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Abstract

Described are the syntheses of substituted enediynes and dialkynylbenzenes using Pd- or Pd/Cu-catalyzed cross coupling procedures. The products were then thermalized, generally in benzene, to afford the corresponding poly(p-phenylene)s and poly(1,4-naphthalene)s. Thirteen examples are provided that show the scope of the polymerization process based upon substituent patterns and cyclization moieties. The superb thermal resiliency of the newly derived polymers is demonstrated using thermogravimetric analysis. The polymer structure was generally confirmed using IR data correlations to small molecules that resembled the polymer's repeat unit structure. Radical trapping of dimeric intermediates, that were analyzed by GCMS, further substantiated the proposed mechanistic route. The step-growth polymerization pattern was determined by monitoring the degree of monomer consumption versus the polymer molecular weight.
Bergman's study on the thermal cycloaromatization of enediynes led to the suggestion of a benzene 1,4-diradical intermediate.\textsuperscript{1} Numerous synthetic and mechanistic studies were later performed to further investigate this reaction and a variety of enediynes have been thermalized in the presence of radical terminators such as 1,4-cyclohexadiene (eq 1).\textsuperscript{1,2} Even though large excesses of radical terminators were employed, the yield of the substituted benzenes were often moderate at best. The fact that the yields were diminutive kindled our interest because it suggested to us that polymerization may indeed be a preferred pathway and we could regard the intermediate benzene 1,4-diradical as a building block for substituted polyphenylenes. As suspected, deletion of the radical trapping source from the reaction mixtures allowed for the formation of substituted polyphenylenes (eq 2).\textsuperscript{3}

Substituted polyphenylenes have been shown to exhibit superb thermal and chemical resilience, interesting semiconducting properties upon doping, and applications in light emitting diodes.\textsuperscript{4,5} Therefore, this route to polyphenylenes and their derivatives is most attractive since (1) it requires no exogenous chemical catalysts or reagents for the polymerization, (2) no heteroatomic coupling sites such as halogens are not necessary and all atoms present in the monomer are also present in the polymer, and (3) the monomers can be rapidly prepared with a variety of substitution patterns to often afford soluble polyphenylene derivatives.

We could rapidly prepare numerous enediynes and dialkynylbenzenes by the Pd/Cu coupling protocol or an alkynylzinc chloride/Pd coupling.\textsuperscript{6,7} Subsequent heating of the enediynes or dialkynylaromatics lead to polymerization products (eqs 3 and 4). The results of the polymerization are summarized in Table I. We found that highest molecular weights could be obtained using benzene as a solvent and heating the monomers in thick-walled screw cap glass tubes\textsuperscript{8} at 50-160°C. In a few cases, when the polymerizations required higher temperatures, they were conducted on neat materials.
In general, monomers that had both R and R' = H, afforded insoluble polymers. Monomers that had either R or R' = H, readily polymerized to afford soluble polymers. In the cases where neither R or R' = H, the polymerizations were often slower and they required higher temperatures and neat reaction conditions which likely caused polymer decomposition as flagged by the black color of the products (Table I). The yields of the polymerized material were generally 50-90% after fractional precipitation. The obtained $M_n$ values of 1500-2500 are quite typical for $p$-polyphenylene derivatives. Note, however, that SEC-determined values of $M_n$ versus polystyrene standards are inflated due to hydrodynamic volume differences of rigid rod polymers versus the randomly coiled polystyrene standards.

The superb thermal stabilities are apparent in that, in many cases, the unannealed polymers exhibited 10% weight loss only after exceeding 400-600°C (Table I). Being unannealed, some of the early weight loss could be attributed to occluded solvent, therefore, these values represent a lower limit for thermal degradation.

The IR spectra are most informative in confirming the structure of the proposed polymers. For the monosubstituted polyphenylenes (Table I, entries 1-7), the polymer repeat unit possesses a 1,2,4-substituted phenyl pattern. The most diagnostic bands at 935-810 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to the bending of a lone hydrogen at the 3-position and the band at 880-795 cm$^{-1}$ arises from the bending of two the adjacent hydrogens at the 5- and 6-positions. Assignment of the disubstituted polyphenylenes can best be described using the bis(trimethylsilyl) derivative (Table I, entry 9). The shoulder above 3000 cm$^{-1}$ comes from the C-H stretching vibration. The band at 838 cm$^{-1}$
results from out-of-plane C-H bending of two adjacent hydrogen atoms bound to a phenyl ring. Analysis of the 1,2-dialkynylbenzene-derived polymers argued in favor of the 1,4-disubstituted naphthalene structure (eq 4). For example, for the simplest case where \( R = R' = H \) (Table I, entry 10), bands at 1595, 875 (two adjacent H) and 754 (four adjacent H) agreed with the literature values for 1,4-disubstituted naphthalenes.9

The mechanistic aspects of the polymerization process are intriguing.10 Two general mechanisms are considered. First, a benzene 1,4-diradical may be attacking unreacted enediyne to generate a new biphenyl 4,4'-diradical intermediate. Continued reaction of this growing chain with enediyne should result in a chain growth-like polymerization process in that the molecular weight of the polymer would increase rapidly even at low monomer conversions. Conversely, the benzene 1,4-diradical may predominantly couple with other radicals in solution to eventually form the polymer. In that case, the process should be step growth-like where the polymer molecular weight would initially increase slowly, but then increase rapidly when monomer conversion was nearly complete. By monitoring consumption of \( \mathbf{1} \) (\( R = H, R' = Ph \)) (using capillary GC with terphenyl as an internal standard) and molecular weight (\( M_n \) by SEC), it was clear that the molecular weight increased slowly (\( M_n = 600 \) at 10% monomer consumption and 810 at 90% monomer consumption) until the monomer was nearly consumed (>97%), whereupon the molecular weight increased exceeding rapidly. Therefore, the polymerization indeed resembles a step growth process. It should be noted, however, a chain growth mechanism can not be ruled out in that the propagating species may be unstable giving rapid chain transfer and/or termination. Also, addition of small amounts of radical initiators did not increase the polymerization efficiency.

As a further conformation of the radical coupling process described here, we carried out the thermalization process for two of the monomers in the presence of some of the radical trapping agent 1,4-cyclohexadiene. This was done with the hope of obtaining some small, characterizable dimeric species. Indeed, when \( \mathbf{1} \) (\( R = H, R' = Ph \)) was heated in benzene in the presence 1,4-cyclohexadiene (33 mol %) at 150°C, we observed the formation of polymeric material as well as several low molecular weight species detected by GC/MS analysis. The volatile material consisted
of unreacted starting material, biphenyl (154 amu) and the desired dimeric adduct quateraphenyl (306 amu). Likewise, when 3 (R and R’ = H) was heated in benzene in the presence 1,4-cyclohexadiene (21 mol %) at 150°C, polymer was formed as well as several volatile species. The volatile material consisted of unreacted starting material, naphthalene (128 amu), 1,4-cyclohexadienyl addition/aromatization products, and the desired dimer 1,1'-binaphthalene (254 amu). The isotopic pattern and fragmentation pattern matched identically with the data base-derived 1,1'-binaphthalene spectrum.

Finally, it has been shown that E-enediynes do not undergo the Bergman cyclization. We therefore prepared E-1-phenylhexa-3-ene-1,5-diyne. Heating this monomer to 150°C for 2 d did indeed form a polymer, however, FTIR analysis verified that it was not a polyphenylene-derived material in that the two bands at 935-810 cm⁻¹ and 880-795 cm⁻¹ were missing.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R̅</th>
<th>Temp&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mn&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>solubility&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;10%&lt;sup&gt;g,h&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;50%&lt;sup&gt;h,i&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>color&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>n-C₅H₁₃</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3930</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>305000&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9500</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>(p-C₆H₄)-Ph</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>10600</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>(o-C₆H₄)-Ph</td>
<td>50-90</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>(p-C₆H₄)-CMe₃</td>
<td>50-95</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>(1-naphthyl)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>(9-anthyl)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>partial soluble&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n-C₅H₁₃</td>
<td>n-C₅H₁₃</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>soluble&lt;sup&gt;n&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SiMe₃</td>
<td>SiMe₃</td>
<td>300º</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>soluble</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>400º</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n-C₅H₁₃</td>
<td>n-C₅H₁₃</td>
<td>340º</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>insoluble</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Dashes signify that the value was not obtained or it was not clearly discernable during the analysis. Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out in a thick-walled screw-capped tube in benzene (0.7-1.1 M) as the solvent.  
<sup>b</sup>Temperature in °C used for the polymerization. The reaction times were generally 24-48 h.  
<sup>c</sup>Weight average molecular weights.  
<sup>d</sup>Determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) in THF versus polystyrene standards.  
<sup>e</sup>Number average molecular weights.  
<sup>f</sup>Solubility in THF or CH₂Cl₂.  
<sup>g</sup>Temperature at which 10% weight loss occurred.  
<sup>h</sup>Determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) from 50 to 900°C at 10°C/min under an N₂ atmosphere.  
<sup>i</sup>Temperature at which 50% weight loss occurred.  
<sup>j</sup>Color of neat polymer.  
<sup>k</sup>We presently have no explanation for the very broad polydispersity.  
<sup>l</sup>SEC data obtained on the soluble portion and TGA data obtained on the insoluble portion.  
<sup>m</sup>The monomer had an intense orange color.  
<sup>n</sup>Material was predominantly unreacted monomer.  
<sup>o</sup>The reaction was run without solvent.