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Evaluation of a hyperbaric system to be used in conjunction with a fluorometer

J. S. COLTON, Y. GROSSMAN, K. MILLER, and S. L. POCOTTE

Colton JS, Grossman Y, Miller K, Pocotte SL. Evaluation of a hyperbaric system for use in conjunction with a fluorometer. Undersea & Hyperbaric Med 1993; 20(4):375-382.—A high-pressure chamber that can be used inside the sample chamber of a spectrofluorometer is described and some performance characteristics are presented. The chamber body, constructed of 316 stainless steel, is temperature regulated using resistive heating elements and a microprocessor-based proportional integral derivative controller. The chamber holds a standard 1-cm² cuvette that indexes with an electromagnetic stirrer. Injection of different solutions into the closed and pressurized (6.8 MPa) vessel is accomplished by computer-controlled, low-volume solenoids attached to separate microliter injection ports. Repetitive injections of fluids down to a volume of 7 µl are possible in the pressurized chamber. Temperature stability of the chamber is ±0.2°C at atmospheric or elevated pressure. However, during the initial phase (first 3 min) of pressurization, at a compression rate of 0.62 MPa/min, a 0.23°C/min increase in temperature occurs. The chamber windows depress the relative intensity of the emitted light by approximately 20% for visible light and 40% for near UV; however, total sensitivity of the system is sufficient to accomplish most determinations while maintaining a good signal-to-noise ratio. This system can be used to evaluate the response of several molecular and cellular events during compression and at depth with the use of various fluorometric probes.

spectrofluorometer, hyperbaric chamber, high pressure

Through the technique of fluorometry, many chemical, molecular, organelle, or whole-cell events can be monitored in a real-time or near real-time manner with minimal trauma to the preparation (e.g., by impalement with a microelectrode). Thus it is possible to explore the effects of pressure on various aspects of molecular and cellular function in a continuous mode (i.e., before, during, and after pressurization), with the use of fluorometry coupled with an appropriate hyperbaric chamber. Several chambers used in conjunction with fluorometry have been described by other investigators (1–5). There is even a commercially available chamber (SLM Aminco, model HOHC-3K high-pressure spectroscopy cell). Although each of these chambers has unique characteristics, most lack easy sample access and the facility to accurately
and repetitively introduce small quantities of fluids into the sample chamber while fully pressurized.

We describe the physical construction of a high-pressure environmental chamber that is used inside a commercially available fluorometer (Shimatzu, model 540). This chamber can be used to study the effects of custom gas mixtures at ambient or elevated pressures on living tissue. The chamber provides rapid sample access and automated fluid injection. Data are presented to provide a quantitative evaluation of the performance characteristics of the chamber.

METHODS

The hyperbaric chamber was constructed in-house in three sections from 316 stainless steel (Figs. 1 and 2). The overall dimensions of the chamber were 0.5 in. high × 3.62 in. wide × 3.62 in. long for the top and bottom sections and 3.62 in. cubed for the midsection. The midsection contained two 0.62-in. thick × 1-in. diameter fused silica windows ( Heraeus Amersil, type T19 Suprasil) set at 90° for passage of the excitation and emission beams (Fig. 2). The windows were secured by inset stainless-steel flanges and flat, rubber gaskets. A 1.5-in. cylindrical bore was machined through the center of the midsection to allow positioning of a plastic cuvette.

FIG. 1—Photographic view showing: A, three sections of the hyperbaric chamber (top, body, and base); B, the fixed base plate; C, the removable sample compartment wall with feed-through connectors; D, high wattage resistors used for heat exchange; E, fused silica window and retaining flange; and F, zero-volume connectors interfacing PEEK tubing with micro volume stainless steel injection tubes.
FIG. 2—Cross-sectional view through the center of the steel chamber body. Center, central bore containing the fixed cuvette holder; fused silica windows (GW); flange securing window to body; penetration port (Pn) for RTD or pressurization line; and metal jacketed power resistors (PR) for heat exchange.

holder, which was mounted on the bottom section of the chamber. This allowed alignment of the cuvette with the fused silica windows, the excitation beam, and the photodetector. Two small ports were drilled through one side of the chamber wall and opened into the central bore (Fig. 2, Pn). These ports were fitted with 0.06-in. male NPT to 0.12-in. Swagelok connectors to provide access for a compression-decompression line and mounting of a stainless-steel-sheathed RTD probe (Omega, model PR-11-2-100-1/8-3-E).

Temperature regulation was achieved by passing current through a group of aluminum-jacketed, 50-W, 2-Ω power resistors (Dale MC 9102) bonded to the chamber with thermal-conductive, electrically insulating epoxy (Tra-Con Inc, Tra-Bond 2151). Temperature control was maintained within 0.1°–0.2°C with a miniature, microprocessor-based proportional integral derivative (PID) temperature controller (Omega, CN 9211A) that was coupled to an 8-A power supply (Arriflex Co, model 339-370). Temperature feedback was provided by the above-mentioned RTD probe.

The contents of the cuvette were continually stirred with a Teflon-coated, slotted, magnetic stirring disk. The stirring disk was propelled by a series of eight epoxy-potted electromagnets located immediately beneath the plastic cuvette holder. A variable rate controller (constructed in-house) was used to synchronize the poles of the eight electromagnets and adjust the stirring frequency.

Injection of microliter quantities of aqueous solutions was made through two feed-through ports in the top of the hyperbaric chamber (Fig. 1F). A 3-in. section of 0.06-in. o.d. × 0.01-in. i.d. stainless steel tubing passed through each port and was aligned with the orifice of the quartz cuvette. The external segment of each stainless steel tube was coupled to a 10-in. length of 0.06-in. o.d. × 0.02-in. i.d. flexible PEEK
tubing (Upchurch Scientific Inc. part 1532) via an in-line, zero-volume (0.01 in. i.d) union (Upchurch Scientific Inc. part U-435). The other end of each PEEK tube was connected to a separate 0.02-in. i.d. bulkhead union (Upchurch Scientific Inc. part U-440) which was mounted through a removable compartment wall of the fluorometer sample chamber. The flexible, small-bore tubing and zero-volume unions allowed easy removal and replacement of the chamber lid while maintaining a small "dead volume."

Control of microliter fluid injection was driven by a dual piston high performance liquid chromatography minipump (Milton-Roy, model 2396-57) with the output of each piston directed through a 3.45 MPa, low-volume back-pressure regulator (BPR) (Upchurch Scientific Inc. part U-463) to separate low-volume, three-way, subminiature, high-speed electronic solenoid valves (General Valve Corp, series 9). With the solenoid in the "normally open" state, fluid was recirculated through another 3.45 MPa, low-volume BPR (Upchurch Scientific Inc. part U-463) leading to a reservoir connected to the pump input. Switching the solenoid to the "activated" state, fluid was selectively directed to the previously described bulkhead union in the removable compartment wall via 0.06 x 0.02 in. flexible PEEK tubing. The BPRs were used to preload the pump output and to eliminate retrograde flow during switching at high pressure, thereby improving the reliability of the delivery of microliter quantities of fluid to the cuvette in the hyperbaric chamber.

Solenoid switching and timing was regulated via a digital I/O controller (Strawberry Tree Inc. model ACjr) interfaced with an IBM AT Jr. computer. Position of the pump pistons was continually sensed with a proximity magnetic reed switch (Alco, part RS-11-NO) mounted to the pump body and a small magnet permanently attached to the pump drive shaft. It was possible, therefore, to control fluid ejection through software manipulations and further increase the accuracy of delivery of microliter quantities of fluid to the quartz cuvette within the pressurized (6.8 MPa) hyperbaric chamber. Delivery volumes were determined and calibrated by carefully weighing ejected aliquots of distilled water and relating the fluid volume to solenoid open time. To ensure that solenoid activation occurred at the same point of the piston stroke for each injection, the software design included one or two extra drive-shaft revolutions to compensate for the random signal that initiated the injection cycle.

Bulkhead unions for fluid and gas service to the hyperbaric chamber, as well as electrical connections for the electromagnetic stirrer and heating resistors, were located in the removable compartment wall of the fluorometer sample chamber (Fig. 1c). This removable wall was rigidly fixed to a base plate (Fig. 1b) that mounted and indexed with minimal tolerance to the inside of the fluorometric sample chamber. The hyperbaric chamber was also rigidly fixed to this base plate. A temporary handle attached to the top of the hyperbaric chamber allowed convenient removal of the chamber and its accoutrements as well as easy and precise realignment when the chamber was reintroduced into the fluorometer sample chamber.

PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

Temperature control

Initial heating and control parameters for temperature maintenance of the hyperbaric chamber were determined by the autotune algorithm of the CN9211A temper-
ture controller. In this manner it was possible to conveniently utilize the three-mode proportional, integrative, and derivative (PID) control function.

The time to heat the chamber mass was approximately 30 min, with thermal stability occurring at about 80 min after the initial "power-up." Temperature variation of the chamber mass did not exceed ±0.2°C after the initial 80-min warm-up period. The same degree of temperature stability was observed for the chamber mass during linear He–O₂ pressurization to 6.8 MPa at dive rates of 0.62, 0.94, or 124 MPa/min.

Even though the temperature of the chamber mass remained relatively constant during rapid pressurization, the temperature of the chamber cavity varied with pressure, thus causing the temperature in a fluid-filled cuvette (3 ml normal saline) to fluctuate in a similar manner. At a dive rate of 0.62 MPa/min the maximal rate of heating occurred during the first 1.17 MPa (3 min) of the dive 0.23°C/min (Fig. 3). Temperature stabilized during the remainder of the dive, varying no more than ±0.2°C. After reaching 6.8 MPa the fluid in the cuvette began to cool, stabilizing after 10 min at pressure (Fig. 3).

Optics

The path length or thickness of each fused silica window used in the hyperbaric chamber influenced the optical transmission; therefore, selection of the appropriate thickness for a given diameter window to function safely at elevated pressure was critical. Optimal window thickness was determined from a nomograph supplied by the manufacturer (Heraeus Amersil), relating window diameter and working pressure. With a working pressure of 6.8 MPa (safety factor = 10x) and window diameter of 1 in., minimal thickness was determined to be 0.60 in.

From product specifications supplied by the manufacturer, a theoretical loss of transmitted light through the two windows of approximately 16–20% was calculated for light radiation between 250–700 nm. These losses were a function of reflective losses at the outside surfaces, the path length, the coefficient of absorption for the particular glass, and the refractive index of the glass. The actual influence of the

![Graph of temperature rise and stabilization](image-url)
two fused silica windows in the hyperbaric chamber on transmitted light was determined by measuring the relative fluorescence of a particular fluorophore in the standard fluorometer sample compartment and repeated in the hyperbaric chamber, which was positioned inside the sample compartment of the fluorometer. The actual decrease in fluorescence intensity was within the predicted range for three of the four dyes tested: for fluorescein, 9-aminoacridine, 3,3'-diethylthiadicarbocyanine iodide, and norepinephrine. Fluorescence decreased 22.6, 15.8, 18.3, and 40.7%, respectively (Table 1). The most profound loss (40.7% for norepinephrine) occurred in the area of near UV band (280 nm excitation and 314 nm emission).

**Fluid injection**

To enhance the utility of the chamber, microliter fluid injection into the quartz cuvette was accomplished by computer-controlled solenoid switching and a high-pressure minipump. The smallest volume that could be consistently delivered in a repetitive manner was determined by a combination of the flow developed by the pump and the solenoid switching rate. For the model pump used in this system, maximum flow was 80 µl per stroke, delivered in less than 70 ms. Solenoid response time was less than 6 ms. With stroke volume set to 100% it was possible to repetitively inject 7-75 ± 3 µl at both atmospheric and high pressure. Calibration curves (not shown) demonstrated a linear relation between solenoid “open time” and “volume delivered” over the single-stroke injection range. It was necessary, however, to construct separate calibration curves at atmospheric and hyperbaric pressure for each solenoid.

**DISCUSSION**

The chamber described in this paper has the capability to allow continuous monitoring of fluorescent or chemiluminescent events in molecular, organelle, or cell suspensions, as well as cells adhered to glass coverslips, while in a normobaric atmosphere, during compression, or at high pressure. The performance tests have shown that the system controls chamber and cuvette temperature to within 0.2°C during steady-state conditions. During compression, control of the chamber temperature continues to hold within 0.2°C, but cuvette temperature can warm as much as 1°C depending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>λ_{EC} (nm)</th>
<th>λ_{FM} (nm)</th>
<th>W/O HC</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>Decrease, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,3'-Diethylthiadicarbocyanine</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Aminoacridine</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescein</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: λ_{EC} = excitation wavelength (nm); λ_{FM} = emission wavelength (nm); W/O HC = relative intensity of dye determined in the sample compartment without hyperbaric chamber; HC = relative intensity of dye determined with hyperbaric chamber in sample compartment.
on compression rate. Microliter fluid injection can be accomplished at either atmospheric or hyperbaric pressures with a minimal, repetitive volume of 7 µl. Continual stirring within the cuvette prevents suspensions from settling and promotes rapid mixing of injected substances.

A large number of fluorescent and chemiluminescent probes have become commercially available (Molecular Probes, Inc.; Eastman Kodak Co.) for the investigation of various molecular and cellular processes. The incorporation of fluorescent techniques in a hyperbaric environment could provide a powerful tool with which to study many of the phenomena associated with high-pressure alteration of physiologic and biochemical function. Several investigations have demonstrated this capability (1-5). Of particular interest to this laboratory is the effect of high pressure on neuronal function. For example, several investigations have demonstrated a pressure-dependent depression of neurotransmitter release which may be attributable to changes in transmembrane calcium flux (8-11) or altered vesicle fusion (12). It is also possible that other molecular mechanisms of neurotransmitter release are influenced by pressure; for example, alteration in calcium-calmodulin interaction, interference with various “docking” proteins, or disruption of transmembrane potential or surface charges. Utilizing a range of different fluorophores and chemiluminescent indicators in a hyperbaric environment, in association with different model systems such as cultured neurons, synaptosomes (8, 9), PC12 cells (13), or isolated molecules such as calmodulin (14), glutamate (15, 16), or glutamate decarboxylate (17), it is possible to evaluate these “models” in a rapid, real-time, continuous mode. From information provided by the various techniques and a hierarchy of model systems, it is anticipated that the mechanisms(s) of action of gases at high pressure on intact brain will be more fully understood.
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