on board ship or in remote locations for example, the opportunity for on-the-job training is limited.

Load data for Initial Skill Training (Enlisted) are displayed in Table V-2. The classification of this training is determined by its purpose, rather than by whether entrants attend immediately after Recruit Training. Thus some prior-service students and cross-trainees from other skill areas are reflected in these data.
TABLE V-2. Training Input, Output, and Load
Initial Skill Training (Enlisted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>15,052</td>
<td>12,162</td>
<td>53,306</td>
<td>50,566</td>
<td>11,252</td>
<td>62,338</td>
<td>59,143</td>
<td>13,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>5,232</td>
<td>3,883</td>
<td>25,031</td>
<td>23,453</td>
<td>4,559</td>
<td>22,223</td>
<td>20,757</td>
<td>4,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>6,248</td>
<td>4,220</td>
<td>22,879</td>
<td>21,554</td>
<td>4,582</td>
<td>17,515</td>
<td>16,432</td>
<td>3,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>19,400</td>
<td>17,846</td>
<td>102,627</td>
<td>97,111</td>
<td>16,362</td>
<td>93,102</td>
<td>88,161</td>
<td>14,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>5,215</td>
<td>4,970</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>4,753</td>
<td>4,523</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>4,459</td>
<td>4,615</td>
<td>28,947</td>
<td>27,803</td>
<td>4,384</td>
<td>27,893</td>
<td>26,821</td>
<td>4,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>1,575</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>7,387</td>
<td>7,011</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>7,649</td>
<td>7,363</td>
<td>1,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>9,594</td>
<td>6,332</td>
<td>29,490</td>
<td>29,453</td>
<td>6,768</td>
<td>29,490</td>
<td>28,900</td>
<td>6,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td>2,897</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td>3,106</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td>7,469</td>
<td>6,842</td>
<td>1,644</td>
<td>7,469</td>
<td>7,171</td>
<td>1,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>48,505</td>
<td>40,955</td>
<td>214,370</td>
<td>204,933</td>
<td>38,766</td>
<td>212,823</td>
<td>203,025</td>
<td>39,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64,137</td>
<td>52,262</td>
<td>285,521</td>
<td>271,660</td>
<td>52,160</td>
<td>275,602</td>
<td>262,377</td>
<td>51,494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New mission requirements and technological change have resulted in consolidating or splitting skill areas and extensive modification of existing training programs. For instance, the introduction of word processors and microcomputers into Air Force personnel, administration and resource management has increased the percentage of new accessions requiring formal training for these skills.

Reserve trainees graduating from Recruit Training proceed to Initial Skill Training in their occupational specialty. This may consist of a course in a Service school or Advanced Individual Training at an Army training center. If a course in the proper skill is not available, the trainee may be assigned to on-the-job training in an active duty for training status. The actual length of active duty training, in comparison with the statutory twelve weeks minimum, varies from twelve weeks to twelve months, depending on the occupational
specialties involved. To accommodate the Reserve Component member, the split-training program allows completion of initial entry training in a two training segments in a two-year period.

The variety of skills required in the four Services dictates a large number of courses for enlisted personnel in Initial Skill Training, as shown in the following table.

**TABLE V-3. Number of Courses, Initial Skill Training (Enlisted)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1992</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1993</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Course lengths vary widely based on the complexity of the subject matter. For example, the Air Force course for cytotechnology specialists is 52 weeks long; but the course for packing specialist is only 3 weeks long. Table V-4 shows the average course lengths for the Services' Enlisted Initial Skill Training.

**TABLE V-4. Average Course Lengths, Initial Skill Training (Enlisted)**

(Academic Days In Training)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1992</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1993</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial Skill courses include general skills, intelligence, cryptography and health service training. Some of these courses (for example, nuclear reactor specialist or electronics technician) are highly technical. Others involve less complex skills -- cook, clerk-typist, and vehicle driver. A sampling of high-volume courses is shown in the Table V-5.
TABLE V-5. Initial Skill Training Courses with High Student Flow  
FY 1993

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Army a/</th>
<th>Student Input</th>
<th>Course Length (in weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical Specialist</td>
<td>9,782</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Transport Operator</td>
<td>4,401</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Specialist</td>
<td>4,063</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum Supply Spec</td>
<td>2,936</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Wheeled Vehicle Mechanic</td>
<td>2,759</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Service Specialist</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navy</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apprentice Training b/</td>
<td>20,195</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Corpsman Basic</td>
<td>3,940</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avionics Technician &quot;A&quot; School</td>
<td>3,039</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics Technician &quot;A&quot; Phase II</td>
<td>2,752</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics Technician &quot;A&quot; Phase I</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Field &quot;A&quot; School Machinist</td>
<td>2,323</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rifleman</td>
<td>5,739</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Typing</td>
<td>2,194</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Radio Operator</td>
<td>1,928</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Operator</td>
<td>1,658</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortarman</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Clerk</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Force</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APR Security Specialist</td>
<td>2,813</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Service Specialist</td>
<td>1,780</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR Information Mgmt Specialist</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR Law Enforcement Specialist</td>
<td>1,289</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR Fire Protection Specialist</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR Air Traffic Control Specialist</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a/ Many of the Army high-volume skills and most combat skills (armor crewman, artilleryman, etc.) are trained through One-Station Unit Training (OSUT).

b/ Apprentice Training is composed of fundamental training in one of four basic skill areas: Seaman, Fireman, Airman, Constructionman. The course length shown is the average for those four skills.
The final determinant of training loads is the anticipated rate of attrition. Attrition rates must be estimated for each course. A routine course may have low attrition, but attrition may run high in complex technical courses. Unlike Recruit Training, students who fail Initial Skill Training are not discharged but retrained in other, less difficult skills. The average anticipated attrition rates are shown below.

**TABLE V-6. Average Attrition Rates, Initial Skill Training (Enlisted), (Percent)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1992</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1993</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Skill Progression Training (Enlisted)**

This sub-category covers skill training received by enlisted personnel after Initial Skill Training. Through this training the student gains the knowledge to perform at higher skill levels or in a supervisory position. Skill Progression Training is most frequently given after Service members have gained experience through actual work in their specialty. In some cases, however, training in a relatively narrow subject area as an immediate follow-on to Initial Skill Training is included in Skill Progression Training.

Training load data for Skill Progression Training (Enlisted) are shown on Table V-7.
### TABLE V-7. Training Input, Output, and Load

**Skill Progression Training (Enlisted)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>10,276</td>
<td>8,317</td>
<td>77,266</td>
<td>73,376</td>
<td>10,637</td>
<td>63,754</td>
<td>60,708</td>
<td>9,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>2,580</td>
<td>2,217</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>2,094</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>4,639</td>
<td>4,280</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>3,733</td>
<td>3,440</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>13,585</td>
<td>12,627</td>
<td>94,364</td>
<td>90,548</td>
<td>11,278</td>
<td>88,401</td>
<td>84,823</td>
<td>10,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>3,199</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>3,073</td>
<td>2,988</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,992</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>13,244</td>
<td>13,046</td>
<td>1,585</td>
<td>13,380</td>
<td>13,185</td>
<td>1,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>5,015</td>
<td>3,640</td>
<td>66,525</td>
<td>66,241</td>
<td>4,495</td>
<td>69,979</td>
<td>69,078</td>
<td>4,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2,864</td>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>2,864</td>
<td>2,807</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>6,227</td>
<td>5,704</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>6,227</td>
<td>5,978</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>30,868</td>
<td>25,941</td>
<td>251,399</td>
<td>243,211</td>
<td>27,995</td>
<td>235,514</td>
<td>227,794</td>
<td>25,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>2,078</td>
<td>1,302</td>
<td>19,831</td>
<td>18,244</td>
<td>2,453</td>
<td>18,664</td>
<td>17,670</td>
<td>2,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>32,946</td>
<td>27,243</td>
<td>271,230</td>
<td>261,455</td>
<td>30,448</td>
<td>254,178</td>
<td>245,464</td>
<td>28,180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The requirement for Skill Progression Training arises from the fact that training in a skill at entry level and subsequent experience do not, in many cases, fully qualify service members to do the more advanced jobs in their field. Several factors may contribute, singly or in combination, to a need for additional formal training:

1. The introduction of new equipment.

2. The need to produce a higher degree of skill in a sub-specialty.

3. The need to impart a broader base of knowledge to qualify an individual for a supervisory responsibility.
4. The requirement for refresher training to bring the Service member up to date on the latest information and techniques in a skill.

As in all other types of training, the primary need is to have trained individuals available to replace losses as they occur. Planning future training in this sub-category follows the same general pattern as for Initial Skill Training. Some additional complications, however, are introduced by the fact that members eligible for schooling are frequently serving overseas or on board ship, rather than flowing from the Recruit Training pipeline. This situation frequently requires that personnel receive the training when they are available, preferably between duty assignments, rather than when they might more easily be accommodated for formal school training. Reserve Component personnel have similar difficulties because of civilian employer commitments.

The following table displays course data for Skill Progression Training for each of the Services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Progression Training (Enlisted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Course Lengths (Academic Days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Attrition Rate (Percent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Air Force's average days in training is low compared to the other Services because of the heavy use of short courses. The large number of Navy courses is a reflection of the many Navy occupational subspecialties.

Initial Skill Training (Officer)

As a general rule, Officer Acquisition Training is oriented toward the broad educational background and general military training which is considered necessary for all officers entering a Service. Most newly commissioned officers require further training for the specific type of duty they will be performing in their first duty assignment. Initial Skill Training for officers is, therefore, analogous to Initial Skill Training for enlisted personnel. Both provide the job-oriented training which, added to the military fundamentals learned earlier, prepares the individual for taking a place in the job structure.

Load data for Initial Skill Training (Officer) are displayed in Table V-9.
TABLE V-9. Training Input, Output, and Load, Initial Skill Training (Officer)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2,213</td>
<td>1,756</td>
<td>9,639</td>
<td>9,465</td>
<td>2,438</td>
<td>7,381</td>
<td>7,257</td>
<td>1,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>1,286</td>
<td>5,786</td>
<td>5,672</td>
<td>1,384</td>
<td>6,159</td>
<td>6,049</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>1,212</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>2,902</td>
<td>2,832</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>2,558</td>
<td>2,501</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>4,089</td>
<td>3,952</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>3,318</td>
<td>3,198</td>
<td>1,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,133</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>2,185</td>
<td>2,159</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>2,224</td>
<td>2,197</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>1,707</td>
<td>1,704</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>1,707</td>
<td>1,673</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td>3,608</td>
<td>17,620</td>
<td>17,280</td>
<td>4,468</td>
<td>14,630</td>
<td>14,325</td>
<td>3,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>2,225</td>
<td>2,246</td>
<td>9,573</td>
<td>9,329</td>
<td>2,283</td>
<td>9,585</td>
<td>9,385</td>
<td>2,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,975</td>
<td>5,854</td>
<td>27,193</td>
<td>26,609</td>
<td>6,751</td>
<td>24,215</td>
<td>23,710</td>
<td>6,090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With minor exceptions, all newly commissioned Army officers attend officer basic courses at their branch schools -- Infantry officers at the Infantry School, Engineer officers at the Engineer School, and so forth. These courses average 12 weeks in length and officers attend before reporting to their first unit of assignment. In addition, certain officers are selected to attend follow-on skill or functional training courses for more specialized assignments.

All submarine and nuclear officers and most Surface Navy officers go to Initial Skill Training. The Navy provides 21 courses for officers in Initial Skill Training, with an average course length of 103 days.

All newly commissioned Marine Corps officers attend a basic course for general orientation and training. In addition, most Marine Corps officers attend one of the 53 Initial Skill Training courses sponsored by the Corps. They may also participate in others conducted by the Navy or other Services. Such courses average 84 days in length and are related to specific officer jobs.
The Air Force conducts 30 Initial Skill Training courses for officers with an average length of 52 days. About 78 percent of newly commissioned officers attend these courses, some immediately after commissioning and others after spending some time at their first duty assignment.

Skill Progression Training (Officer)

Skill Progression Training for officers is, in general, aimed at officers with several years of practical experience and provides them knowledge needed to assume more advanced responsibilities. For example, the Army provides advanced courses which are structured to prepare the students for battalion and brigade staff duties in addition to command responsibilities at the company and battery level. Data for Skill Progression Training (Officer) are displayed in the following table.

**TABLE V-10. Training Input, Output, and Load, Skill Progression Training (Officer)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>4,031</td>
<td>3,149</td>
<td>12,218</td>
<td>12,004</td>
<td>3,956</td>
<td>11,822</td>
<td>11,623</td>
<td>3,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3,070</td>
<td>2,996</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>3,843</td>
<td>3,765</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>2,498</td>
<td>2,412</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2,836</td>
<td>2,758</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,333</td>
<td>1,338</td>
<td>11,122</td>
<td>10,838</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>10,657</td>
<td>10,390</td>
<td>1,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>1,402</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1,698</td>
<td>1,658</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>4,882</td>
<td>4,876</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>4,882</td>
<td>4,785</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>5,887</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>29,662</td>
<td>29,120</td>
<td>5,781</td>
<td>29,059</td>
<td>28,456</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>7,336</td>
<td>7,079</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>8,436</td>
<td>8,227</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6,516</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>36,998</td>
<td>36,199</td>
<td>6,485</td>
<td>37,495</td>
<td>36,683</td>
<td>6,284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Army conducts 190 courses averaging 59 days in length. The Navy maintains 149 courses averaging 46 days in length. The Navy courses cover a variety of specialized duties that are typically performed by officers with several years of service; for example, aviation maintenance officer course and nuclear propulsion plant course.

Both the Marine Corps and the Air Force conduct broad courses for officers at about the same level as the Army’s advanced courses; however, as these are Service-wide and uniform in content, they are carried in Professional Development Education in this report. Within Skill Progression Training, Marine Corps officers attend 264 courses, averaging 25 days in length. They also utilize the course offerings of the other Services. The Air Force has 157 courses, averaging 17 academic days each, which train officers in new duties required by their prospective assignments.

Attrition from the Skill Progression courses for officers is significantly lower than for enlisted or initial skill officer training. Attrition of one to two percent is typical of such courses.

The Air National Guard (ANG) also conducts specialized skill progression training in several aviation disciplines at ANG installations. Air Force facilities cannot be used for this training because of constrained training time available for the reservist, geographic dispersion of units, availability of training equipment and location of training areas.

**Functional Training (Officer and Enlisted)**

Functional Training is an "all other" sub-category covering those types of required training that do not fit neatly into the definitions of the other sub-categories. By and large, Functional Training is in subject areas that cut across the scope of military occupational specialties and provides additional required skills without changing the student’s primary specialty or skill level. Both officers and enlisted personnel participate in Functional Training. Load data for Functional Training are shown in the Table V-11.
TABLE V-11. Training Input, Output, and Load, Functional Training
(Officer and Enlisted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>8,866</td>
<td>6,719</td>
<td>90,420</td>
<td>82,635</td>
<td>7,914</td>
<td>89,488</td>
<td>81,540</td>
<td>7,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>7,507</td>
<td>6,544</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>6,837</td>
<td>6,120</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>6,020</td>
<td>5,255</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>5,576</td>
<td>4,988</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>3,906</td>
<td>3,928</td>
<td>331,052</td>
<td>325,802</td>
<td>3,324</td>
<td>312,031</td>
<td>307,058</td>
<td>3,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>14,187</td>
<td>13,904</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>13,360</td>
<td>13,092</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>2,409</td>
<td>29,847</td>
<td>28,233</td>
<td>2,154</td>
<td>31,133</td>
<td>29,438</td>
<td>2,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>6,464</td>
<td>6,101</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>6,674</td>
<td>6,292</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>4,580</td>
<td>4,480</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>4,413</td>
<td>4,360</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>15,688</td>
<td>13,241</td>
<td>455,899</td>
<td>441,150</td>
<td>13,562</td>
<td>437,065</td>
<td>422,396</td>
<td>13,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>1,865</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>35,683</td>
<td>33,270</td>
<td>1,647</td>
<td>33,942</td>
<td>31,968</td>
<td>1,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>17,553</td>
<td>14,496</td>
<td>491,582</td>
<td>474,420</td>
<td>15,209</td>
<td>471,007</td>
<td>454,364</td>
<td>14,890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Army Functional Training includes the airborne, ranger, and special forces qualification courses, many specialized NCO supervision courses, language training, and a number of courses related to specialized equipment (e.g., Satellite Communication Operation and Maintenance; 8-inch Atomic Projectile Assembly).

Navy Functional Training differs from that of the other Services because of the very high input to a large number of very short courses. Most of the training is conducted while the ship is in port and includes the following types of activity:
1. Shore training for shipboard teams (firefighting, damage control, anti-submarine warfare, and so forth).

2. Short basic or refresher courses at fleet training centers in the operation of equipment or systems (TOMAHAWK operations and maintenance, SH-60B system familiarization, and 50 cal. machine gun operations).

3. Shipboard in-port training assistance (combat systems, advanced acoustic analysis and command excellence seminar mobile training teams).

4. Precommissioning training for newly formed crews of ships under construction (damage control, Combat Information Center team training and radar navigation team training).

Marine Corps functional training provides skills necessary to perform a specific mission outside of the normal primary occupational specialty. Examples of functional training courses taught at Marine institutions are range officer, aerial observer, field grade officer winter warfare planning, scout/sniper, mountain survival and drill instructor training. The Marine Corps has undertaken a new program called "Marine Battle Skills Training" that will provide the individual Marine with the basic skills required to function in a combat environment and effectively contribute to unit defense. Approximately 31,000 Marines will participate in this training in FY 1992 and in FY 1993.

Marines continue to serve in worldwide locations where terrorism remains a constant threat. To meet this challenge, the Marine Corps has established a program of terrorism counteraction training. Classes range from two hours at recruit training to 25 hours for officer students at the Marine Corps Command and Staff College. Similarly, attendance has increased at other service schools whose curricula include counterterrorism. For FY 1992 and FY 1993, approximately 1000 Marines are expected to attend specialized skill schools where these measures are taught.

Most Air Force Functional Training is survival training related to various environments: water, arctic, jungle, or tropic. These courses train air crews in the skills for long-term combat survival and survival in chemically, biologically, and radiologically contaminated environments.
The following table provides course data for Functional Training.

**TABLE V-12. Courses and Course Length, Functional Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 92 Number of Courses</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Course Length (Days)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 93 Number of Courses</td>
<td>1,235</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Course Length (Days)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI

FLIGHT TRAINING

General Description

Flight Training programs provide basic flying skills required prior to operational assignment of pilots, navigators, and naval flight officers. Most of the training in this category is undergraduate flight training. At the conclusion of this training, a graduate is awarded "wings" and is classified as a "designated" or "rated" officer. Flight Training includes programs for pilots of all Services, navigators in the Air Force, and naval flight officers in the Navy and Marine Corps. Pilot training may be in jet or propeller-driven fixed wing aircraft, or in helicopters. Some related advanced flight training, such as Army instructor pilot training is also included in Flight Training. Enlisted programs in aviation related subjects (for example, in air traffic control) and Air Force survival training are in Specialized Skill Training. Marine Corps enlisted navigator training is included in Flight Training.

Beginning in FY 1986, the Navy opened flight training to a limited number of reservists to fill critical billets as Naval Flight Officers. The students enter the pipeline on extended active duty and are trained at the Aviation Officers Candidate School (AOCS) with their active duty counterparts. After completing all formal specific aircraft training, they are released from active duty to receive their proficiency training with a Naval Air Reserve squadron. The proficiency or operational training is not included in the training loads of this report.

Generally, Reserve Component participation in Flight Training is relatively minor, since most aviator requirements in Reserve units are filled by experienced aviators who join after extended service in the active components.

The Army plans to increase undergraduate helicopter pilot training for its Reserve Components. The result will be an increase in the Army's reserve pilot inventories and increase the deployability of reserve air detachments.

Flight Training loads, by Service and component, for Fiscal Years 1987 through 1993 are shown in Table VI-1.
Table VI-1. Total Flight Training Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 87</th>
<th>FY 88</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army a/</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>1,135</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2,244</td>
<td>2,023</td>
<td>2,249</td>
<td>2,255</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td>1,546</td>
<td>1,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2,708</td>
<td>2,773</td>
<td>2,495</td>
<td>2,395</td>
<td>1,678</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>6,483</td>
<td>6,195</td>
<td>6,392</td>
<td>6,425</td>
<td>4,732</td>
<td>4,114</td>
<td>3,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>7,089</td>
<td>6,780</td>
<td>7,002</td>
<td>7,049</td>
<td>5,368</td>
<td>4,744</td>
<td>4,595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For purposes of clarity, the following discussion of aviation training is divided into three sections -- Undergraduate Pilot Training, Navigator Training and All Other Flight Training.

**Undergraduate Pilot Training**

Undergraduate Pilot Training qualifies students to perform the flight duties and to assume the responsibilities of military pilots. Air Force courses include sufficient flying training to allow the student to attain proficiency in the general class of aircraft flown in future assignments. Flying training is augmented by flight-related ground training and simulator training. The Army uses a large number of warrant officer pilots. Enlisted entrants undergo warrant officer candidate training before entering flight phases of training and receive their warrants upon graduation from flight training. Some Army flight training students are already commissioned officers or warrant officers upon entry. The Navy conducts officer training for naval aviation officer candidates concurrent with the early phases of flight training.
Training data for FY 1990 through FY 1993 are displayed in the following table.

**TABLE VI-2. Training Input, Output, and Load, Undergraduate Pilot Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>1,860</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>1,853</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>1,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,597</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>4,694</td>
<td>3,462</td>
<td>4,101</td>
<td>3,831</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td>3,915</td>
<td>3,616</td>
<td>2,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,197</td>
<td>3,990</td>
<td>4,965</td>
<td>4,596</td>
<td>3,482</td>
<td>4,768</td>
<td>4,386</td>
<td>3,322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI-3
Load data for each Service for undergraduate helicopter pilot training are shown in Table VI-3.

**TABLE VI-3. Training Input, Output, and Load, Undergraduate Helicopter Pilot Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 92 Input</td>
<td>FY 92 Output</td>
<td>FY 92 Load</td>
<td>FY 92 Input</td>
<td>FY 92 Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>1,860</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,732</td>
<td>1,366</td>
<td>2,393</td>
<td>2,310</td>
<td>1,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,036</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>2,977</td>
<td>2,886</td>
<td>1,450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table shows FY 1993 programmed course length and projected attrition rates for the Army undergraduate helicopter pilot training program.

**TABLE VI-4. Course Length and Attrition Rates, Army Undergraduate Helicopter Pilot Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Commissioned Officer Candidates</th>
<th>Warrant Officer Candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Course Length (weeks)</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attrition Rate</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Army course is 6 weeks longer for warrant officer candidates than for commissioned officers since the course also serves as a warrant officer candidate school.

Navy Undergraduate Pilot Training begins with a common core of basic ground training and primary flight training and then diverges according to whether the student is to be qualified in jet aircraft, propeller aircraft or helicopters. The basic ground phase, or aviation pre-flight indoctrination, is six weeks in length for officer students and 14 weeks for aviation officer candidates. This phase also serves as an officer training period for the latter group.

The following table shows FY 1993 course length in weeks, attrition rates, and type of aircraft used for training for each phase of the syllabus.

**TABLE VI-5. Course Phasing, Navy/Marine Corps**

**Undergraduate Pilot Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course/Phase</th>
<th>Course Length</th>
<th>Attrition Rate</th>
<th>Type Aircraft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>USMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioned Officers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation Pre-flight Indocrtination</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation Officer Candidates</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Flight Training (Jet, Prop, Helo)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strike Training (Jet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Training (Prop)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2/C-2 Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Jet (CQ)</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Prop</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because of the task requirements which dictate variations in course content, the standard Undergraduate Pilot Training course is as short as 55 weeks for an officer student qualifying in helicopters or as long as 82 weeks for an aviation officer candidate qualifying in jets. Actual course duration may be longer because of unforeseen circumstances such as major aircraft groundings, fuel shortages or inclement weather.

The following table displays load data for Navy and Marine Corps Undergraduate Pilot Training. All participants are in the active force.

### TABLE VI-6. Training Input, Output, and Load, Navy/Marine Corps Undergraduate Pilot Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FY 92</td>
<td></td>
<td>FY 93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strike</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helo</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marine Corps</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jet</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helo</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The final program of Undergraduate Pilot Training is training of Air Force fixed-wing jet pilots. Air Force helicopter pilots are trained in the Army program. The majority of Air Force fixed wing pilots are trained in the all-jet USAF Undergraduate Pilot Training program. The standard course length is 52 weeks. Forecast attrition for FY 1992/1993 is 20 percent, not including flight screening programs.

In addition, approximately 110 Air Force pilots will be trained annually in the EURO-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training (ENJPT) program. ENJPT is a cooperative undergraduate pilot and pilot instructor training program that began operation on 1 October 1981 at Sheppard Air Force Base, Texas. It is the most significant project of its type that has been undertaken among Allies during peacetime. The nations involved in the program are Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Turkey, United Kingdom and the United States. ENJPT is based on the principles of proportionate sharing of program costs and proportionate instructor pilot manning. Forecast attrition for the program is 12 percent and the course length is 55 weeks.
Load data for both standard Air Force pilot training and ENJJPT are shown in Table VI-7.

TABLE VI-7. Training Input, Output, and Load, Air Force
Undergraduate Jet Pilot Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,579</td>
<td>1,144</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,778</td>
<td>1,339</td>
<td>1,218</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the conclusion of Undergraduate Pilot Training, the new pilot is qualified in trainer aircraft but requires additional training in operational aircraft units and employment tactics.

Undergraduate Navigator Training

The Navy trains Navy and Marine Corps personnel to become Naval Flight Officers. The Air Force trains its personnel as navigators. The duties of Naval Flight Officers and Air Force navigators are not precisely the same because of mission differences but at the undergraduate level they are sufficiently similar that they are referred to collectively in this report as "navigators" (the Army does not train or use navigators).

The Undergraduate Naval Flight Officer (NFO) training program is a building block training program. The training commences with Aviation Pre-flight Indoctrination (6 weeks for officers) or Aviation Officer Candidate School (14 weeks for officer candidates) where the student learns the aeronautical and physiological aspects of flight. After completing this phase, the student enters the Basic phase. This 15-week course provides the student with the basic skills and knowledge needed to safely navigate, communicate, manage aircraft systems, and to learn two-plane formation maneuvers. Successful completion of Basic qualifies students for entrance into Interservice Undergraduate Navigation Training (22 weeks) conducted at Mather AFB, California (described in a later paragraph), or the Navy Intermediate Phase. The Intermediate Phase (13 weeks) expands the knowledge gained in Basic and requires higher skill and performance standards. Practical flight skills are developed in the ID-23 Computerized Navigation/Communications Training Device; the 2B37 T-34C Simulator; the 2F101 T-2 Simulator; the T-2B aircraft for jet acclimatization and
high speed navigation; the T-47A aircraft for jet instrument navigation; and the T-34C aircraft for formation visual navigation, instrument navigation, and advanced performance maneuvers. After successful attainment of the performance standards, the students proceed to one of the following advanced specialized Naval Flight Officer Training phases: Radar Intercept Officer (RIO) (19 weeks), Tactical Navigation (TN) (15 weeks), Overwater Jet Navigation (OJN) (19 weeks), and Airborne Tactical Data Systems (ATDS) (15 weeks).

The advanced segment of Undergraduate Navigator Training for Naval Flight Officers destined for the multi-engine land base community is now managed by the Naval Air Training Unit (NAVAIRTU) at Mather AFB. Navigator candidates receive 320 hours of academic instruction, 78 hours of simulator training, and 80 hours of flight instruction in the T-43 aircraft during 23 weeks of training. This training provides sufficient skills and knowledge so that further training for the newly rated navigator can be limited to flight training in operational aircraft and training in employment of applicable weapons systems.

The Air Force program consists of a 14-week basic course that includes 266 hours of academic instruction, 35 hours of flight simulator training, 22 hours of actual flight instruction in the T-43 aircraft, and 2.5 hours in the T-37 aircraft. After the core course, students will attend one of three follow-on courses: Fighter, Attack, and Reconnaissance (FAR); Tanker, Transport, and Bomber (TTB); or Electronic Warfare Officer Training (EWOT). The FAR course provides 250 academic hours, 64 simulator hours, 14 T-37 hours, and 24 T-43 hours. The TTB trainee receives 300 academic hours, 68 simulator hours, and 88 T-43 hours. EWOT provides 431 academic hours, 63 simulator hours, and 28 T-43 hours.

After graduation, navigators require additional training in operational aircraft and employment techniques. Training load data for Undergraduate Navigator Training are shown in the following table.
### TABLE VI-8. Training Input, Output, and Load, Undergraduate Navigator Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Navy Active</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Flight Training**

This category covers miscellaneous types of flight training, including flight familiarization and other flight programs which were not previously included in undergraduate pilot or navigator training. Load data are displayed in Table VI-9.
TABLE VI-9. Training Input, Output, and Load
Other Flight Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1,570</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1,416</td>
<td>1,336</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2,586</td>
<td>2,586</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2,586</td>
<td>2,586</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>1,333</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>1,498</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>5,536</td>
<td>5,391</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>5,542</td>
<td>5,420</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>1,084</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>6,543</td>
<td>6,303</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>6,732</td>
<td>6,504</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Army includes in this category courses for instructor pilots and specific pilot qualification courses in various aircraft. Most of the courses are short, in the range of two to seven weeks.

The Navy Other Flight Training workload is comprised mainly of instructor ground school training courses. Prospective instructors are taught unique techniques employed in the training of flight students. These courses are the Flight Instructor Training Course (FITC) and the Academic Instructor Training School (AITS). Jet transition training for designated aviators not qualified in jet aircraft is also included in this category, as are indoctrination flights for U. S. Naval Academy and NROTC midshipmen.

The Air Force conducts a separate 22-day flight screening program for candidates for Undergraduate Pilot Training who have not had previous flight familiarization training. Similar training is provided to most Air Force Academy and some ROTC cadets.

The Air Force Other Flight Training workload is limited largely to instructor courses for pilots and navigators and some specialized courses conducted by the Air Training Command.
in such fields as electronic warfare. Most Air Force postgraduate flight training is conducted under operational command auspices.

In each of the Services, graduates of undergraduate pilot and undergraduate navigator training receive supplementary training in the specific aircraft they will be flying on operational missions. Emphasis is placed on crew training and performance under conditions that would be encountered in combat. In the Army most of this training is provided as part of normal unit training by the operational unit to which the new pilot is assigned. In the other Services, this additional training is provided by Navy or Marine fleet readiness squadrons, Marine combat crew readiness training squadrons, and Air Force combat crew training squadrons. As an exception, centrally conducted Army advanced flight training loads are included within Other Flight Training loads. However, most such training is classified as "crew and unit training" by the Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force and is not included in the loads of this report.

**Determination of Requirements for Rated Officers**

Flight Training rates are developed by comparing projections of future requirements for rated officers with projections of the future status of inventories of both Reserve and Active duty rated officers. Consideration is given to the need to have sufficient active duty aviators on hand, in appropriate grades. Requirements for rated officers include both the numbers needed to man the force in peacetime and the additional increment needed to sustain the force when war breaks out. For analytical purposes, aviator requirements are divided into two parts: unit and individuals. Requirements for aviators for each of these categories are computed to meet both peacetime needs and wartime mobilization needs.

**Unit** requirements represent the number of rated officers needed to carry out operational, training and management activities for programmed units. Each such authorized position (that is, military space or billet) requires a rated officer as an incumbent in order to carry out the functions of the job, either because the job involves flying duties (i.e., "operational flying" positions as defined for purposes of the Aviation Career Incentive Act of 1974) or requires flying experience. Other positions that may be occupied by rated officers for career broadening or similar purposes, but that do not require rated officer incumbents for accomplishing the duties, are not included. Unit requirements have three subcomponents: force, training, and supervision.

- **Force requirements** are the positions required to man and operate the Services' aircraft. The number of force positions is a product of established crew ratios or the number of crews per aircraft, which take into account workload (flying hour) and readiness factors and the amount of mission flying and unit flight training that is necessary.

- **Training positions** include the flyers who are conducting formal flight training.
- The supervision component is made up of officer positions entailing actual supervision of flying and flight-related activities and the performance of staff jobs which require the expertise of a rated officer. These positions are continuously scrutinized by the services to assure that rated requirements are valid.

Individual requirements include the transients, students and other individuals needed to make it possible to provide for reasonable manning of positions in units.

Rated Officer Inventory Projections

Projecting rated officer inventories into the future must be based on historical experience, current judgment and an appraisal of how the officers will react to conditions in the future (for example: pay, morale, state of the civilian economy, civilian airline hiring plans and family satisfaction with service life). These estimates are projected for at least five years in the future. Comparisons of total force inventories of rated officers are then made against the computed total force requirements, and training rates for the entire five-year period are adjusted. This process is repeated each year so that adjustments can be made in training rates based on changes in requirements and/or updated inventory projections. This continuing process of adjustment is necessary to insure that the correct number of trained rated officers will be available in the future without large and expensive fluctuations in training rates.

Training Rate Adjustments

When a comparison of requirements and inventories discloses a shortage or overage of projected rated officers, training rates are adjusted upward or downward in order to bring the program back into balance. For example, if projected FY 1995 pilot requirements exceed projected inventories by 500, an increase in training rates (that is, output or production) of pilots of 100 per year starting in FY 1991 may be appropriate. Inputs into the training program would start in FY 1991 in order to obtain the first increase in desired output in FY 1992. This reevaluation process is repeated at least once each year, with adjustments made as necessary to avoid wide fluctuations in loads.

Determination of Training Loads

The process described above, through continuous updating of the comparison between projected rated officer requirements and inventories, leads to a requirement for phased output from the flight training establishment. The desired annual output, considering the anticipated attrition rates and the planned course lengths, as discussed in the preceding sections on the various types of flight training, establishes the size of the input necessary to achieve the target output. Training loads are then calculated using these factors to determine the average number of students to be on hand during the training year. For FY 1992 and FY 1993, the currently recommended loads are those displayed previously in this chapter.
VII

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION

General Description

The purpose of Professional Development Education is to provide training and education to career military personnel to prepare them to perform the increasingly complex responsibilities as they progress in their military careers. Where Specialized Skill Training is directed toward specific job skills, Professional Development Education is concerned with broader professional development goals in such subjects as leadership and management, military science, engineering and medicine. Professional Development Education is conducted at both military and civilian institutions. This category includes senior enlisted leadership training in recognition of the broad professional content of these courses, as opposed to the narrower skill-oriented training typical of most enlisted training programs. Most of the programs in this category are for professional development of the officers.

Education in the military is fundamental to the development of military officers, enabling them to become fully qualified to perform duties of high responsibility in both war and peace. In most non-military professions, growth in ability and knowledge is gained through experience. In the military, opportunities for full practice of the profession are limited to wartime, and even those officers with combat experience have not had the opportunity for thorough exercise of warfare decision skills at their current rank and responsibility. The military school system serves partially to fill this shortfall by educating military officers in the skills and knowledge needed to perform their duties in a variety of locales and situations, both in peacetime and wartime.

Training loads for FY 1987 through FY 1993 are as shown in Table VII-1. The total loads in the table show a considerable disparity among the Services in amounts of Professional Development Education. These disparities are more apparent than real, and are related mainly to somewhat different ways of categorizing Service education and training programs.

The first three subcategories of Professional Development Education are officer professional military development programs. These programs are at three levels: career, intermediate and senior. In addition to the regular courses for active force officers, most schools in this category present nonresident courses and short seminars. Large numbers of Reserve Component officers and other military students are provided instruction through correspondence courses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>FY 87</th>
<th>FY 88</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>3,410</td>
<td>3,767</td>
<td>3,904</td>
<td>3,475</td>
<td>2,760</td>
<td>3,267</td>
<td>3,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2,060</td>
<td>2,195</td>
<td>2,119</td>
<td>2,270</td>
<td>2,266</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>2,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>1,187</td>
<td>2,137</td>
<td>2,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>3,904</td>
<td>3,602</td>
<td>3,332</td>
<td>3,349</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>3,347</td>
<td>3,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>10,221</td>
<td>10,481</td>
<td>10,284</td>
<td>10,096</td>
<td>9,503</td>
<td>11,056</td>
<td>11,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,577</td>
<td>10,819</td>
<td>10,719</td>
<td>10,422</td>
<td>9,775</td>
<td>11,517</td>
<td>11,852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professional Military Education is the systematic and comprehensive process of developing the skills, knowledge, and military judgement required to deal with the increasingly complex responsibilities associated with the duties and responsibilities of higher grades. In contrast to specific job or billet-related skills, PME is the life-long study of the profession of arms within the framework of military operations. PME is acquired through structured self-study, professional reading, symposia, formal schools attendance and experiences gained in duty assignments. The purpose of PME is to assist all Service members in fulfilling their personal goals and responsibilities for achieving operational competence.
Career Officer Professional Schools

The Marine Corps and Air Force conduct career officer professional courses for officers with some experience in operational units. These courses are Service-wide in scope and are, therefore, carried in this report under Professional Development Education. The Army and Navy conduct courses that are at a similar level, but are oriented toward specific skills (e.g., the Navy’s Surface Warfare Officers Course) or somewhat broader skills within a specific part of the Service (e.g., the Army’s Armor Officer Advanced Course). The Army and Navy courses, because of their specialization, are treated in this report as part of Specialized Skill Training.

The Marine Corps Amphibious Warfare School prepares captains for duties in battalion or squadron command or on regimental level staffs. The course length is 39 weeks. The Air Force Squadron Officer School is an 8-week course designed to prepare selected captains who have completed some active duty service for command and staff responsibilities.

The training load data associated with these Marine and Air Force courses are displayed in the Table VII-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>3,337</td>
<td>3,337</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>3,347</td>
<td>3,347</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>3,612</td>
<td>3,612</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>3,623</td>
<td>3,623</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII-3
Intermediate Service Schools

Each of the Services maintains a Command and Staff College. In addition, the Navy is executive agent for the Armed Forces Staff College, a joint institution for students from all Services sponsored by the Joint Chiefs of Staff. While there are differences in approach and curriculum based on the requirements of the parent Service, each of the courses is designed to prepare officers for command and staff duties in all echelons of their parent Services and in joint or allied commands. A relatively small number of officers from each Service attends one of the Command and Staff Colleges of the other Services and a few attend Allied schools at the same level. Attendance at the Intermediate Service Schools is on a selective basis. The following table lists the Command and Staff Colleges and their respective course length in weeks.

TABLE VII-3. Intermediate Service Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Course Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armed Forces Staff College</td>
<td>Norfolk, VA</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Command and General Staff College</td>
<td>Fort Leavenworth, KA</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Naval Command and Staff</td>
<td>Newport, RI</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Command and Staff College</td>
<td>Quantico, VA</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Command And Staff College</td>
<td>Montgomery, AL</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another school categorized as an Intermediate Service School for purposes of this report is the Defense Systems Management College at Fort Belvoir, Virginia. This is a joint school that conducts a primary 20-week course in program management concepts and methods with the major purpose of preparing selected military officers and DoD civilian personnel for assignments in program or project management.

Load data for military personnel attending Intermediate Service Schools is shown in the following table.
### TABLE VII-4. Training Input, Output, and Load

#### Intermediate Service Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 90 Input</th>
<th>FY 90 Output</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 90 Input</th>
<th>FY 90 Output</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 90 Input</th>
<th>FY 90 Output</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>229</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>852</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>2,481</td>
<td>2,481</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>2,467</td>
<td>2,467</td>
<td>892</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Senior Service Colleges

Each of the Services maintains a Senior Service School, or "War College." In addition, there is the National Defense University, consisting of two joint Senior Service Schools, The National War College and the Industrial College of the Armed Forces. Students from all four Services attend these colleges. Senior Service College attendance is highly selective and students are chosen by Service selection boards from among the most promising officers in the lieutenant colonel/colonel, commander/captain grades.

The common purpose of these Senior Service Colleges is to prepare students for senior command and staff positions at the highest levels in the national security establishment and the allied command structure. The unifying focus is the study of national goals and national security policy. Each of the Service colleges, while concentrating on the employment of the
parent Service in the defense mission, also includes the study of the employment of the forces of other Services.

All of the colleges integrate the study of economic, scientific, political, sociological and other factors into the consideration of national security problems. The Industrial College, in its approach to national security problems, emphasizes the use and management of national resources. The length of the principal courses at the Senior Service Colleges is 10 months. Most colleges also conduct shorter special-purpose seminar-type courses, some particularly designed for Reserve Component officers. Use of these short courses is greatest in the Navy.

Load data for the Senior Service Colleges are shown in the following table.

**TABLE VII-5. Training Input, Output, and Load**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senior Service Colleges</th>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>1,435</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>1,465</td>
<td>1,463</td>
<td>362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>2,202</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>2,228</td>
<td>646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Total</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>3,109</td>
<td>3,104</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>3,286</td>
<td>739</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII-6
Enlisted Leadership Training

The courses included in this category are designed to provide selected senior enlisted personnel the skills and knowledge needed to assume the responsibilities of the highest noncommissioned officer grades. These courses are the culmination of formal enlisted training and are, for enlisted personnel, analogous to the officer courses discussed in the preceding sections. In addition to such subjects as methods of leadership, human relations, discipline and training, and the administration and employment of military organizations, these higher level schools provide senior non-commissioned officers a broader perspective of the role and functions of their Services. Schools, locations and course length in weeks are shown in Table VII-6.

Table VII-6. Enlisted Leadership Training Courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Course Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army: Sergeants Major Academy</td>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy: Senior Enlisted Academy</td>
<td>Newport, RI</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr Level (SgtMaj/Staff MGuSgt Sr Course)</td>
<td>Quantico, VA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff NCO Academy (Career Course)</td>
<td>Quantico, VA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Advanced Course)</td>
<td>Camp Lejeune, NC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>El Toro, CA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quantico, VA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF Senior NCO Academy</td>
<td>Gunter AFB, AL</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airman Leadership School</td>
<td>106 Worldwide</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCO Academy</td>
<td>18 Worldwide</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other enlisted leadership training for more junior noncommissioned officers is carried in Specialized Skill Training. This includes command sponsored NCO academies, for example. This training tends to be more skill related for specific types of specialized leadership responsibilities. The senior enlisted leadership training carried in this chapter is more properly thought of as Professional Development Education in a broader sense. All four Military Services now sponsor Senior Enlisted Leadership Academies. In addition the Air National Guard conducts Professional Military Education courses at McGhee-Tyson Air
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Base, Knoxville, TN. These courses include Leadership School, NCO Academy, Academy of Military Science and Professional Continuing Education. Army National Guard NCO's are trained in the Reserve Component Noncommissioned Officers Education System (RCNCOES), attending courses at the appropriate level of training at State Military Academies or National Guard Bureau Regional NCO Schools.

Training loads for enlisted leadership training are shown in Table VII-7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>1,076</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>9,228</td>
<td>9,228</td>
<td>1,094</td>
<td>9,228</td>
<td>9,228</td>
<td>1,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1,596</td>
<td>1,596</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1,864</td>
<td>1,864</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>1,824</td>
<td>1,824</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,824</td>
<td>1,824</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>12,439</td>
<td>12,427</td>
<td>1,763</td>
<td>12,393</td>
<td>12,382</td>
<td>1,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>2,137</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2,428</td>
<td>2,426</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>963</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>14,579</td>
<td>14,564</td>
<td>2,006</td>
<td>14,821</td>
<td>14,808</td>
<td>2,025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII-8
Graduate Education Fully Funded, Full Time

The Department of Defense needs military officers with specialized advanced knowledge which, in some cases, is attainable only through graduate education. Under the program established by Section 2004 of Title 10 United States Code and described in this section, military officers pursue graduate education on a fully funded, full-time basis. A minimum service payback obligation of three years for the first year of schooling and one year for each year after the first is required of all officers entering the program. Services establish maximum payback period.

The following table displays training load data for these graduate education programs. All participants are members of the Active Forces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>1,492</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>1,465</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>1,084</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,594</td>
<td>3,648</td>
<td>2,213</td>
<td>2,022</td>
<td>3,674</td>
<td>2,252</td>
<td>2,073</td>
<td>3,773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Officer graduate students attend either a civilian educational institution or one of the two Service institutions, the Naval Postgraduate School or the Air Force Institute of Technology, depending upon where the required education can best be obtained. Curricula in the two Service institutions emphasize military unique courses, such as in logistics management or intelligence operations, and military applications in all other courses. While these schools are primarily used by the parent Services (including Marine Corps use of the Naval Postgraduate School), they also educate some students from other Services. The following table displays student loads for these two schools.
TABLE VII-9. Graduate Education Load at Service Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Naval Postgraduate School</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>1,240</td>
<td>1,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,523</td>
<td>1,606</td>
<td>1,590</td>
<td>1,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force Institute of Technology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>1,084</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>1,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,099</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>1,255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Requirements for graduate-degreed officers depend upon the number of "validated billets," that is, military positions that have been determined to require an incumbent with graduate level education in the applicable academic discipline. The Services examine the duty prerequisites for each billet nominated for validation and determines if the job does, in fact, require an officer with an advanced degree. Requirements for graduate legal education are determined separately.

**Other Full Time Education Programs**

In addition to the Professional Development Education programs already described there are a variety of other full-time programs tailored to meet the particular needs of the Services. (Health Professions Education programs are discussed in a separate section at the end of this chapter).

Several programs have been designed to permit selected individuals an opportunity to work toward associate, baccalaureate or advanced degrees. These programs benefit the Services in several important ways: they increase the technical qualifications of the individuals in the program; they improve the general educational levels of Service personnel; and they provide career retention and recruiting incentives to outstanding personnel. In addition, to the extent possible, personnel in advanced education programs are later used to satisfy validated requirements and hence reduce the required student load in graduate education for validated billets.
The degree completion programs are managed by the individual Military Departments and each has its own selection criteria. Generally, individuals are not selected for a program unless the education will enhance their professional development and be of use to the Military Department. All of the programs require an active Service payback from the individual.

Short course education provides the Military Services with needed skills in a wide variety of scientific, administrative and other fields. These programs are selected to train personnel in job-oriented skills that can best be acquired through abbreviated courses. Accounting, traffic management and aviation safety are examples of skills involved. Some of this training is conducted in DoD schools and at civilian institutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1,259</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1,318</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>8,884</td>
<td>8,893</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>8,884</td>
<td>8,891</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl Guard</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DoD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1,155</td>
<td>1,311</td>
<td>11,600</td>
<td>11,568</td>
<td>1,421</td>
<td>11,680</td>
<td>11,636</td>
<td>1,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res/Gd Tot</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,703</td>
<td>1,703</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,196</td>
<td>1,345</td>
<td>13,303</td>
<td>13,271</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>13,334</td>
<td>13,290</td>
<td>1,545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII-11
Health Professions Education

This subcategory is made up of a wide variety of courses for personnel of all health professions; physicians, dentists, nurses, medical administrators, and so forth. The majority of the courses offered are conducted in military facilities and vary in length from a few days to a full year. Some training is conducted at civilian medical institutions and, in the case of the Army, includes some advanced degree programs. The purpose of Health Professions Education is to expand the skills of military medical personnel and to provide them timely information on the latest techniques in their fields. In this category, the Army and Navy provide long-term training. The Air Force relies on short courses. Educational programs connected with the acquisition of health professionals is carried in this report under Officer Acquisition Training. The following table shows load data for Health Professions Education Programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Component</th>
<th>FY 90 Load</th>
<th>FY 91 Load</th>
<th>FY 92 Input</th>
<th>FY 92 Output</th>
<th>FY 92 Load</th>
<th>FY 93 Input</th>
<th>FY 93 Output</th>
<th>FY 93 Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>1,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>2,201</td>
<td>2,195</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>2,201</td>
<td>2,172</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,113</td>
<td>1,895</td>
<td>3,318</td>
<td>3,364</td>
<td>2,127</td>
<td>3,368</td>
<td>3,405</td>
<td>2,253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VIII

TRAINING MANPOWER

General Description

Manpower associated with the individual training mission in the Department of Defense can be divided into two parts: first, the trainees and students being trained, and second, the military and civilian manpower that conducts and supports the training. These two classes of manpower are discussed and explained in this chapter.

Trainees and Students

Manpower undergoing training in the Defense training establishment is defined and quantified in three different ways, each of which serves a somewhat different purpose with regard to manpower accounting and resource allocation.

1. Training Loads. These are the "military training student loads" and are detailed in Chapters III through VII of this report. They represent the average number of military trainees, students and cadets of each Service and component in training during a given fiscal year and are subject to annual congressional authorization. Training loads include all military manpower of a given Service or component who are undergoing individual training in a centralized school or training center, regardless of whether the training is conducted by the parent Service, one of the other Services, a DoD school, or by an agency or institution outside the Department of Defense, such as a civilian college or university. Training loads also include all military personnel in training regardless of their assignment status. Some trainees and students are assigned in a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) status to the training activity. Others are attending training in a temporary duty (TDY) or temporary additional duty (TAD) status while remaining assigned to their parent units. Still others are attending while in transit from one permanent assignment to another.

Since training loads are an annual average and most courses are much shorter than a year in length, the actual number of students and trainees who enter training, and the number who graduate, is considerably greater than the training load. For example, the total programmed training load for Recruit Training in FY 1993 is about 39,436, yet about 243,000 persons are to enter Recruit Training and about 225,000 are to graduate.

2. Training Workloads. The total number of trainees and students undergoing training within DoD includes some trainees and students of foreign nations, DoD civilian employees, and members of other departments and agencies of the U.S. Government, notably the Coast Guard. In addition, many U.S. military students and trainees are trained by a Service other than their own. Consequently, the average number of students being trained by a given Service, or its training workload, usually differs from its training load. For example, the
Marine Corps has a programmed Flight Training load of 573 in FY 1993. However, since the training is conducted by other Services, its Flight Training workload is zero. On the other hand, because the Navy trains many personnel from other Services and Coast Guard, foreign students as well as most of its own students, the Navy's Specialized Skill Training workload is higher than its training load.

Training workload, in conjunction with other applicable considerations, is the major determinant of the resources (manpower, funds, material and facilities) required to conduct training. It, rather than training load, is appropriately used in considering the allocation of resources to a Service or a training activity. Table VIII-1 displays the programmed training workloads for each of the Services in FY 1992 and 1993.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>116.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Dev Education</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-Station Unit Training</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Agencies</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>199.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 1993</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>110.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Dev Education</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-Station Unit Training</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Agencies</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>193.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII-2
3. **Students, Trainees, and Cadets.** In the Individuals accounts of the Defense Manpower Requirements Report, military manpower is included for each Service as "Trainees and Students" and (except for the Marine Corps) "Cadets". Conceptually, this manpower represents the number of military trainees, students, cadets and midshipmen programmed to be assigned (PCS as opposed to TDY/TAD) for training on the last day of a given fiscal year. Student, trainee and cadet manpower is similar to training load in that both represent military members of the reporting Service in training status. Nevertheless, there are substantial differences in the way the amount of manpower in these two manpower aggregations is calculated, with the result that the totals are seldom the same. The major reasons for these differences are:

- Training loads are man-years in training status, whereas trainees, students, and cadets are end strengths, or numbers in training on the last day of the fiscal year. Trainee, student, and cadet numbers are thus affected by the seasonality of enlistment patterns, as described in Chapter III, while the element of seasonality is leveled out in training loads.

- Training loads include students attending training in a temporary duty (TDY or TAD) status as well as those attending en route training in a PCS status. In the Defense Manpower Requirements Report, TDY and TAD students are carried in the categories of their parent units.

Training loads are a more accurate measure of the amount of training that is needed to meet military requirements than are the categorizations trainees, students and cadets.

**Manpower in Support of Training**

Military and civilian manpower is required to accomplish the individual training mission. This manpower performs all the other tasks necessary to conduct and support individual training conducted in training institutions; i.e., it conducts and supports instruction, operates training bases and facilities, maintains training equipment, produces training aids, provides personal and community services to students, trainees, and other military members, plans and manages training.

ROTC students are not military members in an active duty status and are not included in military manpower training loads. However, ROTC Basic Camp loads are included in the Army Recruit training loads because recruit training instructors and staff support and conduct that training. To be consistent with this treatment of ROTC students, manpower supporting the primary ROTC programs at colleges and universities is not included in Tables VIII-2 through VIII-5.

The following tables summarize manpower in support of training in three general functions: Conduct of Individual Training, Training Base Operating Support, and Management Headquarters. Conduct of Individual Training includes the following types of manpower:
instructors, instructional support, school/training center staffs, student supervisors and direct
training support such as training aids and literature, audiovisual resources and instructional
systems development.

### TABLE VIII-2. DoD Manpower in Support of Training,
Conduct of Individual Training
(End Strengths, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VIII-3. DoD Manpower in Support of Training,
Base Operating Support
(End Strengths, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD Total</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE VIII-4. DoD Manpower in Support of Training, Management
Training Management Headquarters
(End Strengths, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 93</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VIII-5. DoD Manpower in Support of Training, All Functions
(End Strengths, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th></th>
<th>FY 93</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
<td>Mil</td>
<td>Civ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Services' estimates of training attributable manpower include some staff and support manpower that do not contribute to the production of student output and loads. This manpower is reported as training resources in the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) because they belong to organizations and units with a primary mission of training. The majority of the non-training attributable manpower is that portion of Base Operating Support (BOS) needed to support non-training tenant activities at training installations.

* Less than 50 personnel.
Table VIII-6 shows changes in total military and civilian manpower in support of training between FY 1980 and FY 1993.

### TABLE VIII-6. Trends, Manpower in Support of Training, DoD Total, By General Function (End Strengths, Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conduct of Individual Training</th>
<th>Mil</th>
<th>Civ</th>
<th>TOT</th>
<th>FY 80</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>- 8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Oper Support</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headqtrs</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>- 19.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Table VIII-6 shows, the total military and civilian manpower in support of training has decreased 19.3 percent between FY 1980 and FY 1992 and 5.3 percent from FY 1992 to FY 1993. The decrease occurred in all areas supporting training.

As shown in Tables VIII-7 and VIII-8, training workloads will be 17.2 percent lower in FY 1992 than in FY 1980 and 3.0 percent lower in FY 1992 to FY 1993. When considered with the decrease in the level of total manpower in support of training, this implies an increase in productivity and improved training efficiency.

### TABLE VIII-7. Training Workload Trends (Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Type</th>
<th>FY 80</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>-14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>+ 5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Agencies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>-16.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII-6
TABLE VIII-8. Trends, Training Manpower and Training
(Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 80</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manpower in Support of</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>-19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Workloads</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>-16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Training Manpower Detailed by Service and Type of Training

Table VIII-9 shows the manpower required to support FY 1992 and FY 1993 training workloads by Service and training activity.

As was noted early in this chapter, training workloads, in conjunction with other factors, are the determinants of the resources required to conduct training. The workload/resource relationship is not a simple one, but depends upon the nature of training and training support involved. For example, Flight Training normally requires a great deal of support manpower for aircraft maintenance and weapons training requires close instructor supervision for safety considerations.
### TABLE VIII-9. Training Manpower by Service and Type of Training (Thousands)

**FY 1992**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army Mil</th>
<th>Army Civ</th>
<th>Navy Mil</th>
<th>Navy Civ</th>
<th>Marine Corps Mil</th>
<th>Marine Corps Civ</th>
<th>Air Force Mil</th>
<th>Air Force Civ</th>
<th>DoD Mil</th>
<th>DoD Civ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSUT</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Spt</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Spt</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt HQ</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total a/** | 41.3 | 24.7 | 31.6 | 9.1 | 11.9 | 1.2 | 21.4 | 9.4 | 106.2 | 44.4 |

**FY 1993**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Army Mil</th>
<th>Army Civ</th>
<th>Navy Mil</th>
<th>Navy Civ</th>
<th>Marine Corps Mil</th>
<th>Marine Corps Civ</th>
<th>Air Force Mil</th>
<th>Air Force Civ</th>
<th>DoD Mil</th>
<th>DoD Civ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSUT</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Spt</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Spt</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt HQ</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total a/** | 38.5 | 23.7 | 31.0 | 8.4 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 19.6 | 9.5 | 100.6 | 42.6 |

*a/ The Service estimates of training attributable manpower include some staff and support manpower that does not contribute directly to the production of student output and loads but are reported as training resources in the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) because they belong to larger organizations with a primary training mission.

*Less than 50 personnel.
Manpower data in the six categories of training (i.e., Recruit through One-Station Unit Training) includes instructors, school/ training center staffs and student supervisors. Direct training support includes such tasks as training aids and literature, audiovisual resources, and instructional systems development.
IX

TRAINING MANAGEMENT AND FUNDING

General Description

Chapters III through VII of this report describe and explain the military training student loads requested for each military component. These student loads represent patterns and levels of training effort which require manpower and other resources. The purpose of this chapter is to describe and explain the resources (other than manpower, which is discussed in Chapter VIII), funding and costs associated with the conduct of individual training.

In considering training resources, it is important to distinguish between the training loads required by a Service but conducted in part outside the Service, and the workloads representing training conducted by the Service. As discussed in the previous chapter, the workloads, which represent training conducted by a Service, are the basis for resource requirements (manpower, material, facilities and funds) needed to conduct and support the training that the Service executes.

Management of Individual Training

Detailed management of individual training is carried out by the four Military Services. Each of the Services, except the Marine Corps, has a training commander immediately subordinate to the Service chief who is responsible for most of the individual training conducted within that Service. Some training is managed directly by the Service headquarters. However, the most prevalent pattern of control is through a training command headquarters that manages most Service military schools, training centers and other training facilities.

Staff Responsibilities

Within the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), staff responsibility for individual training and education policies rests with the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Force Management and Personnel), with a strong influence over the allocation and use of resources being exercised by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller). These two offices work closely together in the staff supervision of DoD individual training and education. Other OSD offices, such as Health Affairs, Reserve Affairs, and Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I), participate as appropriate. The OSD role is generally one of policy formulation, allocation of resources, overview of Service training programs and coordination among the Services.
Within each Service headquarters, with exception of the Marine Corps, a principal staff officer has responsibility for individual training. Other staff members may have primary responsibility for certain types of training, for example, a Service Surgeon General for professional medical training. Other staff members have collateral responsibilities for the allocation of manpower and funds to the training function.

Primary responsibility on the Army staff for individual training rests with the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans and his subordinate, the Director of Training. Within the Navy, the principal staff officer is the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Manpower, Personnel, and Training. The Deputy Commander for Training and Education acts as the principal training advisor to the Commandant of Marine Corps, through the Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command (MCCDC). Within the Air Force, the Director of Personnel Programs, under the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, has staff responsibility for individual training.

**Training Commands**

Each Service has a command headquarters that manages most of the individual training conducted by that Service.

The Army’s principal training command is Headquarters, Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC), located at Fort Monroe, Virginia. TRADOC’s control is exercised through training installations and school commanders throughout the United States.

The Chief of Naval Education and Training (CNET), headquartered at Pensacola, Florida, exercises control, through subordinate functional commanders, of education and training conducted in training centers, schools, and programs throughout the Navy.

For the Air Force, Headquarters, Air Training Command (ATC), at Randolph Air Force Base, Texas, directly controls individual training centers and units.

For the Marine Corps, the Deputy Commander for Training and Education, Quantico, Virginia, also functions as the Commander, Marine Corps Schools and exercises command, operational control, technical direction, and/or coordination for all Marine Corps formal schools and training centers.

The Service-wide training commands are not responsible for all individual training and education conducted. As already noted, the Surgeons General are responsible for most health professional and medical technical training. Other examples include the Service Academies, which are under the direct supervision of the respective Service Chiefs.

The Services’ training command commanders and the Marine Corps Deputy Commander for Education and Training are also the senior members of the Interservice Training Review Organization (ITRO). The ITRO was formed in 1972 to facilitate cooperative training
efforts among the Services. The committees and working groups of the organization perform the detailed analysis which becomes the basis for decisions on the feasibility of consolidation of training courses or other cooperative arrangements. A listing of major joint training efforts is provided in Appendix B.

Training Facilities

Appendix C lists the principal individual training facilities of the four Services for each of the major categories of training. Projected average training workloads and training support manpower for FY 1992 and FY 1993 are also shown for each facility listed.

Training Funding and Costs

The training costs addressed in this section include funding in the President's Budget for FY 1992 and FY 1993 requested for individual military training and education. Depreciation costs of training facilities and equipment are not included, although training investment costs estimated for FY 1992 and FY 1993, such as procurement and construction costs, are included. The report uses the data in the DoD's Future Year Defense Program (FYDP) as the basis for all estimates of the manpower and funds devoted to training and education.

The costs in this chapter include funding for military pay and allowances for assigned trainees and students, pay and allowances of military and civilian personnel in support of training, base operating costs, training related activities, training investment costs for construction and procurement, and overhead costs for training administration and command. Certain costs for activities at training installations support non-training missions (such as base operating support for non-training activities on training bases). These non-training costs are embedded in Program 8 and, therefore, are included in the costs shown in the tables in this chapter.

For a given Service, the requirement for funding for training arises from two factors. First is the need to fund the pay and allowances of its own military training student loads, regardless of where or by whom the students are trained. Second, the need to provide for the level of individual training and education effort necessary to meet the Service's commitments to accomplish training for its own and other students.

For comparability, the funding requests associated with ROTC and other non-load training programs are deleted from the following tables. Hence, the tables report FY 1992 and FY 1993 funding estimates that relate to the requested FY 1992 and FY 1993 training loads.

Special caution should be exercised in using these costs for comparisons among Services. Differences in missions among the Services, differing operating and training conditions, and differences in the mix of Service training programs degrade the soundness of comparisons based on aggregated data such as these.
Table IX-1 shows Army funding for individual training by category.

TABLE IX-1. Army Funding of Individual Training a/ ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>448.6</td>
<td>357.4</td>
<td>292.2</td>
<td>309.1</td>
<td>326.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>143.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>1,608.4</td>
<td>1,488.6</td>
<td>1,505.5</td>
<td>1,502.1</td>
<td>1,459.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>337.2</td>
<td>349.5</td>
<td>345.1</td>
<td>480.8</td>
<td>468.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>165.5</td>
<td>271.0</td>
<td>310.1</td>
<td>309.0</td>
<td>275.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSUT</td>
<td>430.4</td>
<td>337.5</td>
<td>313.0</td>
<td>307.5</td>
<td>312.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition Trng</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Support</td>
<td>589.7</td>
<td>565.4</td>
<td>623.8</td>
<td>582.5</td>
<td>556.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt HQ</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Support</td>
<td>1,551.1</td>
<td>1,514.1</td>
<td>1,801.2</td>
<td>1,302.2</td>
<td>1,121.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Pay &amp; Allow</td>
<td>602.5</td>
<td>706.2</td>
<td>543.5</td>
<td>635.8</td>
<td>588.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,987.7</td>
<td>5,860.1</td>
<td>6,013.7</td>
<td>5,659.8</td>
<td>5,411.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a/ May not add to totals due to rounding.

Funding for individual training is shown each year in Program 8 of the FYDP. A portion of the resources under Program 8 are not directly related to individual training. The Services sometimes include costs in Program 8 which support other training and activities in addition to individual institutional training. These costs are related to audiovisual support, training developments, base operations, real property maintenance, and headquarters management type activities.

Within Program 8, for example, the Army funds the Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC). This command is responsible for Army-wide requirements for audiovisual and visually based instructional materials used for training individuals or units of the Army as a whole. Training Development activities, under TRADOC, produce resident and non-resident training programs and materials to meet the needs of the Army in the field as well as individual training at the Training Centers and Schools. TRADOC also funds combat development activities. The management of HQ, TRADOC is funded by Program 8 as is the real property maintenance (RPMA) and base operations (BASOPS) of all those posts designated as TRADOC installations. Although TRADOC installations may have tenants from other major commands, the RPMA and BASOPS are funded in Program 8.

Tables IX-2 and IX-3 show Navy and Marine Corps funding for individual training by category.
TABLE IX-2. Navy Funding of Individual Training
($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>727.2</td>
<td>720.0</td>
<td>595.5</td>
<td>502.9</td>
<td>514.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>201.6</td>
<td>207.4</td>
<td>210.7</td>
<td>208.1</td>
<td>209.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>2,044.3</td>
<td>1,931.5</td>
<td>2,060.4</td>
<td>1,967.1</td>
<td>1,960.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>1,137.8</td>
<td>879.5</td>
<td>913.7</td>
<td>1,132.2</td>
<td>1,097.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>196.2</td>
<td>214.6</td>
<td>243.1</td>
<td>246.8</td>
<td>219.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Support</td>
<td>192.0</td>
<td>206.5</td>
<td>173.6</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>127.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt HQ</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Support</td>
<td>799.2</td>
<td>811.8</td>
<td>846.2</td>
<td>613.8</td>
<td>548.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Pay &amp; Allow</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,439.0</td>
<td>5,109.0</td>
<td>5,186.1</td>
<td>4,963.1</td>
<td>4,799.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IX-3. Marine Corps Funding of Individual Training
for the Marine Corps by Type of Training and Fiscal Year
($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>265.3</td>
<td>278.1</td>
<td>269.4</td>
<td>292.3</td>
<td>278.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>511.5</td>
<td>554.2</td>
<td>537.5</td>
<td>590.8</td>
<td>624.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Support</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt Headquarters</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Support</td>
<td>221.2</td>
<td>204.3</td>
<td>168.4</td>
<td>155.9</td>
<td>157.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Pay &amp; Allow</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,233.0</td>
<td>1,301.4</td>
<td>1,230.1</td>
<td>1,305.8</td>
<td>1,348.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IX-5
The Air Force individual training costs by category are shown in Table IX-4.

**TABLE IX-4. Air Force Funding of Individual Training**  
($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY 89</th>
<th>FY 90</th>
<th>FY 91</th>
<th>FY 92</th>
<th>FY 93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>156.9</td>
<td>153.7</td>
<td>134.6</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>175.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>151.3</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>172.1</td>
<td>186.1</td>
<td>175.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>722.1</td>
<td>745.2</td>
<td>697.9</td>
<td>687.2</td>
<td>730.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>732.1</td>
<td>874.0</td>
<td>932.0</td>
<td>825.0</td>
<td>764.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>227.5</td>
<td>224.1</td>
<td>236.1</td>
<td>271.4</td>
<td>275.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Support</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt HQ</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Support</td>
<td>987.2</td>
<td>956.3</td>
<td>983.6</td>
<td>719.5</td>
<td>616.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Pay &amp; Allow</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>127.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,258.8</td>
<td>3,380.0</td>
<td>3,449.8</td>
<td>3,155.7</td>
<td>3,039.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The funding tables in this chapter include student and trainee pay and allowances as well as pay and allowances for the staff and support manpower for each Service's training schools. This can produce significant distortions in the use of these aggregates for assessing training efficiency (e.g., in the Marine Corps, significant loads are trained by Army and Navy schools). Appendix D shows a distribution of funds for individual training by Service and appropriation. Funding of individual training for all DoD components is shown by Service to include Defense medical training is shown in Table IX-5.
TABLE IX-5. Funding of Individual Training  
by Service and Type of Training  
($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 1992</th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>USMC</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
<th>Defense</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>309.1</td>
<td>502.9</td>
<td>292.3</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,251.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>208.1</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>186.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>552.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>1,502.1</td>
<td>1,967.1</td>
<td>590.8</td>
<td>687.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4,747.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>480.8</td>
<td>1,132.2</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>825.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2,503.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>309.0</td>
<td>246.8</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>271.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>888.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSUT</td>
<td>307.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>307.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>246.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition Trng</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Spt</td>
<td>582.5</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>864.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt HQ</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>144.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Spt</td>
<td>1,302.2</td>
<td>613.8</td>
<td>155.9</td>
<td>719.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2,791.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Pay &amp; Allow</td>
<td>635.8</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>869.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,659.8</td>
<td>4,963.1</td>
<td>1,305.8</td>
<td>3,155.7</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>15,166.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 1993</th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>USMC</th>
<th>Air Force</th>
<th>Defense</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit</td>
<td>326.7</td>
<td>514.0</td>
<td>278.8</td>
<td>175.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,295.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Acquisition</td>
<td>143.1</td>
<td>209.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>549.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Skill</td>
<td>1,459.5</td>
<td>1,960.5</td>
<td>624.9</td>
<td>730.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4,775.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>468.5</td>
<td>1,097.5</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>764.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2,399.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>275.4</td>
<td>219.5</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>275.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>835.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSUT</td>
<td>312.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>312.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Trng</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>234.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition Trng</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Trng Spt</td>
<td>556.6</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>798.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Mgmt HQ</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>140.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trng Base Spt</td>
<td>1,121.5</td>
<td>548.3</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>616.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2,443.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Pay &amp; Allow</td>
<td>588.5</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>127.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>842.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,411.1</td>
<td>4,799.5</td>
<td>1,348.5</td>
<td>3,039.2</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>14,699.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IX-7
Funding estimates in this chapter include substantial segments of cost which are not normally sensitive to significant shifts (up to fifteen percent) in training load. These include certain command, base, facility, and equipment costs. These "fixed" costs need to be considered in program and budget adjustments because, within a reasonable range of output, they remain approximately the same and do not vary as the training load varies. They change, instead, with decisions to change the manner of accomplishing training, most often through training investment decisions or base realignments.

There are often substantial year-to-year fluctuations in funding for fixed costs. These costs are termed "fixed", not because they do not change from year to year, but because their changes characteristically are not "variable" with changes in workloads from period to period. Funding of these costs reflects significant increases for years in which there are major procurements such as simulators, aircraft, or construction in support of training.

Fixed cost has important implications on of funding adjustments for changes in the level of activity or size of a training program. If training funds are to be adequate for the needs of a reduced program, they must be reduced by a smaller proportion than the program loads in order to account for fixed costs. By the same token, program increases, within reasonable capacity limits, may not require a proportional increase in total program funding. Some training program costs are also strongly affected, in addition, by energy cost increases, especially in flight training.
APPENDIX A

DETERMINING TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

The following overview of the methodology for assessing and calculating training requirements is provided as a framework for understanding. As noted, details in calculation may differ to some extent among the Services and among the training categories.

Requirements

All training is accomplished to satisfy the need for personnel with certain types and levels of skills to man the approved or projected force. The Services, over the years, have developed detailed, systematic methods of determining the manpower needed to man and support the forces. The Defense Manpower Requirements Report discusses this process. From these force requirements for manpower the need for trained personnel with specific skills can then be derived. For example, a given force structure establishes the number of trained enlisted personnel needed. The number of authorized positions within that force structure for radar technicians establishes the basic requirement for trained personnel with that skill. This process is repeated periodically for all skills and skill levels for each Service, for both officer and enlisted skills. The total of all personnel in all skills needed to perform all the jobs in the force at a point in time represents the total requirement for trained manpower projected for that date.

Inventory Projections

The requirements identified through this process must be measured against the available assets, in terms of trained personnel on hand in each skill and skill level. From this asset base, estimates are made of how many trained personnel will be available at various points of time in the future. These estimates take into account probable rates of change to the current inventory -- through reenlistment, promotion, discharge, death, retirement, or other causes. These estimates are based on the best historical information available, tempered by judgment of how in the future personnel policies, the state of the economy, behavioral patterns, and other factors, many of them difficult to predict, will affect the probabilities that a trained individual will remain in the Service. A comparison of skill requirements and skill inventory projections, over time, establishes the extent of shortage or surplus likely to exist in each skill area by month and year. Adjusting the inventory may entail retraining personnel who are in surplus skills, but to a much greater degree, adjustment is likely to require the training of new accessions at entry level in shortage skill areas. The process places a demand on the personnel management and training establishments continually to analyze information about attrition as it occurs, by skill and skill level, in order to produce the right number of trained personnel with the proper skills needed to restore and maintain the balance of the skill inventory. The workload thus placed on the training establishment is detailed by graduates needed from courses of various lengths and is measured in terms
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of average student load, or "training load."

**Average Training Loads**

Resources (manpower, money, and material) needed for any particular category of training vary with the number of students undergoing training at any given time. Facilities must be constructed and maintained to accommodate these students in training. The training establishment must maintain a sufficient staff of qualified instructors to conduct instruction for the "load" of students. Students and Trainees, as described in the "Individuals" chapter of the Defense Manpower Requirements Report, must be programmed to account for the fact that these personnel are in formal school training and are not available for duty with operational units. All of these personnel must be paid, housed, and supported. The basis for establishing these resource requirements is the "average training load."

The aggregate training load of courses of instruction within a given training category or sub-category is computed in accordance with the following formula, except as noted:

$$\frac{\text{Entrants} + \text{Graduates}}{2} \times \text{Course Length}^{1/2} = \text{Load}$$

1/ Training time is expressed as a fraction of a year

Training load data is calculated by class and aggregated by course and training category. Fractions of carryover classes conducted during the year are included as though they were separate classes. However, individuals remaining in class at the end of a period are not counted as graduates, nor are individuals already in a class at the beginning of a period counted as entrants except for purposes of computing training loads for these fractions of courses.

The training load for a category or sub-category of training (e.g., Specialized Skill Training or Functional Training within that category) is the sum of the loads computed for all classes of courses within the category or sub-category. This formula is also used at the course level or training category level when detailed estimates by class are not available.

This method of computation implies "straight-line" attrition, that is, net class attrition occurs at a constant rate during a course. More detailed methods to calculate the impact of attrition for computation of load are used when better information is available. This is particularly true for high cost courses such as within flight training programs.

Since attrition varies for different training programs and is not always spread uniformly throughout the length of a course of training, determining training loads becomes a complex problem in estimation. This process of estimation involves two related factors.

First, across the spectrum of training programs that are within the scope of this report,
attrition varies from nearly zero to as high as 25 to 30 percent. Most officer Professional Development Education programs have practically no attrition. For FY 1992 and 1993, the Services estimate that about 10 percent of new recruits on a DoD wide basis will not complete Recruit Training because they will not have the mental or physical qualifications, or the motivation, for military life. Attrition rates in Specialized Skill Training vary widely, with the longer and more demanding courses tending to have higher losses. Pilot training is near the top of the scale in attrition. The higher rate of losses is based on lack of aptitude or motivation for flying, accidents and similar causes which are intensified in this type of training. While historical data provide a basis for projecting attrition rates for all types of training there is a considerable possibility for error based on variance in such factors as student quality and motivation.

A second necessary step in evaluating the effect of attrition is to estimate the phasing of attrition for each training program. In some courses, attrition tends to be higher in the early stages of a course when those less skilled or lacking motivation are discovered. In other courses, the bulk of attrition may occur toward the end of the course. The patterns of losses vary widely among types of training and over time. The complexities of the attrition variable make it necessary for the Services to use computer simulations in their training load calculations which take into account the rates and time-phasing of attrition.

An additional variation is introduced into the conceptual process of forecasting requirements and planning training loads as described above by the seasonal and cyclical nature of new accessions to the Services. Inputs to many of the more stable training programs -- Professional Development Education, Flight Training, the Service Academies, and the most advanced portions of Specialized Skill Training -- are readily predictable. Inputs to the training programs which are dependent on new accessions (Recruit Training and Initial Skill Training for graduates of Recruit Training) are considerably more volatile. The volume of inputs to these types of training depends on such intangibles as job opportunities in the civilian economy and the decisions of young people to enlist, delay enlisting, or not enlist. Moreover, enlistments are seasonal in nature, following a long-term pattern of "good" and "bad" recruiting months, where phased requirements may move independently of these seasonal patterns. As a result, training loads for the initial active duty training programs are generally based on a compromise involving the timing of predicted enlistments and the capacity of the training base as well as when the new personnel are needed to fill vacancies in the job structure. Most of the courses in these programs are relatively short, and program adjustments can readily be made.
## APPENDIX B

### SELECTED MAJOR COURSES/SKILL AREAS TRAINED IN OTHER SERVICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsoring Service</th>
<th>Major Interservice Course/ Skill Areas</th>
<th>Other Participating Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Construction Equipment Operator</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Airborne</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Artillery</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Armor</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Explosive Ordnance Disposal</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Joint Tactical Communications Systems (TRI-TAC)</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Stinger/Redeye Missile</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Satellite Communications Fundamentals</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Tracked Vehicle Repair</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Correctional Specialist</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Postal Operations</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Biomedical Equipment Specialist (Basic and Advanced)</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Service</td>
<td>Major Interservice Course/ Skill Areas</td>
<td>Other Participating Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Behavioral Science Specialist</td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Medical Laboratory Specialist</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Basic)</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Psychiatric Specialist</td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Veterinary Specialist (Basic)</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Laser Microwave Hazards</td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Tropical Medicine</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Respiratory Specialist</td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Occupational Therapy Specialist</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Advanced Digital Theory</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Aviation Maintenance</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Flight Training</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Cryptologic Courses</td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Diving</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Musician</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Explosive Ordnance Disposal</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Service</td>
<td>Major Interservice Course/ Skill Areas</td>
<td>Other Participating Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Cryptographic Maintenance</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teletype Maintenance</td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Joint and Combined Planning and Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Military Justice</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Shipboard Firefighting</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Corrosion Control</td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Damage Control</td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Supply Support</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>Underwater Construction</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>SERE, Code of Conduct</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>Computer Systems, Program (IBM 360)</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>Special Atomic Demolition Munition</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>Navigator Training</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Service</td>
<td>Major Interservice Course/ Skill Areas</td>
<td>Other Participating Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Tempest (Cryptologic Courses)</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Cryptologic Equipment Maintenance</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Precision Measurement Training</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Aircraft Pneudraulic Repair</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Weather Training</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Military Dog Handler</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Fire Control Specialist</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Nondestruct Inspection</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Defense Sensor Interpretation and Application Training</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Air Intelligence Training</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Lineman Training</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B-4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsoring Service</th>
<th>Major Interservice Course/ Skill Areas</th>
<th>Other Participating Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Professional Comptroller</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Radio Communications Analysis</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Voice Processing</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Cryptoanalysis</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Imagery Production</td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Composite Repair</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Graphic Specialist</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Nuclear Weapons Training</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Cable and Antenna Installation and Maintenance</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Refrigeration and Air Conditioning</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Airlift of Hazardous Material</td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsoring Service</td>
<td>Major Interservice Course/ Skill Areas</td>
<td>Other Participating Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Traffic Management and Accident Investigation</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>AF Senior NCO Academy</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Wartime Planning Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>JAG Law Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Engineering Application Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Acquisition Education Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Environmental Management Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Housing Service Management Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Contracting Management Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Quantitative Management Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>Systems Acquisition Management Courses</td>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C

### INDIVIDUAL TRAINING WORKLOAD AND TRAINING STAFF AT MAJOR LOCATIONS BY TRAINING CATEGORY FY 1993

#### A. Recruit Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
<th>Military</th>
<th>Civilian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Dix, NJ a/</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Jackson, SC</td>
<td>6,955</td>
<td>1,210</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox, KY</td>
<td>1,532</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill, OK</td>
<td>1,555</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leonard Wood, MO</td>
<td>3,873</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Lakes, IL</td>
<td>4,355</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando, Fl</td>
<td>3,557</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>3,238</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parris Island, SC</td>
<td>3,894</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>4,760</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackland Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>5,717</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** For all tables in Appendix C, Training Staff end strength (E/S) includes instructors, school staff, training center staff, and student supervisors. Manpower for training support, management headquarters, and base operating support is not included.

a/ Scheduled for Base closure FY 1993.
### B. Officer Acquisition Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning, GA</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Monmouth, NJ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Point, NY</td>
<td>4,917</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annapolis, MD</td>
<td>4,103</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport, RI</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantico, VA</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Springs, CO</td>
<td>4,502</td>
<td>1,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackland Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. **Specialized Skill Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD</td>
<td>2,747</td>
<td>1,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Belvoir, VA</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning, GA</td>
<td>5,093</td>
<td>1,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort B. Harrison, IN</td>
<td>2,466</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td>2,129</td>
<td>973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Devens, MA</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Dix, NJ a/</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Eustis, VA</td>
<td>2,850</td>
<td>852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Gordon, GA</td>
<td>7,538</td>
<td>1,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Huachuca, AZ</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Jackson, SC</td>
<td>2,589</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox, KY</td>
<td>2,786</td>
<td>1,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lee, VA</td>
<td>6,855</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leavenworth, KS</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leonard Wood, MO</td>
<td>2,854</td>
<td>1,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort McClellan, AL</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Rucker, AL</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill, OK</td>
<td>3,175</td>
<td>1,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Monmouth, NY</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey, CA</td>
<td>3,796</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redstone Arsenal, AL</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td>973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDW Wash, DC (DLI)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackland AFB, TX</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30 b/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks Army Medical Ctr</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Medical Ctrs/Hosp</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academy of Health Sciences</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadet Academy</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a/ Scheduled for Base closure FY 1993.

b/ Instructors assigned to training facilities of another Service.
### C. Specialized Skill Training (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
<th>Military</th>
<th>Civilian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athens, GA</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangor, WA</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston, SC</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dam Neck, VA</td>
<td>1,361</td>
<td>1,276</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Lakes, IL</td>
<td>3,978</td>
<td>1,518</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton, CT</td>
<td>1,719</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulfport, MS</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho Falls, ID</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Head, MD</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville, FL</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings Bay, GA</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakehurst, NJ</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Creek, VA</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayport, FL</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memphis, TN</td>
<td>3,553</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian, MS</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport, RI</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk, VA</td>
<td>1,672</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland, CA</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando, FL</td>
<td>3,177</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama City, FL</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl Harbor, HI</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensacola, FL</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Hueneme, CA</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>4,925</td>
<td>3,172</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schenectady, NY</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vallejo, CA</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor, CT</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whidbey Island, WA</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Harbor, MA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Training Detachments</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Specialized Skill Training (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albany, GA</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Lejeune, NC</td>
<td>2,856</td>
<td>1,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Pendleton, CA</td>
<td>2,434</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parris Island, SC</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantico, VA</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>1,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twentynine Palms, CA</td>
<td>1,726</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Toro, CA</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force a/</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chanute Air Force Base, IL b/</td>
<td>2,837</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairchild Air Force Base, WA</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodfellow Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>1,951</td>
<td>598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homestead Air Force Base, FL</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keesler Air Force Base, MS</td>
<td>3,638</td>
<td>1,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackland Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>1,647</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowry Air Force Base, CO c/</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td>932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard Air Force Tech Base, TX 2,927</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard Air Force Med Base, TX 1,930</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a/ Includes Active AF, Civilian, ARF & Others; does not include field or contract training.

*b/ Scheduled for Base closure in FY 1993.

*c/ Scheduled for Base closure in FY 1994.
D. **Flight Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Rucker, AL</td>
<td>1,418</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Field, TX</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi, TX</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsville, TX</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian, MS</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensacola, FL</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiting Field, FL</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Air Force Base, MS</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Rucker, AL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackland Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laughlin Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mather Air Force Base, CA</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reese Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard Air Force Base, TX</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vance Air Force Base, OK</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Air Force Base, AZ</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairchild Air Force Base, WA</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homestead Air Force Base, FL</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eielson Air Force Base, AK</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### E. Professional Development Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
<th>Military</th>
<th>Civilian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlisle Barracks, PA</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Belvoir, VA</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss, TX</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leavenworth, KA</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort McNair, DC</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD Computer Institute, Navy Yard, DC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey, CA</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport, RI</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk, VA</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offshore</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaneohe Bay, HI</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Butler, HI</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantico, VA</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Lejeune, NC</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Toro, CA</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunter Air Force Station, AL</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell Air Force Base, AL</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:** Status above for USAF for FY 92 reflects FINPLAN and Presidential Budget inputs.

**Note 2:** USAF statistics above exclude: AFIT- AECP-245, AFIT- Medical-463
### F. One-Station Unit Training (OSUT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Student Workload</th>
<th>Training Staff E/S</th>
<th>Military</th>
<th>Civilian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning, GA</td>
<td>4,046</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Leonard Wood, MO</td>
<td>1,425</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sill, OK</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort McClellan, AL</td>
<td>1,719</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox, KY</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>1,112</td>
<td>136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX D

**SUMMARY OF TOTAL FUNDING FOR INDIVIDUAL TRAINING AND EDUCATION**

**BY SERVICE AND APPROPRIATION, FY 1991-1993**

($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance</td>
<td>$2,304.2</td>
<td>$1,849.1</td>
<td>$1,844.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Personnel</td>
<td>2,892.7</td>
<td>2,841.7</td>
<td>2,873.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Personnel</td>
<td>276.4</td>
<td>333.9</td>
<td>323.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Guard Personnel</td>
<td>279.2</td>
<td>301.9</td>
<td>264.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Procurement</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missile Procurement</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Procurement</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Construction</td>
<td>179.7</td>
<td>175.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Army</strong></td>
<td>$6,013.7</td>
<td>$5,659.8</td>
<td>$5,411.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance</td>
<td>$1,382.3</td>
<td>$1,156.8</td>
<td>$1,126.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Personnel</td>
<td>3,154.5</td>
<td>3,031.1</td>
<td>3,042.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Personnel</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Procurement</td>
<td>205.2</td>
<td>437.5</td>
<td>388.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Procurement</td>
<td>168.0</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>128.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Construction</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Navy</strong></td>
<td>$5,186.1</td>
<td>$4,963.1</td>
<td>$4,799.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance</td>
<td>$154.2</td>
<td>$155.7</td>
<td>$154.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Personnel</td>
<td>1,002.1</td>
<td>1,068.1</td>
<td>1,096.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Personnel</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>85.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Marine Corps</strong></td>
<td>$1,230.1</td>
<td>$1,305.8</td>
<td>$1,348.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance</td>
<td>$1,272.0</td>
<td>$1,012.7</td>
<td>$ 991.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Personnel</td>
<td>1,726.1</td>
<td>1,676.6</td>
<td>1,669.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Personnel</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Guard Personnel</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Procurement</td>
<td>224.2</td>
<td>229.1</td>
<td>193.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Procurement</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Construction</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Air Force</strong></td>
<td>$3,449.8</td>
<td>$3,155.7</td>
<td>$3,039.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Defense Agencies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance</td>
<td>$38.5</td>
<td>$81.7</td>
<td>$101.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Personnel</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Defense Agencies</strong></td>
<td>$39.4</td>
<td>$82.5</td>
<td>$101.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX E

### COMPARISON OF TRAINING AND EDUCATION O&M FUNDING IN THE MMTR AND O&M BUDGET OVERVIEW

**ARMY**

**Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Appropriation**

**Training and Education (Program 8)**

($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview (O&amp;M Funding)</td>
<td>$1,246.7</td>
<td>$1,196.7</td>
<td>$1,210.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Trail to MMTR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview: Senior ROTC</td>
<td>-101.0</td>
<td>-100.9</td>
<td>-97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview: Training Support</td>
<td>-527.0</td>
<td>-451.5</td>
<td>-443.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR: Training Support</td>
<td>+373.9</td>
<td>+345.1</td>
<td>+318.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR: Base Operations Support</td>
<td>+1,311.6</td>
<td>+859.7</td>
<td>+856.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR (O&amp;M Funding)</td>
<td>$2,304.2</td>
<td>$1,849.1</td>
<td>$1,844.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NAVY**

**Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Appropriation**

**Training and Education (Program 8)**

($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview (O&amp;M Funding)</td>
<td>$1,020.9</td>
<td>$ 960.8</td>
<td>$ 942.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Trail to MMTR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview: Senior ROTC</td>
<td>-56.0</td>
<td>-55.4</td>
<td>-56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview: Training Support</td>
<td>-283.5</td>
<td>-287.3</td>
<td>-249.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR: Training Support</td>
<td>+175.8</td>
<td>+185.3</td>
<td>+140.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR: Base Operations Support</td>
<td>+525.1</td>
<td>+353.4</td>
<td>+348.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR (O&amp;M Funding)</td>
<td>$1,382.3</td>
<td>$1,156.8</td>
<td>$1,126.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget Overview (O&amp;M Funding)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$81.8</td>
<td>$84.4</td>
<td>$84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit Trail to MMTR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget Overview: Training Support</strong></td>
<td>- 49.5</td>
<td>-48.1</td>
<td>-50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMTR: Training Support</strong></td>
<td>+39.6</td>
<td>+48.1</td>
<td>+50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMTR: Base Operations Support</strong></td>
<td>+82.3</td>
<td>+71.3</td>
<td>+69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMTR (O&amp;M Funding)</strong></td>
<td>$154.2</td>
<td>$155.7</td>
<td>$154.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview (O&amp;M Funding)</td>
<td>$729.9</td>
<td>$670.4</td>
<td>$660.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Trail to MMTR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview: Senior ROTC</td>
<td>-32.8</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
<td>-29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Overview: Training Support</td>
<td>-68.7</td>
<td>-65.3</td>
<td>-67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR: Training Support</td>
<td>+55.8</td>
<td>+52.0</td>
<td>+53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR: Base Operations Support</td>
<td>+587.8</td>
<td>+383.3</td>
<td>+373.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTR (O&amp;M Funding)</td>
<td>$1,272.0</td>
<td>$1,012.7</td>
<td>$991.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TRAINING AND EDUCATION

### O&M FUNDING BY CATEGORY BY SERVICE

($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>FY 1991 Actual</th>
<th>FY 1992 Estimate</th>
<th>FY 1993 Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recruit Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specialized Skill Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>240.9</td>
<td>253.3</td>
<td>208.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>188.3</td>
<td>179.4</td>
<td>183.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>162.7</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>150.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>613.0</td>
<td>614.0</td>
<td>563.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Agencies</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Health Program</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>241.7</td>
<td>259.3</td>
<td>217.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Officer Acquisition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>41.1 (142.1)</td>
<td>42.1 (143.0)</td>
<td>45.5 (143.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>57.9 (113.9)</td>
<td>57.5 (112.9)</td>
<td>58.5 (114.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>39.6 (72.4)</td>
<td>43.5 (71.2)</td>
<td>43.1 (72.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>138.8 (328.6)</td>
<td>143.3 (327.3)</td>
<td>147.3 (330.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Numbers in parentheses ( ) are from O&M Budget Overview and include Senior ROTC which is not included in the MMTR funding tables.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flight Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>185.6</td>
<td>230.9</td>
<td>266.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>356.3</td>
<td>324.1</td>
<td>337.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>353.9</td>
<td>288.1</td>
<td>304.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>896.0</td>
<td>843.3</td>
<td>908.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>373.9 (527.0)</td>
<td>345.1 (451.5)</td>
<td>318.5 (443.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>175.8 (283.5)</td>
<td>185.3 (287.3)</td>
<td>140.7 (249.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps</td>
<td>39.6 (49.5)</td>
<td>48.1 (48.1)</td>
<td>50.1 (50.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>55.8 (68.7)</td>
<td>52.0 (65.3)</td>
<td>53.7 (67.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>645.1 (928.7)</td>
<td>630.5 (852.2)</td>
<td>563.0 (810.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Armed Forces Health Professional Scholarship Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Agencies</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Health Program</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>62.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>62.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acquisition Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Numbers in parentheses ( ) are from the O&M Budget Overview and include training support costs not attributable to the individual training conducted at schools.