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1 Problems Studied and Results

In 1989, Estelle was approved as one of two ISO International Standard Formal Description Techniques (FDT) for the specification of computer communication protocols [3, 8]. Based on communicating extended finite state machines (CEFSM), Estelle has a formal, mathematical, implementation-independent semantics. It is an expressive, well-defined, well-structured language that is capable of specifying distributed, concurrent information processing systems in a complete, consistent, concise and unambiguous manner.

Based on a model of communicating extended finite state machines (EFSM), Estelle has been successfully demonstrated for specifying many existing and proposed ISO protocols and services. Estelle has been demonstrated as a successful FDT for specifying existing and proposed ISO protocols and services: gateways, network [7], transport, session [12], presentation [11], FTAM [17] and virtual terminal. As part of the formal specification process, the principal investigator (PI) discovered and corrected several inconsistencies (i.e., errors) in the draft standard of vtp.

Over the past 3 years with the support of ARO and US Army CECOM, the PI has derived a number of results (see Figure that follows) in the areas: Extensions and enhancements of Estelle; Protocol Visualization, and Automatic Test Case Generation. These results have based on the Estelle language, now an international standard language for formally specifying communication services and protocols.

In particular GROPE, a system that allows the Graphical Representation Of Protocols in Estelle, has been developed [1]. GROPE pictorially represents a protocol's architecture and EFSM behaviors, and animates the firing of transitions, the exchange of interactions between modules, the changing of machine states, and a host of other visible protocol features.

Normally after several years work, a protocol's specification reaches a stable stage of standardization. However, even though a protocol specification is standardized and assumed correct, it also must be assured that different implementations based on the same standard specification can interoperate successfully.

We have used our GROPE visualization as a means for better understanding specifications and their test sequences. This work on Protocol Test Case Visualization (PTCV) was published in [9] as an area of protocol engineering. PTCV's primary goal is to facilitate the human intuitive understanding of protocol test cases and the specifications from which they are derived. In an ideal world, protocols will be formally specified and automatically verified. These proven-correct specifications will be used to automatically derive test cases whose correctness also will be automatically verified and whose fault coverage will be accurately and precisely quantified.

The reality, however, is that today's state-of-the-art is far from this utopian scenario. The reality is that there exists today a significant dependence on human expert understanding and intuition to engineer specifications and to decide on the goodness or relevance of each test case used for testing implementations. Until this imprecise approach is formalized, PTCV is meant to maximize that expert's understanding and intuition by visualizing test cases through graphics and animation.

PTCV has combined two of the PI's active research areas: automatic test case generation and protocol visualization. In test generation, several efforts are in progress to automatically generate tests from a formal specification written in Estelle [4, 6, 10, 14, 5, 16, 15].
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Figure 1: Publications Supported, in part, by Contract DAAL03-91-G-0086.
The advantages of automatic test generation are evident: faster definition of test cases, more quantifiable fault coverage, etc. Additionally, environments exist for visualizing formal specifications [13]. In particular, our PTCV environment interacts with GROPE [2], a visualization system that animates Estelle specifications for better and faster understanding of a protocol’s design and eventual implementation.

A protocol engineer with a good knowledge of a protocol machine can deduce the functionality of a test and understand which part of the protocol machine is being tested with each given test. This allows the engineer to focus on deciding which tests are important or unimportant vis-a-vis protocol test coverage. This will have significant importance when these test cases have to be applied to an implementation. A user can concentrate on the important problem at hand: to identify a good set of tests that need to be run to achieve the required degree of confidence in a protocol machine. Being able to physically visualize a test case on a workstation monitor will outperform mentally picturing a test case written in TTCN.

PTCV also helps in validating tests and/or specifications. PTCV can be used to: (a) visualize tests that have been automatically generated from a given specification or (b) visualize tests generated manually (perhaps by someone else).

In case (a), visualization helps validate the automatic test generation process itself. Here tests are generated from a formal specification. Then a user sees tests applied to that specification. If a test fails, the user knows that something is wrong with the generated test since theoretically all tests correctly generated from a given specification when applied to the same specification should succeed. In case (b), PTCV helps validate empirically both the specification and the tests; it uses the tests to validate the specification and the specification to validate the tests. When a user sees a test fail, the user knows that either the independently generated test case is faulty or the specification has a problem.

Work at Delaware has resulted in a prototype system (also known as the PTCV tool) that visualizes a very limited set of protocol tests [9]. The PTCV tool inputs an Estelle specification (MUT) and test cases in TOF generated from that Estelle specification to derive the architecture for PTCV. The derivation process resulted in an Estelle specification that represents the architecture with the MUT embedded in it. The fixed part of the tester architecture is independent of the module whose test cases are being visualized and hence has to be generated only once. The dynamic part of the tester architecture is dependent on the module being tested and has to be generated at least once for each MUT.

The derivation of the PTCV architecture dealt with the following issues:

- Extracting interface information contained in the module header definition of the module whose test cases are being visualized.
- Converting test cases from a specified test notation (TOF) into an extended finite state machine and then generating Estelle code.
- Evaluating constraints that restrict the range of values that can be used in certain interaction fields. These constraints are specified during the test generation process. Constraint evaluation concerns itself with analyzing a list of given constraints and deriving a set of restrictive constraints that can be used by the test and which satisfies all given constraints. This set of restrictive constraints are then applied during test visualization. For example, given two constraints: one specifying that a sequence
number field must have a value greater or equal to 0 and the other specifying that the field must have a value less than 7, constraint evaluation will result in a constraint that allows a range of 0-6 for the sequence number field; a value in this range is used during test visualization.

- Combining the static part of the architecture and the generated dynamic part to derive an Estelle specification that represents the PTCV architecture with the module being tested as an integral part.

The architecture (Estelle specification) generated by the PTCV Tool can then be used to visualize the test cases (contained within the specification) using GROPE.

Overall, the generous support of ARO during this three year period has significantly assisted the Principal Investigator and his team of graduate students to create and further the knowledge in the subarea of protocol engineering entitled "Protocol Visualization."
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