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INTRODUCTION

There has existed a controversy concerning the relationships of autonomic functions to motion sickness for at least two decades. Money exhaustively reviewed the signs and symptoms of motion sickness (1). For those organs innervated by the autonomic nervous system (ANS), he noted that conflicting observations (e.g., both increases and decreases in heart rate) had been reported.

Money argued (1), in part, that since (a) visual–vestibular interactions reside in the central nervous system (CNS), (b) emesis has strong somatic muscular components (diaphragm and abdominal wall), (c) skin pallor can be induced at the sympathetic ganglion by acetylcholinesterase, and (d) that nausea may reflect reactions to CNS events alone, then one may not assume that motion sickness is a dysfunction within the autonomic nervous system (ANS). An alternative hypothesis is that motion sickness is a CNS dysfunction which usually has sequelae involving the ANS.

Subsequently, Graybiel and Lackner were unable to find systematic autonomic indicators of motion sickness within or across subjects (2). The measures available to the investigators were somewhat non-specific: heart rate, blood pressure, and body temperature are all subject to many intrinsic and extrinsic influences. However, Cowings et al. found systematic autonomic responses, then suggested that stability of ANS responding exists within subjects (3, 4). They used somewhat more specific measures than Graybiel and Lackner, including skin conductance and finger pulse volume, among others.
In the meantime, Stern and his colleagues at Pennsylvania State University showed across-subject consistency in the hypergastric response to motion, and anecdotal evidence of a correlation between hypergastria and subjective reports of gastric discomfort and nausea (5, 6, 7).

This somewhat confused state of affairs concerning ANS responses to motion sickness presented a problem to applied researchers associated with the U.S. Army's fixed-base, virtual-world helicopter simulator at NASA Ames Research Center. In the realm of engineering, the device provided a providential proving ground for controls and displays research. Unfortunately, a simulator sickness rate of 20 to 40% was expected for experienced pilots operating the device (8).

We report here the development of a physiological monitoring capability for the Army's Crew Station Research and Development Facility (CSRDF) and some preliminary physiological data. The objective this effort was to demonstrate sensitivity of the physiological measures in this simulator to self-reported simulator sickness.

METHOD

Eight channels of data were gathered through a 12-bit (4096-step) analog-to-digital system (Quatec, Akron OH, Model SAC-12) with a total sampling rate of 33 mHz. Six channels were autonomic physiological data: electrocardiogram (ECG), forearm skin conductance level (SCL), electrogastrogram (EGG), chest circumference for ventilatory rate ($f_v$), fingertip pulse (BVP), and fingertip skin temperature ($T_{sk}$). One channel was an event marker for flight segment time registration. The last channel was unused.

2
Signal Acquisition

The six physiological signal conditioners, built by NASA-Ames, were isolated at the power supply (voltage converter) level. They used front-end band-pass filtering specific to the signal of interest, and amplified each signal to the ±5 v p-p range. The differential amplifiers used for ECG and EGG had common mode rejection ratios exceeding 80 db.

The adhesive spot electrodes used for ECG, EGG, and SCL were of a standard Ag/AgCl clinical ECG variety with snap connectors (Vermont Medical, Inc., Bellows Falls, VT 05101, Re-order no. A-10005). These electrodes were recessed, with a thin piece of foam between the skin and the electrode surface, to minimize motion artifact. Clinical electrolyte (TECA Corp., Pleasantville, NY 10570, Cat. no. 822-201210, NDC 0324-0045-06) was used at the electrode-skin interface.

Creation of, and access to, the digitized data files was mediated by the software, CODAS (Data-Q Instruments, Inc., Akron OH, version 3.0), which ran at a total sampling rate of 800 Hz (100 Hz per channel). CODAS also supported visual data display and ASCII or Lotus 123 file creation.

Electrogastrogram - The EGG signal conditioner analog band pass, 0.02 to 0.25 Hz (1.2 to 15 cpm), allowed the detection of "hypergastria" in the 4 to 9 cpm range (6). We used the two active EGG electrode placements of Stern et al. (6): (1) 10 cm above the navel and 6 cm left of the centerline, and (2) 4 cm above the navel on the centerline, with a reference electrode placed on the left mid-axillary line, halfway between the iliac crest of the hip and the bottom of the rib cage.

Skin Conductance Level - Though the hypothalamic control of eccrine thermoregulatory sweating is well described, and the responsiveness of the SCL, mediated by eccrine sweat gland activity, to motion discomfort has been noted (3), the complete
picture of the neural circuitry underlying eccrine gland activity is not clear (9). However, decades of experience suggest that the SCL may provide reasonable indications of emotion-triggered activity in the sympathetic branch of the ANS.

Due to the requirement that the pilot use his hands to fly, and the impracticality of electrode placements on the sole of the foot, the two SCL electrodes were attached to the skin of the medial wrist. They were placed laterally to one another, just medial to the anterior annular ligament. The skin was not abraded.

The SCL signal conditioner placed a 15 Hz, constant 1.25 v p-p square wave across the skin and a 100 kohm current limiting resistor in series with the skin. SCL variations were viewed in the frequency range dc to 2 hz as the voltage required to hold current constant across the combined resistive impedances. The current flow through the series resistances varied as allowed by skin conductance, the inverse of resistance. The signal conditioner voltage output was nonlinear, and was corrected by the formula,

\[ r_{\text{skin}} = \frac{\text{gain}}{\text{voltage-offset}} - 100\text{K} \]

where \( \text{gain} = 1,453,682 \) and \( \text{offset} = -5.73354 \) volts

The SCL, in mhos (a unit of electrical conductance), was calculated as the reciprocal of \( r_{\text{skin}} \). Conductance has been preferred to resistance in electrodermal response analysis, due to a more Gaussian distribution, for a number of years (10).

**Electrocardiogram and Vagal Tone** - The ECG provides a window into electrical conduction characteristics of the cardiac neuromuscular structure. It is also one technique used for the measurement of heart rate. Both conduction and rate are modified
by autonomic humoral mechanisms, and rate is modified by
intrinsic myocardial length-tension relationships (the
Frank-Starling mechanism).

In addition, rate is influenced by autonomic parasympathetic
fibers travelling in the tenth cranial nerve, the vagus.
Efferent cardiac fibers originate in the medulla and travel in
the vagus. Also, the efferent arms of reflex circuits
originating from pulmonary and cardiac receptors travel in the
vagus. Rate is also influenced by autonomic sympathetic fibers
originating in the cardiac nuclei of the sympathetic trunk.

The reflex effects of ventilatory rate and depth on heart rate
are well documented (11). Scientific literature published over
70 years ago showed "(1) that respiratory sinus arrhythmia is
mediated by the vagus; (2) that the amplitude of respiratory
sinus arrhythmia is related to the functional status of the
cardiac vagi (i.e., cardiac vagal tone); and (3) that an
individual with pronounced respiratory sinus arrhythmia has
specific behavioral characteristics." (12)

Investigators have long sought a window on vagal activity by
quantifying respiratory sinus arrhythmia. Recently, several
laboratories independently identified three bandwidths of heart
rate variation (13). In humans, studies have indicated
relationships between heart rate variations around 0.10 Hz and
mental work load (14, 15). The responses of the .12 to 4.0 Hz
component of sinus arrhythmia to atropine (16) and in the
presence of alpha- and beta-adrenoreceptor blockers indicates its
mediation by the parasympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous
system, in particular, the vagus nerve within which the
applicable fibers run (17).

The Vagal Tone Monitor (VTM; Delta-Biometrics, Bethesda, MD)
provided automated, on-line data reduction from the raw ECG to
one component of respiratory sinus arrhythmia. We used the VTM
to assess vagal activity, or vagal tone (VT), monitoring the
middle component of sinus arrhythmia, the 0.12 to 0.40 Hz band.
This provided us with an index of parasympathetic activity. The
VTM also provided a cardiotachometer function from which we extracted the directly-measured heart period (HP), the reciprocal of heart rate. HP was selected as a measure, rather than heart rate, for its more Gaussian distribution.

The ECG signal was derived from electrodes placed to generate the "CR-5" lead (18). The CR-5 lead is an electrode placement which minimizes movement and EMG artifacts in the ECG and produces a lead-II-appearing (large R wave) tracing. The lead was described by Blackburn, who investigated the characteristics of 22 different bipolar chest leads (19). The "C5" lead was recorded from the manubrium of the sternum or from the right clavicle to the C5 position (left anterior axillary line at the 5th intercostal space. It was the most sensitive lead for the detection of S-T segment changes after exercise. We used the standard electrocardiography color-code and nomenclature for electrode connectors. The white lead (RA), to minimize motion and EMG artifact from the clavicular portion of the pectoralis major, was placed when possible upon the manubrium of the sternum, just below the notch at the top of the sternum. Since pectoral activity in this experiment was minimal, positions at the level of the manubrium, but displaced to the subject's right, were used when chest hair patterns were a problem.

The black lead (LA) was attached directly below the left nipple in the 5th intercostal space. We used finger pressure on the rib cage to find the intercostal space. Placement directly over a rib might have caused motion artifact. The RA and LA connections led to the active inputs of a differential amplifier with analog front-end band-pass filtering at 0.5 to 100 Hz. The green (RA; reference electrode) lead was attached slightly forward of the right mid-axillary line, halfway between the iliac crest of the hip and the bottom of the rib cage. Placement on the iliac crest or on the lower ribs might have caused motion artifact.
Subjective and Other Evaluations

The pilots were asked to rate their motion discomfort (MD) on a 7-point scale, on which 1 was "Normal, symptom free," 7 was "Severe discomfort, I am unable to continue," and the values 2 through 6 were not anchored (8). The ratings were collected once every five minutes while the pilots were in the simulator. Also, the pilots completed a motion history questionnaire and a simulator side effects questionnaire (SSEQ). In addition, they received two postural equilibrium assessments: a stand-on-one-leg, eyes-closed test (SOLEC) and a walk-on-straight-line, eyes-closed test (WOFEC). The measures of interest in the latter were the total time of balance across three trials (SOLEC) and the total number of heel-to-toe steps accomplished prior to loss of balance in one trial (WOFEC).

Data Reduction

All channels of analog physiological data were sampled at 100 samples/sec, then reduced in the following manner. The skin conductance level (SCL) data were summarized as mean values for 30-second epochs. Then the linear trend was calculated, using the least squares method, for each session, and subtracted from the raw data. The residual 30-second epoch means were used for further analyses. These 30-second means fell into the domain of tonic, rather than phasic, SCL measures.

Cardiac interbeat intervals, or heart periods (HP), were also summarized as mean values for 30-second epochs. The variance in cardiac interbeat interval was partitioned such that variance in the frequency band, 0.12 to 0.40 Hz, was reported each 30 seconds as vagal tone (VT). The VT data were smoothed by averaging together four sequential epochs (two minutes), creating a moving
average lagged 30 seconds at a time and labelled by the fourth epoch in the average.

For subsequent analyses involving the EGG data, the HP, SCL, and VT data were reduced to 1-minute epochs by averaging together sequential pairs of 30-second epochs, without overlap.

The digitized EGG data for one minute (6000 samples) were shifted to zero mean, tapered at both ends (10% taper) (20), and subjected to a discrete Fourier transform (MATLAB, The Math Works, Inc., South Natick, MA). The output of the transform included raw energy estimates and phase estimates in each frequency bin from 1 through 13 cycles/minute. The energy estimates were reduced to an index of normal gastroenteric activity (EGG3; 3 cycles/min) and of hypergastria (HG; mean of 4 through 9 cycles/min) (5). The raw power data were transformed to logarithms to provide more Gaussian data distributions. The two indices were smoothed by averaging together four sequential minutes of log power data, creating a moving average lagged one minute at a time and labelled by the fourth minute in the average.

The MD ratings were generalized across 5-minute periods. Each rating value was assigned to the minute in which it was reported, and to the two minutes immediately preceding and immediately following the report. For example, the report "3" in minute 20 and "4" in minute 25 caused minutes 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22 to be assigned the value "3," and minutes 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27 to be assigned the MD rating "4." When a 2-rank change occurred, the rating value was assigned to the minute in which it was reported, and the one minute immediately preceding or immediately following the report, depending on the sequence of change. The other, more distant minute preceding or following the report was assigned the next report level. For example, the report "2" in minute 20 and "4" in minute 25 caused the sequence 2-2-2-2-3-4-4-4-4, for minutes 18 through 27, respectively. No 3-rank changes occurred across sequential 5-min periods.
Flight Conditions

The pilots flew in four experimental conditions, created from two experimental factors with two levels each. The conditions were low-effort maneuvering at 100 ft above ground level (AGL), low-effort maneuvering at 400 ft AGL, high-effort maneuvering at 100 ft AGL, and high-effort maneuvering at 400 ft AGL. The flight conditions, pilot performance data, equilibrium data, and motion discomfort data are reported fully elsewhere (21). The full analysis indicated that only the altitude factor had a significant effect upon motion discomfort. Thus, a subset of data were selected for an analysis here of physiological data which would reflect the effects of the altitude manipulation. The data came from two independent groups of subjects with n=6 at 100 ft AGL and n=7 at 400 ft AGL.

Statistical Analyses

Graphic displays of the individual and group physiological data were used to search for apparent differences related to altitudes and related to motion discomfort ratings. For the group data, when a MD contrast was desired, an approximate median split approach was used. The subjects were separated into a low ratings group (maximum ratings of "1" through "3") and a high ratings group (maximum ratings of "4" through "7").

The data set was not complete enough nor extensive enough to attempt to partition variance among MD, subjects and error factors using an analysis of variance. However, the data contributing to the graphic views of the data allowed a simplistic sensitivity assessment of the different physiological measures. The metric used for sensitivity assessment was the ratio of the average, between-condition difference (d) and the
grand standard deviation (s). The latter was calculated from all available observations of the variable.

Discriminant analyses (StatGraphics, STSC, Inc., Rockville MD, version 4.0) were used to search for predictive relationships between motion discomfort ratings and a multivariate set of physiological activities. This approach was used for individual and for group data. For discriminant analyses using group data, 5-minute MD ratings were categorized as low (ratings of "1"), medium (ratings of "2" and "3"), and high (ratings of "4" through "7"). For discriminant analyses using individual data, the 5-minute MD ratings were not combined. Five physiological variables were used to predict MD: HP, SCL, HG, EGG3 and VT. Each variable was represented as within-subject, within-session, within-variable standard scores (mean=0, s=1). The variable, time, was purposely avoided as a predictor to allow a full exploration of the predictability of MD from these physiological data. Not enough data were available to both "train" and validate the discriminant functions within or across subjects.

RESULTS

We recovered usable SCL data from 11 subjects, HP and VT data from 13 subjects, EGG data from 11 subjects, and MD ratings from 9 subjects, as shown in Table 1. Eight subjects provided complete sets of data.

Standard score data for the five physiological variables, compiled across the eight subjects with complete data sets, were relatively Gaussian for each variable (Figure 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subj.</th>
<th>Alt</th>
<th>SCL</th>
<th>HP &amp; VT</th>
<th>EGG</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>Max MD</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S02B</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S03A</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S04A</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S05A</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S06A</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S07A</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S08A</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S09A</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10A</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12A</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14A</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15A</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16A</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Graphic Views**

The group mean HP data revealed a systematic difference for the altitude treatment (Figure 2). The heart rate of the low-altitude group (n=5) was consistently higher (shorter HP) than the heart rate of the high-altitude group (n=7). The mean HP difference between the low and high altitude conditions, for epochs 1 through 60, was 84.4 msec. The grand standard deviation of all observations (1522 observations) in the supporting data set was 88.5 msec. The ratio, d/s, was .95.

The group mean HP data also revealed a systematic difference for the MD median split (Figure 3). The heart rate of the low-rating group (n=5) was consistently lower (longer HP) than the heart rate of the high-rating group (n=4). The mean d for HP between
Figure 1.- Frequency distributions of across-subject observations, using within-subject standard scores, of normal gastric activity (EGG3; log power), vagal tone (VT), hypergastrina (HG; log power), tonic skin conductance level (SCL; mhos), and heart period (HP; milliseconds). Numbers of subjects and observations available in Table 2.
Figure 2. - Mean heart period data from low (100 ft AGL) and high (400 ft AGL) altitude groups, and one grand standard deviation (Table 2) above the low altitude mean.
Figure 3.- Mean heart period data from low (1-3) and high (4-7) motion discomfort (MD) rating groups, and one grand standard deviation (Table 2) below the low MD mean.
low and high MD categories, for epochs 1 through 100, was 47.6 msec, and the ratio, d/s, was .54.

The group mean SCL data revealed no systematic differences for the altitude treatment or for the MD median split. The group mean VT data revealed a systematic difference for the altitude treatment (Figure 4), but none for the MD median split. The VT of the low-altitude group (n=6) was consistently less than the VT of the high-altitude group (n=7). The mean plot of the low-rating subjects overlaid that of the high-rating subjects. The mean d for VT between low and high altitudes, for epochs 1 through 60, was .86 units. The grand VT s (1482 observations) was .98 units, and the ratio, d/s, was .88.

The group mean EGG3 data revealed no systematic differences for the altitude or MD comparisons. The group mean HG data revealed a systematic difference for the altitude treatment (Figure 5). The HG of the low-altitude group (n=4) increased steadily through minute 29, while the HG of the high altitude group (n=7) held steady. For minutes 5 through 10 plus minutes 25 through 30, the HG mean log power d was .57, the grand s (524 observations) was .84, and the ratio, d/s, was .68.

The group mean HG data also revealed a systematic difference for the MD median split (Figure 6). The HG of the low-rating group (n=5) diminished, while the HG of the high-rating group (n=4) increased. For minutes 30 through 50, the HG mean log power, d, was .84, and the ratio, d/s, was 1.0.

These calculations are summarized in Table 2. The rank order of sensitivity was:

1. HG for MD (d/s=1.0)
2. HP for altitude (.98)
3. VT for altitude (.88)
4. HG for altitude (.68)
5. HP for MD (.54)

Discriminant Analyses

Individual discriminant analyses, performed on the data of each of the six subjects with maximum MD ratings greater than "2," revealed an idiosyncratic predictability of MD ratings. The six analyses produced solutions ranging from two to five functions. The first functions explained 64 to 93% (median=82.5%), and the second functions explained 4.5 to 38% (median=14.5%), of the variance in the subjects' data. All first functions were highly
Figure 4. Mean vagal tone data from low (100 ft AGL) and high (400 ft AGL) altitude groups, and one grand standard deviation (Table 2) above the low altitude mean.
Figure 5.— Mean hypergastrina data from low (100 ft AGL) and high (400 ft AGL) altitude groups, and one grand standard deviation (Table 2) above the low altitude mean (minutes 5-10) and below the low altitude mean (minutes 25-30).
Figure 6.- Mean hypergastrin data from low (1-3) and high (4-7) motion discomfort (MD) rating groups, and one grand standard deviation (Table 2) above the low MD mean (minutes 30-50).
TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF MEAN DIFFERENCE (d) AND GRAND STANDARD DEVIATION (s) DATA FOR FIVE PHYSIOLOGICAL MEASURES IN ALTITUDE (100 vs. 400 ft AGL) AND MOTION DISCOMFORT (1-3 vs. 4-7) COMPARISONS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EGG</th>
<th>HG</th>
<th>HP</th>
<th>SCL</th>
<th>VT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>log</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>mhos</td>
<td>units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand s</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>2.40x10^-6</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Observations</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>1482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Discomfort:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

significant (p<.001) by Chi^2. Three second functions were significant (p<.05).

No single physiological variable dominated the top of the order completely (Table 3). The Friedman two-way analysis of variance (22), applied to the column-sums of Table 3, produced a moderately significant result (Chi^2 = 7.07, df=4, p<.20). SCL entered first into the first function three times, and second once. HG entered first into the first function once, and second twice.

However, these individual discriminant analyses provided better than expected (50%) correct classifications of within-subject MD reports (Table 4). The correct classifications ranged from 80% to 100% across the MD categories.

These data and the data of two more subjects, combined across subjects as within-subject, within-session, within-variable standard scores (mean=0, s=1), produced correct classifications of near or less than 50% across MD groups. This analysis was based upon the eight subjects with complete data sets (Table 1) and the grouping of MD ratings into three categories, low, medium, and high. The correct classifications for these groups
were 38% (62 minutes), 29% (39 minutes), and 54% (33 minutes), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>EGG3</th>
<th>HG</th>
<th>HP</th>
<th>SCL</th>
<th>VT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sums</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An examination of within-subject intercorrelation matrices revealed no systematic pattern. First, we expected to find a relationship between VT and HP. However, the Pearson r values were small and variable, ranging across subjects from -.029 to .420 (mean, via Fisher z = .122, df from 37 to 59). Second, we expected to find a relationship between VT and HG. However, the Pearson r values ranged from -.429 to .442. Generally, HG and EGG3 were highly negatively correlated.

CONCLUSIONS

The graphic views of the group mean data suggested some autonomic patterns. For the motion discomfort (MD) median split, higher MD ratings seemed to be associated with higher heart rates and higher HG activities (Figures 3 and 6). These responses to MD align with observations by Cowings et al. (3) and by Stern et al. (5).
TABLE 4. CORRECT CLASSIFICATIONS, FROM INDIVIDUAL DISCRIMINANT ANALYSES, BY SUBJECT (n=6) AND MOTION DISCOMFORT RATING (MD). NUMBER OF MINUTES CORRECTLY CLASSIFIED AND THE RESPECTIVE PERCENT CORRECT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approximate Medians:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Min.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the altitude comparison, heart period (HP) and vagal tone (VT) seemed to take on pilot mental workload connotations. Vagal tone was lower and heart rate was higher, suggesting higher workload at the lower altitude (100 ft AGL; Figures 2 and 4). This apparent workload effect was consistent with the views of pilots that flights at lower, nap-of-the-earth altitudes produce higher workloads than flights at higher altitudes.

However, the data supported an alternative hypothesis explaining the HP and VT differences at the two altitudes. The lower altitude seemed to produce a slight hypergastric disturbance...
(Figure 5), consistent with the hypothesis that a lower nap-of-the-earth altitude would produce such an effect, and the higher incidence of motion discomfort at the lower altitude (21). We cannot, from these data, determine whether workload or MD affected HP and VT.

The discriminant analyses suggested (1) that no single physiological variable dominates in the prediction of MD across subjects, (2) that physiological variables may predict MD when restricted to within-subject comparisons, and (3) that physiological variables may not predict MD when combined across subjects. There are four caveats. First, the capability to perform stepwise discriminant analyses would have allowed hypothesis testing when each physiological variable entered into a function (23), allowing a more definitive approach to the question of idiosyncrasies. This capability should be added to the analysis approach. Second, the small number of observations per individual subject (range 39 to 61) probably introduced sampling errors.

Third, we were unable to validate our discriminant functions within or across subjects, or with data from another investigation. This validation work is needed. Finally, the assumption that a single MD report may be generalized across several minutes obscured some unknown proportion of the true classifications of minutes. MD reporting once per minute should be attempted in the future.

The objective of this effort was to demonstrate the sensitivity of physiological measures in this simulator to self-reported simulator sickness. We were successful. However, we would hope to develop the metrics to somewhat greater levels of sensitivity in subsequent work. Figures 3, 5 and 6 and Tables 2 and 3 suggested that HP, HG, and SCL may be more sensitive to simulator sickness than the other two measures used here (VT and EGG3).
FOOTNOTES
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