Beginning with the code f103 of Jameson and Martinelli, a robust, flexible numerical platform has been constructed which can accept O and R meshes as well as C, accepts a variable number of PDEs in the turbulence models, has consistent gradient compensation, enhanced multi-grid sequencing, a restart option, various post-processing options, the option of recording convergence histories, accepts k-ε, k-ε-S, second order and Baldwin-Lomax turbulence models, has dynamical memory allocation, vectorized data structure and Unix integration, and computes subsonic, transonic and supersonic flows. Virtually any turbulence model can be run in essentially any two-dimensional geometry, so that they can be compared on an equal footing. The following cases have been computed: homogeneous grid turbulence; plane jet and mixing layer; flat plate boundary layers; semi-infinite plate (subsonic (Clauser) and supersonic (Delly); finite plate (subsonic (ONERA)); supersonic compression ramp (Settles et al - Mach 2.93); Delery bump. Documentation is in preparation.
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Abstract

An extensive bibliography was compiled in the fields of second-order turbulence closures and compressible turbulence modeling. A method for the numerical simulation of compressible turbulent flows was devised. An existing CFD code was modified to solve an arbitrary number of PDE’s. Other changes included grid topology, spatial discretization, boundary conditions and programming optimization. Implemented turbulence models are: Baldwin-Lomax, ASM, k-ε, k-ε-S, second-order closures. Validation of the 2D solver is under way. The interaction between turbulent boundary-layer and shock wave was studied and its dynamical modeling is being investigated.

1 CFD Code Generation

The original code FLO103 provided by Antony Jameson and Luigi Martinelli from Princeton University featured a finite-volume centered-space discretization scheme with fourth- and second-order artificial dissipations, a multistage Runge-Kutta time integration algorithm with multigrid convergence acceleration as well as various boundary conditions. The provided turbulence models were Baldwin-Lomax and algebraic stress model. Graphics output was generated using PLOT3D.

The current code CYSTE comprises the following improvements:

- **Software Engineering:** in order to minimize the run-time memory requirements, a dynamical memory allocation was implemented using a C main program, driving the dependent FORTRAN 77 scientific code. Both functional and data structures were vectorized allowing for a variable number of equations to be solved. A Unix User interface (UUI) was developed to select physical models and geometries as well as to archive results. Our concern focused on the issues of reliability, maintainability, expandability and modularity. A reasonable level of these has been achieved. Computer resource optimization, geometry and boundary condition versatility are nearing completion in the two-dimensional version of CYSTE.

- **Geometry:** CYSTE requires structured meshes but the grid topology was extended from C- only to rectangular, O- and C- meshes.

- **PDE solver:** the algorithm was vectorized allowing for a variable number of equations to be solved. The finite-volume space discretization was modified to enforce a conservative computation of the gradients of the dependent variables, the laminar fluxes and the turbulence source terms consistent with that of the convective fluxes.

- **Convergence Acceleration:** the multigrid scheme was improved by a consistent enforcement of boundary conditions.
• Input/Output: an option enables restarting from previous results to reduce the required CPU-time to reach converged state. The convergence history is monitored for all variables. The data post-processing for graphics output has been adapted to TECPLT.

• Turbulence models (currently under development): all k-ε, k-ε-S, Reynolds-stress closures (Isotropization of production (Naot et al.), Shih & Lumley) account for mean compressibility effects only. Various simple boundary conditions have been tested. Result evaluation for validation is under way. Modeling of dynamical compressibility effects of density fluctuations follow (cf. Modeling) as well as refined wall-function approaches.

2 Modeling

2.1 Turbulence

An extensive bibliography was compiled in the fields of second-order turbulence closures and compressible turbulence modeling. A method for the numerical simulation of compressible turbulent flows was devised. The relationship between density-weighted (Favre) and classical (Reynolds) statistical averaging was carefully explored. Both finite-moment averaging procedures were carried out on the equations of motion. This led to a mixed formulation of the governing equations. The closure requirements were assessed for both formulations, including:

• solenoidal dissipation: the evolution for dissipation can be modified to account for strain-rate history by consideration of the inverse time scale S introduced by Lumley ("Some comments on turbulence", J.L.Lumley, Phys. Fluids A4 (2), Feb. 1992).


2.2 Shock-wave

In external transonic flows, the interactions between boundary-layer, shock wave and induced separation region (when present) were studied. The oscillation of the shock waves would be explained by the bursting of vortices in the incoming turbulent boundary-layer and/or by the "breathing" of the separated region. These phenomena cannot be accounted for by the current adopted level of physical description. Thus, a dynamical model – including the average "pumping" effects of turbulence by the shock wave oscillations as well as those of separation on the oscillation amplitude – is currently under investigation.

2.3 Constitutive Laws

• Equation of state: real gas effects being negligible for non-reacting aerodynamic flows, the perfect gas law was expressed with internal energy dependent thermodynamic coefficients.

• Thermal and momentum closures: diffusion coefficients were calculated in a similar way. Fluctuations of diffusion coefficients were retained in an order of magnitude analysis of the turbulent quantities.
3 Project Outline

The purpose of the project is twofold: validate a finite-volume code with second-order turbulence model for realistic engineering design and compare performances of second-order closures to simpler turbulence models including specific modeling of the compressibility effects.

Several well-documented benchmark flows are selected to test the accuracy and performance of the computational engine. An extensive comparison of the performance of common and new turbulence models results in the characterization and validation of the models for different types of flows. Based on this classification, the relevant models are applied to more complex flow cases of industrial engineering interest. The correlation between the performance of the models in both simple and complex flows is used to evaluate the validity and versatility of the initial modeling method and physical arguments.

In order to conduct a comparative study of these models, a precision tool is needed: all models need to be implemented in a single, accurate computational engine. Variations in the details of the computational methods (accuracy, stability, convergence properties, geometry specification) jeopardize the objectivity of conclusions regarding the performances of various models. These unknowns must be eliminated in order to ensure a fair judgment.

Hence, we elected to devote a great deal of efforts and time to the design and optimization of the CYSTE numerical simulation package. CYSTE will be extended to three dimensions in spring 1994. Since the flow solver is second-order accurate in both space and time, unsteady solutions can also be generated. The study of two-dimensional flows is necessary, essentially because most numerical simulations have been two-dimensional in the past twenty years. This is not an important restrictions for many applications, but there are some inherently three-dimensional flows which require three-dimensional simulations, just as some unsteady flows cannot be accounted for by steady solutions. However, while accurate three-dimensional steady or two-dimensional unsteady solutions can be obtained at a reasonable cost, three-dimensional unsteady solutions may be very limited in space and time resolution, depending on the available computing resources.

The upgrade from 2D to 3D should be completed by June 1994. A tuning of the models in 3D will be performed on simple geometries (flat plate, jet, mixing layer) before computing the benchmark flows (M6 wing with shock-induced separation (ONERA data), backward-facing step (Stanford), wing-tip separation (ONERA)).

Thorough testing of the compressible second-order models is critical in gaining acceptance from the industrial community. This study will both rate their performance in engineering design situations and enable an accurate comparison with lower-order closures.

The study is being completed by two graduate students under the supervision of professors John Lumley (turbulence) and David Caughey (CFD).
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Attached are the annual technical reports for this contract, describing the research progress during each of the three contract years, and listing the publications and other data.

The final state of this work is as follows: by the second week of December 1994, the students Savarese and Volte had not completed all the various test flows computed with all the various models, although they had made substantial inroads on this project. The students' Special Committees declined to consider granting them their degrees, since the work was not completed. At that time, the students were committed to return to France to take up positions with SNECMA, which had partially financed their graduate education. They agreed to complete the work in France, as soon as they were settled in their new jobs, at no further cost to this contract, and to return to Ithaca to defend their theses when the work was completed. I am in frequent communication with them, and they are making substantial progress toward completion of this work.

Specifically, they have completed the adaptation to the local computer setup. This involved modifications of the following procedures:

- Post-processing: they are now using IRIS Explorer, a complete, precise, powerful data exploration tool. 2D contour plots and 1D slices can be extracted.

- Mesh generation: examination of various numerical problems in free shear flows indicated that the grids were not fine enough in high gradient regions (e.g. inlet). A new algorithm was devised to better control mesh size (spanning ten orders of magnitude is no longer a problem) and mesh clustering (cell size ratio less than 1.2 or any other bound).

- Artificial dissipation: required special treatment at solid boundaries to prevent spurious oscillations on very fine meshes (improvement of the functional dependency of the artificial dissipation coefficients upon local Mach number).

- Turbulence models: compressibility terms have been modified in addition to the implementation of Cyril's Mt model.

- Realizability: a new clipping algorithm has been implemented (VIRUS= Variable Increment Realizability Upholding Scheme, by Stephan) enforces realizability before violations occur during the iterative process.

To date they have computed the following cases (with the indicated results):

Homogeneous Grid Turbulence: unchanged.
Plane Jet and Mixing Layer: refined meshes, improved convergence, faster recovery of the equilibrium profile.
Flat plate boundary layers
Semi-Infinite Plate: subsonic (Clauser) and supersonic (Delery).
Finite Plate: subsonic (ONERA).
Supersonic Compression Ramp: Settles et al. (Mach 2.93)
Delery bump: results should be available within a week or two of this writing.

The students plan to forward results on the simplest cases by the end of August 1995, and on the more complex cases sometime during September.
The students (Stephan Savarese and Cyril Volte) began with the Code flo103 of Jameson and Martinelli. This was extensively modified to accept O and R meshes as well as C meshes, to accept a variable number of PDEs in the turbulence models, to have consistent gradient compensation, enhanced multi-grid sequencing, a restart option, various post-processing options, the option of recording convergence histories, to accept k-ε, k-ε-S, and second order turbulence models in addition to the Baldwin-Lomax, and to have dynamical memory allocation, vectorized data structure and Unix integration. This was a major undertaking, and has been very time-consuming. The work is now completed, and we have what we desired: a numerical platform which can be used to run any turbulence model in nearly any two-dimensional geometry, so that they can be compared on an equal footing.

The various turbulence models, modified for transonic flows, have been installed. Generally speaking, the modifications have consisted of inclusion of appropriate forms for the dilatational dissipation, the pressure dilatation correlation, and shock-turbulence interaction. The models of Zeman, Sarkar and Yoshizawa are being evaluated. So far as shock-turbulence interaction is concerned, Dolling has suggested that the frequency of the shock oscillation is related to the frequency of vortex bursting in the incoming boundary layer, and we favor this explanation. This frequency is proportional to $u_\tau^2/\nu$. This suggests a relative simple parameterization for the shock-turbulence interaction, and we are exploring this. We are implementing the models first in their incompressible form, for homogeneous flows, flat plate boundary layers, and for jets and mixing layers. We are then proceeding to the compressible forms, and will be investigating (among other flows) a symmetrical bump in a channel to examine shock-induced separation. A two-dimensional airfoil will be our final effort. We are currently having some trouble near the boundary with the k-ε and k-ε-S models.

The current phase will be completed by the second week in December 1994. The progress at present is very rapid, now that the numerical platform is fully operational. Unfortunately, we will not have the time to investigate three-dimensional flows and more realistic geometries; the time necessary for the development of the numerical platform was considerably greater than anticipated.
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