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SUMMARY

Secondary bending often occurs in structural joints, and to assess its influence on the fatigue life enhancement technique of hole cold-expansion, an experimental program has been undertaken. The results indicate that the effect of cold working is substantially reduced in specimens with secondary bending. For any marked extension in fatigue life, additional treatments, for example, the combination of cold expansion and interference fit, are required.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Considerable work has been done at the Aeronautical Research Laboratory (ARL) and elsewhere on improving the fatigue performance of bolted joints by cold working\(^1\) the bolt holes and/or using interference-fit bolts. Fatigue life enhancement greater than 100\% is usually reported [1-4].

In most programs concerned with establishing the beneficial effects of cold working, the test specimens used are designed to eliminate secondary bending\(^2\), yet secondary bending is common in aircraft structures. For example, Schütz and Lowak [5] have reported that 86\% of construction details measured in aircraft were found to exhibit secondary bending. An AGARD collaborative program [6] has shown that secondary bending in a bolted joint may eliminate the positive effect on fatigue life of hole cold-working. These findings are disconcerting as cold working is often specified as a remedy for fatigue sensitive portions of aircraft structure. The McDonnell Douglas F/A-18 Hornet in service with the Royal Australian Air Force (RAAF) makes extensive use of hole cold-working during manufacture.

A test program was undertaken at ARL to gauge the effect of secondary bending on the fatigue life of joints with and without hole cold-working and interference-fit bolts. One level of interference fit and one level of cold expansion were used in this test program. An alloy of high strength/weight used in the F/A-18 was chosen for the specimens, and a loading sequence derived from RAAF experience with the Hornet was applied.

2. MATERIAL AND SPECIMENS

The main specimen type of this investigation is a bolted joint designed to produce secondary bending when axially loaded. The secondary-bending specimen (Figure 1) is a slightly modified version of the UK Q-joint [7]. It is comprised of three main members (two 5 mm thick components and one 3 mm thick component) and two spacers. The modification with respect to the UK Q-joint is an extension of the gripping portion of the specimen from 60 mm to 75 mm to allow easier gripping in ARL test machines. It is believed, that provided the specimen is fully inserted in the grips, no changes occur to the secondary-bending characteristics. The main specimen components are machined from 0.25 inch thick 7075-T651 aluminium alloy plate. The chemical composition of the alloy is given in Table 1 and the mechanical properties in Table 2.

The UK Q-joint components were treated with Alocromed, and sealant was used in their assembly. Approximately half (22 of 53) of the ARL specimens were surface treated according to that specified for the F/A-18 (to improve corrosion resistance and paint adhesion). The surface treatment consisted of a chemical coating which was applied as per [10], and PR 1422-B2 sealant which was used between components during assembly. The remainder of the specimens were assembled without surface treatments and they indicated a larger amount and a higher concentration of fretting\(^3\) under fatigue loading compared to those

---

\(^{1}\)The method used at ARL is the Boeing developed split-sleeve hole cold-expansion system now marketed by Fatigue Technology Inc. (FTI) of Seattle, U.S.A.

\(^{2}\)Secondary bending is the superposition of bending stresses on axial stresses as a result of eccentricities of lines of force acting on a cross-sectional transition or other discontinuity in construction. It is restricted to a small area and is calculable only with difficulty (a paraphrase of the definition given in [5]).

\(^{3}\)Fretting arises when tightly fitting parts experience microscopic relative motions. The process involves the formation of pits on the faying surfaces and the creation of powdered products which are generally oxides of the contacting metals.
which were surface treated. The specimens with sealant (and chemical coating) were more representative of an F/A-18 joint.

Four test specimens of a simpler joint with no secondary bending were used to provide information on the fatigue life extension produced by hole cold-working under the loading sequence used. These symmetrical non-bending specimens were assembled from three of the 5 mm components (plus the 5 mm spacer) used in the secondary-bending specimens. Two of the components straddled the spacer and, at the other end, the third 5 mm component. Hence, compared to the secondary-bending specimens of Figure 1, the non-bending specimens did not have a 2 mm spacer, and the 3 mm component was replaced by another 5 mm component.

Specimens were assembled to give the following conditions and were tested at two main peak stress levels:

(i) Secondary-bending specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCE, NCES</td>
<td>Non Cold-Expanded, Non Cold-Expanded with Sealant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neat-fit bolts in plain holes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE, CES</td>
<td>Cold Expanded, Cold Expanded with Sealant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neat-fit bolts in cold-worked holes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE+I, CES+I</td>
<td>Cold Expanded + Interference Fit,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold Expanded + Interference Fit with Sealant,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>interference-fit bolts in cold-worked holes, and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) Non-secondary-bending specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBNCE, NBCE</td>
<td>Non-Bending Non Cold-Expanded, Non-Bending Cold Expanded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neat-fit bolts in plain holes, neat-fit bolts in cold-worked holes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each specimen component (excluding spacers) was prepared with 6.0 mm diameter reamed pilot bolt holes, from 5.7 mm diameter drilled holes. The final target bolt hole size, whether cold worked or non cold-worked, was 6.31 mm. Non cold-worked holes were reamed to the final 6.31 mm diameter. Cold-worked holes were cold expanded 0.24 mm (4.0%) by the Boeing split-sleeve system (described in detail in [11]) with the split positioned in line with the loading axis and away from the test section. They were then reamed to 6.31 mm diameter. A precision bore-gauge was used to measure hole diameter to the nearest 0.001 mm. The interference-fit bolts, machined to a diameter of 6.38 mm, were inserted after cold expansion and reaming of the holes, using a fatigue test machine and barium chromate lubricant. An interference fit of 0.07 mm (1.1%) between the finished hole and fastener diameter ensued. The specimen supplementary parts list is shown in Table 3. A more detailed assembly description, and the fastener fits for each group of secondary-bending specimens, are included as Appendix A and Appendix B, respectively.

3. TESTING DETAILS

The fatigue tests of the secondary-bending specimens were carried out under an F/A-18 manoeuvre loading program described in [12]. Each repeated loading block (program) was equivalent to 255.4 flight hours and consisted of 18648 turning points in 269 discrete loading levels, with a minimum stress of \(-0.2\sigma_{\text{max}}\).
The testing was conducted in an Instron 250 kN test machine with PC interface, at an average frequency of 1.6 Hz. The majority of the secondary-bending specimen tests were made at net-area stress levels of 270 MPa or 350 MPa resulting in a practical range of lives. The net area used in stress calculations was the minimum cross-sectional area of the bottom component of Figure 1 (through the bottom set of holes) and wL 191.9 mm². A few tests were run at net-area stress levels of 300 MPa or 320 MPa. The four non-bending specimens were tested at a net-area stress level of 350 MPa only.

SPATE (Stress Pattern Analysis by measurement of Thermal Emission) measurements were also performed on a secondary-bending specimen (number SQ75). This thermoelastic method was used to measure the whole-field bulk stress pattern on the surface of the specimen. Hence, the stress fields of the specimen, including its secondary bending trends, were obtained experimentally. These measurements show the influence of secondary bending on the stresses and are compared to results from a two-dimensional finite element study of the bolted joints in a separate report [13].

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All fatigue test results together with details of the locations of failure origins and fatigue fracture characteristics for each specimen are given in Table 4. Figure 2 shows the effect of different treatments on the fatigue lives of the specimens, while Figure 3 is a graphical presentation of all the fatigue lives. Photographs of representative fracture surfaces are illustrated in Figure 4, and Figure 5 explains the designation of the regions (A, B, C, D, E, F, G) of the origins of the primary fatigue cracks. The displacement profile of the loaded secondary-bending specimen is shown in Figure 6. Figures 7 and 8 are photographs of the disassembled CE specimen Q25 after testing, and the fractured main component (through holes A and B) of the specimen, respectively.

4.1 Fatigue Lives

A two-way analysis of variance of the fatigue data from the secondary-bending specimens (Table 4) indicated that cold expansion provides a significant increase in fatigue life compared with the reaming-only process of the holes of the non cold-expanded specimens. This was the case for corresponding specimen groups with and without sealant (refer to Figures 2a and 2b). However, when comparisons are made at individual stress levels, the increase in life due to cold expansion is significant only at the 270 MPa level. The average increase in life experienced by these secondary-bending specimens ranged from 5% for those without sealant at a net-area stress level of 350 MPa, to 102% for those with sealant at a net-area stress level of 270 MPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress Level (MPa)</th>
<th>No Sealant</th>
<th>Sealant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of cold expansion on fatigue-life improvement is substantially reduced in specimens with secondary bending compared to those without [1-4], where life improvements of 125% to 302% were reported.
There was no significant interaction between stress level and the application or not of sealant for all specimens i.e., the influence of sealant on fatigue life was not stress-level dependent.

A comparison of the data for the NCE and NCES specimens (Figure 2c) and the CE and CES specimens (Figure 2d) shows that for both cases there is no significant difference in fatigue life resulting from the addition of a surface treatment (chemical coating and sealant) as compared to plain aluminium. It was believed that the sealant would reduce the amount of fretting and hence improve the fatigue life, and in practice the amount of fretting was reduced. However, the resultant load transfer through friction would also be reduced which, in turn, would detrimentally affect the fatigue life of the specimens with sealant.

A statistical analysis of NCE and CE+I, and CE and CE+I specimens showed that there was no significant difference between the fatigue lives of the different treatments. This was due to the low number of CE+I samples. On average the CE+I specimens had an increased fatigue life of 55% over the NCE specimens, and 47% over the CE group, at the net-area stress level of 350 MPa.

Figure 3 clearly shows that the CES+I specimens survived the longest. Statistically, for the groups NCES and CES+I, and CES and CES+I, there was an overall significant difference between the fatigue lives of the different treatments (Figures 2e and 2f, respectively). However, when comparisons are made at individual stress levels, the increase in life due to CES+I over NCES is significant only at the 270 MPa stress level. The increase in life due to CES+I over CES is significant at both the 270 MPa and the 350 MPa stress levels. The average increase in life experienced by these CES+I secondary-bending specimens ranged from 83% over those with cold expansion at a net-area stress level of 350 MPa, to 420% over those without cold expansion at a net-area stress level of 270 MPa, as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress Level (MPa)</th>
<th>Compared to NCES</th>
<th>Compared to CES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the net-area stress level of 350 MPa, the CES+I group was the only group to improve on the fatigue life of the NBCE specimens (by an average of 28%).

The percentage improvement in average life of the secondary-bending specimens CE compared to NCE, CES compared to NCES, CES+I compared to NCES, and CES+I compared to CES, increased as life increased i.e., the lower the stress level, the longer the life, and the greater the effect of treatment on life. However, the effect of cold expansion as compared to reamed-only holes on the fatigue life of the non-bending specimens, was much less than anticipated; 35%. This abnormality may be explained by: (i) only two specimens of each type were tested, and (ii) the specimens experienced fairly short lives (at a net-area stress level of 350 MPa).

The results of this fatigue test program agree with those (that are relevant) of [6], namely high values of secondary bending (as experienced by the Q-joint) in specimens tend to nullify the effect of fatigue life enhancement fastener systems, and the highest fatigue lives are obtained with a combination of hole cold-expansion and interference-fit fasteners.
4.2 Failure Types

There were three main origins of failure:

- **Type 1** from inside the bore of the hole,
- **Type 2** on the surface close to the holes, and
- **Type 3** on the surface well away from the holes.

Combinations of these types were common, especially the mixture of Type 1 and Type 2.

Failure Type 1 emanates from the inside of the bolt hole's diameter (Figure 4a) at multiple sites. The fatigue cracks appear to be initiated by the fretting between the bolt and the specimen, or from scratches/faults in the metal surface of the bolt hole diameter. The specimens which failed by Type 1 alone were; non-bending, most of NCES (6 of 7), and one CES (1 of 7). Half of the NCES Type 1 failures were through the relatively uncommon location of holes C and D (refer to Figure 5).

Type 2 failures are typical of those from multi-layer specimens with cold-expanded holes. They are initiated by the fretting of the out-of-plane protrusions of the faying surfaces, associated with the cold-expansion process. Hence, the fatigue cracks originate from the surface close to the cold-expanded holes (Figure 4b). Eleven of the twelve CE specimens failed by Type 2 alone through holes A and B. Four of the seven CES specimens also failed this way. The other CE and CES specimens tended to be a combination of Type 1 and Type 2 failures.

Failure Type 3 originates from one of the faying surfaces quite a distance from the holes (Figure 4c). This type of fatigue crack was a main contributor to the failure of four of the five CES+1 specimens. Fretting was not an apparent cause of these surface cracks.

4.3 Specimen Fractures

4.3.1 Non cold-expanded specimens

The secondary-bending NCE specimens exhibited a mixture of the three types of fatigue fracture (Table 4). All failures were through holes A and B. There was, predominantly, a combination of failure types in both holes. Five of the thirteen specimens also had one or two very small cracks of Type 3 (denoted by * in Table 4) on their fracture surfaces. The faying surfaces had a fair amount of fretting around the holes and also at quite a distance from the holes. There was a large amount of fretting around holes C and D on the 3 mm component side and on the surface (away from the holes) on the 5 mm component side.

The failure origins of the NCE specimens were hence principally due to the fretting of metal surfaces - bolt/aluminium, and aluminium/aluminium. Figure 6 is the displacement diagram of one of the theoretical models adapted from [13] (gripping sections are omitted). It shows that the larger amount of fretting around holes C and D on the 3 mm component side is possibly due to the way the specimen deforms under load. The specimen is experiencing an increased tensile stress in that location. At the A/B location, the main component of the specimen seems to be undergoing a point of inflection. However, the ultimate failure of the NCE specimens occurred at holes A and B as the cross sectional area, of these specimens, is the predominant factor compared to the increased bending stress at holes C and D.
4.3.2 Cold-expanded specimens

As mentioned in Section 4.2, the CE specimens all experienced Type 2 failures through holes A and B (except for Q14 which had a combination Type 1 and Type 2 failure of hole A and a Type 2 failure of hole B). Fretting was very concentrated on the surfaces around all the holes, with a greater intensity about the C and D holes on the side of the 3 mm component (as with the NCE specimens). The cold-expansion process therefore localises the origin of failure to the surface close to the holes. The out-of-plane protrusions created by the Boeing split-sleeve cold-expansion process become preferred sites of fretting, and ultimately failure.

4.3.3 Cold-expanded specimens with interference-fit bolts

The two CE+I specimens failed through holes A and B; one by Type 2, and the other from a combination of Type 1 and Type 2 fatigue cracks. The fretting locations were coincident with those of the CE specimens. Thus, the general mode of failure was similar to that in CE specimens but with the addition, in one case, of a Type 1 failure.

4.3.4 Non cold-expanded specimens with sealant

Half of the Type 1 failures of the NCES specimens occurred at A and B holes and the other half at holes C and D. Specimen SQ57 failed by a Type 1 fracture in hole A and a Type 2 crack in hole B (Figure 4d). There was less fretting on the component surfaces, but more in the vicinity of the holes, compared to the NCE specimens. The fractures of the three specimens that failed through holes C and D were not symmetrical through the thickness. The initiation of the fatigue cracks tended towards the 3 mm component.

The sealant tended to reduce load transfer through friction. This was indicated by a reduced amount of fretting on the metal surfaces compared to the NCE specimens, and resulted in an increase in the movement of the bolts (hence, Type 1 failures). As previously mentioned, the deformed shape of the loaded secondary-bending specimens (increased tensile stresses in holes C and D) possibly influences the location of fretting, hence failure. The positions of the Type 1 failures tend to suggest that the stresses at holes A and B, primarily due to minimum cross-sectional area and fretting; and those at C and D, due to increased bending stresses and fretting, are similar in magnitude.

4.3.5 Cold-expanded specimens with sealant

Of the seven CES specimens, four had Type 2 failures, and two had a combination of Type 1 and Type 2 failures, through holes A and B. One CES specimen (SQ 55) failed through holes C and D with a Type 1 fracture. The CES specimens seemed to have less fretting on the contacting surfaces than the NCES group. As with the CE specimens, the fretting of the CES specimens was localised to around the holes, with a greater intensity about the C and D holes on the side of the 3 mm component. Thus, as mentioned above, cold expansion tends to concentrate the failure origin to the surface close to the holes. However, as indicated by the NCES group, the sealant appears to affect load transfer, resulting in some failures other than the expected Type 2 at holes A and B, in the CES group of specimens.

4.3.6 Cold-expanded specimens with interference-fit bolts and sealant

All the CES+I specimens fractured by a mixture of failure types. The five specimens failed through hole A, or A and B holes, by small cracks of Type 2, or a combination of Type 1 and
Type 2. Four of the five also had large Type 3 failures in regions E, F or G (refer to Table 4 and Figures 4c and 5). Specimen SQ78 had a very small Type 3 crack on its fracture surface. The specimens exhibited fretting characteristics like those of the CES group. However, the CES+I specimens also had some fretting around the edges of the end of the 3 mm component and correspondingly on the adjacent 5 mm component. Fretting was not present in the regions of the large Type 3 cracks even though they originated from the faying surface of the main component. The employment of interference-fit bolts compared to neat-fit bolts tends to relocate the primary fatigue origin away from the holes. The C and D Type 1 failures of the CES group are eliminated by the use of interference-fit bolts. The resultant high friction forces generated by the 1.1% interference fit substantially reduce fretting at the bolt/aluminium interface. Figure 6 shows that the E/F/G region in the main component experiences an increased tensile stress (on the side adjacent to the other 5 mm component) compared to region A/B. It appears that these factors may be the cause of the CES+I Type 3 origin of failure.

4.3.7 Non-bending non cold-expanded, and non-bending cold-expanded specimens

The non-bending (NB) specimens, both NCE and CE, experienced Type 1 failure through holes A and B. Fretting occurred between the bolts and the hole inner surface resulting in failure of the specimen emanating from the bore of the hole, and discolouration of the fracture surfaces due to the fretting products. The fatigue failure regions were symmetrical through the thickness of the specimen, indicating the absence of bending stresses.

5. CONCLUSIONS

1. This test program has indicated that secondary bending in bolted joints reduces the effectiveness normally associated with cold expansion in extending fatigue life. For any marked extension in fatigue life of these joints, additional treatments/processes are required (eg. interference-fit bolts, in addition to cold expansion). Overall, cold expansion did significantly increase the fatigue life of the specimens as compared to non cold-expanded specimens, but not to the extent normally obtained with non-bending specimens.

2. The additional stresses introduced by secondary bending tended to move the failure origin away from the minimum cross-sectional area, and bore of the bolt hole. The failure location was also influenced by the presence of sealant and the degree of interference of the bolts.

3. The application of sealant to the chemically coated specimens, as compared to those without surface treatment and sealant, did not significantly improve the average fatigue life of either cold-expanded or non cold-expanded specimens. Although the application of sealant reduced the amount of fretting between components of the specimens, it apparently also decreased the load transfer through friction which, in turn, detrimentally affected fatigue life.
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### TABLE 1
Chemical composition of unclad 7075 aluminium alloy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Specification Limits [8]</th>
<th>Specimen Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>5.1 - 6.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>2.1 - 2.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1.2 - 2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>0.5 max</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.18 - 0.28</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>0.4 max</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.3 max</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>0.2 max</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others: each</td>
<td>0.05 max</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>0.15 max</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>Remainer</td>
<td>Remainer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2
Mechanical properties of unclad 7075-T651 aluminium alloy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Typical Properties [8, 9]</th>
<th>Experimental Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.1% Proof Stress (MPa):</strong></td>
<td>527.3</td>
<td>526.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.2% Proof Stress (MPa):</strong></td>
<td>539.0</td>
<td>530.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa):</strong></td>
<td>572.3</td>
<td>573.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elongation (%):</strong></td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gauge length 50 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.1% Proof Stress/UTS:</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3
Supplementary parts list for Q-joint specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Part Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold-expansion sleeves</td>
<td>CBS-6-0-N-23F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolts: Neat-fit</td>
<td>NAS 464P4-6 and -11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>: Interference-fit</td>
<td>NAS 3004-7 and -11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts</td>
<td>MS21044-N4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washers</td>
<td>¼&quot; flat steel CAD plated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealant</td>
<td>PR 1422-B2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dowels</td>
<td>5 mm Silver Steel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 4
Q-joint fatigue test results

(a) Secondary-bending specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole Treatment</th>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Life (flight hours)</th>
<th>Log. Mean Life (fit. hours)</th>
<th>Failure Type at Location:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Cold-Expanded</td>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>12335</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Holes</td>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>10544</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>10279</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>9595</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>8841</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>10297</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>9368</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>7117</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q21</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>6303</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q23</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5506</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q26</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4959</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q24</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>3638</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold-Expanded</td>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>21597</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Holes</td>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>20332</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>18170</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>12159</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10359</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q12</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>9350</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q18</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>8857</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q14</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>8574</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q27</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5189</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q25</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4915</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q22</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4515</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold-Expanded</td>
<td>Q41</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5504</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference-Fit Bolt Holes</td>
<td>Q40</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>6056</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold-Expanded</td>
<td>SQ74</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>14476</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Holes</td>
<td>SQ59</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>8760</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with Sealant</td>
<td>SQ51</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>6703</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ53</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5762</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ57</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>8249</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ58</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5180</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ52</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold-Expanded</td>
<td>SQ62</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>18021</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt Holes</td>
<td>SQ73</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>17193</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with Sealant</td>
<td>SQ77</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>15703</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ56</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>6764</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ61</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>6558</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ55</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5333</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ60</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4995</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
TABLE 4
Q-joint fatigue test results (cont.)

(a) Secondary-bending specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole Treatment</th>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Life (flight hours)</th>
<th>Log. Mean Life (fit. hours)</th>
<th>Failure Type at Location: A B E F G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold-Expanded Bolt Holes &amp;</td>
<td>SQ76</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>49219</td>
<td>43521</td>
<td>2 1.2 1.2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference-Fit Bolts with</td>
<td>SQ71</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>38483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealant</td>
<td>SQ70</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>16017</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ79</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>9879</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 1.2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ78</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>7835</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 1.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SQ75</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>72321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Non-bending specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole Treatment</th>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Life (flight hours)</th>
<th>Log. Mean Life (fit. hours)</th>
<th>Failure Type at Location: A B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non Cold-Expanded</td>
<td>Q30</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>8099</td>
<td>6216</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q32</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4771</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold-Expanded</td>
<td>Q31</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>8504</td>
<td>8391</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q29</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>8279</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One or two very small surface cracks were present, however, their contribution towards failure of the specimen was negligible.

† Specimen completed 640300 cycles (@ 2.6 - 54.7 MPa) during SPATE testing prior to fatigue testing, hence SQ75 fatigue result is disregarded.
FIGURE 1: ARL Q-joint secondary-bending specimen
FIGURE 2: Effect of treatment on fatigue life of Q-joints (cont.)
FIGURE 2: Effect of treatment on fatigue life of Q-joints (cont.)

(c): Non cold-expanded specimens with and without sealant

(d): Cold-expanded specimens with and without sealant
FIGURE 2: Effect of treatment on fatigue life of Q-joints

(c): Non cold-expanded vs cold expanded + interference fit, with sealant

(f): Cold expanded vs cold expanded + interference fit, with sealant
FIGURE 3: Secondary-bending specimen fatigue test results
(a): Type 1 failure of NBCE specimen Q29

(b): Type 2 failure of CE specimen Q25

FIGURE 4: Failure Types (cont.)
(c): Combination failure of CES+1 specimen SQ70 - primarily Type 3

(d): Type 1 and Type 2 failure of NCES specimen SQ57

FIGURE 4: Failure Types
FIGURE 5: Designation of the region of failure
FIGURE 6: Displacement diagram of secondary-bending model (adapted from [13])

T: Secondary tensile stress
FIGURE 7: Disassembled secondary-bending specimen Q25
FIGURE 8: Fractured main component of specimen Q25
Appendix A: Component List and Assembly Procedure

Non Cold-Expanded Holes with Neat-Fit Bolts and Sealant

1. Required components:
   - 3 main members, 5 mm spacer, 2 mm spacer,
   - 2*NAS 464P4-6 and 2*NAS 464P4-11 bolts,
   - 4*MS 21044-N4 nuts, = 20 1/4" flat steel CAD plated washers,
   - 2*7 mm and 2*13 mm 5 mm diameter silver steel dowels,
   - 4*6 mm and 2*5 mm diameter dummy bolts,
   - 4*6.30 mm diameter aligning bolts, 800 gr*4 emery paper,
   - micrometer, 6.31 mm diameter reamer, flat stand, torque wrench,
   - sealant and applicator, lubricant, methylated spirits, ethanol, acetone.

2. Pre assembly
   2a. Wash all 5 plate components with methylated spirits.
   2b. Assemble as per Figure A1 using 6 mm dummy bolts instead of neat-fit bolts, and 5 mm dummy bolts instead of dowel pins in End 1. End 2 is assembled with dowel pins.
   2c. Record which components are at End 1 and End 2.
   2d. Remove dummy-bolt C (as per Figure A1) and ream hole using a lubricant.
   2e. Record diameter of hole C.
   2f. Wash hole and aligning bolt with ethanol. Insert aligning bolt into hole C.
   2g. Use take-up washers, and tighten nut only slightly, to avoid NYLOC being touched.
   2h. Complete steps 2d to 2g with aligning bolts for holes D, A and B.

3. Remove aligning bolt C and polish a P4-11 bolt to a neat fit for hole C (~6.30 mm).
   Record bolt diameter and its corresponding position (C) - mark bolt head.

4. Repeat step 3 with corresponding neat-fit bolts for holes D, A and B.

5. Wash the bolts in ethanol.

6. Cover joining areas of test section and 5 mm spacer with (reacted) sealant.

7. Assemble specimen, inserting dowel pins in End 1 first.

8. Bolt the specimen together with number coded bolts (C, D, A, B) with orientation as per Figure A1.

9. Tighten nuts to 10 Nm, using necessary number of washers on both sides.

10. Wash off excess sealant with acetone.

11. Engrave specimen with its identification number.
Cold-Expanded Holes with Neat-Fit Bolts and Sealant

1 Components as for NCES specimens with the addition of:
   Mandrel 8-O-N, additional 'nose piece' for expanding gun,
   Teflon washer, 4*CBS-8-O-N-23F cold-expansion sleeves.

2 Pre assembly steps 2a to 2c as for NCES specimens
   2d Remove dummy bolt C (as per Figure A1) and cold-expand hole with mandrel and
      expanding gun, using teflon washer, cold-expansion sleeves, and slit orientation as per
      Figure A2. The teflon washer prevents the specimen from being scratched.
   2e Ream hole using a lubricant.

Complete as for NCES specimens, steps 2e to 11.

Cold-Expanded Holes with Interference-Fit Bolts and Sealant

1 Components as for CES specimens with the addition of:
   2*NAS 3004-7 and 2*NAS 3004-11 bolts to replace the P4-6 and P4-11 bolts.
   Testing Machine to insert the interference-fit bolts, lubricant (barium chromate),
   Plotter.

2 Pre assembly as for CES specimens

3 Disassemble specimen (except for End 2).

4 Record the diameter and position number (C, D, A, B) of the interference-fit bolts. Wash
   them in ethanol.

5 Cover adjoining areas of test section and 5 mm spacer with (reacted) sealant.

6 Assemble specimen, inserting dowel pins in End 1 first.

7 Bolt the specimen together with aligning bolts in holes D, A and B. Use take-up washers,
   and tighten nut only slightly, to avoid NYLOC being touched.

8 Insert interference-fit bolt C (with orientation as per Figure A1) with testing machine, using
   a lubricant. Use plotter to record loading.

9 Repeat step 8 for bolts D, A and B.

Complete as for NCES specimens, steps 9 to 11.
Non Cold-Expanded Holes with Neat-Fit Bolts

As for NCES specimens, omitting references to sealant. Hence, steps 6 and 10 are disregarded.

Cold-Expanded Holes with Neat-Fit Bolts

As for CES specimens, omitting references to sealant. Hence, steps 6 and 10 (from NCES) are disregarded.

Cold-Expanded Holes with Interference-Fit Bolts

As for CES+I specimens, omitting references to sealant. Hence, step 5, and step 10 (from NCES) are disregarded.
FIGURE A1: Secondary-bending specimen assembly diagram
FIGURE A2: Slit orientation for cold-expansion process
Appendix B: Fastener Fits

Fastener Fits of Secondary-Bending Specimens

Both the fastener diameters and hole diameters were measured to determine the fastener fit with results as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neat Fit</th>
<th>No Sealant</th>
<th>Sealant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hole Diameter</td>
<td>6.310 - 6.320 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bolt Diameter</td>
<td>6.285 - 6.302 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range of Fastener Fit</td>
<td>8 - 35 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Neat Fit + Cold Expansion | Hole Diameter      | 6.310 - 6.320 mm   | 6.310 - 6.320 mm   |
|                          | Bolt Diameter      | 6.290 - 6.303 mm   | 6.280 - 6.310 mm   |
|                          | Range of Fastener Fit | 7 - 30 μm        | 0 - 40 μm          |

| Interference Fit + Cold Expansion | Hole Diameter      | 6.310 - 6.320 mm   | 6.308 - 6.315 mm   |
|                                  | Bolt Diameter      | 6.380 mm           | 6.380 - 6.383 mm   |
|                                  | Range of Fastener Fit | -60 - -70 μm   | -65 - -75 μm       |
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