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INTRODUCTION

Background

A Quality Assurance (QA) program allows the Army to evaluate and document a contractor's performance. The Quality Assurance Evaluator (QAE) conducts skilled and carefully planned inspections aimed at verifying the satisfactory completion of contractor work. The inspections evaluate the quality, quantity, and timeliness of the services provided, not the contractor's methods used in performing the work. A good QA program promotes the best possible product within the terms of the standing contract.

A well organized QA program depends on a QA Surveillance Plan (QASP), which is prepared by the Government and contains the purpose and methods of the QA program. Although the QASP is not a part of the contract, it is based on the contract Performance Work Statement, which is part of the contract. The QASP lists contractor activities and the surveillance approach, approximate number of items to be surveyed, and an Acceptable Quality Level (AQL) for each activity.

The installation Director of Public Works (DPW), the Contracting Officer (KO), or the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) often oversees the QASP. The COR/QAE needs an inspection guide to help define and clarify the inspection tasks required by the QASP, and to facilitate inspection uniformity and effectiveness. To meet this need, the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories (USACERL) developed this series of 12 inspection guides.

Objective

This guide series is intended to supplement any existing QASP and to provide QA guidance for evaluating Operations and Maintenance (O&M) work as performed by contractors on Army property. This natural gas distribution system guide contains recommended surveillance methods that can be amended by direction of the KO or QA management to fit the needs of a specific installation.

Guide Series Organization

This series includes the following guides by USACERL published in October 1993:

#1: Water Systems (Special Report [SR] FF-94/01)
#2: Wastewater Systems (SR FF-94/02)
#3: Natural Gas Distribution Systems
#4: Electrical Systems (SR FF-94/04)
#5: Heating Systems (SR FF-94/05)
#6: Ventilation, Air Conditioning, and Refrigeration Systems (SR FF-94/06)
#7: Building Services (SR FF-94/07)
#8: Grounds Maintenance (SR FF-94/08)
#9: Surfaced Areas (SR FF-94/09)
The QAE is expected to evaluate a contractor's performance by applying appropriate visual and instrumentation procedures along with necessary technical and interpretive skills. This guide covers QAE inspection of water systems, and is divided into sections that take the inspector through a step-by-step process of recommended performance indicators, inspection tasks, and surveillance methods.

Natural gas distribution systems are divided into two subsystems in this guide:

1. Natural Gas Distribution Operations
2. Natural Gas Distribution Maintenance.

General QA information, including detailed explanations of the available surveillance methods, is given in Chapter 2.

Chapter 3 provides performance indicators, inspection tasks, and recommended surveillance approaches for each subsystem.

Appendix A contains sampling inspection tables. Appendix B contains QAE Worksheets for each subsystem and a service order questionnaire that may be reproduced for field use.
2 GENERAL QA INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Organization and Planning

According to custom and standard practice, the contractor submits copies of the previous month’s O&M activities and regulatory agency reports to the COR and the QAE. The due dates of these reports control the start of inspection scheduling. If possible, the QAE’s inspection should be conducted within 3 days after receiving the reports. Effective coordination will allow more efficient inspection of services. The COR/QAE should look for specific indicators of the contractor’s performance and should evaluate that performance based on Detailed Inspection Tasks. The following chapter lists the Performance Indicators and Detailed Inspection Tasks for natural gas distribution systems.

Quality Assurance Surveillance Methods

The QAE can use the following five surveillance methods to determine contractor performance:

1. Random Sampling
2. Planned Sampling
3. 100 Percent Inspection
4. Unscheduled Inspection
5. Customer Complaints.

Random Sampling

The methods are based on statistical criteria provided in Military Standard (MIL-STD)-105E, *Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes* (10 May 1989) and are presented as recommendations. The methods used should be based on the unique needs of an individual system. Typically, all five methods are not used to evaluate an individual system.

Random sampling is recommended for situations where many work items are candidates for inspection. For instance, because it is impractical to inspect every roof on an installation with 500 buildings, only a select number of the buildings should be inspected. Likewise, in random sampling, only a portion of the total performed work is inspected. Acceptance of the work is based on the assumption that the inspected items are representative of the quality of the contractor’s work. The random sampling technique spreads the selected samples evenly throughout the evaluation period. The following are steps to be used by the QAE in random sampling.

Tables A1 and A2 in Appendix A should be used to determine the number of samples to be inspected and the number of rejects allowed as a function of the number of inspected work items for AQLs of 4 and 10 percent, and the level of surveillance. The three levels of surveillance are: normal, increased (tightly), and reduced. Initially, this guide recommends normal surveillance for random sampling. However, under the direction of the KO, the level of surveillance can be changed depending on the contractor’s performance.

As an example, assume that the contractor’s total scheduled output (i.e., population size) for a particular work item is 125 units and that the normal surveillance level with an AQL of 4 percent has been selected. According to Table A1, 20 of the 125 units of work should be inspected, and the entire output of 125 units should be rejected if 3 or more of the 20 sample units are not acceptable.
The QA Worksheets in Appendix B provide room to record the population size, the number of samples, the maximum number of rejects, and the interval for each Performance Indicator.

The work planned by the contractor for each maintenance task should be listed by date to make it easier to predict the time when the work samples will be ready for inspection.

**Planned Sampling**

Evaluation by planned sampling inspects some, but not all, of the work activities and is appropriate when the number of work items is large. Some items are evaluated before scheduled completion because they are inaccessible after the work is completed. The COR/QAE subjectively selects key work items for inspection; the sample size is determined arbitrarily.

The COR/QAE will normally use planned sampling when the contractor’s performance at selected locations or tasks is poor. With this type of evaluation, the contractor knows that work performed in these areas is more likely to be monitored. Planned sampling provides a systematic way of focusing on specific output and forming conclusions about the contractor’s performance level.

**100 Percent Inspection**

Inspection at 100 percent requires total inspection of all items in a contract requirement. It is normally used to monitor infrequent work or critical contract work when the number of work items is small and in cases where nonperformance could seriously damage Army-furnished equipment or processes. It may also be used in areas where a contractor has had prior performance difficulties.

**Unscheduled Inspection**

Unscheduled inspections can be used for areas of poor past contractor performance, noncritical areas, areas of infrequent repairs, or as a follow-up check of previous inspections. If the QAE notices such an area, an unscheduled inspection can be conducted to evaluate contractor performance.

**Customer Complaints**

The customer complaint method is based on an informed and cooperative customer population, that is generally aware of local contract requirements. Customers are expected to monitor contractor services and, when performance is poor or nonexistent, to notify the COR/QAE. If investigation reveals that the complaint is valid, the COR/QAE documents the deficiency. Since this is a reactive QA inspection approach, this method of surveillance normally supplements planned inspection methods.

**Increased Surveillance**

For areas of poor past contractor performance, the QAE should consult with the KO to intensify the surveillance method. More than one option is usually available, and selection should be based on the initial method and the amount of work performed.

1. Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance) can be replaced by:
   - Random Sampling (Increased Surveillance)
   - Planned Sampling (for a large population size)
1. Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance) can be replaced by:
   - Random Sampling (Reduced Surveillance)
   - Planned Sampling
   - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
   - Customer Complaints.

2. Planned Sampling can be replaced by:
   - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
   - Customer Complaints.

3. 100 Percent Inspection can be replaced by:
   - Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance)
   - Random Sampling (Reduced Surveillance)
   - Planned Sampling
   - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
   - Customer Complaints.

Decreased Surveillance

For work areas in which the contractor maintains a consistently satisfactory performance for 3 to 6 months, the QAE should consult with the KO to decrease the intensity of the surveillance. More than one option is usually available and selection should be based on the initial method and the amount of work performed.

1. Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance) can be replaced by:
   - Random Sampling (Reduced Surveillance)
   - Planned Sampling
   - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
   - Customer Complaints.

2. Planned Sampling can be replaced by:
   - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
   - Customer Complaints.

3. 100 Percent Inspection can be replaced by:
   - Random Sampling (Normal Surveillance)
   - Random Sampling (Reduced Surveillance)
   - Planned Sampling
   - Unscheduled Inspection (for any population size)
   - Customer Complaints.
3 NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM QA INSPECTIONS

Natural Gas Distribution Operations

Performance Indicators and Detailed Inspection Tasks

The following numeric items are performed by the contractor. The related detailed inspection tasks are used by the QAE to verify the contractor's performance.

1. The operations activities checklist is complete, legible, and timely.

Verify that the operations checklist is complete, legible, and timely. All tasks must be listed, dated, designated as completed, and initialed by the person(s) who performed or accepted the work. Check for the following:

   a. Leakage surveys
   b. Valve maintenance
   c. Relief device testing
   d. Regulator station inspection
   e. Odorization content measurement
   f. Repairs
   g. System use measurement.

2. An adequate spare parts inventory is being maintained in case of emergency repairs.

Verify that the contractor maintains an adequate inventory of natural gas distribution spare parts (i.e., piping, fittings, valves, etc.) for emergency repairs. The number and types of spare parts should be determined locally as a percent of the equipment installed in the field; all gas main sizes should be represented.

3. If required, monthly readings for all gas customers are collected, recorded, and submitted.

Verify that the contractor collected, recorded, and submitted monthly readings for all customers as required by contract. Use the listing of metered gas users and the random sampling procedures to select the gas meters to be checked. Collect the meter readings from the selected locations and compare them with those furnished by the contractor. The readings should agree, with allowance for usage between the two readings. Check contractor documentation to see that unusually high usages are identified and confirmed by the contractor as having a reasonable cause or as indicating a possible leak. Unjustifiably high customer or master meter readings must be satisfactorily explained and the condition resolved.

4. Odorization is measured.

Select random times quarterly to accompany the contractor's representative to observe measurement of gas odorization content. The contractor is responsible only for checking the content and reporting the results to the KO and the gas supplier, who is responsible for corrective action.
5. Documentation for emergency operations is current.

Check that the contractor’s documentation for emergency operations is updated annually. The documentation must include:

a. An emergency plan reflecting system changes, and

b. An outline of procedures for responding to system failures.

6. The required annual reports are submitted to regulatory agencies and the KO.

Verify that the contractor submitted all required annual reports to the U.S. Department of Transportation and, if required, to the appropriate state regulatory agencies. Copies of all reports must be submitted to the KO. These reports must be complete, acceptable, and timely.

7. “As-built” drawings are updated with changes and corrections.

Verify that the contractor is maintaining current "as-built" drawings of natural gas distribution facilities and equipment. Check to see that the drawings are updated annually with all changes and corrections. The draftperson’s initials and the date should accompany each change.

8. An adequate library of equipment manufacturers’ manuals is being maintained.

Verify that the contractor maintains an adequate library of manufacturers’ manuals for equipment and facilities. Manuals should be obtained for newly installed equipment and obsolete manuals should be discarded.

**Recommended Surveillance Approach**

- Evaluate performance indicators #1 and #2 monthly using the 100 percent inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicator #3 monthly using random sampling (normal surveillance, 10 percent AQL).
- Evaluate performance indicator #4 quarterly using random sampling (normal surveillance, 10 percent AQL).
- Evaluate performance indicators #5 through #8 annually using the 100 percent inspection method.

**Natural Gas Distribution Maintenance**

**Performance Indicators and Detailed Inspection Tasks**

The following numeric items are performed by the contractor. The related detailed inspection tasks are used by the QAE to verify the contractor’s performance.

1. Maintenance documentation is complete, legible, and timely.

Verify that the contractor’s maintenance documentation is complete, legible, and timely. The documentation should include, as a minimum:

a. The annual schedule for leakage surveys.
b. A map showing areas surveyed for leaks and the methods used.

c. Documentation of annual maintenance of key distribution valves, testing of relief devices, and inspection and maintenance of regulator stations.

d. A list of repairs.

2. The Preventive Maintenance Inspection (PMI) reports are complete, legible, and timely.

   Check to see that the contractor's PMI report is complete, legible, and timely. Verify that all facilities scheduled for a PMI are recorded on the contractor's PMI report.

3. The Preventive Maintenance (PM) program is performed, and the PM report is complete, timely, and shows no deficiencies.

   Verify that the contractor performed PM and that the PM report is complete, timely, and shows no deficiencies. Check to see that all facilities scheduled for PM have been recorded on the contractor's PM report.

To perform the inspections, use the contractor's PM records to determine the facilities to be sampled, including all areas specified by the KO. Schedule the inspections so that the contractor may be present. Use Army Technical Manual (ATM) 5-654, *Maintenance and Operations of Gas Systems* (3 November 1970) and the Department of Transportation's (DOT's) *Guidance Manual for Operators of Small Gas Systems* (February 1991) to inspect the distribution system. Document discrepancies between the QAE inspection and the contractor's PM report. If discrepancies are found, verify that the contractor notes in the next PMI report any repairs made.

4. Random leakage checks verify the contractor's reports.

   Make random gas leakage checks to verify the contractor's reports. Verify that customer reports of suspected gas leaks are investigated by the contractor and that the suspected leaks are repaired or found invalid.

   Independently conduct a random inspection of highly critical areas within the gas distribution system. QA instrumentation is recommended to check for gas leaks. In addition, become familiar with the visible effects that gas leaks have on vegetation. In walking or driving through the area being inspected, a trained observer can detect any change in the appearance of the plant life that could be caused by a gas leak in the immediate vicinity. Prime inspection areas are schools, hospitals, and other areas where gas leaks would pose significant health and safety risks. ATM 5-654 and DOT's *Guidance Manual for Operators of Small Gas Systems* include material on this subject.

5. Contracted Service Order (SO) and Individual Job Order (IJO) work is done in a timely, effective, and professional manner.

   Verify that the contracted SO and IJO work is done in a timely, effective, and professional manner. Repairs should restore service to affected areas within a reasonable time and should be done in accordance with ATM 5-654.
Check to see that the overall quality and appearance of the repair, including materials, is comparable to the facility's original construction quality and appearance. Verify that the repair site is clear of construction debris and that excavated areas are graded to match the surrounding area. Conduct an unscheduled return visit to the repair site to see that excavated areas that have settled are reshaped and repaired to conform with the surrounding area.

In addition to random sampling, use the Service Order Questionnaire to obtain supplemental feedback from customers.

6. Cathodic protection for storage tanks and underground piping is adequate.

Check all storage tank and underground piping cathodic protection equipment to ensure that adequate protection against corrosion still exists.

7. All natural gas distribution equipment, pipe networks, and pertinent surfaces are free of corrosion and have adequate protective coatings.

Check to see that all natural gas distribution equipment, pipe networks, and pertinent surfaces are free of corrosion and have adequate protective coatings. QA instrumentation is recommended to check for corrosion/coating condition.

8. The annual inspection and maintenance of natural gas facilities is adequate.

Verify that the annual inspection and maintenance of natural gas facilities is adequate. Using the contractor's report of work completed, accompany the contractor to randomly selected locations where key distribution valves, relief valves, or regulator stations have received annual inspection and maintenance. Verify that the devices operate properly and are free from gas leaks. QA instrumentation is recommended to check for gas leaks. Check to see that the contractor's O&M documentation describes the inspection, maintenance, and recommendations for upgrading, repairing, or replacing these devices, if appropriate. Verify that devices show evidence of proper care.

**Recommended Surveillance Approach**

- Evaluate performance indicators #1, #2, and #3 monthly using the 100 percent inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicator #4 monthly using random sampling (normal surveillance, 10 percent AQL).
- For performance indicator #5, evaluate SOs monthly using random sampling (normal surveillance, 5 percent AQL) and questionnaire feedback, and evaluate UOs monthly using the 100 percent inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicators #6 and #7 annually using the 100 percent inspection method.
- Evaluate performance indicator #8 annually using random sampling (normal surveillance, 10 percent AQL).
ACRONYMS

AQL  Acceptable Quality Level
ATM  Army Technical Manual
COR  Contracting Officer's Representative
DEH  Director of Engineering and Housing
KO   Contracting Officer
MIL-STD Military Standard
O&M  Operations and Maintenance
QA   Quality Assurance
QAE  Quality Assurance Evaluator
QASP QA Surveillance Plan
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APPENDIX A: Inspection Sampling Tables

Table A1

Sample Sizes and Reject Levels (4% AQL)
(As developed from Tables I & II in MIL STD 105E)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Size</th>
<th>Normal Surveillance</th>
<th>Increased Surveillance</th>
<th>Reduced Surveillance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>Class III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 to 90</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>25%  1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 to 150</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>13    2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151 to 280</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>32    4</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>281 to 500</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>50    6</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 to 1200</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>80    8</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1201 to 3200</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>125   11</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Reject Level is the number of failed inspections requiring rejection of the Lot (population). An asterisk (*) indicates that the sample level is outside the range of a 4% AQL for the selected class.

Table A2

Sample Sizes and Reject Levels (10% AQL)
(As developed from Tables I & II in MIL STD 105E)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Size</th>
<th>Normal Surveillance</th>
<th>Increased Surveillance</th>
<th>Reduced Surveillance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>Class III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 to 90</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>33%  1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 25</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5     2</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 50</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>8     3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 90</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>13    4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 to 150</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20    6</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151 to 280</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>32    8</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>281 to 500</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>50    11</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 to 1200</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>80    15</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1201 to 3200</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>125   22</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Reject Level is the number of failed inspections that require rejection of the Lot (population). An asterisk (*) indicates that the sample level is outside the range of a 10% AQL for the selected class.
| Rad | Nmb. | 26 | 16 | 93   | 55 | 31 | 132 | 55 | 21 | 78 | 75 | 66 | 68 | 44 | 94 | 36 | 28 | 93 | 55 |
|-----|------|----|----|-------|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|    |
| 1   | 81   | 45 | 92 | 72   | 25 | 49 | 19 | 29 | 49 | 29 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 31 | 11 | 16 |   |    |    |    |
| 2   | 36   | 84 | 45 | 92   | 72 | 49 | 19 | 29 | 49 | 29 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 31 | 11 | 16 |   |    |    |    |
| 3   | 45   | 92 | 72 | 25   | 49 | 19 | 29 | 49 | 29 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 31 | 11 | 16 |   |    |    |    |    |
| 4   | 42   | 64 | 84 | 45   | 92 | 72 | 49 | 19 | 29 | 49 | 29 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 31 | 11 | 16 |   |    |    |
| 5   | 27   | 48 | 88 | 35   | 33 | 26 | 39 | 32 | 71 | 78 | 21 | 83 | 35 | 96 | 15 | 78 |   |    |    |    |
| 6   | 84   | 45 | 92 | 72   | 25 | 49 | 19 | 29 | 49 | 29 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 31 | 11 | 16 |   |    |    |    |
| 7   | 41   | 37 | 46 | 61   | 46 | 92 | 72 | 25 | 49 | 19 | 29 | 49 | 29 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 31 | 11 | 16 |   |
| 8   | 26   | 48 | 88 | 35   | 33 | 26 | 39 | 32 | 71 | 78 | 21 | 83 | 35 | 96 | 15 | 78 |   |    |    |    |
| 9   | 19   | 86 | 96 | 37   | 54 | 36 | 21 | 32 | 81 | 75 | 66 | 68 | 44 | 94 | 36 | 28 | 93 | 55 |    |
| 10  | 36   | 38 | 86 | 96   | 37 | 54 | 36 | 21 | 32 | 81 | 75 | 66 | 68 | 44 | 94 | 36 | 28 | 93 | 55 |
| 11  | 15   | 25 | 48 | 88   | 35 | 33 | 26 | 39 | 32 | 71 | 78 | 21 | 83 | 35 | 96 | 15 | 78 |   |    |    |
| 12  | 26   | 48 | 88 | 35   | 33 | 26 | 39 | 32 | 71 | 78 | 21 | 83 | 35 | 96 | 15 | 78 |   |    |    |    |
| 13  | 19   | 86 | 96 | 37   | 54 | 36 | 21 | 32 | 81 | 75 | 66 | 68 | 44 | 94 | 36 | 28 | 93 | 55 |    |
| 14  | 36   | 38 | 86 | 96   | 37 | 54 | 36 | 21 | 32 | 81 | 75 | 66 | 68 | 44 | 94 | 36 | 28 | 93 | 55 |

**Table A3**

**Random Numbers**
APPENDIX B: QAE Inspection Worksheets

Natural Gas Distribution Operations Worksheet Page 1 of 5

Performance Indicator #1: The operations activities checklist is complete, legible, and timely.

a. All items are listed, dated, and initialed as complete.
   ✴ S ✗ U ✗ N

b. The checklist is timely.
   ✴ S ✗ U ✗ N

c. The checklist includes information about:
   (1) Leakage surveys
   (2) Valve maintenance
   (3) Relief device testing
   (4) Regulator station inspection
   (5) Odorization content measurement
   (6) Repairs
   (7) System use measurement.
   ✴ S ✗ U ✗ N

Remarks:

Performance Indicator #2: An adequate spare parts inventory is being maintained in case of emergency repairs.

✴ S ✗ U ✗ N

Remarks:

*S = Satisfactory, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not applicable. Circle one rating for each item.
Performance Indicator #3: If required, monthly readings for all gas customers are collected, recorded, and submitted.

a. QAE readings agree with contractor readings.

b. Unusually high usages are identified, investigated, and resolved.

Using the population size______, and referring to normal surveillance in Tables A1 and A2 gives______number of samples and______number of allowable rejects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:
Performance Indicator #4: Odorization is measured.

Using the population size____, and referring to normal surveillance in Tables A1 and A2 gives____number of samples and____number of allowable rejects.

LOCATION

S  U  N
S  U  N
S  U  N
S  U  N
S  U  N
S  U  N
S  U  N
S  U  N

Remarks:

Performance Indicator #5: Documentation for emergency operations is current.

a. The emergency plan is up to date.

   S  U  N

b. The outline of procedures for responding to system failures is up to date.

   S  U  N

Remarks:
Performance Indicator #6: The required annual reports are submitted to regulatory agencies and the KO.
   a. The reports are complete and legible.
      S    U    N
   b. All appropriate regulatory agencies and the KO received copies of reports when due.
      S    U    N

Remarks:

Performance Indicator #7: "As-built" drawings are updated with changes and corrections.
   a. The draftperson's initials appear with each change.
      S    U    N
   b. The date of change appears with each correction.
      S    U    N

Remarks:

Performance Indicator #8: An adequate library of equipment manufacturers' manuals is being maintained.
   a. Manuals for new equipment have been obtained.
      S    U    N
   b. Obsolete manuals have been properly discarded.
      S    U    N

Remarks:
Quality Assurance Evaluator

Date
Performance Indicator #1: The maintenance documentation is complete, legible, and timely.

a. The documentation includes the annual schedule for leakage surveys.
   S U N

b. The documentation includes a map showing areas surveyed for leaks and the methods used.
   S U N

c. The documentation includes annual maintenance and inspection of major system components.
   S U N

d. The documentation includes repairs.
   S U N

e. The documentation is legible and timely.
   S U N

Remarks:

Performance Indicator #2: The PMI reports are complete, legible, and timely.

a. The PMI report is complete and timely.
   S U N

b. Facilities scheduled for PMIs are recorded as such.
   S U N

Remarks:
Performance Indicator #3: The PM program is performed, and the PM report is complete, timely, and shows no deficiencies.

a. PM was adequately performed by the contractor.

b. The PM report is complete and timely.

LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:
Performance Indicator #4: Random leakage checks verify the contractor's reports.

a. QA instrumentation detected no gas leaks in prime inspection areas.
b. Vegetation shows no signs of potential gas leaks.

Using the population size _______, and referring to normal surveillance in Tables A1 and A2 gives____number of samples and____number of allowable rejects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:
**Performance Indicator #5:** Contracted SO and I/O work is done in a timely, effective, and professional manner.

a. The overall quality and appearance of the repair is comparable to that of the facility's original construction.

b. Work was performed with minimal interruptions.

c. The construction area is clear of debris.

d. Excavated areas are graded to match the surrounding area.

Using the population size ______, and referring to normal surveillance in Tables A1 and A2 gives ______ number of samples and ______ number of allowable rejects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>SO/I/O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:
Performance Indicator #6: Cathodic protection for storage tanks and underground piping is adequate.

LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Indicator #7: All natural gas distribution equipment, pipe networks, and pertinent surfaces are free of corrosion and have adequate protective coatings.

LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Indicator #8: The annual inspection and maintenance of natural gas facilities is adequate.
   a. All devices operate properly, are free of gas leaks, and show signs of proper care.
   b. Documentation describes the inspections, maintenance, and recommendations for upgrading, repair, or replacement of devices.

   Using the population size______, and referring to normal surveillance in Tables A1 and A2 gives_____number of samples and_____number of allowable rejects.

   LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Remarks:
   

   Quality Assurance Evaluator
   
   Date

28
This survey should be completed with information from the person having the most contact with maintenance personnel. Please circle the letter of the answer selected or answer in the blanks, as appropriate.

1. Response (in days) to repair requested work:
   a) Excellent response (normal conditions - 7 days) (emergency conditions - 1 day)
   b) Adequate response (within 2 weeks)
   c) Too long (Approximately how long? ___ days.)

2. Quality of work: (Are you satisfied that quality work was performed?)
   Yes ___ No ___ Defect was not fixed ___
   Explain:
   
   

3. Cleanup of area after repair: (Is area left as clean as it was before work personnel arrived?)
   Yes ___ No ___
   Comments: _______________________________ 
   _______________________________ 

4. Efforts of work personnel: (Are you satisfied that the work was performed in a professional, effective manner?)
   Comments: _______________________________ 
   _______________________________ 

5. Attitude of work personnel: (Are they helpful, friendly, courteous, cheerful?)
   Comments: _______________________________ 
   _______________________________ 

6. Could this type of repair be accomplished as "self help" if material and instructions were supplied?
   Yes ___ No ___ Maybe ___
7. Remarks:

Thank you for your cooperation.

________________________________________

Quality Assurance Evaluator

________________________________________

Date Questionnaire Completed
USACERL DISTRIBUTION

Chief of Engineers
ATTN: CEHEC-IM-LH (2)
ATTN: CEHEC-IM-LP (2)
ATTN: CERD-L

CECPW 22060
ATTN: CECPW-FM-S
ATTN: CECPW-FM
ATTN: CECPW-FB
ATTN: CECPW-FU
ATTN: CECPW-F-DPN

US Army Engr District
ATTN: Library (40)

US Army Engr Division
ATTN: Library (13)

INSCOM
ATTN: IALOG-I 22060
ATTN: IAV-DEH 22186

HQ XVIII Airborne Corps 28307
ATTN: AFZA-DEH-EE

US Army Materiel Command (AMC)
Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
ATTN: AMCEM-F
Installations:
ATTN: DEH (19)
Rocky Mountain Arsenal 8002
ATTN: AMCPM-RM
Pine Bluff Arsenal 71602
ATTN: SMCPB-EH

FORSCOM
Forts Gillem & McPherson 30330
ATTN: FCEN
Installations:
ATTN: DEH (23)

National Guard Bureau 20310
ATTN: Installations Div

Fort Belvoir 22060
ATTN: CECC-R 22060

TRADOC
Fort Monroe 23651
ATTN: ATBO-G
Installations:
ATTN: DEH (20)

USARPAC 96858
ATTN: DEH
ATTN: APEN-A

HQ USEUCOM 09128
ATTN: ECJ4-LIE

AMMRC 02172
ATTN: DRXMR-AF
ATTN: DRXMR-WE

CEWES 39180
ATTN: Library

CECRL 03755
ATTN: Library

USA AMCOM
ATTN: Facilities Engr 21719
ATTN: AMSMC-IR 61299
ATTN: Facilities Engr (3) 85613

USAARMC 40121
ATTN: ATZIC-EHA

Military Traffic Mgmt Command
ATTN: MTEA-GB-EHP 07002
ATTN: MT-LOF 20315
ATTN: MTE-SU-PE 28461
ATTN: MTW-IE 94626

Military Dist of WASH
Fort McNair
ATTN: ANEN 20319

Norton AFB 92409
ATTN: Library

Engr Societies Library
ATTN: Acquisitions 10017

Defense Nuclear Agency
ATTN: NADS 20305

Defense Logistics Agency
ATTN: DLA-WI 22304

US Military Academy 10996
ATTN: MABN-A
ATTN: Facilities Engineer
ATTN: Geography & Envr Engng