FINAL REPORT
ONR GRANT N000149510338

Grant / Contract Title: Numerical Methods for Underwater Structural Acoustics Simulations
Performing Organization: University of Maryland
Principal Investigators: Howard Elman and Dianne P. O'Leary
Contract Number: N000149510338
ONR Scientific Officer: Dr. Luise Couchman
NUMERICAL METHODS FOR UNDERWATER STRUCTURAL ACOUSTICS SIMULATIONS

HOWARD ELMAN  
DIANNE P. O'LEARY  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND  
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

Research Objectives
To develop and study solution algorithms for equations used to model reflection properties of underwater objects interacting with sonar signals.

Technical Approach and Accomplishments
The emphasis has been on development of algorithms for the numerical solution of the Helmholtz equation

$$-\Delta u - k^2 u = f,$$

the solution to which is used to determine the acoustic pressure associated with an underwater signal. Here we consider a three-dimensional box-shaped domain $$\Omega = (a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times (a_3, b_3) \subset \mathbb{R}^3,$$ with Sommerfeld-like boundary conditions

$$u_n -iku = 0$$

on $$\partial \Omega.$$ Discretization of the problem (1)–(2) results in a linear system of equations

$$Au = f.$$

Since the problem is fully three-dimensional, any reasonable discretization will contain a large number of unknowns and require considerable storage. Direct methods based on Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting require a prohibitive amount of additional storage and thus have limited use. Multilevel methods suffer from the requirement that the coarsest spaces used must be fine enough to accurately represent the solution. In addition, the complex symmetric coefficient matrix $$A$$ typically has eigenvalues with both positive and negative real parts. This can cause difficulties for iterative solution methods, and preconditioning of the matrix is essential in order to attain efficiency.

In this project, we solved the discrete Helmholtz equation using Krylov subspace iterative methods with a preconditioning methodology derived from fast
direct methods. The basic principle behind fast direct solvers is to apply an inexpensive transformation to break a problem into a number of lower-dimensional but independent problems. Many solvers use fast Fourier transforms (FFT’s) to achieve separation of variables and then solve the resulting set of decoupled problems using sparse matrix methods. Fast direct methods are standard tools for solving the Poisson equation on regular domains with Dirichlet, Neumann or periodic boundary conditions; they can be adapted to other domains via capacitance matrix or embedding methods. They have been used for the three-dimensional Helmholtz equation with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on an irregular domain, and for the two-dimensional problem in polar coordinates with non-reflecting boundary conditions (derived from a Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping). Here, we developed efficient solvers for problems with Sommerfeld-like boundary conditions on box-shaped domains. Combining our techniques with capacitance matrix methods would produce solvers for general geometries in Cartesian coordinates, including exterior problems.

Our accomplishments were threefold:

1. We approximated the discrete operator $A$ with a matrix $Q$ that can be treated with fast direct methods. For finite difference discretizations, we derived $Q$ by defining and discretizing the differential operator in the same way as for $A$ except that the boundary conditions on either two or four faces of $\Omega$ are replaced by more convenient ones (Dirichlet or Neumann). The resulting matrix $Q$ differs from $A$ by a (relatively) low-rank operator and can be used as a preconditioner for $A$, to accelerate the convergence of iterative solvers based on Krylov subspaces. We also developed variants of these ideas for finite element discretizations (on uniform grids), focusing on trilinear elements. Here, rather than explicitly modifying the boundary conditions to construct $Q$, we used the fact that the discrete operator $A$ is close to a block Toeplitz matrix and replaced certain sub-blocks of $A$ by Toeplitz approximations that are amenable to fast transforms. For both types of discretizations, we demonstrated empirically that $Q$ meets the requirements for an effective preconditioner:

- Applying the action of $Q^{-1}$ to a vector is not too expensive. For our preconditioners, using $Q^{-1}$ entails a set of FFT’s together with solution of smaller dimensional problems.

- $Q$ greatly reduces the number of iterations needed by Krylov subspace methods to solve (3).

In particular, we showed that for several choices of $Q$, the experimental convergence behavior of preconditioned restarted GMRES depends only mildly
on both the wave number $k$ and the discretization mesh size. In addition, we demonstrated how the methods can be implemented on a parallel computer with high efficiency.

2. We then worked on analyzing this algorithm to confirm the empirical properties. We explicitly calculated the eigenvalues of the preconditioned operator. The main innovation is that the eigenvalues for two and three-dimensional domains can be calculated exactly by solving a set of one-dimensional eigenvalue problems. This permits analysis of quite large problems. For grids fine enough to resolve the solution for a given wave number, preconditioning using Neumann boundary conditions yields eigenvalues that are uniformly bounded, located in the first quadrant, and outside the unit circle. In contrast, Dirichlet boundary conditions yield eigenvalues that approach zero as the product of wave number with the mesh size is decreased. These eigenvalue properties yielded the first insight into the behavior of iterative methods such as GMRES applied to these preconditioned problems.

3. We have taken our algorithm for solving the discrete indefinite Helmholtz equation on a three-dimensional box-shaped domain with Sommerfeld-like boundary conditions and modified it to make it efficient for inhomogeneous media in which the speed of wave propagation is different on an interior domain. The preconditioners are of two types. The first is a preconditioner that we designed for a homogeneous medium. This works well if the volume of the interior domain is relatively small compared to the overall volume and if the speeds are close in value. The second preconditioner uses a direct solver on the interior domain in addition to the homogeneous preconditioner. We presented experimental results demonstrating that these algorithms display efficiency comparable to that we showed earlier for a homogeneous medium, making them quite useful for the problem of acoustic analysis with a submarine.

Relevance to the Navy

The equations of structural acoustics, of which (1) is one component (to be coupled with an equation of elasticity for a structure) model fluid-structure interaction in the presence of an acoustic wave. This model is used to identify the location and structural properties of underwater objects.
Table 1: Representative iteration counts for GMRES(20) with preconditioners that use two sets of one-dimensional transforms, for finite difference discretization with several wave numbers and grid sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Q_{dd}$ (sine + 1D solves)</th>
<th>$Q_{nn}$ (cosine + 1D solves)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Representative CPU times for solution of finite element discretizations, with $k = 5$ and several grid sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Q_{d}$ (sine + 2D solves)</th>
<th>$Q_{dd}$ (sine + 1D solves)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>$m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of processors</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Representative Results

Our results are in the form of iteration counts and CPU times for solving the discrete Helmholtz equation (1). Representative statistics are given in Table 1 and 2. Table 1 shows iteration counts for solving the discrete problem on a three-dimensional $m \times m \times m$ grid for two variants of the preconditioner. Numbers above the jagged line correspond to accurate models (with at least ten grid points per wave). The results demonstrate the insensitivity of performance to mesh size ($1/m$) as well as the relatively small dependence on wave number. Table 2 shows CPU times on an IBM SP-2 computer. These results demonstrate the scalability of the algorithms. We note that the largest problems that we have solved contain 729,000 degrees of freedom (on a $90 \times 90 \times 90$ grid) and required just two minutes of CPU time.
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Algorithms were developed for the numerical solution of the Helmholtz problems with Sommerfeld-like boundary conditions. Discretizations by finite differences or finite elements can be effectively preconditioned using similar operators but separable boundary condition. We demonstrated empirically that the preconditioners are easy to apply and cause iterative methods such as GMRES to converge in a very modest number of iterations. Parallel implementation on the SP-2 computer enabled efficient solution of quite large problems.

We then provided the first analysis of such problems by noticing that the eigenvalues for two and three-dimensional domains can be calculated exactly by solving a set of one-dimensional eigenvalue problems. This observation permits analysis of quite large problems. Preconditioning using Neumann boundary conditions yields eigenvalues that are uniformly bounded, located in the first quadrant, and outside the unit circle. In contrast, Dirichlet boundary conditions yield eigenvalues that approach zero as the product of wave number with the mesh size is decreased. We have extended our algorithm to inhomogeneous media in which the speed of wave propagation is different on an interior domain. The algorithms display efficiency comparable to that for the homogeneous medium, making them quite useful for the problem of acoustic analysis with a submarine.