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This report presents a new technique for measuring elastic wave attenuation in the frequency range of 10-150 kHz. The technique consists of measuring low-frequency waveforms using two cylindrical bars of the same material, but different lengths. The attenuation is obtained in two steps. First, the waveform, measured within the shorter bar, is theoretically propagated to the length of the longer bar. The distortion of the waveform due to the dispersion effect of the cylindrical waveguide is corrected for. Second, is the inversion for the attenuation, or Q of the rock, is obtained by minimizing the difference between the propagated waveform and the actual waveform measured within the longer bar. Because the waveform inversion is performed in the time domain, the waveforms can be appropriately truncated to avoid multiple reflections due to the finite size of the (shorter) sample, allowing attenuation to be measured at long wavelengths or low frequencies. The frequency range in which this technique operates fills the gap between the resonant bar measurement (~100-1000 kHz). Attenuation values in a PVC (a highly attenuative) material and in Sierra White granite were measured in the frequency range of 40-140 kHz. The attenuation for the two materials are found to be consistent with other measuring techniques.
CONTENTS

Introduction 1

Propagation of the Fundamental Wave Mode in a Cylindrical Bar 3

Estimating Attenuation Through Waveform Inversion 4

Application to Laboratory Measurements 8

Experimental Procedure 8

Results 9

Conclusions 10

References 12
INTRODUCTION

Seismic wave attenuation in rocks is a very important parameter not only because it affects the seismic wave propagation through the earth, but also because it is a sensitive indicator of rock properties under various conditions. The main purpose of measuring attenuation in the laboratory is to use the data to infer rock properties at in-situ scales and seismic frequencies. Unfortunately, accurate measurements of intrinsic attenuation are difficult to obtain in both the laboratory and field, because other effects, like geometrical spreading, boundary reflections, also affect waveform amplitudes. In the laboratory, attenuation is usually measured using ultrasonic pulse propagation and resonant bar techniques. For the propagation of stress pulses through rock samples, attenuation can be estimated from the rise-time of the pulse onset (Blair, 1982) or from using the spectral ratio technique (Toksöz et al., 1979). However, as pointed out by Liu (1988), the rise time is strongly affected by the source time function. The conversion from rise time to attenuation also assumes a specific attenuation model (e.g., constant-Q model, Kjartansson, 1979), which may become invalid in the presence of fluid saturation (Jones, 1986). The spectral ratio method derives attenuation from the slope of a line fitted to the logarithmic ratio of the spectra of two waveforms. Because waveforms may be affected by reflections from sample boundaries, high frequency pulses (~1 MHz) and samples with large lateral dimensions are used in the measurements (Toksöz et al., 1979). Even so, signals may still have to be truncated to remove extra arrivals which may significantly affect the wave spectra. Moreover, the diffraction effects of the transducer source may need to be corrected for in such measurements (Tang et al., 1990). The resonant bar technique utilizes the resonance of a vibrating cylindrical rod. The resonant frequency of the fundamental mode usually occurs between 3~10 kHz, depending on the length of the rod. Because of the very different frequency ranges of the ultrasonic and resonant techniques, attenuations obtained from the two techniques can be significantly different (Blair, 1990). In addition, the resonant bar measurement is difficult to perform under pressure, whereas
The pulse propagation method is most suited for use in pressure vessels. It is desirable to have a technique that measures attenuation in the low to medium frequency range in order to understand attenuation mechanisms in rocks as a function of wavelengths and of in-situ conditions. The purpose of this study is to develop a technique that combines the advantages of the two methods and operates in the low to medium frequency ranges.

A direct way of reducing the measurement frequency in the pulse propagation method is by using low frequency sources and increasing the propagation length between source and receiver transducers. However, because of the beam spreading of the transducer radiation, the lateral dimension of the sample must be increased proportionally to avoid the contamination of the direct signal by the reflections from lateral boundaries. This results in a large sample volume that is impractical for laboratory measurements. This problem can be avoided by using the cylinder-shaped sample as used in the resonant bar method. The use of the bar geometry in the pulse propagation method has two major advantages. First, the sample length can be chosen according the wavelength without having to increase lateral dimensions. Thus it is suited for use in pressure vessels and with saturated samples. Second, the fundamental mode in a cylindrical bar is a low frequency wave phenomenon whose propagation and dispersion characteristics are well understood and can be accurately modeled. Because the dispersion effects of the waveguide can distort the source signal into a long wave train (particularly at high frequencies), the spectral ratio technique is not suitable for this application. This method is most accurate with short duration signals. To overcome this, we have developed a waveform inversion technique that needs only the first few cycles of the waveforms to obtain reliable estimates of attenuation.

In the following studies, the propagation characteristics of the fundamental wave mode in a cylindrical bar will be discussed. Then the procedure for the waveform inversion technique will be formulated. Finally, the procedure is applied to measure attenuation in a PVC material and in Sierra White granite. The results for the granite are compared with those obtained using other techniques.
PROPAGATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL WAVE MODE IN A CYLINDRICAL BAR

In a thin cylindrical rod consisting of an elastic material, propagation of extensional waves is governed by the Pochhammer equation (Kolsky, 1953)

\[(2l/a)(m^2 + k^2)J_1(la)J_1(ma) - (m^2 - k^2)^2 J_0(la)J_1(ma) - 4k^2lma J_1(la)J_0(ma) = 0\]  

where \(a\) is bar radius, \(J_n (n = 0, 1)\) is the nth order Bessel function, \(k\) is the extensional wavenumber, and

\[l = \sqrt{\omega^2/V_p^2 - k^2} \quad \text{and} \quad m = \sqrt{\omega^2/V_s^2 - k^2}\]

are radial compressional and shear wavenumbers, \(V_p\) and \(V_s\) are compressional and shear velocities respectively, and \(\omega\) is angular frequency. Although Eq. (1) gives rise to a number of extensional wave modes in the bar (Kolsky, 1953), the fundamental mode is of the most interest to us. At very low frequencies \((\omega \to 0)\), the velocity of this mode approaches \(V_c = \sqrt{E/\rho}\), where \(E\) is the Young’s modulus and \(\rho\) is the density of the bar. With increasing frequency, the phase velocity of the mode decreases. Because of the change of velocity with frequency (i.e., velocity dispersion), the waveform of the mode will be distorted as the wave propagates along the bar. To demonstrate this effect, we consider the spectrum of the propagating wave at the distance \(x\) away from the source

\[W(\omega, x) = S(\omega) \exp(ikx)\]  

where \(S(\omega)\) is the spectrum of the transducer source. After solving Eq. (1), Eq. (2) can be transformed into time domain to obtain waveforms at various distances \(x\). Figure 1a shows the phase velocity (dashed curve marked ‘phase’, obtained as \(\omega/k\)) and group velocity (dashed curve marked ‘group’, obtained as \(d\omega/dk\)), as well as the amplitude of the source spectrum \(S(\omega)\) (solid curve) as functions of frequency for this example. The results are calculated for a bar of 1 cm radius with \(V_p = 4272\) m/s and \(V_s = 2506\) m/s. The source is a Ricker
source (Ricker, 1953) centered around 70 kHz. Figure 1b shows the synthetic waveforms at
the distances \( x = 0, 10, 20, \) and 30 cm from the source. Because the wave spectrum covers
the frequency range where significant velocity dispersion occurs, the waveform is gradually
distorted into a long wave train as it propagates along the bar. In addition, as indicated
in Figure 1b, an Airy phase with very slow group velocity is developed, which is associated
with the minimum on the group velocity curve (Figure 1a). For signals with such a long
duration, the spectral method for attenuation estimation is not applicable. The complete
wave spectrum may not be recovered by either truncating the signal or taking the complete
wave train. The former approach removes a portion of the wave energy, while the latter,
when measuring a sample of finite size, may include reflections that bounce back and forth
between the source and receiver. One may also try to estimate attenuation by measuring the
amplitude decay of the first arrival with distance. However, because of the dispersion effect,
the wave amplitude decreases with distance even in the absence of intrinsic attenuation as in
this example (Figure 1b). By studying this theoretical example, it is clear that any attempt
to measure intrinsic attenuation using cylindrical bars will have to consider the change of
waveform due to the dispersive nature of the waveguide. Fortunately, since this effect is well
governed by Eq. (1), it can be accurately corrected for provided the parameters \( V_p, \) \( V_s, \) and
\( \alpha \) of the bar are given.

ESTIMATING ATTENUATION THROUGH WAVEFORM
INVERSION

In the presence of intrinsic attenuation in the bar, the attenuation effect is taken into account
by making the wavenumber \( k \) complex, as

\[
k \rightarrow k(1 + \frac{i}{2Q_c}) .
\]
where $Q_e$ is the extensional quality factor of the bar material. As will be described later in the experimental procedure, the attenuation measurements are usually performed in a narrow frequency range. Therefore, even if $Q_e$ can vary with frequency, it may be regarded as constant over the narrow frequency range. In addition, the anelastic wave dispersion is not included because the effect is negligible in this narrow frequency range.

The waveform inversion technique involves comparing the waveforms received using two bars of the same material but different lengths $x_1$ and $x_2 (> x_1)$. In the two bars, the measurement conditions (i.e., the signal generation and receiver response) are assumed to be the same. From Eqs. (2) and (3), it is readily seen that the spectra of the two waveforms are related via

$$W(\omega, x_2) = W(\omega, x_1) \exp[ik(1 + i/2Q_e)(x_2 - x_1)] .$$

or, transform into time domain

$$W(t, x_2) = W(t, x_1) * D(t) * A(t) .$$

where

$$D(t) = F^{-1}\{\exp[ik(x_2 - x_1)]\} \quad \text{and} \quad A(t) = F^{-1}\{\exp[-k(x_2 - x_1)/2Q_e]\}$$

are respectively designated as the dispersion operator and attenuation operator, the symbol $*$ denotes convolution, and $F^{-1}\{\cdots\}$ denotes taking the inverse Fourier transform. From Eqs. (4) and (5), it is clearly seen that the difference between the waveforms $W(t, x_2)$ and $W(t, x_1)$ is due to two effects. The first is the waveform distortion due to the velocity dispersion $[D(t)]$ along the $x_2 - x_1$ section of the cylindrical bar. The second is the amplitude decay due to the intrinsic damping $[A(t)]$ along the same section. The first effect can be corrected using the theory presented in the previous section. The second effect will be used to derive $Q_e$ of the bar material. Although the waves in a cylindrical bar usually have long durations, the attenuation affects the first few cycles of the waveforms in much the same
way as it affects the whole wave train. Based on this fact, the waveform inversion technique derives attenuation or $Q_e$ from the first few cycles of the waveforms.

The waveform inversion procedure consists of two major steps. In the first, the measured waveform $W(t, x_1)$ is theoretically propagated to distance $x_2$, in which the effect of attenuation is not included. Mathematically, this operation is expressed as

$$W(t, x_2) = W(t, x_1) \cdot D(t) \quad (6)$$

where $W(t, x_2)$ is the resulting waveform after the propagation. In this operation, if the velocities $V_p$ and $V_s$ are exact, $W(t, x_2)$ will be aligned in phase with the measured waveform $W(t, x_2)$. In practice, a slight shift of $W(t, x_2)$ may be necessary to obtain such an alignment, because the $V_p$ and $V_s$ used in calculating Eq. (6) are measured values and may contain errors. This first step may be called the dispersion correction. The second step is the inversion for attenuation or $Q_e$ by minimizing the difference between $\bar{W}(t, x_2)$ and $W(t, x_2)$. To do so, we construct the following error function

$$E(Q_e) = \int_{T_0}^{T_0+\Delta T} [W(t, x_2) - \bar{W}(t, x_2) \cdot A(t)]^2 dt$$

$$= \int_{T_0}^{T_0+\Delta T} [W(t, x_2) - F^{-1} \{\bar{W}(\omega, x_2) \exp[-k(x_2 - x_1)/2Q_e]\}]^2 dt \quad (7)$$

where $T_0$ may be chosen as the beginning time of $W(t, x_2)$ and $\Delta T$ is the duration of the time section in which $\bar{W}(t, x_2)$ and $W(t, x_2)$ are matched. In the inversion using synthetic waveforms, $\Delta T$ can include any number of cycles of the waveforms without altering the inverted value of $Q_e$, because the waveform data are exact. For laboratory data, however, the measured waveforms always contain experimental errors (e.g., random electrical noise). Therefore, $\Delta T$ should be chosen as long as possible to reduce the effect due to the errors. In practice, $\Delta T$ may be chosen as $2x_1/V_e$, which, for the shorter sample, is approximately the arrival time difference between the direct arrival and the first reflection back from the source. The minimization is performed using the non-linear least squares procedure. We first assign a very rough estimate of $Q_e$. Then we multiply the spectrum $\bar{W}(\omega, x_2)$ with
\[ e^{-k(x_2 - x_1)/2Q_r} \] and transform the product back to the time domain [because this operation mainly modifies the amplitude of \( \hat{W}(t, x_2) \) without (significantly) altering its phase, the effects of multiple reflections will not be shifted into the interval \( \Delta T \)]. If the estimated \( Q_r \) is not sufficient to make the resulting waveform match with \( \hat{W}(t, x_2) \), \( Q_r \) is perturbed following the method of non-linear least squares minimization (Moré, 1978) and the same procedure is repeated in an iterative manner until the error \( E(Q_r) \) reaches a minimum. The value of \( Q_r \) at this minimum is taken as the estimated \( Q_r \).

To summarize the procedure, we give an inversion example using synthetic waveforms. The waveforms are generated using the same parameters used in Figure 1. But now a \( Q_r \) of 50 is used in the calculation of the synthetic waveforms. The waveforms at \( x_1 = 10 \) cm and \( x_2 = 30 \) cm are used for the inversion. Figure 2a shows the waveforms. The waveform at 10 cm is theoretically propagated to 30 cm without including the attenuation (i.e., \( Q_r = \infty \)). Figure 2b shows that, after the propagation, waveform \( \hat{W}(t, x_2) \) is aligned in phase with \( \hat{W}(t, x_2) \). However, the amplitudes of the two waveforms do not match because the attenuation effect was not included in the propagation. The next step is to minimize the amplitude difference between the two waveforms using the inversion technique. As shown in Figure 2b, only the first two cycles are used in the inversion. After the inversion, the two waveforms coincide with each other, as shown in Figure 2c. The \( Q_r \) value obtained from the inversion is 50.1, in close agreement with the true value of 50. It is noted that for synthetic data, the inverted \( Q_r \) is not sensitive to the number of cycles used in the inversion, because the data do not contain errors or effects of extra arrivals, such as multiple reflections.

The procedure of matching the first part of \( \hat{W}(t, x_2) \) and \( \hat{W}(t, x_2) \) using the inversion technique has several major advantages. The first is that only the first few cycles of the waveforms are needed for the \( Q_r \) estimation. This separates the effect of intrinsic attenuation from the effect due to later arrivals (i.e., multiple reflections). The second advantage is that the solution to the inverse problem is unique because only one parameter \( Q_r \) is estimated. Finally and most importantly, the inversion is performed using the waveforms of the low
frequency fundamental mode, allowing the attenuation to be measured in a much lower frequency range than that of the ultrasonic pulse propagation technique.

APPLICATION TO LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS

In this section, we illustrate the application of the waveform inversion procedure to the laboratory measurement of attenuation using cylindrical bars. The samples used are a PVC material and Sierra White granite. The first is a low velocity and highly attenuative plastic material. The second is a high-Q rock. The elastic properties of the two materials are given in Table 1.

Experimental Procedure

Figure 3 shows a diagram of the measuring system. A pulse generator with various source functions is used to apply an excitation signal to the source transducer. Unlike most pulse transmission measurements where a sharp source pulse is usually used, the present measurement uses a burst-sine wave source with adjustable frequencies. This is because the waveform inversion technique can deal with signals of long duration, while other measurements (e.g., spectral ratio method) require signals of short duration. The signal generator in Figure 3 is adjusted to generate signals with appropriate frequencies within the frequency range of the fundamental wave mode in the cylindrical sample. This requires that the source and receiver transducers have good responses in the (low) frequency range. The measurements are performed on two samples of the same material but different length. The requirement of the same material for the two measurements is based on the consideration that the transmitting and receiving of the source and receiver transducers depend on the elastic properties of the sample, which determine the load impedance of the transducers. Using samples of the same material ensures that measurement conditions such as transducer-sample coupling, signal generation, and signal receiving are the same for both measurements, so that the difference...
between the two measured waveforms are due to the dispersion and attenuation effects only. In addition, because the waveform inversion technique requires that the two waveforms be aligned in phase before the inversion is applied, the sampling interval of the digital oscilloscope should be fine enough to achieve such an alignment. A sampling rate of about 40-100 points per cycle is recommended.

Results

First present the results for the PVC bar. The lengths of the short and long samples are 8.28 and 21.82 cm respectively. The radius of the samples is 0.645 cm. Figure 4a shows the measured waveforms for the 8.28 and 21.82 cm samples. The waveforms are measured for the 30 kHz and 60 kHz source signal frequencies. For the shorter sample, the received (40 kHz) signal clearly shows the arrival of the first and second reflections. The time interval between signal onset and the arrival of the first reflection determines $\Delta T$ in Eq. (7). For the longer sample, the received waveforms (particularly the 60 kHz signal) exhibit significant distortion due to dispersion and amplitude attenuation due to intrinsic damping. For the given material properties (Table 1) and bar radius, the waveforms at 8.28 cm are theoretically propagated to 21.82 cm to correct for the dispersion effect. The waveform inversion is then applied to derive $Q_e$ from the 40 kHz and 60 kHz waveform pairs. The results of waveform inversion are given in Figure 4b. After the dispersion correction and inversion, the waveforms (both 40 and 60 kHz) at 21.82 cm are satisfactorily recovered from the waveforms at 8.28 cm. Considering the significant distortion of waveforms at 21.82 cm compared with those at 8.28 cm, the results in Figure 4b show that the dispersion correction is effective and sufficiently accurate. Furthermore, the $Q_e$ values obtained from the two different frequencies are very close. They are $Q_e = 14.2$ for 40 kHz and 13.9 for 60 kHz, indicating the consistency of the inversion results.

Of the most interest are the results from the measurements on granite. Resonant bar
measurements were performed on the sample before it was cut into two samples of lengths 5.16 and 11.91 cm. The radius of the samples is 0.672 cm. The resonant bar results will be compared with those from the present technique. Figure 5 shows the waveform data from measuring the shorter and longer samples for different source frequencies ranging from 40 to 140 kHz. With increasing frequency, the dispersive features of the waves become more evident. Figure 6 shows the match of the waveforms after the inversion. The matches are generally very good. The inverted $Q_e$ values for these frequencies are given in Figure 6 for each pair of matched waveforms. The $Q_e$ values are on the order of 110. To compare these data with those from the resonant bar measurement, we plot the attenuation data expressed as $1000/Q_e$ versus frequency in Figure 7, together with a value measured on a granite sample using spectral ratio method around 800 kHz. The ultrasonic extensional attenuation value was derived from the measured compressional and shear attenuation values. As seen in this figure, the waveform inversion results (open circles) are quite consistent with the resonant bar results (triangles). The ultrasonic measurement (square) yields significantly higher attenuation than the two low frequency measurements, suggesting that scattering is the mechanism for attenuation at ultrasonic frequencies (Winkler, 1983; Blair, 1990). This may also explain why the waveform inversion results generally show slightly higher attenuation than those of the resonant bar measurement, assuming that at the 100 kHz frequency range there are still some scattering effects.

CONCLUSIONS

This study presents a new approach to attenuation measurements through inversion of waveforms. In fact, the waveform inversion technique is not restricted to bar measurements. It can also be adapted to other pulse transmission measurements. For example, for ultrasonic measurements using samples of large lateral dimensions, the waveform inversion is applicable if the waveforms are corrected for diffraction (or beam spreading) effects of the transducer.
Even for the bar geometry, the technique still has several applications. It can be adapted to measure attenuation under confining pressures appropriate to the in-situ conditions, if the dispersion characteristics of the bar in the presence of a confining medium is correctly accounted for. In addition, the waveforms of flexural and torsional modes on a cylindrical bar can also be utilized to estimate the shear attenuation of the bar.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>$\rho$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>$V_p$ (m/sec)</th>
<th>$V_s$ (m/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2171</td>
<td>1051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra White Granite</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3593</td>
<td>2456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Density $\rho$, compressional velocity $V_p$, and shear velocity $V_s$ of the solid used in the measurement.
Figure 1: (a) Phase and group velocities (dashed curves) of the cylindrical bar ($a = 1$ cm, $V_p = 4272$ m/s, and $V_s = 2506$ m/s) in the frequency range covered by the source spectrum (solid curve). The velocities are scaled by $V_e = 3943$ m/s. (b) Propagation of the fundamental mode in the bar. Note the distortion of waveforms and the development of the Airy phase.
Figure 2: Illustration of the waveform inversion procedure. (a) Propagate waveform at $x_1$ to $x_2$. (b) Result of the dispersion correction: the waveforms are aligned in phase; the amplitude difference is due to attenuation and is to be minimized to find $Q_e$. (c) After inversion, the two waveforms are matched. The $Q_e$ required for the match gives the estimated attenuation.
Figure 3: Diagram of the system for measuring attenuation in bars.
a. Measured waveforms from PVC bar (a=0.645cm)
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b. Results of waveform inversion
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Figure 4: (a) Waveforms measured from the short and long PVC bars at 40 and 60 kHz frequencies. (b) Matched waveforms after the dispersion correction and inversion. The inverted $Q_e$ values for the two frequencies are also indicated.
Figure 5: Waveforms measured from the short and long Sierra White granite bars for frequencies ranging from 40 to 140 kHz.
Waveform Inversion Results for Sierra White Granite

Figure 6: Matched waveforms after the dispersion correction and inversion for the different frequencies. The inverted $Q_e$ values for the frequencies are also indicated.
COMPARISON OF ATTENUATION VALUES OF SIERRA WHITE GRANITE OBTAINED USING DIFFERENT METHODS

Figure 7: Comparison of extensional attenuations (expressed as 1000/Q) measured using resonant bar (triangles), waveform inversion (open circles), and ultrasonic pulse propagation (square).
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