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The HIROIG System Requirements Review was held on February 17, 1993 at The Aerospace Corporation. The purpose of the review was to demonstrate the way in which the requirements for a spaceborne ozone imaging experiment are derived from the fundamental goal of the program, which is to measure how space-vehicle launches affect the ozone layer of the atmosphere. The review included, towards the end, short presentations on the experiment team’s approaches to meeting the program requirements. This report is a compilation of the briefing charts that were presented at the review.
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INTRODUCTION

D. L. McKENZIE
HIROIG

HIGH RESOLUTION OZONE IMAGER
PROBLEM

- UP TO 30% OF SOLID ROCKET MOTOR EXHAUST IS CHLORINE
- LOCAL OZONE DEPLETION BY CHLORINE
- KNOWLEDGE OF OZONE DEPLETION BY SRM LAUNCHES IS REQUIRED FOR DoD TO COMPLY WITH FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS
- MEASUREMENT OF OZONE DEPLETION REQUIRES A UV SPECTROMETER HAVING UNPRECEDENTED SPATIAL RESOLUTION AND THE ABILITY TO MEASURE THE POLARIZATION OF BACKSCATTERED SUNLIGHT: HIROIG
HIROIG SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS REVIEW

PLANS FOR FY 1993

- CONSTRUCT TWO GROUND-BASED PROTOTYPE INSTRUMENTS
- PRISM SPECTROMETER
- GRATING SPECTROMETER
- PREPARE FOR PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW IN OCTOBER 1993
PERSONNEL

SCIENCE TEAM
DAVID McKENZIE - FLIGHT INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT
JIM HECHT - INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT
MARTY ROSS - THEORY
GEORGE ROSSANO - INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT
DAVID GORNEY - DIRECTOR, ATMOSPHERIC & IONOSPHERIC SCIENCES DEPARTMENT

ENGINEERING
JOSEF STEIN - PROGRAM MANAGER
DAVID GUTIERREZ - OPTICAL DESIGN
MAZAHER SIVJEE - MECHANICAL DESIGN
JIM SKINNER - MECHANICAL DESIGN
NORM KATZ - DETECTOR ELECTRONICS
DAN MABRY - DATA PROCESSING UNIT
JON OSBORN - ELECTRONICS DESIGN
KIRK CRAWFORD - SOFTWARE
PATTY LIU - DETECTOR ELECTRONICS (USC INTERN)
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HIROIG SCIENCE

• Rockets as sources of stratospheric ozone depleting chemicals

• Characteristics of rocket plume ozone depletion

• Backscatter method of ozone measurement

• Measurement requirements for plume ozone loss detection
LAUNCH VEHICLES AS STRATOSPHERIC POLLUTERS

- motor types:
  - solids (ammonium perchlorate)
  - liquids (hypergolic, cryogenic)

- exhaust products are not well known
  - gases (CO2, CO, OH, H2O, NO, Cl)
  - solids (Al2O3 or cryogen aerosols of uncertain size distribution)

- vehicles
  - shuttle (1)
  - titan IV (.6)
  - energia (2.2)
  - ariane V (.4)
SOLID MOTOR EXHAUST CHEMISTRY

HCl + X LIBERATES ACTIVE CHLORINE

86 000 kg HCl
98 000 kg Al₂O₃

INITIAL PLUME

[O₃] = 0
[Cl] >> AMBIENT [O₃]

DIFFUSION OF PLUME

CATALYTIC OZONE DESTRUCTION

Cl + O₃ = ClO + O₂
THREE STAGES IN PLUME DYNAMICS

1. initial plume: 0 to about 15 min after launch
   - optically thick
   - cools to ambient
   - from industry plume flowfield models
   - \([O_3] = 0\)

2. intermediate plume: 15 min to about 6(?) hours after launch
   - diffusion and advection of plume into stratosphere
   - optically thin but aerosols contribute to radiative environment
   - best chance to observe ozone loss

3. terminal plume: 6(?) hours +
   - loss of plume structure
   - diffusion of ozone rich ambient air into plume
FIRST ORDER PLUME CHEMISTRY

• Cl is very abundant in the initial plume
  - 2-5 $10^{16}$ m$^{-3}$
  - Cl + O$_3$ → ClO + O$_2$ is main reaction in the first hours

• as mixing proceeds in the plume O$_3$ essentially is replaced by ClO

• detailed atmospheric chemistry models verify this view

• 3-D model needed
PRELIMINARY PLUME MODEL

• initial goal is for instrument design purposes
  - resolution and sensitivity
  - data rates
• 3-D diffusion/advection model
  - 'bare bones' chemistry: Cl and O3 only
  - isothermal
• characteristics:
  - 20 - 45 km altitude
  - linear increase of Dh with altitude (50 - 100 m²/s)
  - $D_h/D_v = 2$
  - trajectory parallel to wind (10 - 20 m/s)
Nadir view: column ozone loss

1% loss

10% loss

50% loss

CROSS RANGE (km)

DOWN RANGE (km)
OZONE MEASUREMENT METHOD

- SOLAR ULTRAVIOLET BACKSCATTER
  - EXPLOITS WAVELENGTH DEPENDENCE OF OZONE ABSORPTION
  - MID UV CONTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS COVER STRATOSPHERE FROM 20 TO 50 KM
  - VERTICAL RESOLUTION OF 5 TO 10 KM
  - LONG HERITAGE (SBUV, TOMS, DE)
COMPLICATIONS TO BACKSCATTER TECHNIQUE

• Aerosols:
  - exhaust contains .1 to 10 micron particles
  - will contribute significantly to radiative environment
  - solution: measure the polarization of the backscatter-
molecular scattering is strongly polarized;
aerosol scattering is not
RAYLEIGH POLARIZATION: ETR

LOCAL SOLAR TIME

DAY OF YEAR
COMPLICATIONS TO BACKSCATTER TECHNIQUE
(continued)

- In the plume region ozone is replaced by ClO:
  - ClO absorption cross section is similar to ozone
  - *solution*: use spectral features in ClO cross section to recover ClO profile

- Low resolution (i.e. TOMS) uses 1-D inversion: high resolution will require development of 3-D inversion
HIROIG DESIGN

- MEASUREMENT GOALS LEAD TO DESIGN SPECS

  - RESOLVE PLUME          2 KM PIXELS
  - OZONE PROFILE         270 -370 NM AT 2 NM
  - AEROSOL COMPONENT     POLARIZATION STATE
  - OBSERVE > 50% OF EVENTS SUN SYNCHRONOUS ORBIT CROSS TRACK POINTING
INSTRUMENT REQUIREMENTS

J. H. HECHT
INTRODUCTION

The High Resolution Ozone Imager (HIROIG) is a new space-based spectrograph:

- Ozone density
  - 800 km orbit
  - backscattered solar light 270-370 nm
- Change in Ozone after a rocket launch
  - spatial resolution (2 X 2 km)
  - NASA TOMS - 50 X 50 km pixel
- Polarization
  - aerosols
OVERVIEW

- HIROIG design - four spectrographs - CCD Detectors
  - one dimension is spectral - 270 to 370 nm
  - other dimension is spatial

- Typical CCD image
  - exposed for 1/7 second
  - 90 X 130 pixels (100 X 100 microns)
  - Focal plane of 9 X 13 mm
- 100 X 100 micron pixel
  - 1 nm spectral (270-370 nm)
  - 1 km X 1 km spatial
  - effective resolution of 2 nm and 2 X 2 km
  - 100 km by 1 km strips

- second spatial dimension via motion of the spacecraft
• gimbal mounted
  • 30 degrees from nadir pointing

• The four spectrographs are each sensitive to light polarized at different angles
  • three angles - uniquely determine polarization
  • 0, 45, 90
  • fourth 0 or 135
  • increase the signal to noise in backscattered signal
  • correct for radiation induced degradation of the CCD
HIROIG Design Difficulties
- large amount solar light - eliminated prior to entrance slit
- steepness of the solar curve - 270 to 370 nm (1000:1)

Solutions
- Pre-filtering - suppress unwanted solar photons outside the bandpass from entering the instrument
- The optical design of the spectrograph is such that internal scattering is minimized.
DESIGN CRITERIA

- CCD will be used as a detector
  - large signals - $10^7$ counts over focal plane
  - large number of pixels - $10^4$
- spectral resolution - 2 nm from 270 to 350 nm
  - goal - 1 nm through this wavelength range
- spatial resolution - 2 X 2 km at 800 km
  - goal 1 X 1 km
- cross track field of view 100 km for a slit height of 1 cm.
- Visible and Near IR solar light must be rejected
- Scattering must be low (1 X $10^{-6}$)
  - The ratio of out of band to in band light should be below 0.1%
• signal to noise for a 2 X 2 km spatial element
  • 10:1 at 270 to 290 nm
  • 30:1 throughout the rest of the spectrum

• exposure time-1/7 of a second (1 km of spacecraft motion)

• the state of polarization of the backscattered light

• pointable

• The mechanical design of the spectrograph is such that temperature fluctuations will not change the bandpass by more than 0.1 nm

• Minimize or eliminate High Voltage

• Moving parts must be kept to a minimum
  • CCD in Frame Transfer Mode
FRAME TRANSFER MODE

- No mechanical shutter - CCD frame transfer mode
  - image exposed 140 msec
  - quickly transferred to a masked storage area
  - next 140 msec exposure period storage area read out

- signal level ≈ 100 counts at 270 nm to nearly 10000 counts at 305 nm.

- Thus, to minimize either spectral smearing (if the transfer from the image to storage area is in the spectral dimension) or spatial smearing (if the transfer from the image to storage area is in the spatial dimension) the transfer should take on the order of 0.5 millisec or less.
STRAWMAN CCD REQUIREMENTS

All of the following should be stable over a three year mission lifetime with the chips deployed in a sun synchronous 800 km orbit at -30 C.

- READ NOISE-less than 10 cts RMS (goal of 5). Expected pixel read out to be about 70 kpixels/sec to 280 kpixels/sec

- DARK COUNTS - less than 50 counts/sec in a 100 micron X 100 micron pixel at -30 C (equivalent to about 1 ct/sec in a 15 X 15 micron pixel at -30 C)

- QUANTUM EFFICIENCY 15% or better from 270 to 370 nm QE must be stable

- CHARGE TRANSFER EFFICIENCY 0.99999 or better
• VERTICAL TRANSFER TIME FOR ONE LINE 2 microsec or better

• CHIP ARCHITECTURE FOR FLIGHT CCD
  • 1024 X 768 contiguous pixels with no dead space
  • pixel - 18 microns X 18 microns
  • pixel rate/amplifier - near 20 kpixels/sec to 70 kpixels/sec
THE EFFECTS OF RADIATION ON CCDS

- Radiation doses much above 200 Rads/year at 800 km altitude will degrade the performance of the CCD.
  - The CCD must be shielded (1 cm of Tantalum)
- Even at 200 Rads/year CCD will deteriorate
  - Dark Count increases
  - Charge Transfer Efficiency (CTE) decreases
• These effects must be quantified
  • NASA Cassini-JPL tests
  • With sufficient shielding probably not a problem in first year of mission
  • May or may not effect sensitivity in 2nd and 3rd year in the 270 to 290 nm region
  • Having two identical spectrographs may mitigate this problem

• Continue to study this problem
SUMMARY

- SPECTRAL RESOLUTION 2 nm from 270 to 350 nm
- SPATIAL RESOLUTION 2 X 2 km from 800 km orbit
- EXPOSURE TIME 1/7 sec
- SIGNAL TO NOISE
  - 10:1 at 270 nm
  - 30:1 from 290 to 370 nm
- DYNAMIC RANGE
  - Flatten Solar Spectrum
  - 12 bits (4000)
- INTERNAL SCATTERING - less than $10^{-6}$
- POLARIZATION 0, 45, and 90 degrees
• FIELD OF VIEW 100 km Cross Track
  • Pointable - 30 degrees of nadir

• MECHANICAL AND THERMAL STABILITY
  • Center of bandpass must be known to 0.1 nm

• CCD DETECTOR
  • Cooled to -30 C
  • Read Noise below 10 counts RMS
  • QE 15% from 270 to 370 nm
  • CTE 0.99999 or better for first year of operation
  • Shielded - 200 Rads /year
  • Data Rate 15 to 300 kpixels/sec (15 Mbits/sec)
  • Data Storage 2 Gbits - 1000 km
OPTICAL DESIGN

D. J. GUTIERREZ
OPTICAL DESIGN

- OPTICAL LAYOUT -- PRISM DISCUSSION
- IMAGING PERFORMANCE
- RESPONSIVITY
- SIGNAL RATES
CALCULATED RESPONSIVITY OF HIROIG

RESPONSIVITY

WAVELENGTH (NM)
OPTICAL DESIGN

G. S. ROSSANO
FULL FIELD SPOT DIAGRAM

HIROIG GRATNG SPECTROGRAPH
FRI FEB 12 1993 UNITS ARE MICRONS.
FIELD
RMS RADIUS : 1.35E+004
GEO RADIUS : 8.15E+003
SCALE BAR : 1.631E+004
REFERENCE : CHIEF RAY
### SPOT DIAGRAM

**HIROIG GRATING SPECTROGRAPH**  
**FRI FEB 12 1993**  
**UNITS ARE MICRONS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIELD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMS RADIUS</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>19.52</td>
<td>27.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GED RADIUS</td>
<td>26.64</td>
<td>28.16</td>
<td>32.98</td>
<td>39.65</td>
<td>47.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCALE BAR**: 100  
**REFERENCE**: CHIEF RAY
HIROIG GRATING SPECTROGRAPH
FRIDAY FEB 12 1993  UNITS ARE MICRONS.
FIELD : 1  2  3  4  5
RMS RADIUS : 20.76 19.73 17.45 14.97 12.62
GEO RADIUS : 38.04 36.85 43.32 49.59 52.91
SCALE BAR : 100  
REFERENCE : CHIEF RAY
SPOT DIAGRAM

HIROIG GRATING SPECTROGRAPH
FRD FEJEB 12 1993 UNITS ARE MICRONS.

FIELD  1  2  3  4  5
RMS RADIUS :  8.08  9.06  12.10  17.60  26.42
GEO RADIUS :  16.24  18.25  22.97  32.97  48.79
SCALE BAR :  100  REFERENCE : CHIEF RAY

OBJ: 0.00, 0.00 DEG
IMA: 0.00, 29.339 MM
OBJ: 1.75, 0.00 DEG
IMA: 1.124, 29.344 MM
OBJ: 2.63, 0.00 DEG
IMA: 2.251, 29.358 MM
OBJ: 3.50, 0.00 DEG
IMA: 3.383, 29.381 MM
OBJ: 0.00, 0.00 DEG
IMA: 4.523, 29.414 MM
MECHANICAL DESIGN

M. G. SIVJEE

HIROIG SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS REVIEW

Feb 17, 1993
HIROIG MECHANICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- FOUR SPECTROGRAPHS CO-ALIGNED TO WITHIN ±0.007° (25 ARC-SEC)
- SWEEP MOTION CAPABILITY OF ±30°
- PRECISION MOUNTING AND ALIGNMENT OF OPTICS
- COOLING OF CCD's
- RADIATION SHIELDING OF CCD's
TWO LINKED PAIRS

FOUR MECHANICALLY LINKED INSTRUMENTS

DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN CO-ALIGNMENT

BASE MUST BE RIGID AND MOUNTED TO THE SPACECRAFT AT ONLY 3 POINTS SO THAT SPACECRAFT THERMAL INSTABILITY DOES NOT CHANGE INSTRUMENT CO-ALIGNMENT
FOUR POINTING MIRRORS
INSTRUMENTS FIXED TO BASE
FOUR SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT CCD IMAGES
BECAUSE OPTICAL ELEMENTS ARE REVERSED
RELATIVELY EASY TO CO-ALIGN

ONE LARGER INSTRUMENT
SINGLE POINTING MIRROR
ROTATING POLARIZER
REQUIRES CCD AND ELECTRONICS
TO OPERATE MANY TIMES FASTER
SCAN FOUR UNITS ON SINGLE PIVOT

HIGH CG AND PIVOT HEIGHT
FOUR INDIVIDUAL MODULES FOR EASIER ALIGNMENT
DOES NOT DEPEND ON MOUNT ISOLATION FROM THE SPACECRAFT
DATA PROCESSING UNIT

D. J. MABRY

HIROIG SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS REVIEW

Feb 17, 1993
HIROIG DPU Requirements

The HIROIG Data Processing Unit (DPU) should provide capabilities to:

- accept images of 180 x 260 pixels (12 bits/pixel) from 4 imagers simultaneously at a frame rate of 7 images/second (15.7 Mbits/sec)

- provide storage on-board for 2 minutes of continuous image acquisition (225 Mbytes)

- provide data processing capabilities to reduce 225 Mbyte recorder content to fit within 100 Mbits/orbit telemetry allocation

- provide a "low noise" mode of operation during image exposure

- provide controls for coolers and motor(s). Accept, compile, and monitor cooler, motor, CCD, and power supply housekeeping data

- provide protected command interface with spacecraft, including capabilities for modifying flight software or table data
HIROIG DPU Overview
HIROIG DPU Modes

- Exposure Mode
  - Interleaved CCD images pass directly from signal conditioning electronics to recorder under hardware control
  - Processing electronics operate in "low noise" mode to reduce recorded image contamination

- Housekeeping Mode
  - Processing electronics become active for ~1 msec every 140 msec while CCD image is transferred between active and masked area
  - Operations performed are cooler/heater monitoring, image header and trailer generation, recorder memory management
  - Software algorithms in conjunction with knowledge of available recorder space and acquisition parameters determine whether next mode is Exposure or Processing
HIROIG DPU Modes (continued)

- Data Processing Mode
  
  - CCD data acquisition suspended during data processing
  
  - Primary function: "reduce" 225 Mbytes of recorder images to fit into 1 or more telemetry orbits (100 Mbits/orbit)
  
  - For non-recurring observations, recorder data can be minimally compressed and telemetered over several orbits
  
  - For recurring observations, compression factor (CF) of 20 is needed to empty recorder for next observation. Compression algorithms are being evaluated.
HIROIG DPU Theory of Operation

• Ground command specifies look direction, start time and duration for observation

• DPU points imagers via motor controls, then waits for start of observation while monitoring housekeeping data

• DPU programs Data Acquisition Controller when observation time arrives, then the DPU toggles between Exposure and Housekeeping modes while images pass to recorder

• At end of exposure, Processing mode is entered to begin data compression and telemetry creation
# HIROIG Solid State Recorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model:</th>
<th>SEAKR Engineering SESSM - 1.9GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage, Megabits:</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size, inches:</td>
<td>10 x 6.8 x 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Channels:</td>
<td>8 bit parallel (input/output)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serial control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Rates:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Data:</td>
<td>25 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Data:</td>
<td>25 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control:</td>
<td>125 Kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Voltage:</td>
<td>22 VDC to 36 VDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby:</td>
<td>5.75 Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational:</td>
<td>&lt; 15 Watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bit Error Rate:</td>
<td>&lt; 1 x 10E-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MANAGEMENT AND SCHEDULES

J. A. Stein
OVERVIEW

1. PROJECT SCHEDULE
2. PROJECTED FUNDING REQUIREMENT
3. FUNDING ALLOTMENT BY ENGINEERING CATEGORY
4. PROJECTED SPENDING RATE & EXPENDITURES TO DATE
**MANPOWER ALLOTMENT BY ENGINEERING CATEGORY** (Man Weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1993</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optical Engineering</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Engineering</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Studies</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Fabrication</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**System Requirement Review**

Feb. 17, 1993
Joe Stein
## PROJECTED FUNDING REQUIREMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary studies in first quarter of FY 1993</td>
<td>$76,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected labor for remaining FY 1993</td>
<td>$616,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parts and material</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total projected cost for FY 1993</strong></td>
<td><strong>$802,500</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starting</td>
<td>Plan Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/1/92</td>
<td>76300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/1/93</td>
<td>54514.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/93</td>
<td>81525.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/93</td>
<td>98022.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/93</td>
<td>92624.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/93</td>
<td>74293.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/93</td>
<td>60348.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/93</td>
<td>60249.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/93</td>
<td>69194.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/93</td>
<td>34228.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start</td>
<td>12/1/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>76300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>76300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HIROIG TOTAL COST SCHEDULE FY 1993**

- **Plan Costs**
  - 12/1/92: 76300.00
  - 1/1/93: 143887.34
  - 2/1/93: 81028.90
  - 3/1/93: 113138.88
  - 4/1/93: 102019.57
  - 5/1/93: 77286.70
  - 6/1/93: 63219.81
  - 7/1/93: 58840.31
  - 8/1/93: 52257.72
  - 9/1/93: 34228.34
  - 10/1/93: 0.00
  - 11/1/93: 0.00
  - 12/1/93: 0.00

- **Actual Costs**
  - 12/1/92: 0.00
  - 1/1/93: 0.00
  - 2/1/93: 0.00
  - 3/1/93: 0.00
  - 4/1/93: 0.00
  - 5/1/93: 0.00
  - 6/1/93: 0.00
  - 7/1/93: 0.00
  - 8/1/93: 0.00
  - 9/1/93: 0.00
  - 10/1/93: 0.00
  - 11/1/93: 0.00
  - 12/1/93: 0.00

**Note:** All values are in thousands of dollars.
TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS

The Aerospace Corporation functions as an "architect-engineer" for national security programs, specializing in advanced military space systems. The Corporation's Technology Operations supports the effective and timely development and operation of national security systems through scientific research and the application of advanced technology. Vital to the success of the Corporation is the technical staff's wide-ranging expertise and its ability to stay abreast of new technological developments and program support issues associated with rapidly evolving space systems. Contributing capabilities are provided by these individual Technology Centers:

Electronics Technology Center: Microelectronics, solid-state device physics, VLSI reliability, compound semiconductors, radiation hardening, data storage technologies, infrared detector devices and testing; electro-optics, quantum electronics, solid-state lasers, optical propagation and communications; cw and pulsed chemical laser development, optical resonators, beam control, atmospheric propagation, and laser effects and countermeasures; atomic frequency standards, applied laser spectroscopy, laser chemistry, laser optoelectronics, phase conjugation and coherent imaging, solar cell physics, battery electrochemistry, battery testing and evaluation.

Mechanics and Materials Technology Center: Evaluation and characterization of new materials: metals, alloys, ceramics, polymers and their composites, and new forms of carbon; development and analysis of thin films and deposition techniques; nondestructive evaluation, component failure analysis and reliability; fracture mechanics and stress corrosion; development and evaluation of hardened components; analysis and evaluation of materials at cryogenic and elevated temperatures; launch vehicle and reentry fluid mechanics, heat transfer and flight dynamics; chemical and electric propulsion; spacecraft structural mechanics, spacecraft survivability and vulnerability assessment; contamination, thermal and structural control; high temperature thermomechanics, gas kinetics and radiation; lubrication and surface phenomena.

Space and Environment Technology Center: Magnetospheric, auroral and cosmic ray physics, wave-particle interactions, magnetospheric plasma waves; atmospheric and ionospheric physics, density and composition of the upper atmosphere, remote sensing using atmospheric radiation; solar physics, infrared astronomy, infrared signature analysis; effects of solar activity, magnetic storms and nuclear explosions on the earth's atmosphere, ionosphere and magnetosphere; effects of electromagnetic and particulate radiations on space systems; space instrumentation; propellant chemistry, chemical dynamics, environmental chemistry, trace detection; atmospheric chemical reactions, atmospheric optics, light scattering, state-specific chemical reactions and radiative signatures of missile plumes, and sensor out-of-field-of-view rejection.