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Abstract

The purpose of this project is to determine the need and feasibility of developing an expert system to assist in the development of a Statement of Work (SOW) for the Full Scale Development Phase (FSD) of the acquisition cycle. This project also determines the feasibility of transporting this expert system to a microcomputer.

The methodology involves a six step process for developing a small scale expert system. The first step involves choosing a tool. The tool chosen for this project is KnowledgePro by Knowledge Garden. The second step involves defining the problem. Once the problem is defined, a determination is made on whether an expert system is appropriate for this project using a model developed in another thesis project. The third step involves developing the system. The fourth step involves developing a prototype system. The prototype system was developed on an IBM compatible computer using the KnowledgePro development system. The fifth step involved expanding, testing, and revising the system. The sixth step involved maintaining and updating the system. Beside the six steps for developing the expert system, the system was validated.

The project resulted in a microcomputer based expert system for assisting managers in the development of a FSD SOW.
The system produces a document in Work Breakdown Structure as called out by MIL-STD-245B consisting of essential SOW entries, potential documents to incorporate into the SOW, and action messages which provide direction in writing the SOW.

The benefits from this research is not limited to the development of a SOW. The methodology used in this research project can be used in the development of item specifications, contract development, Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs), acquisition plans, Program Management Plans (PMPs), and Contract Data Requirements Lists (CDRLs).
I. Introduction

The Department of Defense (DOD) purchases a wide variety of complex weapon systems, like aircraft, from industry. To ensure that industry builds a system which meets the government's requirements, DOD contracts include a document called the Statement of Work (SOW). The purpose of the SOW is "to communicate the tasks and the work effort the government wants the contractor to perform." Typically, SOWs do not achieve their main purpose of successful communication of the Government's requirements. The Aeronautical Systems Division (ASD) of Air Force Systems Command has expressed concern over the decline of the quality of Statements of Work citing "redundancy and ambiguities as frequent problems that surfaced in contract reviews." Also, SOW developers may incorporate too few or too many requirements into the SOW. Due to the failure of SOWs to successfully communicate the Government's requirements, many projects have cost, schedule, and performance problems.

An Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) research project was performed to determine what factors contribute to
successful SOW communication. The factors the research project looked at included the following: "requirements described by the SOW, the consistency of the SOW, the internal organization of the SOW document, cross-referencing of the SOW to other contract documents, SOW language clarity, the participation of the contractor in SOW preparation, and the effort of the Government team tasked with the development and writing of the SOW [1:40]." Of these factors, SOW language clarity and requirements description were found to provide the greatest contribution to successful SOW communication. (1:41)

The Electronic Systems Division at Hanscom Air Force Base in Massachusetts developed a computer software package to assist in the development of a SOW and other acquisition documents. This system is called the Computer Generated Acquisition Document System (CGADS). CGADS produces a draft of the desired document which must be tailored by the program manager for a specific program.

A survey given to students taking an AFIT professional continuing education course on Air Force project management, Systems 200, found several shortfalls with CGADS. First, CGADS is written for ESD contracts only. CGADS references ESD documents and organizations to produce the draft document. This makes adaptability by other product divisions difficult. Second, CGADS resides on a mainframe computer at ESD and a few other Air Force bases. This makes accessibility difficult for program managers, especially those without the proper equipment to connect directly to the mainframe computers. Third,
CGADS does not produce a SOW in the Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) format as described by MIL-HDBK-245B, Preparation of a Statement of Work (SOW), and AFSCR 800-6, Statement of Work. MIL-HDBK-245B and AFSCR 800-6 are further described in chapter II. Finally, CGADS references outdated documents resulting from frequent changes in acquisition policies and regulations.

Specific Problem

ESD is presently developing an expert system of CGADS. This expert system, like its predecessor, will be geared toward ESD contracts. This system will also be located on a mainframe computer at Hanscom AFB which will make accessibility very difficult for most product divisions. Also, this system will not produce a SOW in WBS format.

The purpose of this research project is to demonstrate the need and feasibility of developing an expert system to assist in the development of a SOW. This project will also determine the feasibility of transporting this expert system to a microcomputer.

Research Benefits

Development of a microcomputer based expert system for the SOW development task has the potential to better assist managers, especially new managers, to create a SOW by making expert knowledge readily available to many people at one time. By this system being on a microcomputer, managers will be able to access the data produced by the expert system easier than trying to access a mainframe computer located at another Air
Force base. This system should produce a document in WBS format as called out in MIL-HDBK-245B. This system should be easily adapted by product divisions to the way they do business and the ability to change referenced documents when the need arises. This system will assist the SOW developer in reducing redundancy, avoid ambiguities, avoid omissions, and avoid incorporating too many requirements in the SOW. Also, this project will demonstrate the need and feasibility of developing such an expert system.

Research Questions

In order to validate ESD’s development effort and transporting the expert system to a microcomputer, the following questions must be answered:

1. Is the development of a SOW a suitable problem for application of an expert system?

2. Can the rules needed to write a SOW be encoded using an expert system software program?

3. What is the user’s subjective evaluation of the assistance provided by the expert system for writing a SOW?

Scope and Limitation of Research

As stated above CGADS was designed to assist program managers in the development of various contractual documents. This research will focus only on the SOW portion of CGADS. More specifically, this research project will focus on the Full Scale Development (FSD), Type III SOW. A description of a Type III SOW is provided in the literature review.
II. Literature Review

Overview

This chapter begins by providing background information on the content of Statements of Work (SOWs), problems with SOWs, and the Computer Generated Acquisition Document System (CGADS). This chapter also provides background information on expert systems, and the expert system development process.

Discussion

The Department of Defense (DOD) purchases a wide variety of complex weapon systems from industry. The document used to begin the acquisition process for these systems is the Request for Proposal (RFP). The RFP describes the acquisition conditions, weapon system capability objectives and how the proposal should be written. Potential contractors respond to the RFP with a proposal which describes how they will develop the weapon system. The proposal describes the management technical and cost conditions under which they are willing to perform to meet the Government’s requirements.

One component of the RFP which provides the basic framework of the contractual task requirements is the Statement of Work (SOW). The purpose of the SOW is to communicate the tasks and the work effort the government wants the contractor to perform. From the requirements communicated in the SOW, the offerors determine their costs and develop a proposal on their system development approach. (13:11)
Air Force System Command (AFSC) currently uses MIL-HDBK-245B, Preparation of Statement of Work (SOW), and AFSCR 800-6, Statement of Work, as guides in writing a SOW. MIL-HDBK-245B was written "to provide guidance to the requiring activity to obtain conclusive contract Statement of Work (SOW) for application to any lifecycle phase of material acquisition [2:iii]." AFSCR 800-6 was developed by AFSC to be used in conjunction with MIL-HDBK-245B. AFSCR 800-6 controls "the preparation, format, and content of contract SOWs and serve as a management tool at the program, functional, and operating levels [8:1]."

MIL-HDBK-245B describes five different type of SOWs. These SOWs include:

**Concept Exploration, Type I.** The concept exploration phase is the initial phase in the acquisition cycle. The objective of this phase is to explore alternative design concepts. The technical requirements are usually in the form of goals or objectives. The Type I SOW is used to define these technical requirements in sufficient detail to provide guidance to the contractor. (2:7; 8:1)

**Demonstration and Validation Phase, Type II.** The objective of the Demonstration and Validation Phase is to analyze design alternatives and decide whether to go on to Full Scale Development. (2:7) The Type II SOW is used "when the work tasks are expressed objectively or as goal attainment [8:1]."
Full Scale Development, Type III. During this phase, the contractor will design and test a preproduction prototype system. (8:1) The Type III SOW is used concurrently with the specification to indicate the need for various system effectiveness program tasks, publications, training, integrated logistic support requirements, configuration management requirements, management systems, supply support tasks (provisioning), quality program requirements, metrology and contractor services. [2:8]

Production and Deployment Phase, Type IV. The objective of this phase is to produce the system designed in the previous phases and deploy them for operational use. The Type IV SOW state the tasks required to produce and control the design units. (2:9; 8:1)

Nonpersonal Service Contract, Type V. A Type V SOW is required when the need for contractor’s services is required independent of the procurement contract. (8:2)

SOW Development Methods. Several methods are used in an attempt to develop a SOW which communicates the Government’s requirements. These methods include using a previously written SOW, using policies and procedures like MIL-HDBK-245B, or by using the knowledge of someone who has written a SOW.

The Electronic Systems Division at Hanscom Air Force Base in Massachusetts saw a need for developing a computer software package to assist in the development of a SOW and other acquisition documents. This system is called the Computer Generated Acquisition Document System (CGADS). CGADS was developed to help the novice SOW writer, avoid redundancy,
prevent the omission of requirements, and prevent the over specification of requirements.

**Computer Generated Acquisition Document System.** CGADS is a computer software program hosted on a main frame computer to assist program managers in the development of various contractual documents which successfully communicate the Government's requirements. CGADS produces a draft version of various documents. One of these documents is the Statement of Work. Other documents generated by CGADS includes a Reliability Centered Maintenance (RCM) Analyses Plan, Contract Data Requirements Lists (CDRLs), Multi-Year Affirmative Action Program (EEO/AAP) Mini Plans, Program Management Plans (PMPs), Acquisition Plans, and Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs).

CGADS obtains information from a user by asking a series of questions. Based upon the responses, CGADS outputs a draft version of the documents selected and a set of action messages to provide the user assistance in developing the document. A typical CGADS action message may look like the following:

**ACTION MESSAGE**
CDRL: DI-E-3120 AND DI-E-3119B NORMALLY APPLY. TAILOR AS REQUIRED.

The above action message tells the SOW developer that data items DI-E-3120 and DI-E-3119B should be incorporated into the CDRL. Also, these data items should be tailored according to the weapon system program requirements.
The draft document must be tailored by the program manager for a specific program. This task is performed by reviewing the action messages provided in the output, and the documents referenced by CGADS. The program manager may have to tailor the document according to other Air Force policies and regulations.

**Expert Systems**

Another method to assist in the development of a SOW is the use of expert systems. The use of expert systems for the development of a SOW is the focus of this research. Therefore, the remainder of this literature review focuses on expert systems.

**Experts.** In order to better understand what an expert system is, you must understand what an expert is. Experts are people who use their experience and knowledge to solve certain type of problems. (11:1) Paul E. Johnson, a behavioral scientist, describes an expert as follows:

A person who, because of training and experience, is able to do things the rest of us cannot; experts are not only proficient but also smooth and efficient in the actions they take. Experts know a great many things and have tricks and caveats for applying what they know to problems and tasks. [17:5]

Experts are described as having the following characteristics:

1. Experts use their knowledge to solve problems.
2. Experts can explain their solutions.
3. Experts learn by experience.
4. Experts know their knowledge limitations.
5. Experts can solve problems quickly and efficiently.
6. Experts can restructure their knowledge.
7. Experts know when to break the rules.
8. Experts can determine relevant information. [5:16-17; 17:75]

In general, interaction with an expert involves exchange of information and knowledge. An expert is versatile and able to make a sensible judgment on how to best solve a problem. [5:16-17; 17:75]

**Expert Systems.** An expert system tries to emulate limited aspects of human thinking. An expert system can be defined as:

An intelligent computer program that uses knowledge and inference procedures to solve problems that are difficult enough to require significant human expertise for their solution. Knowledge necessary to perform at such a level, plus the inference procedures used, can be thought of as a model of the best practitioners of the field. [3:5]

Expert systems are often considered a branch of artificial intelligence along with natural language processing and robotics. [4:3] Expert systems differ from most artificial intelligence programs in the following ways:

- expert systems deal with a narrowly defined problem
- it deals with realistic problems requiring human expertise
- it exhibits high performance in both speed and reliability
- in order to convince its users, the expert system must explain and justify its solutions. [9:1]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conventional Programs</th>
<th>Expert Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation and use of data</td>
<td>Representation and use of knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge and control integrated</td>
<td>Knowledge and control separated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithmic Process</td>
<td>Heuristic Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective manipulation of large data bases</td>
<td>Effective manipulation of large knowledge bases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midrun explanation impossible</td>
<td>Midrun explanation desirable and achievable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriented toward numerical processing</td>
<td>Oriented toward symbolic processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintained by programmers</td>
<td>Maintained by knowledge engineers and experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program sometimes difficult to modify</td>
<td>Knowledge base easy to modify</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure I. Conventional Programs Versus Expert Systems (4:8)
Expert systems and conventional programs differ in a variety of ways. Some of these differences are listed seen in Figure I. Conventional programs often manipulate data bases. Expert systems manipulate knowledge bases. Conventional programs use algorithmic techniques to solve problems. Expert systems use heuristic techniques.

Another important difference between conventional programming and expert systems is how the systems are developed. In conventional programming the programmer meets with an expert for a short time to design a system. Once the system is designed, the programmer goes off and writes the computer program. The time period between when an expert initially meets with the programmer and the time the system is delivered can be extensive. Experts systems are developed by knowledge engineers in constant interaction with experts. These experts assist the knowledge engineer to identify the knowledge and develop inference strategies to be encoded into the expert system. (4:8-9)

Expert systems execute logical reasoning, similar to the way experts think. Thus, facts are linked with solutions to problems. (12:3) Expert systems use heuristics to arrive at a solution. Heuristics are rules of thumb and often called the 'art of good guessing'. (17:74) Heuristics enable experts, human or machine, to recognize promising approaches to problems, to break problems down into smaller components, to get around incomplete data, and to make educated guesses when necessary. [17:74]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heuristics</th>
<th>Algorithms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If it ain't broke, don't fix it</td>
<td>$1 + 2 = 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lower the price/earnings ratio the better buy a stock is likely to be.</td>
<td>The price/earnings ratio equals the stock's market price divided by the firm's latest retained earnings per share.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select moves which protect the center of the chess board.</td>
<td>Black pawn at square D5, and square D6 and square D5 are empty, move pawn from D7 to D5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the car engine doesn't turn over when you turn the key, first check for loose wires, then for a dead battery.</td>
<td>For $I = 1$ to 10 $X(I) = 2*10+I$ Next $I$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These are rules of thumb, proven generally reliable through experience, but not always correct. They are concepts, and can't be reduced to numbers.</td>
<td>These are exactly stated formulas which can be logically proven. Put in correct numerical data and you will get the correct answer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure II. Contrasting Heuristics and Algorithms
An example of heuristics is the following:

If there is no protective circuit then the transistor will be destroyed by thermal runaway. [16:44]

A heuristic differs from an algorithm. (see Figure II) Algorithms are formulas which produces a correct answer when numerical data is inserted into that formula. Therefore, expert systems combine knowledge with heuristics to solve real world problems. Figure II contrasts heuristics with algorithms.

Knowledge. The process of building an expert system is called knowledge engineering. (6:23) The knowledge engineering team consists of a knowledge engineer, implementor, and an expert. The knowledge engineer assumes the roles of analyst and willing to perform to meet the Government's requirements. The third person of the team is the expert. Without his expertise the system can not be built. (16:23)

The main components of an expert system are the knowledge base, inference engine, and input/output interface. The knowledge base contains the experts knowledge about a particular task. The input/output interface allows the user to input information and allows the system to ask questions and state conclusions. (5:23). The inference engine "conducts the consultation with the user [4:49]." The inference engine performs the following tasks:

Contains rules to tell how to solve a problem efficiently.
Compares knowledge rules with facts about the problem.
Tracks the status of the hypothesis being tested, and those that have been confirmed or rejected.
Asks questions to obtain needed data.
- States conclusions to the user.
- Explains the chain of reasoning it used to reach a conclusion. [18:116]

**Expert System Applications.** Expert systems can be applied to several different general types of problem solving as shown in Figure III. Some types of problems require interpreting data, instructing students, or debugging a malfunction. Problems that require the use of heuristics and searching procedures to arrive at solutions are good candidates for expert systems. The development of an expert system requires an expert in the desired problem domain. This expert must be available throughout the development of the expert system. A good test to determine if a problem is suited for an expert system is the telephone test. If an expert can guide a novice through a problem over the phone then the task could be implemented by an expert system. (19:130)

**Expert System Advantages.** Expert systems offer some advantages over human experts. Experts can only be at one place at a time. Expert systems can be copied and made available to many more people to solve tough problems. Events frequently retire or leave the organization. But then an expert's knowledge can be captured instead of lost. An expert system can also combine the knowledge of several experts to allow increased and more thorough processing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Inferring situation descriptions from sensor data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td>Inferring likely consequences of given situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Inferring system malfunctions from observables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Configuring objects under constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Designing Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Comparing observations to plan vulnerabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debugging</td>
<td>Prescribing remedies for malfunctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair</td>
<td>Executing a plan to administer a prescribed remedy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>Diagnosing, debugging, and repairing student behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Interpreting, predicting, repairing, and monitoring systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure III. Generic Types of Problem Solving (4:94)
Expert systems have the capability to add new information easily to aid in the decision making process. (18:197)

Expert systems can operate consistently under stress and time critical situations. When under pressure human experts can miss important factors necessary in the decision making process. Expert systems can make these decisions without leaving out any important information. (18:84,197) Expert systems are consistent. They are available and fully functional for 24 hours a day, not to get tired, not to suffer from Monday morning blues or Friday afternoon impatience, and to give the same answer to beggars and kings. [16:16]

Another advantage of expert systems is in the training role. Turnover can require a constant need to train new people. Training can be a costly and time consuming process. Expert systems can assist in the training of personnel by showing correct choices among alternatives. They allow the experts to continue working in their area of expertise minimizing time for training. Expert systems can ask questions, provide solutions, and provide the logic behind the solution. If a flaw is found in the reasoning process, the flaw can easily be modified. (18:197)

Expert System Disadvantages. Expert systems also offer certain disadvantages. One of the disadvantages comes in the technical arena. Most expert systems require large computers to handle the data and process the data quickly enough to make the expert system useful. This can increase the cost and
reduce the availability of the expert system. Personal computers are becoming powerful enough and fast enough to handle significantly large expert systems. When using a personal computer, the expert system is limited in size. (18:204) Another disadvantage involves conceptual problems. Expert systems deal with a large amount of data. A problem exists on deciding what data needs to be incorporated into the expert system and integrating the data in a format usable by the expert system. Also, the expert system developer must deal with multiple experts who frequently disagree on how to approach the same task. (18:204)

The development of an expert system requires experienced personnel. Often, a scarcity of programmers, trained users and operators, and other experts exists in a problem area. (18:204)

Development Process

The procedure to develop an expert system differs slightly depending on the size of the expert system. The development of a large scale expert system will be discussed and then the development of a small scale expert system.

Large Scale Expert System Development. Expert systems are developed in six independent phases:

Phase I: Selection of an appropriate domain
Phase II: Development of prototype system
Phase III: Development of a complete expert system
Phase IV: Evaluation of the system
Phase V: Integration of the system
Phase VI: Maintenance of the system. [4:196]
Phase I: Selection of an Appropriate Domain.

Selection of an appropriate problem requires several activities including:

- Identifying a problem domain and specific task
- Finding an expert willing to contribute expertise
- Identifying a tentative approach to the problem
- Analyzing the costs and benefits of the effort
- Preparing a specific development plan. [4:197]

Identifying a specific problem for expert system application is the most critical part of the system development. If an inappropriate problem is identified, the effort may run into design problems and high costs. (4:197)

The problem selection criteria can be divided into three different groups: system is possible, system is justified, and system is appropriate. (12:24)

Figure IV lists seven different characteristics that must be met for an expert system possible. One important characteristic is that an expert must exist. Without any experts, the system cannot be developed. (4:197-201)

Figure V lists several problem domain characteristics any one of which could justify expert system development. Meeting any one of the characteristics is enough to justify the time and cost involved in the development of the expert system. (4:197-201)

Figure VI lists the characteristics which decide whether an expert system is appropriate for a particular problem. Note that the characteristics are broken up into three different factors: nature, complexity, and scope. The nature of the
Task does not require common sense

Task requires only cognitive skills

Experts can articulate their methods

Genuine experts exist

Experts agree on solutions

Task is not difficult

Task is not poorly understood

NOTE: All the above must be true in order to make expert system development possible.

Figure IV. Necessary Requirements For Expert Systems (7:25)
Task solution has a high payoff

Human expertise being lost

Human expertise scarce

Expertise needed in many locations

Expertise needed in hostile environment

NOTE: One or more of the above must be true in order to justify expert system development

Figure V. Justification for Expert System Development (7:27)
Task requires symbol manipulation

Task requires heuristic solutions

Task is not too easy

Task has a practical value

Task is of manageable size

Nature of Problem

Complexity

Scope

NOTE: All the above must be true in order to make expert system development appropriate.

Figure VI. Characteristics Appropriate to Expert System Development (7:29)
problem must require symbol manipulation and heuristics to bring about a solution. (4:197-201) The problem "must neither be too easy or too complex for a human expert [4:198]." The scope of the problem must "be sufficiently narrow to make the problem manageable and sufficiently broad to ensure that the problem has some practical interest [11:24]." The expert system should "satisfy a real need and be technically feasible [3:27]."

An important output of Phase I is a requirements document containing a list of potential expert systems, functions and knowledge base requirements. (3:27)

Phase II: Development of a Prototype System. The purpose of developing a prototype system is to "demonstrate the technical and economic feasibility of the intended expert system [3:28]." A successful demonstration will convince management to continue funding the project. Also, a prototype demonstration will lead the way for further knowledge base development. (3:28)

Development of the prototype requires several activities to take place. First, the knowledge engineer learns all he can about a particular problem domain and task. One method is for the knowledge engineer and expert to consider about four or five cases which represent the area of interest. The engineer studies the reasoning process used by the expert to solve the cases and develops problem solving strategies and heuristics. From this information, the knowledge engineer can
decide on an expert system tool and develop a prototype system. (4:201-203)

The knowledge engineer along with the expert will test the system to determine whether the strategies and heuristics used in representing the experts knowledge are adequate for a variety of cases. Once the system is functioning adequately, the knowledge engineer develops a detailed design plan for the complete system. (4:201-203)

**Phase III: Development of a Complete Expert System.** The prototype system and the detailed plan help the knowledge engineer develop the complete system. The knowledge engineer rethinks the design to determine if the heuristics used are adequate or if a modification is necessary. Additional heuristics are added to deal with the subtler aspects of a certain problem. Finally, the user interface is tailored to make it easy and natural for the user interface with the system. (4:203-205; 3:28)

**Phase IV: Evaluation of the System.** When the knowledge engineer and expert are satisfied that the system is complete, they will test the system against the requirements developed in Phase II. Also, other experts will test the system against a rigorous variety of cases to validate its decision logic. (4:205)

**Phase V: Integration of the System.** When the expert system is ready, the system is integrated into the work environment. This may involve making minor changes to the system to allow it to work in a particular environment and/or
interfacing the system with other data bases, instruments, and hardware to enhance its capability. Also, the knowledge engineer trains the users on how to maintain and use the system before withdrawing from the project. (4:205-206)

Phase VI: Maintenance of the System. As the knowledge to perform a particular task changes so must the expert system change. If the expert system was designed properly, the person in charge of the system can make the routine updates to the expert system without the knowledge engineer. (4:206)

Small-Scale Knowledge System Development. The discussion thus far dealt with the development of large scale knowledge systems. Harmon and King in their book "Expert Systems: Artificial Intelligence in Business" describe the development of a small knowledge systems in a different manner. Small knowledge systems can usually be developed without a knowledge engineers and the expert is frequently the user. An example would be a system to help clerks classify insurance applications. This system would be developed from the knowledge possessed by several experienced insurance application examiners. The examiners could enter their knowledge in an expert system shell. They would in turn use the system to make better decisions. (4:177)

Harmon and Key claim that small knowledge systems can be used and developed by middle management.
Our own view is that small knowledge system building tools can and will be used by middle managers and training developers to solve a vast array of small, irksome problems. The individuals using these tools will not be "knowledge engineers" but will, instead, be people who are close to the problems. Senior application examiners will develop small knowledge systems that will provide assistance to new clerical personnel. Moreover, these same individual and their managers will also maintain and update the systems. [4:178]

The development of a small scale knowledge system requires the following steps:

1. Select a tool and implicitly commit yourself to a particular consultation paradigm.
2. Identify a problem and then analyze the knowledge to be included in the system.
3. Design the system. Initially this involves describing the system on paper. It typically involves making flow diagrams and matrices and drafting a few rules.
4. Develop a prototype of the system using the tool. This involves actually creating the knowledge base and testing it by running a number of consultations.
5. Expand, test, and revise the system until it does what you want it to do.
6. Maintain and update the system as needed. [4:178]

Note that the steps for developing a small scale expert system is similar to the process of developing a large scale expert system. But, in the development of a small scale expert system the knowledge engineer's job has been replaced by the expert and the chosen tool. Therefore, knowledge systems will be created by the people who actually use them as in the examiner example previously mentioned. (4:194)
Harmon and King believe that small expert systems will show up in many business operations in the same way electronic spreadsheets were welcomed. Small experts systems will be welcomed because

they will provide for vastly improved decision making and productivity in hundreds of small ways, and the result will be a revolution in the way business people think about knowledge, training, documentation, and procedural flows. [4:194]

Examples

MYCIN. Mycin is an expert system designed at Stanford University in 1972. Mycin was designed to assist medical personnel in the diagnosis of bacterial infections and prescribe the proper antibiotic to treat the infection. Mycin was designed to assist in four decisions: "does the patient suffer from bacterial infection, what organism is responsible, which drugs may be appropriate, and which of these to administer [12:8]." The rules used came from specialists in the field of bacterial infection. In a series of tests, Mycin performed as well as the specialists and significantly better than the nonspecialists. (16:8-9)

XCON. XCON was developed at Carnegie-Mellon University at the request of Digital Equipment Corporation (DEC). DEC wanted a system to assist their customers in deciding what items of equipment should make up a particular installation site. Installing a new system required the knowledge of a wide range of equipment available and limiting constraints at
the installation sites. DEC found that traditional methods did not work. So, they called on Carnegie-Mellon to develop a VAX based expert system. DEC calculated that without the expert system 80 staff positions would be required to perform the same tasks performed by XCON. DEC employed XCON to help the sales force in meeting the customers' needs. Also, XCON was used to assist in site preparation and in factory scheduling, and material control. (16:9-10)

Summary

The purpose of this chapter was to review the literature to provide a brief description of the SOW and provide a broad understanding of expert systems. A brief description of five different SOWs and on how they fit in the acquisition cycle was provided. The remainder of the review discussed expert systems along with their advantages and disadvantages.
III. Methodology

Overview

One method of developing a Statement of Work (SOW) is through the use of an expert system. Since only the Full Scale Development (FSD) SOW is the focus of attention for this project, the small scale expert system was chosen. The small scale expert system development process, as discussed in the literature review, was used to answer the following research questions:

1. Is the development of a Statement of Work (SOW) a suitable problem for application of an expert system?
2. Can the rules needed to write a SOW be encoded using an expert system shell?
3. What is the user's subjective evaluation of the assistance provided by the expert system for writing a SOW?

Step 1: Selecting a Tool

The targeted microcomputer for this project is an IBM compatible computer. Several software packages which can run on an IBM compatible machine were considered including those in the fields of word processing, database management, programming languages, and expert systems. The main criteria used in selecting a software package was the ease in updating the software program and text.
Step 2: Identify a Problem

The model used to answer the above questions was developed by Capt. Hazen in his AFIT thesis titled "How Can Air Force Civil Engineers use expert systems" and is found in Appendix A. In order for an expert system to be appropriate the task must meet the selection criteria of the model. Each of the five areas have a series of Yes/No questions. In areas I, III, IV, and V all the questions must be answered Yes in order for the task to meet that particular criteria. Area II only requires a Yes response to one of the four questions in that area.

Hazen's model was used to determine if an expert system is appropriate for developing a Statement of Work and therefore convert the present CGADS system into an expert system. The method chosen to answer the questions posed by the model is the interview process.

Interviewing was chosen over survey to obtain the information mentioned above. Interviewing allowed interaction with the expert and the ability to clarify any problems the expert had in understanding the questions firsthand. Also, interviewing allowed the ability to obtain more in-depth information based on the expert's response to the questions.

Interviewing has several drawbacks. Interviewing involves taking up a good block of the expert's time as opposed to a survey which can be done more quickly and at a more convenient time for the expert. Assuming cooperation by
the expert, the benefits from interviewing outweighs the drawbacks.

The person interviewed is considered an expert in writing a SOW by his peers and through his experience.

Step 3: Design the System

The present CGADS system is designed to develop several documents, as discussed in a previous chapter, one of which is a Statement of Work. CGADS can assist in developing a Statement of Work for each phase of the contract. CGADS breaks down the FSD SOW development into several areas (e.g. engineering, program management, etc.). Each area is then broken down into several tasks (e.g. engineering is broken down into system engineering, human factors, value engineering, etc.). Each task consists of several YES-NO questions developed by experts at Electronic System Division (ESD) in that particular task area. The output depends on the responses to the YES-NO questions.

This thesis project uses these questions as a starting point in the development of an expert system version of CGADS. Some of the YES-NO questions were retained, while others were combined into one multiple choice question. The rules to handle the response to each question were obtained by attending a Design Review on the expert system version of CGADS being developed on a mainframe computer by ESD. Also, rules were developed by studying the operation of the present CGADS system. These rules determine what text to output and
which question to ask next based on the response to a particular question. The expert system also incorporates inferencing. Inferencing provides the ability to automatically answer other questions based on the response to a previous question. This project also redesigned CGADS so that it outputs the SOW in a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) format as required in MIL-STD-245B. Figure VII contains the WBS structure used for this project.

Step 4: Develop the Prototype System

The system design above in step 3 will be reformatted into a form understandable by the expert system shell KnowledgePro. KnowledgePro was selected because of the ease of programming in the higher order language it uses. Also, KnowledgePro lacks any significant bugs in its code which would prohibit use of its total capabilities as experienced with other expert system shells.

The expert system must also be redesigned to handle the limitations of the computer hardware. The system is designed to operate on an IBM-PC or compatible. The main limitation is main memory. The main memory is not large enough to handle the expert system shell and the entire knowledge base at one time.

The text required to build the output document is kept in ASCII files. The required text is taken from the ASCII file through the use of keywords. This text is both displayed in a window on the terminal screen and written out to a file. The
1.0 Scope

2.0 Listing of Data Items

3.0* Requirements

3.1 Hardware

3.2* Training

3.3* Peculiar Support Equipment

3.4* System Test and Evaluation
   3.4.1 Development Test and Evaluation
   3.4.2 Operational Test and Evaluation
   3.4.3 Markups
   3.4.4* Test and Evaluation Support
      Preoperational Maintenance:
      Preoperational Supply Support:

3.5* System/Project Management
   3.5.1* Systems Management
      3.5.1.1* Design Engineering
         Human Factors:
      Value Engineering:
      Parts Control Program:
      Electromagnetic Compatibility:
      Survivability/Vulnerability:
      3.5.1.2* Logistic Engineering
         Availability:
         Maintainability:
         Reliability:
         Logistics Support Analysis:
         Integrated Logistics Support:
         Transportability:
      3.5.1.3* Specialty Engineering
         System Safety:
         Aerospace Meteorological Environment:
         Preservation, Packaging, and Packing:
         Transportation:

Figure VII. Work Breakdown Structure For a Full Scale Development Statement of Work
3.5.1.4* Manufacturing Engineering
Quality Assurance:

3.5.1.5* Security
General Security:
Communications Security/Tempest:

3.5.1.6* Communications
Communications Long Lines:
Radio Frequency Management:

3.5.2* Project Management
3.5.2.1* Contract Work Breakdown Structure
3.5.2.2* Cost Information Systems
3.5.2.3* Cost/Schedule Control Systems
3.5.2.4* Schedule Management
3.5.2.5* Configuration Management
3.5.2.6* Data Management
3.5.2.7* Nomenclature
3.5.2.8* Manufacturing Management
3.5.2.9* Computer Resources Management
3.5.2.10* Travel

3.6* Data
3.6.1* Technical Publications
3.6.2* Engineering Data
3.6.3* Management Data

3.7* Operations/Site Activation
3.7.1* Real Property Facilities

3.8* Common Support Equipment
3.8.1 Organizational
3.8.2 Intermediate
3.8.3 Depot

3.9 Industrial Facilities
3.9.1 Construction/Conversion/Expansion
3.9.2 Equipment Acquisition or Modernization
3.9.3 Maintenance

3.10* Initial Spares and Repair Parts

* This paragraph is produced by the expert system developed for this project.

Figure VII. (concluded)
completed output is in a ASCII file and can be edited by any word processing package.

Completion of this step resulted in the development of the initial expert system prototype.

Step 5: Expand, Test, and Revise the System

Once a prototype was developed, the system was presented to several experts, both familiar and unfamiliar with the present CGADS system, to obtain their comments. The experts were asked to provide recommended changes in terminology to make the questions and the text clearer. The experts were asked to recommend changes to make the interactive consultation flow in a more logical progression. The comments from the experts were incorporated into the final expert system.

The final expert system was compared against the present CGADS system to check for faulty wording or advice given in the logical flow and output, the differences were noted and incorporated into the final system.

Step 6: Maintain and Update System

Maintaining and updating the system must continue throughout the lifetime of the system. Maintaining and updating the knowledge base of the expert system requires the use of the KnowledgePro Development System.

Updating and maintaining the expert system requires very little programming skills. As stated earlier, KnowledgePro uses a higher order language. This higher order language
allows you to write powerful programs with only a few meaningful commands. KnowledgePro uses Topics as its base. For this project, each topic consists of a question and rules to handle the response to the question. The rules consist of IF...THEN...ELSE statements which determines the block of text to output and the logical flow of the program from topic to topic. Therefore, by understanding the syntax of a few simple commands and studying the source code of the expert system, the expert system can be maintained. In contrast, the present CGADS system requires a thorough knowledge of FORTRAN and the availability of a mainframe computer.

The expert system can be maintained and updated by loading the source code into a word processor and saving the necessary changes. The source code must then be compiled by the compiler available in the KnowledgePro development system before it can be executed.

The KnowledgePro software package consists of a development system and a run-time system. The run-time system consists of everything offered by the development system except a compiler and can be freely distributed with the expert system package.

The text for the expert system is kept in a separate ASCII file. Any updates to the text can be made with any MS-DOS word processor. In contrast, the mainframe version of CGADS has the text either compiled along with the code or in a database. Therefore, this requires accessability to the
compiler and database system and full understanding of these systems.

Validation

The validation of the system consisted of a subjective evaluation by experts and nonexperts and comparing the system with the existing CGADS system. They each created a SOW by running several interactive consultations with the expertsystem. Their opinions on the usefulness of the system was used to evaluate its worth.

The second part of the validation process consisted of comparing the output of the expert system with the output of the existing CGADS system. The expert system was executed several times and an output was obtained for various combination of responses. The same combinations were tested on the existing CGADS system. Any differences between the operation of the two systems and their output were noted.
IV. Findings and Results

In chapter I, three research questions were identified that are considered important in successfully performing this research. This research was performed as described in chapter III. The research undertaken answered the questions in the following manner.

Problem Evaluation

Question 1. Is the development of a Statement of Work (SOW) a suitable problem for application of an expert system?

As discussed in Chapter 3, Capt. Hazen developed a model to determine whether an expert system is appropriate for a particular problem. This model consists of twenty-three questions as shown in Appendix A.

A civilian engineer, considered an expert in developing an expert system by his peers, was selected to be interviewed. The questions used in the interview are those mentioned above. The expert answered YES to all the questions except questions 2 and 6. The expert also responded with the following comments for the indicated questions:

1. Will the expert system tackle problems managers have tackled before?
   
   YES. A lot depends on the application. Some SOWs are written for new systems. The lessons learned are all you have to fall back on. (15)

8. Do the experts agree on solutions?
Experts generally agree on a boilerplate solution for developing a SOW. Since every program is different, the boilerplate solution would have to be changed to fit the application. (15)

9 Are experts better than novices at performing the task?

In most cases experts are better than novices in writing a Statement of Work. But, sometimes experts have a preconceived notion on the correct way of writing the SOW without looking at the true facts. (15)

21. Does the task require commonsense?

Overall, yes. Experts can use common sense through experience. But novices may be unable to apply common sense due to the lack of experience and rely solely on a particular model either on a computer or on hard copies. (15)

Since a YES response can be indicated for the five model areas of Realistic, Justified, Expertise, Task, and Other Considerations, the development of an expert system can be recommended for writing a Statement of Work.

Expert System

Question 2. Can the rules needed to write a SOW be encoded using an expert system shell?

As stated in chapter III, several software packages were examined. These software packages included wordprocessors, database software, programming languages, and expert system shells.
Word processing software was rejected due to the difficulty the users will have in rearranging a large volume of text to produce the desired document. This rearranging of the text will require the knowledge of what text goes with certain conditions. Therefore, the system will be of no use to the first time developer.

Database management software was viable option for this thesis. A set of records can be developed with each record containing the appropriate text for a given condition. A program could be written to output text in the appropriate record for when a certain condition is met. This option was rejected because an updating of the program will require programming experience with the database. Also, decision making and inferencing rules required some extensive programming.

A program written in a programming language such as FORTRAN or PASCAL was another possibility. This option was rejected since extensive programming skills are required to perform updates to the program. Also, the output text would have to be built into the code. Therefore, the appropriate programming language compiler must be available to update the text.

The final software package and the one chosen for this project is an expert system. Experts systems are discussed in chapter II of this document. The main advantage an expert system has over the other packages discussed is that it allows programming using a higher level language than PASCAL or
FORTRAN. This allows easy updates by the "nonprogrammer" since extensive programming knowledge is not required. Most of the program requires the use of IF..THEN..ELSE statements. Also, an expert system allows the text required for this project to be keep either in a database or a standard ASCII text file. This allows updates to be performed to the text without the use of the expert system package.

Several expert system shells were considered for this research project. These shells included VP-EXPERT, GURU, and KnowledgePro. The original development effort started with VP-EXPERT. VP-EXPERT was later found unuseful due to bugs in the software package which prevented the use of several required commands. GURU was considered but not used because of its complexity and difficulty of use. KnowledgePro was finally selected as the expert system shell for this research project.

Another consideration was to either integrate the expert system with dBASE III+ or use a form of hypertext and keep the text in a separate ASCII file. dBASE III+ has a field called a MEMO field which allows the capability to store large amount of text with each record. Therefore, the text required for this project could be separated into records and kept in the MEMO field of the record. This will allow ease of maintenance and the use of a popular database software package. A problem arose in trying to extract data from the MEMO field. The expert system shells examined for this project were able to extract data from any field of the record except the MEMO
field. Therefore, the idea of integrating the expert system with a database software package was abandoned and the use of hypertext was examined.

The hypertext capability of KnowledgePro proved to be the best route to take. Hypertext allows the capability to extract the required data from a separate ASCII file. This then enables the user to update this text with the use of any word processor and without the need to compile the text along with the main program code. Once the calling program extracts the required block of text, the text is displayed on the video screen and written to a ASCII file.

KnowledgePro performs the task of extracting text from an ASCII file through the use of the READ and FIND commands. The FIND command was chosen over the READ command due to problems caused by the READ command. The READ command tends to put End of File (EOF) marker(s) either at the beginning of the block of text being brought into the program or at the end of the file. This usually occurs if the text was originally produced on an editor other than the KnowledgePro editor. This does not pose any problems when displaying the text, but prevents any text from being appended after that block of text. The FIND command, found in versions 1.4 or later, does not present the same problems as the READ command. A more detailed description of the system operation can be found in the Program Documentation, Appendix B.

As mentioned in Chapter III, the main memory is not large enough to handle the expert system shell and a large knowledge
basis. The memory problem was solved by breaking the program up into separate independent modules. Each module was designed to ask the questions necessary to produce the following main paragraphs: 3.2 Training, 3.4 System Test and Evaluation, 3.5 System/Project Management, 3.6 Data, 3.7 Operation/Site Activation, 3.8 Common Support Equipment, and 3.10 Initial Spares and Repair Parts. Modules were designed for subparagraphs: 3.5.1 Systems Engineering, 3.5.1.2 Logistics Engineering, 3.5.1.3 Logistics Engineering, 3.5.1.3 Specialty Engineering, 3.5.1.4 Manufacturing Engineering, 3.5.1.5 Security, 3.5.1.6 Communications, and 3.5.2 Project Management. Each module is executed via a menu selection. Once the module has completed executing, it is removed from memory. Any communication between modules is performed by writing to and reading from data files.

Validation

Question 3. What is the user's subjective evaluation of the assistance provided by the expert system in writing a SOW?

The system was demonstrated before four managers at a Systems Program Office. Two of the managers are considered experts by their peers in writing a Statement of Work and the remaining two managers have either no experience or very little experience in writing a SOW.

All the managers interviewed were excited about the system. They felt that the system would be useful to both experts and novices. They felt that the output both provides
words for a generic SOW and a checklist to follow especially in determining which documents are appropriate for a particular SOW application. They liked the format of the output which combines essential SOW entries, action messages, and potential documents to include in the SOW.

Currently, a SOW from a present program is frequently used as a guide in writing a SOW for another program. The SOW writer will often include paragraphs from the old SOW without knowing why the text was included in the first place. Therefore, the SOW under development will include unnecessary information which may result in the over specification of the work to be performed and additional costs to the government. The managers interviewed felt that the expert system would help the SOW developer understand why a document should be included in the SOW or why a certain task must be included in the SOW.

Another feature the managers liked was the ability to modify the output text or the program source text found in the text files. They showed concern especially over the ability to update the text files. They felt that the text would need constant updating when document revisions change and the text needs to be modified to the way they do business in their System Program Office. When they realized this text is kept separate from the compiled knowledge base and that the ASCII file can be updated by any word processor, they were sold on the system. When the managers examined the source code and the capabilities of the KnowledgePro programming language,
they became interested in obtaining a copy of KnowledgePro so they could modify the code for their particular use.

Finally, they liked the ability to use the system on a personal computer instead of the inconvenience of working on a mainframe computer in another building.

They did mention a couple of drawbacks concerning the system. First, the system was written mainly for ESD type contracts and does not completely adapt to the way their System Program Office (SPO) operates. Secondly, with the system involves constantly updating the system. They felt that in order for the system to be useful someone must constantly update any information in the text especially the documents called out by the text.

Other Findings

The final expert system was compared to the present CGADS system. The expert system version generally produced the same data in the output but differed in the format of the output (see Figures VIII and IX). The output from the mainframe version is in a logical sequence with a separate listing for essential entries and action messages. The PC version has the output in the order the questions were asked and requires some work on the word processor before a clean document is produced. The PC version has action messages and essential entries in one document along with the response to the particular question which produced a particular set of output. Also, note that the output of the expert system is in WBS
3.5.1.6 Communications:

COMMUNICATIONS LONG LINE:

// Long_Line_Communications-YES

** DOCUMENT CALL **
DCAC Circular 310-130-1 Submission of Telecommunications
Apr 76 Service Requests

** ESSENTIAL ENTRY **
Requests for leased long lines to support this system must be
submitted to the procuring activity not later than 150 days
prior to the need date.

** ACTION MESSAGE **
SOW: COORDINATE WITH SYSTEMS ENGINEERING TO ENSURE THAT THIS
REQUIREMENT HAS NOT BEEN DUPLICATED.

CDRL: CONTRACTOR DATA IS REQUIRED. CONSULT WITH THE
COMMUNICATIONS LONG LINES SPECIALIST FOR THE PROPER CDRL
ENTRIES.

Figure VIII. Sample Output from the PC Based Expert System

3.1.13 COMMUNICATIONS LONG LINES

** DOCUMENT CALL **
DCAC Circular 310-130-1 Submission of Telecommunications
Apr 76 Service Requests

** ESSENTIAL ENTRY **
Requests for leased long lines to support this system must be
submitted to the procuring activity not later than 150 days
prior to the need date.

The following output is found on a different listing.

** ACTION MESSAGE **
SOW: COORDINATE WITH SYSTEMS ENGINEERING TO ENSURE THAT THIS
REQUIREMENT HAS NOT BEEN DUPLICATED.

CDRL: CONTRACTOR DATA IS REQUIRED. CONSULT WITH THE
COMMUNICATIONS LONG LINES SPECIALIST FOR THE PROPER CDRL
ENTRIES.

Figure IX. Sample Output From the Mainframe Version of CGADS
format. The CGADS system is formatted by task. Therefore, the paragraph numbers will differ as shown in Figures VIII and IX.

Another difference between the two systems involves the way the questions are asked. The mainframe version asks all YES-NO questions. The PC version besides asking YES-NO questions also asks multiple choice questions which were developed by combining several of the YES-NO questions in the mainframe version into one question with several possible responses. Also, the PC version will answer several questions for you depending on how you answered the previous questions. Overall, the PC version asks fewer questions and produces relatively the same data as the mainframe version. Also, the PC version has a section which asks questions and produces output for Test and Evaluation which is not present in the mainframe version. Finally, the PC version has the output organized in a Work Breakdown Structure as called out in MIL-STD-245B which differs from the organization of the output produced by the mainframe version of CGADS.

Another difference between the PC version and mainframe version is the text the systems use to build the output files. The mainframe version has the text either compiled within its code or in a database system. The PC version has the text in an ASCII file independent of the expert system code. The text for the PC system can be easily updated to the way a particular SPO does business through the use of a word processor. The mainframe version can not be easily updated by a SPO for
the way they do business and must rely on the organization in charge of the system to update the text which may not be as frequent as the SPO likes.

Conclusion

This research project can be considered a success. The resulting expert system was considered a useful product by both experts and nonexperts in SOW development.

An interview with an expert in SOW development along with the use of a model for determining whether an expert system is appropriate for a particular application determined that an expert system can be used for this project. The assistance of Rome Air Development Center in developing the rules and text made this project successful. Also, the availability of KnowledgePro with its capabilities made the development effort easier. A program which helps in the development of a SOW and is more readily accessible on a PC computer as opposed to being on a mainframe computer resulted. Finally, the methodology used in this project can be used to develop an expert system to assist in developing other contractual documents.
V. Conclusion and Recommendations

Overview
This chapter summarizes the research's objective, methodology, findings, and recommendations for further research.

Objective
The objective of this research was to build a PC version of CGADS using an expert system shell. More specifically, the portion of CGADS which assists in the development of the Full Scale Development Statement of Work.

Methodology
The methodology used to accomplish the research objective followed the steps in developing a small scale expert system discussed in the literature review and chapter III. An expert in developing a Statement of Work was interviewed using the model shown in Appendix A. The interview determined that an expert system was appropriate in assisting SOW developers write a SOW. The expert system shell chosen for the project was KnowledgePro Version 1.4. The majority of the rules were obtained from Rome Air Development Center. Any holes were filled in by studying the operation of the present CGADS system. The rules were then redesigned into Work Breakdown Structure as called out in MIL-STD-245B. The rules were also coded into the KnowledgePro programming language. The system was tested, expanded and revised by comparing it with the
operation of the present CGADS system. Finally, the system can be easily updated and maintained with the help of a wordprocessor and KnowledgePro development system. The wordprocessor is all that is needed to update the output text file, the main program text files, and the rules.

Overall the methodology used worked out well. But, problems were found with the model in Appendix A. Several of the questions were found to be redundant and unnecessary. For example, question 11 is answered by the Justification section and question 16 is about the same as question 1. Also, the questions need to require more than a straight Yes or No response to make the model more interesting. For example, using some scale of measure to determine what percentage of the experts agree on a particular solution.

KnowledgePro proved to be a good software package to use for this project mainly because of its hypertext capabilities. There are several software packages that are better designed for the development of an expert system but do not provide better hypertext capabilities as well as KnowledgePro.

KnowledgePro is not without its problems. Several expert system package provide a better capability of passing parameters between modules. These expert systems have special commands that provide this capability. In KnowledgePro, additional code must be written to write each variable to a file and then commands to read each variable from a file. If the value of the variable changes at any time, additional code must be written to delete the old data before writing the new
data to the file. Also, KnowledgePro does not lend itself to data manipulation too easily. KnowledgePro does not have the capability of manipulating the data into a different format if so desired. For example, this project writes data out to a file. The data is in a logical order only if the modules are executed in a natural sequence. If the user does something other than this sequence, the paragraphs in the output will not be in a numbered sequence by paragraph number. The programming language of KnowledgePro does not have the capability by itself of reorganizing the data back into a logical sequence without interfacing the system with a programming language.

Part of the validation effort included comparing the output of the expert system with the output of the present CGADS system for several combination of responses. Overall, the outputs appeared to be close to the same. But, due to the large number of possible combinations it was impractical to compare the systems for all possible combinations. The systems may differ for some combinations of responses. Also, the expert system is a redesign of the present CGADS system and it may not have all the same questions as CGADS. But on the other hand, the expert system covers areas the CGADS system does not like System Test and Evaluation.

Research Benefits

This research project turned out to be a success. The benefits proposed in chapter I of this thesis document were
later proven in the demonstration and validation portions of this research project. These benefits include the ability to assist managers in the development of a Statement of Work (SOW). A common problem found in interviewing several managers was that paragraphs are incorporated into the SOW without any reason. The system developed for this research project provides that capability through the questioning process. Therefore, a reduction in over specification and contract costs is possible.

This system was developed for use on a microcomputer which makes accessibility better than being on a mainframe computer. The System Program Office managers liked the capability to change the text to the way they do business. The text is kept in a ASCII file separate from the main program source code and can be changed, updated and maintained through the use of a word processor. The text is not compiled with the source code. Overall, several managers showed interest in adapting this research project for use in their SPO.

The benefits of this research project is not limited to the development of a Statement of Work. The methodology used in this research project can be applied to other documents like the development of contracts, item specifications, Contract Data Requirement Lists (CDRLs), Program Management Plans (PMPs), Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs), and Acquisition Plans.
Further Research

This research project concentrated on the Full Scale Development Statement of Work. The present CGADS system produces a draft SOW for all phases of the development effort. Additional research can be performed to develop an expert system on a PC to produce draft SOW for other phases of the Development effort as discussed in the literature review.

Another possible research project is to adapt the system design in this research project to a way a particular SPO does business. This would require a considerable time and effort on the researchers part and the experts in the SPO.

Finally, the system developed for this research project could be enhanced. The present CGADS system allows the user to repeat a question once it is finished. The PC expert system presently does not have that capability. Another possible enhancement is to have the system tell the user which paragraph's have been accomplished. Additional questions could be asked and the text in the text files could be added, updated, and improved. The program could be enhanced to the point where the user can develop a template which adapts the system for a particular application. The program will read this template to answer the questions. This will reduce the number of questions asked to the user and possibly save time. Finally, interface the system with another programming language which would reorder the output into a numbered sequence by paragraph number if the SOW paragraphs are not developed in a numbered order per the main menu.
Appendix A: Preliminary Selection Criteria

This preliminary selection criteria provides information on the development of expert systems. This criteria was developed by Capt. Hazen in his thesis "How Can Air Force Civil Engineers Use Expert Systems". It is to be used to determine whether a particular problem area might be helped by an expert system.

In order to recommend the use of an expert system, all answers must be yes (except of the Justified section and questions 2 & 15). The justified section requires one yes response.

Realistic

1. Will the expert system tackle problems managers have tackled before?
   If the problem currently cannot be solved, an expert system will not help (7:73).

2. Is the task in a volatile knowledge area?
   Because of the development time, expert systems are generally developed only in mature knowledge areas (7:73).

Justified

3. Does the task have a high payoff?
   The extensive development costs must have a substantial offset or payoff to be a justified venture for an organization (7:73,19:130).

4. Is the human expertise scarce or being lost?
   The expert system can be justified scarce expertise or expertise being lost (7:73,19:130).
5. *Is the expertise needed in many locations at once?*
   Expert systems can easily be reproduced to be used at many locations at once (7:73,19:130).

6. *Is the expertise needed in a hostile environment?*
   Expert systems can be risked in environments of high risk, without risking the experts (7:73,19:130).

**Expertise**

7. *Do genuine experts exist?*
   If genuine experts do not exist, development of the system may be very difficult or impossible (7:74,19:129).

8. *Do the experts agree on solutions?*
   If the experts do not agree on the solutions, then the expert system may be of little or no use (7:74,19:130).

9. *Are experts better than novices at performing the task?*
   If a novice can perform as well as an expert, then there is probably no advantage in developing an expert system (7:74,14:27).

10. *Can the experts articulate their methods?*
    Ultimately, the experts' methods must be represented by the knowledge base within the expert system. If the experts cannot articulate their methods, the knowledge base cannot be constructed (7:74,19:129).

**Task**

11. *Does the task have a practical value?*
    The task should cover enough information that users would be interested in using an expert system for assistance (7:74,19:130).
12. Does the task use heuristic solutions versus algorithms?

Heuristic solutions are based on strategies and rules of thumb, based on incomplete or uncertain information. Algorithmic solutions use exhaustive methods based on theoretical, mathematical, and/or empirical techniques. Expert systems work best with heuristic information (7:74, 19:130).

13. Can the task be manipulated symbolically?

This deals with the type of problem. Another way to consider the same question is the telephone test. Could an expert, given the necessary time, guide a novice through the problem? If so, the task could probably manipulated symbolically (7:74, 19:130).

14. Do the problems encountered share some of the same common characteristics?

Problem that the expert system will solve should have some common characteristics or data inputs to the system. If they do not, the development of an expert system will be very difficult (7:75).

15. Are conventional programming techniques satisfactory?

Expert systems are often thought of as a last resort because of the extensive time and cost invested into the development effort. If no other programming technique will do all that is required or come close, then an expert system may be the answer (7:75, 14:27).

16. Is the task well understood?

This question complements Question 10. If the task is so new or so poorly understood that it requires basic research to
find solutions, knowledge engineering will not work (7:75,19:129).

17. Can the skill required be taught to novices?

If the skill cannot be taught to novices, it is unlikely that it can be developed into an expert system (7:75,14:29).

18. Is the task of a manageable size?

The task should be sufficiently narrow and self-contained. Another way to think about it is, the expert system should be the expert for a limited task within the knowledge domain (7:75,19:132).

19. Are cases available to develop and verify the validity of the system?

The development and verification of the expert system depends on having cases illustrating the problem encountered to the knowledge engineer (7:75, 14:29).

Other Considerations

20. Is the task difficult enough to require an expert?

The problem should not be too easy, otherwise the knowledge could be transferred directly to the user (7:75, 13:132).

21. Does the task require commonsense?

Expert systems do not deal well with commonsense reasoning problems (7:75,19:129).

22. If commonsense is required, can the user provide it?

If the user can provide the commonsense required for the problem, then the expert system would be of use (7:75,19:129).
23. Does the task require cognitive skills only?

If senses, such as a refined sense of smell, are required, then the user will have to supply these skills (7:75,19:129).
Appendix B: Program Documentation

Program Organization

The PC CGADS system has been written with Knowledge Garden's KnowledgePro expert system shell, using KnowledgePro programming language. The source code is included in Appendix D. A software tree showing the organization of the software is in Appendix C.

Program Design

The amount of memory available in IBM-PC or compatible computers limited the code present in computer memory at one time. In order for the program to run, the software had to be broken up into separate and independent modules. The modules are loaded into memory when called by a menu or submenu and removed from memory when finished executing. Figure X lists each module and its purpose in the overall program. The source code is kept in files with the .kb suffix, the compiled code has a file suffix of .ckb, and the text files have a .txt suffix. For every .kb file there is a .ckb file and .txt file with the same prefix (e.g. design.kb, design.ckb, and design.txt).

In order to incorporate inferencing in the system, data must be passed between modules. Parameter passing is performed by having the originating module write to a file with the same prefix but with a .dat suffix (e.g. design.ckb writes to design.dat). The modules which need that data then
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software module</th>
<th>Text Produced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commun.kb</td>
<td>3.5.1.6 Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data.kb</td>
<td>3.6 Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design.kb</td>
<td>3.5.1.1 Design Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility.kb</td>
<td>3.7 Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
<td>3.5.1.2 Logistics Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menu.kb</td>
<td>Produces MAIN MENU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menu.Sys.kb</td>
<td>System/Project Management SUBMENU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security.kb</td>
<td>3.5.1.5 Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spares.kb</td>
<td>3.10 Initial Spares and Repair Parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special.kb</td>
<td>3.5.1.3 Specialty Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supequip.kb</td>
<td>3.8 Support Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support.kb</td>
<td>3.4.1 Test and Evaluation Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testeval.kb</td>
<td>3.4 Test and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training.kb</td>
<td>3.3 Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure X. Software Module Description
reads necessary data from the .dat file. A .dat file may look as follows:

Design_Change
A
/

Design_Change is the name of the variable being passed. "A" is the response to a question the variable represents. and "/" is an end marker used by the command READ to determine the end of a block of text. Therefore, by using the command "Design_Change is read('design.dat', 'Design_Change', '/')" the variable Design_Change in the calling module will have the value of A. Figure XI contains a list of modules which use .dat files, which .dat files they use and which variable are obtained from the .dat files. Also, note that if a module looks for a .dat file and it does not exist the variable is initialized to UNKNOWN and the module executes without any problems.

A block of text is read using the same concept as reading data from a .dat file except the command FIND is used. Each module except the modules containing the menus have a topic called Include_Paragraph. A topic can best be compared to a subroutine in a common programming language. Include_Paragraph is passed the subject area and a string of text to find in an ASCII file. The command FIND is used to locate that block of text and returns the string and all the text underneath it except the end of block marker. This text is then displayed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiving module</th>
<th>variable passed</th>
<th>Source module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supequip.kb</td>
<td>Type_Equipment</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data.kb</td>
<td>Design_Change</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warranty_Maintenance</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type_Prod_Contract</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility.kb</td>
<td>GFE_Maintenance</td>
<td>Support.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testeval.kb</td>
<td>Design_Change</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special.kb</td>
<td>Prime_Mission_Equipment</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDI_ITEMS</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training.kb</td>
<td>Warranty_Maintenance</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type_Equipment</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmgmt.kb</td>
<td>Software_Test_Program</td>
<td>Testeval.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design_Change</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warranty_Maintenance</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDI_ITEMS</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special.kb</td>
<td>Prime_Mission_Equipment</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDI_ITEMS</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure XI. Variables Passed Between Software Modules
in a window and written out to a file. The topic area which was passed to the topic Include_Paragraph is displayed as the window title for the block of text which was just obtained. An example of a .txt file is found in Figure XII. The symbols '///' mark the beginning of a block and '/end' marks the end of the block. The text next to the '///' is the string of text the FIND command locates. This string of text consists of a variable and the information this variable represents (e.g. Design_Change-YES, Design_Change is the variable and YES is the user's response to the question the variable represents).

Modules which write data to a .dat file also have a topic called 'Topic Delete_Data'. This topic deletes the old data in the .dat file by deleting the entire file, recreates the .dat file and initializes the .dat file by closing it. If the file was not closed, the WRITE command may not be able to write out to the file.

Installation

The expert system requires an IBM-PC or compatible computer and a minimum of 1.75MB of hard disk space. The runtime version of KnowledgePro requires 500K bytes of disk space. The source code (.kb files) requires 118K bytes of disk space. The compiled code (.ckb files) requires 343K bytes of disk space. The text used by the expert system (.txt files) requires 366K bytes of disk space. Also, allow 250K bytes of disk space for the output document. If disk space is limited,
OTS/COTS is an FSD or production type effort and should be considered under this phase.


SOW: TASK 213 OF MIL-STD-882B MUST BE TAILORED BY YOU AND THE SSM THEN INCORPORATED INTO THE SOW.

SOW: TASK 207 OF MIL-STD-882B MUST BE TAILORED BY YOU AND THE SSM THEN INCORPORATED INTO THE SOW.

CONTACT YOUR PROGRAM PERSONNEL FOR DETERMINATION. CHECK WITH THE SYSTEM SAFETY OFFICE FOR GUIDANCE.

SOW: Safety verification, MIL-STD-882B task 207, ...(tailored task statement)


SOW: TASK 207 OF MIL-STD-882B MUST BE TAILORED BY YOU AND THE SSM THEN INCORPORATED INTO THE SOW.

CDRL: TAILOR DID IAW THE PREFERRED DATA LIST.

Figure XII. Example of a .txt File (From Specialty.txt;
the output can be sent to a floppy disk and the .kb files are not required for the operation of the expert system. If the KnowledgePro development system is being used instead of the runtime version, allow another 1MB of disk space.

To install the system onto hard disk insert the knowledgePro disk into drive A and type

```
a:install Enter
```

Follow the directions displayed on the screen to continue the installation of the system. When the request for a Programs disk is displayed, hit control C to terminate the execution of the batch file. Answer Yes to the following question:

```
Terminate Batch Job? [Y or N]
```

The installation batch file creates a directory called GARDEN and unarchives the archived files into the GARDEN directory. When the batch job is complete, the system is left in the GARDEN directory. Then copy into the GARDEN directory all the files on the diskette containing the object code (the files with the .ckb suffix) including the file kp.bat. Also, copy all the files on the text diskette (.txt files). The copying in of the source code (.kb files) is optional.

Prior to installation, make sure FIND.ARC is on the runtime version diskette and the command "ARCE FIND.ARC" is included in the installation batch file. Also, the kp.bat file must be edited to duplicate the kp.bat source code in Appendix D. Successful execution of this system requires the use of the FIND command which must be installed into the system.
Program Execution

Once the software is installed, type "kp". The screen should look as follows:

C:\GARDEN>kp

The command 'kp' starts the KnowledgePro batch file starts the execution of KnowledgePro. Once KnowledgePro is executing, the KnowledgePro main menu listing all the expert system files is displayed. Select the file title "menu" from the main menu by moving the highlight bar via the arrow keys, a mouse, or typing M on the keyboard. Once "menu" is highlighted, hit carriage return and the module "menu.ckb" will be executed. First a question is displayed on the screen asking if a new document is under development. Please note the warning message displayed on the screen along with the question. After making appropriate selection, the system asks for the name of the output file. Again note the warning displayed along with the message. Once the file name is inputted, hit a carriage return and the system will display several pages of instructions. To read the instructions, use the arrow keys to move up or down the document. Once finished reading the instructions, hit the space bar to display the main menu. Select the paragraph from the main menu you wish to develop. Note that the selection '3.5.2 System/ Project Management' will lead you to a submenu displaying the selections '3.5.2.1 System Management', '3.5.2.2 Project Management', HELP, and Quit. The '3.5.2.1 System Management' selection will lead you to another submenu. To return to a previous menu, select
'QUIT' or 'NONE OF THE ABOVE' depending on the submenu. If you want to quit the program entirely, press F10 and you will be returned to the KnowledgePro main menu.

Also note that the text is written into the output file in the order you make your menu selections. If you fail to input an output file name at the beginning of the program, select the menu selection 'Change output file'. This selection can also be made to change the output file periodically throughout the execution of the program, since the output file can get large and certain word processors might not be able to load in a large file. If you completely fail to input a file name, an error message is displayed everytime the program tries to write text to file. The error message can be removed from the screen by pressing the Space bar.

The KnowledgePro package includes a text editor. So, if at anytime you wish to edit a text file, go to the KnowledgePro main menu and press F7. The system will then ask for a file name. Input the file name and hit carriage return. When finished editing the file, hit ESC to save the file or F10 to exit the editor without saving the edited text.

To exit into DOS without leaving the KnowledgePro environment, press F8. To return to the KnowledgePro environment, type EXIT and a carriage return. To leave the KnowledgePro environment, go to the KnowledgePro main menu page and press F10.
Main Menu

- Training
  - Test
  - Support
    - Supply Support
    - Maintenance
      - System Management
      - Project Management
    - Technical Orders
      - Engineering Data
      - Management Data

- Data
- Facilities
- Support Equipment
- Spares
Design Engineering

- Human Factors
- Value Engineering
- Parts Control Program
- Electromagnetic Compatibility
- Survivability/Vulnerability

Logistics Engineering

- Availability
- Maintainability
- Reliability
- Logistics Support Analysis
- Integrated Logistics Support
- Transportability
Contract Work Breakdown Structure
Cost Information Systems
Cost/Schedule Control Systems
Schedule Management
Configuration Management
Data Management
Nomenclature
Manufacturing Management
Computer Resources Management
Travel
Appendix D: Source Code

(* COMMUN.KB *)
(* COMMUN.KB PRODUCES THE TEXT FOR SOW PARAGRAPH *)
(* 3.5.1.6 COMMUNICATIONS *)

do(Communications).

(* TOPIC COMMUNICATIONS IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)
Topic Communications.
write([?outfile], '3.5.1.6 Communications', '#n).
do(Restore).

Topic Restore.
EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('Design.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN
Prime_Mission_Equipment is
read('Design.dat', 'Prime_Mission_Equipment', '/')
ELSE Prime_Mission_Equipment is UNKNOWN.
do(Long_Line_Communications).
end. (* Restore *)

Topic Long_Line_Communications.
write([?outfile], 'COMMUNICATIONS LONG LINE', '#n).
area is 'COMMUNICATIONS LONG LINE'.

ASK ( 'Will the system being procured require leased
long-line communications, interconnecting services,
or support?', Long_Line_Communications, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Long_Line_Communications is YES
THEN include_paragraph(?area, 'Long_Line_Communications-YES')
do(Prime_Mission_Equip).
end (*Long_Line_Communications *)

Topic Prime_Mission_Equip
IF ?Prime_Mission_Equipment <> UNKNOWN
THEN Prime_Mission_Equipment is Prime_Mission_Equipment AND
    do(Electromag_Radiation)
ELSE
    ASK: 'This contract requires:

A. PRIME MISSION EQUIPMENT FOR A RADAR. COMMUNICATIONS, OR
   NAVIGATIONAL SYSTEM THAT USES THE ELECTROMAGNETIC
   SPECTRUM.
B. PRIME MISSION EQUIPMENT NOT FOR A RADAR.
COMMUNICATIONS, OR NAVIGATIONAL SYSTEM THAT USES THE ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRUM.
C. NO PRIME MISSION EQUIPMENT
D. UNKNOWN.
Prime_Mission_Equip,[A,B,C,D]).
do(Electromag_Radiation).
end. (**Prime_Mission_Equip**) Topic Electromag_Radiation.
write([?outfile],RADIO FREQUENCY MANAGEMENT:'),#n).
area is RADIO FREQUENCY MANAGEMENT'. IF ?Prime_Mission_Equip is A
THEN Electromag_Radiation is YES
ELSE
ASK ('Does this contract involve any device that radiates or receives electromagnetic energy, including off-the-shelf equipment?', Electromag_Radiation, [YES. NO, UNKNOWN]).
IF ?Electromag_Radiation is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Electromag_Radiation-YES').
IF ?Electromag_Radiation is UNKNOWN THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Electromag_Radiation-UNKNOWN').
End. (**Electromag_Radiation**) Topic Include_Paragraph(area,findstring).
Text is find('commun.txt',,,?findstring,'/end').
window(?area,?,?,1,7,78,13).
say(?text).
write([?outfile],?text,#n).
close_window().
close('commun.txt').
end. (**Include_Paragraph**) END. (**Communications**)
ASK('input file name', outfile).
do(Data).

Topic Data.
Write([?outfile], '3.6 DATA', #n).
do(Restore).

Topic Restore.
EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('Design.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Design_Change is read('Design.dat', 'Design_Change', '/')
ELSE Design_Change is UNKNOWN.

data is find('logistic.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Warranty_Maintenance is read('logistic.dat', 'Warranty_Maintenance', '/')
ELSE Warranty_Maintenance is UNKNOWN.

data is find('Pmgmt.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Type_Prod_Contract is read('Pmgmt.dat', 'Type_Prod_Contract', '/')
ELSE Type_Prod_Contract is UNKNOWN.
do(Technical_Orders).
end. (* Restore *)

Topic Include_Paragraph(area, findstring).
Text is find('data.txt', '', ?findstring, '/end').
window(?area, ..., 1, 7, 78, 13).
say(?text).
write([?outfile], ?text, #n).
close_window().
close('data.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

(* TECHNICAL ORDERS *)

Topic Technical_Orders.
write([?outfile], 'TECHNICAL ORDERS:', #n).
area is 'TECHNICAL ORDERS'.
ASK('Technical Orders will:', Technical_Orders, ['Follow Mil-Spec', 'Not Follow Mil-Spec', UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Technical_Orders is 'Follow Mil-Spec'
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Technical_Orders-Follow_Mil_Spec')
and
do(To_Deliverables).

IF ?Technical_Orders is UNKNOWN
THEN do(To_Deliverables).
end. (* Technical_Orders *)

Topic To_Deliverable
ASK('This program will require:
A. A TECHNICAL ORDER PUBLICATION PLAN
B. TECHNICAL ORDER STATUS AND SCHEDULES
C. TECHNICAL ORDER REVIEWS
D. ALL THE ABOVE
E. A TECHNICAL ORDER PUBLICATION PLAN & TECHNICAL ORDER STATUS
   AND SCHEDULES
F. A TECHNICAL ORDER PUBLICATION PLAN & TECHNICAL ORDER REVIEWS
G. TECHNICAL ORDER STATUS AND SCHEDULES & TECHNICAL ORDER
   REVIEWS.
H. NONE OF THE ABOVE
I. UNKNOWN'
To_Deliverables, [A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I]).

IF ?To_Deliverables is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-A').

IF ?To_Deliverables is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-B').

IF ?To_Deliverables is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-C').

IF ?To_Deliverables is D
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-A') and
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-B') and
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-C').

IF ?To_Deliverables is E
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-A') and
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-B').

IF ?To_Deliverables is F
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-A') and
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-C').

IF ?To_Deliverables is G
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-B') and
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-C').

IF ?To_Deliverables is H
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'To_Deliverables-H').
do(Hardware).
end. (* To_Deliverables *)

(* ENGINEERING DATA *)

Topic Hardware.
write([?outfile],'ENGINEERING DATA',#n).
area is 'ENGINEERING DATA'.
IF ?Design_Change is Hardware OR
 ?Design_Change is Both
THEN Hardware is YES
ELSE
 IF ?Design_Change is Software
 THEN Hardware is NO
ELSE
 ASK('Are hardware items being developed and/or
 selected?', Hardware, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Hardware is YES or
 ?Hardware is UNKNOWN
THEN do(Engineering_Data_Required)
ELSE do(Data_Management).
end. (* Hardware *)

Topic Engineering_Data_Required.
IF ?Warranty_Maintenance is C OR
 ?Type_Prod_Contract is Competitive OR
 ?Type_Prod_Contract is Sole_Source
THEN Engineering_Data_Required is YES
ELSE
 ASK('Are ANY of the following statements true about this
 contract?

(1) The Production Effort is to be completed
 OR
(2) The ALC will be procuring/providing spares.
 OR
(3) The system/equipment will be AF maintained/supported.
 OR
(4) There will be a follow-on Production Option.',
 Engineering_Data_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Engineering_Data_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Engineering_Data_Required-YES').

IF ?Engineering_Data_Required is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Engineering_Data_Required-NO').
do(Data_Management).
end. (* Engineering Data Required *)

(* DATA MANAGEMENT *)
Topic Data_Management.
write([?outfile], 'MANAGEMENT DATA', #n).
area is 'MANAGEMENT DATA'.
Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Data_Management').
end. (*Data_Management*)

End. (* Data *)
ASK('input a file name.', outfile).
do('Systems Engineering').

(* TOPIC SYSTEMS ENGINEERING IS THE PARENT TOPIC (MAIN TOPIC) *)
Topic 'Systems Engineering'.
write(['?outfile','3.5.1.1 Design Engineering',#n]).
do(Delete_Data).

Topic Delete_Data.
(* Delete old data *)
EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('Design.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN dos('del design.dat') and
    new_file('design.dat') and
    close('design.dat').
do(Design_Change).
end. (* Delete_Data *)

Topic Design_Change.
area is 'System Engineering'.
ASK ('This contract involves the design/redesign of',
    Design_Change, [Software,Hardware,Both,'No design or redesign']).
close('Design.dat').
WRITE('Design.dat',#o,'Design_Change',?Design_Change,'/').

IF ?Design_Change is Software
or ?Design_Change is Hardware
or ?Design_Change is Both
THEN Include_Paragraph (?area,'Design_Change-YES') and
do(SEMPSubmitted).

IF ?Design_Change is 'No design or redesign'
THEN Include_Paragraph (?area,'Design_Change-NO') and
do(Human_Factors).
end. (*Design_change*)

Topic SEMP_Submitted.
ASK('Is a Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP) required to
    be submitted in conjunction with the System Engineering Proposal?',
    SEMP_Submitted, [YES,NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?SEMP_Submitted is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SEMP_Submitted-YES').
IF ?SEMP_Submitted is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SEMP_Submitted-UNKNOWN').
do(SDR).
end. (* SEMP_Submitted *)

Topic SDR.
ASK ('Was a Systems Design Review completed during the Validation Phase?', SDR, [ YES,No,UNKNOWN]).

IF ?SDR is No
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SDR-NO').

IF ?SDR is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SDR-UNKNOWN').
do(FQR).
end. (* SDR *)

Topic FQR.
ASK ('Do you intend to conduct a Formal Qualification Review?', FQR, [ YES,No,UNKNOWN]).
IF ?FQR is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'FQR-YES').
do(Config_audits).
END. (*FQR*)

Topic Config_audits.
ASK ('The following audits will be conducted during Full Scale Development:', Config_audits, [FCA,PCA, Both,UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Config_audits is FCA
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Config_audits-FCA').

IF ?Config_audits is PCA
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Config_audits-PCA').

IF ?Config_audits is Both
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Config_audits-FCA') and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Config_audits-PCA').

IF ?Config_audits is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area,'Config_audits-UNKNOWN').
do(Human_Factors).
End. (*Config_audits*)

Topic Human_Factors.
write([?outfile],'HUMAN FACTORS:',#n).
area is 'HUMAN FACTORS'.
IF ?Design_Change is 'No design or redesign'
THEN Human_Factors is NO
ELSE
ASK (' Will this project or system be operated by, maintained by, or controlled by people?', Human_Factors, [Yes, No, Unknown]).

IF ?Human_Factors is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Human_Factors-YES').
do(Position_Description).
End. (*Human_Factors*)

Topic Position_Description.
ASK (' Will your system require new equipment/operation position descriptions or significant modification of old ones?', Position_Description, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Position_Description is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Position_Description-YES') AND do(TEST_EVAL).

IF ?Position_Description is NO THEN do(DOLLARS).

IF ?Position_Description is UNKNOWN THEN do(DOLLARS).
End. (*Position_Discrption*)

Topic TEST_EVAL.
ASK (' The development test and evaluation of this system/equipment will be performed by the:', TEST_EVAL, [Contractor, 'SPO and/or user', all_of_the_above]).

IF ?TEST_EVAL is Contractor OR ?TEST_EVAL is all_of_the_above THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Test_Eval-Contractor').
do(DOLLARS).
END (*TEST_EVAL*)

Topic DOLLARS.
write([?outfile],'VALUE ENGINEERING:',#n).
area is 'VALUE ENGINEERING'.
ASK ('Is the dollar effort for this effort greater than 100 thousand dollars?', DOLLARS, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?DOLLARS is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars-YES') ELSE IF ?DOLLARS is NO THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars-NO').
do(Parts_Control).
End. (*DOLLARS*).
Topic Parts_Control.
write([?outfile], 'PARTS CONTROL PROGRAM:', #n).
area is 'PARTS CONTROL PROGRAM'.
IF ?Design_Change is Hardware OR
  ?Design_Change is Both
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Parts_Control-Hardware') and
do(Prime_Mission_Equipment).

IF ?Design_Change is Software OR
  ?Design_Change is 'No design or redesign'
THEN Showtext(?area, 'Parts_Control-Software') and
do(Prime_Mission_Equipment).
end. (* Parts_Control *)

Topic Prime_Mission_Equipment.
write([?outfile], 'ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATABILITY:', #n).
area is 'ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATABILITY'.
ASK ('This contract requires:

A. Prime Mission Equipment for a radar, communications, or navigational system that uses the electromagnetic spectrum
B. Prime Mission Equipment not for a radar, communications, or navigational system that uses the electromagnetic spectrum.
C. No Prime Mission Equipment.
D. UNKNOWN'

Prime_Mission_Equipment. [A, B, C, D]).

close('Design.dat').
WRITE('Design.dat', #o, 'Prime_Mission_Equipment',
  ?Prime_Mission_Equipment, '/').

IF ?Prime_Mission_Equipment is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Prime_Mission_Equipment-A') AND
do(Type_Equipment).

IF ?Prime_Mission_Equipment is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Prime_Mission_Equipment-B') AND
do(Type_Equipment).

IF ?Prime_Mission_Equipment is C
THEN do(sv_required).

IF ?Prime_Mission_Equipment is D
THEN do(Type_Equipment).
end. (*Prime_Mission_Equipment*)

Topic Type_Equipment.
ASK (' The Prime Mission equipment also requires:',
  Type_Equipment, [Simulators, Support_Equipment, Both, 'No Additional Equipment']).
If ?Type_Equipment is Simulators
THEN Include_Paragraph(?'area,'Type_Equipment-Simulators').

IF ?Type_Equipment is Support_Equipment
THEN Include_Paragraph(?'area,'Type_Equipment-Support_Equipment').

IF ?Type_Equipment is Both
THEN Include_Paragraph(?'area,'Type_Equipment-Simulators') and
Include_Paragraph(?'area,'Type_Equipment-Support_Equipment').

close('Design.dat').
WRITE('Design.dat',#o,'Type_Equipment',?Type_Equipment,'/').
do(system_assembled).
End. (*Type_Equipment*)

Topic system_assembled.
ASK ('Is this proposed contract for subsystems/equipment assembled into a system?', system_assembled, [Yes,No,Unknown]).

if ?system_assembled is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?'area,'system_assembled-YES').
do(Equipment_Location).
End. (*system_assembled*)

Topic Equipment_Location.
ASK ('The subsystems/equipment acquired under this contract will be placed:', Equipment_Location, ['On Board Aircraft', 'On A Ground Fixed Site', 'On A Ground Mobile Site', Unknown]).

IF ?Equipment_Location is 'On Board Aircraft'
THEN Include_Paragraph(?'area,'Equipment_Location-On_Board_Aircraft').

IF ?Equipment_Location is 'On A Ground Fixed Site'
THEN Include_Paragraph(?'area,'Equipment_Location-On_A_Ground_Fixed_Site').

IF ?Equipment_Location is 'On A Ground Mobile Site'
THEN Include_Paragraph(?'area,'Equipment_Location-On_A_Ground-Mobile_Site').
do(sv_required).
End. (*Equipment_Location*)

Topic sv_required.
write(['?outfile'),'SURVIVABILITY/VULNERABILITY:',#n).
area is 'SURVIVABILITY/VULNERABILITY'.
ASK ('Is a Survivability/Vulnerability (S/V)
program required?' , sv_required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?sv_required is YES
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'sv_required-Yes') and do(sv_program_plan).

IF ?sv_required is NO
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'sv_required-NO').

IF ?sv_required is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area, 'sv_program_plan-D').
End. (*sv_required*)

Topic sv_program_plan.
ASK ('A Survivability/Vulnerability Program Plan was,
A. Written in a prior phase and does not require updating
B. Written in a prior phase and does require updating
C. Not written
D. Unknown', sv_program_plan, [A,B,C,D]).

IF ?sv_program_plan is B
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'sv_program_plan-B').

IF ?sv_program_plan is C
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'sv_program_plan-C').

IF ?sv_program_plan is D
THEN Showtext(?area, 'sv_program_plan-D').
exit().
End. (*sv_program_plan*)

Topic Include_Paragraph(area,findstring).
Text is find('Design.txt','','?findstring,'/end').
window(?area,1,7,78,13).
say(?text).
write([?ou..le],?text,#n).
close_window().
close('Design.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Showtext(area,findstring).
Text is find('Design.txt','','?findstring,'/end').
window(?area,1,7,78,13).
say('NOTE: THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE IS NOT WRITTEN TO DISK',?text).
close_window().
close('Design.txt').
end. (* Showtext *)

End. (* Systems Engineering *)
ASK('input a file name', outfile).
do('Real Property Facilities').

(* 'REAL PROPERTY FACILITIES' IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)
Topic 'Real Property Facilities'.
do(Restore).
write([?outfile], '3.7 Operations/Site Activation', #n).

Topic Restore.
EOF is numbertochar(26).
Data is find('support.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
Then GFE_Maintenance is read('support.dat', 'GFE_Maintenance', '/')
ELSE SFE_Maintenance is UNKNOWN.
do(Facilities_Task_Required).
END. (* RESTORE *)

Topic Facilities_Task_Required.
write([?outfile], 'REAL PROPERTY FACILITIES:', #n).
area is 'REAL PROPERTY FACILITIES'.
ASK('Are ANY of the following statements true about this contact?' OR
A facility is being contracted.
OR
(2) Real property equipment is being installed by the system contractor.
OR
(3) The system contractor is being tasked to provide design criteria.'.

Facilities_Task_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Facilities_Task_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Facilities_Task_Required-YES') and
do(Facilities).

IF ?Facilities_Task_Required is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area, 'Facilities_Task_Required-UNKNOWN') and
do(Facilities).
end. (*Facilities_Task_Required*)

Topic Facilities.
ASK('The system contractor is to:
A. Design the Facilities
B. Build the Facilities
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C. Both Design and Build the Facilities
D. No Design or Building is required
E. UNKNOWN', Facilities, [A,B,C,D,E]).

IF ?Facilities is A
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'Facilities-A').

IF ?Facilities is B
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'Facilities-B').

IF ?Facilities is C
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'Facilities-A') and
   IncludeParagraph(?area, 'Facilities-B').
do(Towers_Required).
end. (*Facilities *)

Topic Towers_Required.
ASK('Are towers (structural support towers) required to support
antenna as part of your system?', Towers_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Towers_Required is YES
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'TowersRequired-YES').
do(Environmental_Deliverables).
end. (*Towers_Required*)

Topic Environmental_Deliverables.
ASK('Is the system contractor tasked to produce deliverables as
part of the environmental process?', Environmental_Deliverables,
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Environmental_Deliverables is YES
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'Environmental_Deliverables-YES').

IF ?Environmental_Deliverables is NO
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'Environmental_Deliverables-NO').

IF ?Environmental_Deliverables is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area, 'Environmental_Deliverables-UNKNOWN').
do(Site_Selected).
end. (*Environmental_Deliverables*)

Topic Site_Selected.
ASK('Has the site for facilities been selected?', Site_Selected,
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Site_Selected is NO
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area, 'Site_Selected-NO') and
   do(GFE_OF_Utilities)
ELSE do(Access_Roads).
end. (*Site_Selected*)

Topic Access_Roads.
IF ?Facilities is A OR
   ?Facilities is D
THEN Access_Roads is NO
ELSE
ASK('Will the contractor provide access roads to the site?',
   Access_Roads, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Access_Roads is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Access_Roads-YES').

do(GFE_OR_Utility).
end. (*Access_Roads*)

Topic GFE_OR_Utility.
IF ?GFE_Maintenance is A
THEN GFE_OR_Utility is YES
ELSE
ASK('Will the Government furnish equipment or utility services
   (e.g., gas, water, electricity)?', GFE_OR_Utility, [YES, NO,
   UNKNOWN]).

IF ?GFE_OR_Utility is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFE_OR_Utility-YES').

IF ?GFE_OR_Utility is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFE_OR_Utility-NO').

do(TO_Government_Ownership).
end. (*GFE_OR_Utility*)

Topic TO_Government_Ownership.
IF ?Facilities is A OR
   ?Facilities is D
THEN TO_Government_Ownership is NO
ELSE
ASK('Is the facility to be transferred from contractor ownership
to Government ownership?', TO_Government_Ownership, [YES, NO,
UNKNOWN]).

IF ?TO_Government_Ownership is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'TO_Government_Ownership-YES').
do(O&M_Required).
end. (*TO_Government_Ownership*)

Topic O&M_Required.
IF ?TO_Government_Ownership is NO
THEN O&M_Required is NO
ELSE
ASK('Is there to be a period of time that the contractor is to operate and maintain the real property facilities after Government acceptance of the facilities and before the government accepts the system?', O&M_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?O&M_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'O&M_Required-YES').
dO(How_Big).
end. (*O&M_Required*)

Topic How_Big.
ASK('The facility is:
A. LARGER THAN 20,000 SQUARE FEET OR ESTIMATED TO COST MORE THAN 1,000,000 DOLLARS.
B. LARGER THAN 80,000 SQUARE FEET OR ESTIMATED TO COST MORE THAN 4,000,000 DOLLARS.
C. NONE OF THE ABOVE.
D. UNKNOWN.
How_Big, [A,B,C,D]).

IF ?How_Big is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'How_Big-A')

IF ?How_Big is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'How_Big-A') and Include_Paragraph(?area,'How_Big-B').
end. (*How_Big*)

Topic Include_Paragraph(area, findstring).
Text is find('facility.txt', ?, findstring, '/end').
window(?area, ?, 1, 7, 78, 13).
say(?text).
write([?outfile], ?text, #n).
close_window().
close('facility.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Showtext(area, findstring).
Text is find('facility.txt', ?, findstring, '/end').
window(?area, ?, 1, 7, 78, 13).
say(?text).
close_window().
close('facility.txt').
end. (* Showtext *)
end. (* Real Property Facilities *)
ASK('INPUT AN OUTPUT FILE NAME.', outfile).
   do('Logistics Engineering').

(topic 'Logistics Engineering'.
   write([outfile],'3.5.1.2 Logistics Engineering',#n).
   do(Delete_Data).

(topic Delete_Data.
   (* Delete old data *)
   EOF is numberto_char(26).
   data is find('logistic.dat').
   IF ?data <> ?EOF THEN dos('del logistic.dat') and
      new_file('logistic.dat') and
      close('logistic.dat').
   do(Availability).
   end. (* Delete_Data *)

(topic Availability.
   write([outfile],'AVAILABILITY:',#n).
   area is 'AVAILABILITY'.
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'-Logistics').
   do(Maintainability_Required).
   end. (* Availability *)

(topic Maintainability_Required.
   write([outfile],'MAINTAINABILITY',#n).
   area is 'MAINTAINABILITY'.
   ASK('Is a Maintainability Program required?'.
      Maintainability_Required,
      [YES,NO,UNKNOWN]).
   IF ?Maintainability_Required is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Maintainability_Required-YES') and do(TYPE_FSD_EFFORT).
   IF ?Maintainability_Required is NO THEN do(Reliability_Required).
   IF ?Maintainability_Required is UNKNOWN THEN do(TYPE_FSD_EFFORT).
   end. (*Maintainability_Required*)

(topic TYPE_FSD_EFFORT.
   ASK('What is the type of Full Scale Development (FSD) effort
required?", TYPE_FSD_EFFORT, [Competitive, 'Single Source', 'Firm Fixed Price', UNKNOWN]).

IF ?TYPE_FSD_EFFORT is Competitive THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'TYPE_FSD_EFFORT-Competitive').

do(NDI_ITEMS).
end. (*TYPE_FSD_EFFORT*)

Topic NDI_ITEMS.
ASK('Will the system have:
A. SOME NON DEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS
B. ALL NON DEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS
C. NO NON DEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS
D. UNKNOWN', NDI_ITEMS, [A, B, C, D]).

IF ?NDI_ITEMS is A OR
?NDI_ITEMS is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'NDI_ITEMS-A_OR_B').

close('logistic.dat').
WRITE('logistic.dat', #o, 'NDI_ITEMS', ?NDI_ITEMS,'/').
do(Warranty_Maintenance).
end. (* NDI_ITEMS *)

Topic Warranty_Maintenance.
ASK('How will the system be maintained/repaired?
A. CONTRACTOR WILL MAINTAIN AND REPAIR ALL ITEMS.
B. CONTRACTOR WILL PERFORM REPAIR ONLY SOME OF THE ITEMS
C. REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE IS NOT DONE BY THE CONTRACTOR
D. UNKNOWN', Warranty_Maintenance, [A, B, C, D]).

IF ?Warranty_Maintenance is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Warranty_Maintenance-A').

IF ?Warranty_Maintenance is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Warranty_Maintenance-B').

IF ?Warranty_Maintenance is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Warranty_Maintenance-C').

close('logistic.dat').
WRITE('logistic.dat', #o, 'Warranty_Maintenance', 'Warranty_Maintenance','/').
do(Reliability_Required).
end. (* Warranty_Maintenance *)

Topic Reliability_Required.
write([?outfile],'REALIABILITY:',#n).
area is 'REALIABILITY'.

ASK('Is a Reliability Program required?',
Reliability_Required,
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Reliability_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Reliability_Required-YES') and
do(Contract).

IF ?Reliability_Required is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Reliability_Required-NO') and
do(Support_Factors_Documentation).

IF ?Reliability_Required is UNKNOWN
THEN do(Contract).
end. (* Reliability_Required *)

Topic Contract.
IF ?TYPE_PSD_EFFORT is Competitive
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contract-Competitive').
do(Reliability_Parameters).
end. (* Contract *)

Topic Reliability_Parameters.
ASK('Will Contractor quantitative predictions of realiabilty be
required?',
Reliability_Parameters,
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Reliability_Parameters is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Reliability_Parameters-YES').
do(Support_Factors_Documentation).
end. (* Reliability_Parameters *)

Topic Support_Factors_Documentation.
write([?outfile],'LOGISTICS SUPPORT ANALYSIS:',#n).
area is 'LOGISTICS SUPPORT ANALYSIS'.

ASK('Do you require documentation on support factors for the
new system?

NOTE: These factors include: mobility requirements,
deployment scenerios, mission frequency, basing concepts,
service life, etc. for both peacetime and wartime. IF this
task was performed in an earlier phase, you may wish to only
update it now. This information is generally reported in a
use study ', Support_Factors_Documentation,
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).
IF ?Support_Factors_Documentation is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Support_Factors_Documentation-YES')
ELSE
    IF ?Support_Factors_Documentation is NO
    THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Support_Factors_Documentation-NO')
    ELSE Include_Paragraph(?area,'Support_Factors_Documentation-UNKNOWN')
.
do(Maximize_Resources).
end. (* Support_Factors_Documentation *)

Topic Maximize_Resources.
ASK('Do you want to maximize the use of existing resources (hardware, software, trained manpower, etc.) in the newly designed system?', Maximize_Resources, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Maximize_Resources is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Maximize_Resources-YES')
    do(BCS_Required).
end. (*Maximize_Resources *)

Topic BCS_Required.
ASK('Do you need a Baseline Comparison System (BCS) to determine support parameters or support, cost and readiness drivers for the new system?', BCS_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?BCS_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'BCS_Required-YES')
    do(New_Tech_Impact).
end. (*BCS_Required *)

Topic New_Tech_Impact.
ASK('Do you want the contractor to explore new technological advances and their impact on system support?', New_Tech_Impact, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?New_Tech_Impact is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'New_Tech_Impact-YES')
    do(Support_Design_Constraints_Doc).
end. (*New_Tech_Impact *)

Topic Support_Design_Constraints_Doc.
ASK('Do you want the contractor to establish or update support design constraints and include them in LSA documentation, System Specifications, etc.?', Support_Design_Constraints_Doc, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).
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Topic Tasks_For_Operation.
ASK('Do you want to identify the operations and support tasks needed to keep the new equipment operating?

NOTE: These tasks should be specified for each maintenance concept being considered, for both peacetime and wartime. The results of this effort should be documented in the LSA Record (LSAR). This serves as the outline for your technical orders and manuals.', Tasks_For_Operation, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Tasks_For_Operation is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Tasks_For_Operation-YES'). do(Alternative_Support_Concepts). end. (* Tasks_For_Operation *)

ASK('Do you want the contractor to propose and evaluate alternative support concepts for the system?

NOTE: The contractor will also be required to perform and document system tradeoffs made during the design process. These tasks are designed to force cost, schedule, readiness and supportability to be considered along with performance when design decisions are made.', Alternative_Support_Concepts, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).


Topic Repair_Level_Analysis.
ASK('Do you want a repair level analysis performed?', Repair_Level_Analysis, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Repair_Level_Analysis is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Repair_Level_Analysis-YES'). do(Tasks_For_Source_Data). end. (* Repair_Level_Analysis *)

Topic Tasks_For_Source_Data.
ASK('Do you want the contractor to analyze the operations and maintenance tasks to provide source data for provisioning, technical manuals, training, manpower lists, etc.?')
NOTE: This will provide data to identify areas which need additional design effort to reduce support costs and improve readiness. It is current Air Force policy to use MIL-STD-1388-1A, MIL-STD-1388-2A and MIL-STD-1561B as the only authorized method of acquiring provisioning technical documentation.

IF ?Tasks_For_Source_Data is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Tasks_For_Source_Data-YES').
doi(Impact_Assessment).
end. (* Tasks_For_Source_Data *)

Topic Impact_Assessment.
IF ?Support_Factors_Documentation is YES or
?Maximize_Resources is YES or
?BCS_Required is YES or
?New_Tech_Impact is YES or
?Support_Design_Constraints_Doc is YES or
?Tasks_For_Operation is YES
THEN Impact_Assessment is YES
ELSE ASK('Do you want the contractor to assess the impact of introducing the new system?

NOTE: This includes looking at, for example, impact on depot workloads, provisioning, ATE availability, and manpower.

IF ?Impact_Assessment is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Impact_Assessment-YES').
doi(Impact).
end. (* Impact_Assessment *)

Topic Impact.
ASK('Have any of your previous responses made an LSA Plan mandatory or was an LSA Plan written during an earlier phase of this program?

IF ?Impact is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Impact-YES').
doi(Joint_Service_Program).
end. (* Impact *)

Topic Joint_Service_Program.
ASK('Is this a Joint Service program?', Joint_Service_Program.
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Joint_Service_Program is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Joint_Service_Program-YES').
do(ILLSRequired).
end. (*Joint_Service_Program*)

Topic ILSRequired.
write([?outfile],'INTEGRATED LOGISTICS SUPPORT:',#n).
area is 'INTEGRATED LOGISTICS SUPPORT'.

ASK('Is Integrated Logistices Support (ILS) Planning Required?'
 ,ILLSRequired, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?ILLSRequired is YES
THEN Include Paragraph(?area,'ILS_Required').
do(DesignParameters).
end. (* ILS_Required *)

Topic DesignParameters.
write([?outfile],'TRANSPORTABILITY:',#n).
area is 'TRANSPORTABILITY'.

ASK('Are the design parameters known?', DesignParameters,
 [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?DesignParameters is YES
THEN Include Paragraph(?area,'Design_Parameters-YES').
IF ?DesignParameters is NO
THEN Include Paragraph(?area,'Design_Parameters-NO').

do(PackHazMaterials).
end. (* Design_Parameters *)

Topic Pack_Haz_Materials.
IF exists(PackHazardous_Materials)
THEN Pack_Haz_Materials is Pack_Hazardous_Materials
ELSE Pack_Haz_Materials is UNKNOWN.
do(Hazardous_Materials).
end. (* Pack_Haz_Materials *)

Topic Hazardous_Materials.
IF ?Pack_Hazardous_Materials is YES
THEN Hazardous_Materials is YES
ELSE
ASK('Will the system contain any hazardous materials?'
 .Hazardous_Materials,
 [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Hazardous_Materials is YES
THEN Include Paragraph(?area,'Hazardous_Materials-YES').
IF ?Hazardous_Materials is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(\area, 'Hazardous_Materials-NO').

do(Special_Requirements).
end. (*Hazardous_Materials *)

Topic Special_Requirements.
ASK('This contract includes items that:
A. REQUIRE SPECIAL LOADING, UNLOADING, AND MOVEMENT PROCEDURES
B. INHIBIT TRANSPORTATION
C. BOTH REQUIRE SPECIAL LOADING AND INHIBIT TRANSPORTATION
D. NO SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS IDENTIFIED.
E. UNKNOWN'.
Special_Requirements, [A,B,C,D,E]).

IF ?Special_Requirements is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(\area, 'Special_Requirements-A').

IF ?Special_Requirements is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(\area, 'Special_Requirements-B').

IF ?Special_Requirements is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(\area, 'Special_Requirements-A') and
   Include_Paragraph(\area, 'Special_Requirements-B').
end. (* Special_Requirements *)

Topic Include_Paragraph(\area,findstring).
Text is find('Logistic.txt', \findstring, 'end').
window(\area, 1, 78, 13).
say(\text).
write(['outfile'], \text.#n).
close_window().
close('Logistic.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

end. (* Logistics Engineering *)
(* MENU.KB PRODUCES THE MAIN MENU *)
do(Delete_data).
Topic Delete_data.

ASK('Are you starting a new document?

NOTE: If you wish to develop a new document from scratch, answer YES to the above question. If you are returning to develop a document started at a previous session answer NO to the above question.

***** WARNING *****
PARAMETER PASSING IS PERFORMED THROUGH THE USE OF .DAT FILES. IF YOU ANSWER THE ABOVE QUESTION YES, ALL .DAT FILES IN THE DIRECTORY WILL BE DELETED. IF YOU STOP WORKING ANY TIME DURING THIS SESSION AND INTEND TO CONTINUE WORK LATER, MAKE SURE YOU SAVE YOUR .DAT FILES. IF THERE ARE NO .DAT FILES IN THE DIRECTORY, A MESSAGE "FILE NOT FOUND" WILL APPEAR BRIEFLY ON THE SCREEN. ', Delete_Data, [YES,NO]).

IF 'Delete_Data is YES THEN
dos('del *.dat').
end.

Text is find('Intro.txt',...Introduction,'/end').
say('text').
do(outfile).
Topic outfile.
ASK('input the name of the output file.

do(Main_Menu).
end

Topic Main_Menu.

ASK('')

* ****************************************************** *
* MAIN MENU                                           *
* ****************************************************** 
Select the Statement of Work Topic Area you wish to generate from the menu. Push F10 to QUIT.

Main_Menu: ['1.0 Scope', '3.0 Requirements', '3.2 Training', '3.4 System and Test Evaluation', '3.5 System/Project Management', '3.6 Data', '3.7 Operations/Site Activation', '3.8 Common Support Equipment', '3.10 Initial Spares and Repair Parts', 'Change output file'].

IF ?Main_Menu is '1.0 Scope'
THEN text is find('intro.txt', INDEX,'/end') and
write(?outfile,?text) and
   text is find('intro.txt',?Main_Menu,'/end') and
   say(?text) and
   write(?outfile,?text) and
   do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.0 Requirements'
THEN text is find('intro.txt',?Main_Menu,'/end') and
   say(?text) and
   write(?outfile,?text) and
   do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.2 Training'
THEN Load('Training.ckb') and
   do(Training) and
   remove_topic(Training) and
   do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.4 System and Test Evaluation'
THEN Load('Testeval.ckb') and
   do('Test and Evaluation') and
   load('support.ckb') and
   do(support) and
   remove_topic('support') and
   do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.5 System/Project Management'
THEN Load('MenuSys.ckb') and
   do('System/Project Management Menu') and
   close('MenuSys.ckb') and
   do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.6 Data'
THEN load('Data.ckb') and
   do(Data) and
   remove_topic('Data') and
   do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.7 Operations/Site Activation'
THEN load('Facility.ckb') and
do('Real Property Facilities') and
remove_Topic('Real Property Facilities') and
do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.8 Common Support Equipment'
THEN load('supequip.ckb') and
   Do('Support Equipment') and
   remove_topic('Support Equipment') and
do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is '3.10 Initial Spares and Repair Parts'
THEN load('Spares.ckb') and
   do('Initial Spare/Repair Parts') and
   remove_topic('Initial Spare/Repair Parts') and
do(Main_Menu).

IF ?Main_Menu is 'Change output file'
THEN do(outfile).

IF ?Main_Menu is QUIT
THEN Exit().

END. (* Main_Menu *)
MENUSYS.KB

MENUSYS.KB PRODUCES THE SUBMENUS WHEN

3.5 SYSTEM/PROJECT MANAGEMENT IS SELECTED

FROM THE MAIN MENU

Topic 'System/Project Management Menu'.

ASK('System/Project Management is broken down into the

subparagraphs

3.5.1 System Management
3.5.2 Project Management.

Please choose the subparagraph you wish to work on',

management, ['3.5.1 System Management', '3.5.2 Project

Management', QUIT, HELP]).

IF ?management is '3.5.1 System Management'
THEN do('System Management Menu') and
   do('System/Project Management Menu').

IF ?management is '3.5.2 Project Management'
THEN load('Pmgmt.ckb') and
   do('Program Management') and
   remove_topic('Program Management') and
   do('System/Project Management Menu').

IF ?management is HELP
THEN do(HELP.Management) and
   remove_topic('HELP.Management') and
   do('System/Project Management Menu').

IF ?management is QUIT
THEN exit().

Topic 'System Management Menu'.

ASK('Choose the subparagraph under the paragraph System

Management you wish to work on', System, ['3.5.1.1 Design

Engineering', '3.5.1.2 Logistics Engineering', '3.5.1.3

Specialty Engineering', '3.5.1.4 Manufacturing Engineering',

3.5.1.5 Security', '3.5.1.6 Communications', 'NONE OF THE

ABOVE', HELP]).

IF ?System is '3.5.1.1 Design Engineering'
THEN load('DESIGN.CKB') AND
   do('Systems Engineering') and
   remove_topic('Systems Engineering') and
   do('System Management Menu').

IF ?System is '3.5.1.2 Logistics Engineering'
THEN load('logistic.ckb') and
   do('Logistics Engineering') and
   remove_topic('Logistics Engineering') and
   do('System Management Menu').
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IF ?System is '3.5.1.3 Specialty Engineering'
THEN load('special.ckb') and
do(Specialty) and
remove_topic('Specialty') and
do('System Management Menu').

IF ?System is '3.5.1.4 Manufacturing Engineering'
THEN load('Manufact.ckb') and
do(Manufacturing) and
remove_topic('Manufacturing') and
do('System Management Menu').

IF ?System is '3.5.1.5 Security'
THEN load('Security.ckb') and
do(Security) and
remove_topic('Security') and
do('System Management Menu').

IF ?System is '3.5.1.6 Communications'
THEN load('Commun.ckb') and
do(Communications) and
remove_topic('Communications') and
do('System Management Menu').

IF ?System is HELP
THEN do(Help_System) and
remove_topic('Help_System') and
do('System Management Menu').

IF ?System is 'NONE OF THE ABOVE'
THEN exit().

end. (* System Management Menu *)

Topic Help_Management.
ASK('Select the area for which you desire additional information

NOTE: The following information is not written to disk.'.
management, ['3.5.1 Systems Management', '3.5.2 Project Management']).

Helpertext( ?management).
end. (* Help_Management *)

Topic Help_System.
ASK('Select the area for which you desire additional information

NOTE: The following information is not written to disk.');
system, ['3.5.1.1 Design Engineering', '3.5.1.2 Logistics Engineering', '3.5.1.3 Specialty Engineering', '3.5.1.4 Manufacturing Engineering', '3.5.1.5 Security', '3.5.1.6 Communications']).

Helptext(\text{system}).
end. (* Help_System *)

Topic Helptext(findstring).
Text is Read('Help.txt', ?findstring, '/end').
window(?findstring,...,1,5,78,10).
Say(?text).
close_window().
end. (* Helptext *)

END. (* System/Project Management Menu *)
ASK('Input a file name.', outfile).
do('Program Management')

(* TOPIC PROGRAM MANAGEMENT IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)
Topic 'Program Management'.
write(?outfile,'3.5.2 Project Management',#n).
do(Delete_Data).

Topic Delete_Data.
(* Delete old data *)
EOF is numbertochar(26).
data is find('Pmgmt.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN dos('del lmgmt.dat') and
    new_file('Pmgmt.dat') AND
    Close('Pmgmt.dat').
do(restore).
end. (* Delete_Data *)

Topic restore.
EOF is numbertochar(26).
data is find('Testeval.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Software_Test_PROGRAM is read('Testeval.dat',
    'Software_Test_PROGRAM', '/') and
    Formal_Qual_Review is read('Testeval.dat','FCA_OR_FQR', '/')
ELSE Software_Test_PROGRAM is UNKNOWN.

data is find('Design.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Design_Change is read('Design.dat','DesignChange', 'i')
ELSE ASK('The system requires the design/redesign of:',
    Design_Change, [Hardware,Software,Both, 'No design or redesign']).

data is find('logistic.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Warranty_Maintenance is read('logistic.dat',
    'Warranty_Maintenance', '/')
and NDI_ITEMS is read('logistic.dat','NDI_ITEMS', '/')
ELSE NDI_ITEMS is UNKNOWN.

do('System Designed or Developed').
Topic Include_Paragraph(area,findstring).
Text is find('pmgmt.txt', findstring, '/end').
write( outfile, text, #n).
window(area, 1, 7, 78, 13).
say( text).
close_window().
close('pmgmt.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic 'System Designed or Developed'.
write([?outfile], 'CONTRACT WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE:', #n).
area is 'CONTRACT WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE'.
IF ?'Design_Change' is Hardware OR
   ?'Design_Change' is Software OR
   ?'Design_Change' is Both
THEN 'System Designed or Developed' is YES
ELSE 'System Designed or Developed' is NO.

IF '?'System Designed or Developed' is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'System_Designed or Developed -YES')
and do(Contract_Type)
Else do(Unique_Sched_Management).
end. (*'System Designed or Developed'*)

Topic Contract_Type.
ASK ( 'This contract is:', Contract_Type,
   [ Firm_Fixed_Price, Incentive. Unknown]).

IF ?Contract_Type is Incentive
THEN do(Dollars_FSD_Contract)
ELSE do(Unique_Sched_Management).
end. (*Contract_Type*)

Topic Dollars_FSD_Contract.
ASK ( 'The dollar value for this effort is:
   A. $40 Million Dollars and Above
   B. Between $2-$40 Million Dollars
   C. Less than $2 Million Dollars', Dollars_FSD_Contract,
   [ A, B, C]).

IF ?Dollars_FSD_Contract is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_FSD_Contract_CWBS -A_or_B')
and area is 'Contract Schedule and Control System'
and WRITE( outfile, 'Contract Schedule and Control System:' , #n)
and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_FSD_Contract_CSCS-A')
and area is 'Cost Information System'
and WRITE(?outfile, 'Cost Information System:',#n)
and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_FSD_Contract_Cost
-A_or_B').

IF ?Dollars_FSD_Contract is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_FSD_Contract_CWBS
-A_or_B')
and area is 'Contract Schedule and Cost System'
and WRITE(?outfile, 'Contract Schedule and Cost System:',#n)
and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_FSD_Contract_OSCS-B')
and area is 'Cost Information System'
and WRITE(?outfile, 'Cost Information System:',#n) and
Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_FSD_Contract_Cost-A_or_B').

do(UniqueSchedManagement).
end. (*Dollars_FSD_Contract*)

Topic Unique_Sched_Management.
write([?outfile],'SCHEDULE MANAGEMENT:').
area is 'SCHEDULE MANAGEMENT'.
ASK ('Does this program require a unique method of
schedule management?', Unique_Sched_Management,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Unique_Sched_Management is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Unique_Sched_Management-YES').

IF ?Unique_Sched_Management is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Unique_Sched_Management-NO').
do(ConfigRequired).
end. (*Unique_Sched_Management*)

Topic Config_Required.
area is 'CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT'.
Include_Paragraph(?area,'-STINFO').
write([?outfile],'CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT:',#n).

ASK ('Is Configuration Management applicable to this
program?', Config_Required,[YES,NO,UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Config_Required-NO') and
do(Contractor_Config).

IF ?Config_Required is YES or
?Config_Required is UNKNOWN
THEN do(Contractor_Config).
end. (*Config_Required*)
Topic Contractor_Config.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN Contractor_Config is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('The contractor's Configuration Management system has been:
A. Previously validated by the government and no new additional configuration management requirements have been invoked.
B. Previously validated by the government however new or additional configuration management requirements have been invoked
C. Has not been previously validated by the government
D. Unknown', Contractor_Config,[ A, B, C, D]).

IF ?Contractor_Config is A OR
?Contractor_Config is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contractor_Config-A_or_B').
do(Interface_Control).
end. (*Contractor_Config*)

Topic Interface_Control.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN Interface_Control is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('The contractor will:
A. Establish and chair an Interface Control Working Group (ICWG).
B. Be a member of an Interface Control Working Group (ICWG).
C. Have other interface control responsibilities. The ICWG is not applicable.
D. Interface control is not required
E. Unknown', Interface_Control,[ A, B, C, D, E]).

IF ?Interface_Control is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Interface_Control-A').

IF ?Interface_Control is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Interface_Control-B').

IF ?Interface_Control is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Interface_Control-C').

IF ?Interface_Control is D
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Interface_Control-D').
do(Type_Baseline).
end. (*Interface_Control*)
Topic Type_Baseline.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN Type_Baseline is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('The contractor is responsible for the:

A. Functional Configuration Identification and/or baseline.
B. Allocated Configuration Identification and/or baseline.
C. Product Configuration Identification and/or baseline.
D. Unknown', Type_Baseline,[ A, B, C, D]).

IF ?Type_Baseline is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Baseline-A').

IF ?Type_Baseline is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Baseline-B').

IF ?Type_Baseline is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Baseline-C').
dо(ECPS).
end. (*Type_Baseline*)

Topic ECPS.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN ECPS is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('Will engineering drawings and specifications be prepared for hardware and software configuration items that are to be developed, procured and/or delivered?', ECPS,[ YES; NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?ECPS is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'ECPS-YES').
dо(Count_Config_Items).
end. (*ECPS*)

Topic Count_Config_Items.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN Count_Config_Items is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('Will the contractor be required to account for and document configuration items at site locations?', Count_Config_Items,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Count_Config_Items is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Count_Config_Items-YES').
dо(Status_Acct_Reports).
end. (*Count_Config_Items*)

Topic Status_Acct_Reports.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN Status_Acct_Reports is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('Will Status Accounting Reports be required in addition to the Configuration Item Development Records?',
Status_Acct_Reports, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Status_Acct_Reports is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Status_Acct_Reports-YES').
do(System_DesOrMod).
end. (*Status_Acct_Reports*)

Topic System_DesOrMod.
IF ?Design_Change is Hardware OR
  ?Design_Change is Software OR
  ?Design_Change is UNKNOWN
THEN System_DesOrMod is YES
ELSE
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN System_DesOrMod is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('Will the system contain hardware/software of, new designs, modifications of existing designs or new sources?',
System_DesOr_Mod,[YES;NO;UNKNOWN]).

IF ?System_DesOr_Mod is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'System_DesOr_Mod-YES').
do(Dev_ContractorIsProd).
end. (*System_DesorMod*)

Topic Dev_ContractorIsProd.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN Dev_ContractorIsProd is UNKNOWN
ELSE
ASK ('Will the development contractor be the production contractor?',
Dev_Contractor_Is_Prod,[YES;NO;UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Dev_Contractor_Is_Prod is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dev_Contractor_Is_Prod-YES').

IF ?Dev_Contractor_Is_Prod is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dev_Contractor_Is_Prod-NO').
do(FormalQual_Review).
end. (*Dev_Contractor_Is_Prod*)

Topic FormalQual_Review.
IF ?Config_Required is NO
THEN FormalQual_Review is UNKNOWN
ELSE
  IF exists(Formal_QualReview)
  THEN FormalQual_Review is FormalQualReview
  ELSE
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ASK ('Do you intend to conduct a Formal Qualification Review (FQR)?', Formal_Qual_Review,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Formal_Qual_Review is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Formal_Qual_Review-YES').
d(Nomen_required).
end. (*Formal_Qual_Review*)

Topic Nomen_required.
write([?outfile],'NOMENCLATURE:',#n).
area is 'NOMENCLATURE'.
IF ?ConfigRequired is YES OR
 ?System_Des_or_Mod is YES OR
 ?ECPS is YES OR
 ?Design_Change is Software OR
 ?Design_Change is Hardware OR
 ?Design_Change is Both OR
 ?'System Designed or Developed' is YES
THEN Nomen_required is YES
ELSE
ASK ('Will this program require Nomenclature?',
 Nomen_required,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Nomen_required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Nomen_required-YES').
d(Design).
end. (*Nomen_required*)

Topic Design.
write([?outfile],'MANUFACTURING MANAGEMENT:',#n).
area is 'MANUFACTURING MANAGEMENT'.
Design is Design_Change.
IF ?Design is Hardware
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Design_Change-Hardware').

IF ?Design is Software
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Design_Change-Software').

IF ?Design is Both
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Design_Change-Hardware') and
 Include_Paragraph(?area,'Design_Change-Software').

do(Funding_Cap).
end. (*Design*)

Topic Funding_Cap.
ASK ('Will FSD funding exceed $100 Million,
or will Production funding exceed $20 million annually
or $100 million cumulatively?', Funding_Cap,[ YES, NO,
UNKNOWN]).
IF ?Funding_Cap is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Funding_Cap-YES').
do(Type_Prod_Contract).
end. (*Funding_Cap*)

Topic Type_Prod_Contract.
ASK ('The follow-on Production Contract will be',
Type_Prod_Contract,[ Competitive, Sole_Source]).

IF ?Type_Prod_Contract is Competitive
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Prod_Contract -Competitive').

IF ?Type_Prod_Contract is Sole_Source
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Prod_Contract-Sole_Source').

close('Pmgmt.dat').
WRITE('Pmgmt.dat'#o,'Type_Prod_Contract'.?Type_Prod_Contract.'/').
do(Dollars_Prod_Contract).
end. (*Type_Prod_Contract*)

Topic Dollars_Prod_Contract.
ASK ('The dollar value of the follow-on Production Contract will be:
A. $100 million and above
B. $50 - 99 million
C. $0 - 49 million
D. Unknown', Dollars_Prod_Contract,[ A, B, C, D]).

IF ?Dollars_Prod_Contract is A OR
?Dollars_Prod_Contract is D
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_Prod_Contract-A_or_D').

IF ?Dollars_Prod_Contract is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_Prod_Contract-B').

IF ?Dollars_Prod_Contract is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Dollars_Prod_Contract-C').
do(Computer_Resources).
end. (*Dollars_Prod_Contract*)

Topic Computer_Resources.
write( ['?outfile', 'COMPUTER RESOURCES:', '#n],
area is 'COMPUTER RESOURCES'.
IF ?Software_Test_Program is YES OR
?Design_Change is Software OR
?Design_Change is Both
THEN Computer_Resources is YES
ELSE
ASK ('Does the system/project involve computer resources?', Computer_Resources, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Computer_Resources is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Computer_Resources-YES').

IF ?Computer_Resources is NO THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Computer_Resources-NO').
do(NDI_USED).
end. (*Computer_Resources*)

topic NDI_USED.
IF ?NDI_ITEMS is A OR 
    ?NDI_ITEMS is B 
THEN NDI_USED is YES
ELSE
ASK ('In the RFP, will you provide the contractor the option to use Off-The-Shelf software?', NDI_USED, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?NDI_USED is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'NDI_USED-YES').
do(How_Travel).
end. (*NDI_USED*)

topic How_Travel.
write([?outfile], 'TRAVEL: ', ?area).
area is 'TRAVEL'.
ASK('During Full-Scale Development, the contractor will:
A. TRAVEL VIA GOVERNMENT EXPENSE ONLY
B. TRAVEL VIA CONTRACTOR EXPENSE ONLY
C. TRAVEL VIA BOTH GOVERNMENT AND CONTRACTOR EXPENSE
D. NOT TRAVEL.
E. UNKNOWN.').
How_Travel. [A,B,C,D,E].

IF ?How_Travel is A THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'How_Travel-A'); and 
do(Travel_To).

IF ?How_Travel is B THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'How_Travel-B').

IF ?How_Travel is C THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'How_Travel-C'); and 
do(Travel_To).

IF ?How_Travel is D THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'How_Travel-D').
IF ?How_Travel is E THEN do(Travel_To).
end. (* How_Travel*)

Topic Travel_To.
ASK('The contractor will travel at government expense to and from:
A. THE 48 CONTIGUOUS STATES
B. ALASKA AND HAWAII
C. CONUS AND OVERSEAS AREAS
D. ALL THE ABOVE
E. THE 48 CONTIGUOUS STATES & ALASKA AND HAWAII
F. THE 48 CONTIGUOUS STATES & CONUS AND OVERSEAS AREAS.
G. ALASKA AND HAWAII & CONUS AND OVERSEAS AREAS.
H. UNKNOWN', Travel_To, [A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H]).

IF ?Travel_To is A THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-A').

IF ?Travel_To is B THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-B').

IF ?Travel_To is C THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-C').

IF ?Travel_To is D THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-A') and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-B') and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-C').

IF ?Travel_To is E THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-A') and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-C').

IF ?Travel_To is F THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-A') and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-B').

IF ?Travel_To is G THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-B') and Include_Paragraph(?area,'Travel_To-C').

end. (* Travel_To *)
End. (* program management *)
(* SECURITY.KB *)
(* SECURITY.KB PRODUCES THE TEXT FOR SOW PARAGRAPH - *)
(* 3.5.1.5 SECURITY. *)

ASK('input a file name', outfile).
do(Security).

(* TOPIC SECURITY IS THE PARENT TOPIC (MAIN TOPIC) *)
Topic Security:
write(outfile,'3.5.1.5 Security',#n).

do(Security_Thru_Documentation).

Topic Include_Paragraph(area,findstring).
Text is find('security.txt',area,findstring,'/end').
window(area,1,7,78,13).
SAY('text').
WRITE(outfile,'text',#n).
close_window().
close('security.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Security_Thru_Documentation.
write(outfile,'GENERAL SECURITY:',#n).
area is 'GENERAL SECURITY'.
ASK('Have security requirements been identified through program direction or other valid threat documentation?',
Security_Thru_Documentation, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Security_Thru_Documentation is YES
THEN
Include_Paragraph(?area,'Security_Thru_Documentation-YES').
do(Security_Required).
End. (* Security_Thru_Documentation *)

Topic Security_Required.
write(outfile,'COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY/TEMPEST.',#n).
area is 'COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY/TEMPEST'.
ASK('Will the equipment generate, handle, process, or use classified or national security related information?',
Security_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Security_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Security_Required-YES') and
do(Who_Tempest_Test).

IF ?Security_Required is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Security_Required-UNKNOWN') and
do(Who_Tempest_Test).
End. (* Security_Required *)
Topic Who_Tempest_Test.
ASK ('The system or equipment will be:
A. Tempest tested by the contractor or subcontractor.
   (Note: A contractor will perform testing only if Air Force testing resources are not available.)
B. Tempest Tested by the Government
C. Has been previously tempest tested.
D. Unknown.', Who_Tempest_Test, [A, B, C, D]).

IF ?Who_Tempest_Test is A THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Who_Tempest_Test-A').

IF ?Who_Tempest_Test is B THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Who_Tempest_Test-B').

IF ?Who_Tempest_Test is C THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Who_Tempest_Test-C').

IF ?Who_Tempest_Test is D THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Who_Tempest_Test-UNKNOWN').
do(ContractorInstall).
End. (*Who_Tempest_Test*)

Topic ContractorInstall.
ASK ('Will the contractor install the equipment?', Contractor_Install,[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Contractor_Install is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contractor_Install-YES').

IF ?Contractor_Install is NO THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contractor_Install-NO').
do(SecureComm_Required).
End. (* ContractorInstall *)

Topic SecureComm_Required.
ASK ('Will classified information be transmitted over radio systems, telephone circuits, or intercom systems?
(Note: This relates to both the system/equipment under development/procurement and classified information processed at the contractor facility.)', Secure_Comm_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Secure_Comm_Required is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Secure_Comm_Required-YES') and do(Type_Comsec_Material).

IF ?Secure_Comm_Required is UNKNOWN THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Secure_Comm_Required-UNKNOWN')
and do(Type_Comsec_Material).
End: (* Secure_Comm_Required *)

Topic Type_Comsec_Material.
ASK ('The contract requires:

A. Access to or storage of classified comsec material.
   (Examples of classified COMSEC material include COMSEC
   installation standards, COMSEC design information,
   National COMSEC/EMSEC information memorandums (NACSEM),
   COMSEC equipment engineering bulletin COMSEC engineering
   systems documents (CSESD))
B. Access to or storage of accountable COMSEC
   material/equipment.
   (This includes all COMSEC equipment/material shipped,
   received, or safeguarded within the COMSEC material
   control system. Some examples include crypto maintenance
   and operational manuals, crypto equipment, all keying
   material, and ancillary equipment (Key Fill Devices, PC
   Boards, ETC.))
C. Both of the above
D. None of the above. E. Unknown',
Type_Comsec_Material,[A, B, C, D, E]).

IF ?Type_Comsec_Material is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Comsec_Material-A').
IF ?Type_Comsec_Material is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Comsec_Material-B').
IF ?Type_Comsec_Material is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Comsec_Material-A') and
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Comsec_Material-B').
IF ?Type_Comsec_Material is E
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_Comsec_Material-UNKNOWN').
End: (* Type_Comsec_Material *)

END. (* Security *)
ASK('Input a file name', outfile).
do('Initial Spare/Repair Parts').

(* TOPIC INITIAL SPARE/REPAIR PARTS IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)
Topic 'Initial Spare/Repair Parts'.
write([?outfile],'3.10 Initial Spares and Repair Parts').
area is 'INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS'.
do(Hardware_Supported_By).

Topic Include_Paragraph(area, findstring).
Text is find('SPARES.TXT', , , findstring,'/end').
window(?area,,,1,7,78,13).
say(?text).
write([?outfile],?text,#n).
close_window().
close('SPARES.TXT').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Hardware_Supported_By.
ASK('The hardware provided in this phase will be deployed and supported by:
A. AFLC
B. OTHER AIR FORCE COMMANDS
C. NO HARDWARE IS PROVIDED
D. UNKNOWN',
Hardware_Supported_By, [A, B, C, D]).

IF ?Hardware_Supported_By is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Hardware_Supported_By-A') and
do(SAIP).

IF ?Hardware_Supported_By is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Hardware_Supported_By-C').

IF ?Hardware_Supported_By is D
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Hardware_Supported_By-D') and
do(SAIP).

end. (*Hardware_Supported_By*)

Topic SAIP.
ASK('Has Spares Acquisition Integrated with Production (SAIP) been selected?

NOTE: SAIP should be beneficial to the Government for spares with a stable design. SAIP allows the Government to buy spares at a lower unit cost when it can be integrated with
installation quantities. Unit prices under SAIP should be lower. SAIP should be considered as an economic method of provisioning!’, SAIP, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?SAIP is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(\?area,'SAIP-YES').
d(Provisioning_Guidance_Conference).
end. (* SAIP *)

Topic Provisioning_Guidance_Conference.
A2: ('A Provisioning Guidance Conference will:', Provisioning_Guidance_Conference, ['Be Called', 'Not Be Called', UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Provisioning_Guidance_Conference is 'Be Called'
THEN Include_Paragraph(\?area,'Provisioning_Guidance_Conference -Be_Called').

IF ?Provisioning_Guidance_Conference is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(\?area,'Provisioning_Guidance_Conference -UNKNOWN').
end. (* Provisioning_Guidance_Conference *)

end. (* Initial Spare/Repair Parts *)
ASK('Input a file name:', outfile).
do (Specialty).

(* TOPIC SPECIALTY IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)
Topic Specialty.
write([?outfile], '3.5.1.3 Specialty Engineering', #n).
do(Restore).

EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('Design.dat').
IF ?Data <> ?EOF
THEN Prime_Mission_Equipment is read('Design.dat', 'Prime_Mission_Equipment', '/')
ELSE
ASK ('This contract requires:
A. PRIME MISSION EQUIPMENT FOR A RADAR, COMMUNICATIONS, OR NAVIGATIONAL SYSTEM THAT USES THE ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRUM.
B. PRIME MISSION EQUIPMENT NOT FOR A RADAR, COMMUNICATIONS, OR NAVIGATIONAL SYSTEM THAT USES THE ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRUM.
C. NO PRIME MISSION EQUIPMENT.
D. UNKNOWN', PrimeMission_Equipment, [A, B, C, D]).
data is find('logistic.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN NDIITEMS is read('logistic.dat', 'NDI_ITEMS', '/')
ELSE
ASK ('The system will have:
A. Some Non Developmental Items
B. All Non Developmental Items
C. No Non Developmental Items
D. UNKNOWN', NDIITEMS, [A, B, C, D]).
do(System_Safety_Manager).
end. (* Restore *)

TOPIC System_Safety_Manager.
write([?outfile], 'SYSTEM SAFETY:', #n).
area is 'SYSTEM SAFETY'.

ASK ('Do you know the System Safety Manager (SSM) for your program office?', System_Safety_Manager, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).
IF ?System_Safety_Manager is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'System_Safety_Manager-YES')
ELSE Include_Paragraph(?area,'System_Safety_Manager-NO')
Do(NDI).
END. (* System_Safety_Manager *)

TOPIC NDI.
IF ?NDI_ITEMS is A or
 ?NDI_ITEMS is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'NDI_ITEMS-A_or_B') and
 Do(GFE_Required).
IF ?NDI_ITEMS is C
THEN Do(GFE_Required).
IF ?NDI_ITEMS is 'D
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'NDI_ITEMS-D') and
 Do(GFE_Required).
End. (* NDI *)

TOPIC GFE_Required.
ASK ('Does this program require GFE?',GFE_Required, [ YES,NO,UNKNOWN]).
IF ?GFE_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFE_Required-YES')
ELSE
 IF ?GFE_Required is NO
 THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFE_Required-NO')
 ELSE Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFE_Required-UNKNOWN')
 Do(Safety_Safe_Design).
End. (* GFE_Required *)

TOPIC Safety_Safe_Design.
ASK ('Will the system comply with applicable safety
(safety related) military, federal (public law), national and
industrial codes or standards to ensure a safe design?'.
Safety_Safe_Design,[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).
IF ?Safety_Safe_Design is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Safety_Safe_Design-YES')
ELSE
 IF ?Safety_Safe_Design is NO
 THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Safety_Safe_Design-NO').
 Do(SSPPWritten-By).
End. (* Safety_Safe_Design *)

TOPIC SSPP_Written_By.
ASK ('The System Safety Plan (SSPP) is to be written by the',
SSPP_Written_By,[ Contractor, SPO, UNKNOWN]).
IF ?SSPP_written_By is Contractor
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SSPP_written_By-Contractor')
ELSE
  IF ?SSPP_written_By is SPO
  THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SSPP_written_By-SPO')
  ELSE Include_Paragraph(?area,'SSPP_written_By-UNKNOWN').
End. (* SSPP_written_By *)

TOPIC Contractor as Integrator.
ASK ('Will there be a contractor designated as integrator with
management surveillance of associated contractors,
subcontractor, or architect and engineering firms?',
Contractor as Integrator, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Contractor as Integrator is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contractor as Integrator-YES')
ELSE
  IF ?Contractor as Integrator is UNKNOWN
  THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contractor as Integrator-UNKNOWN').
Do(Safety At Reviews).
END. (* Contractor as Integrator *)

TOPIC Safety At Reviews.
ASK ( 'Do you want the contractor to discuss
System Safety at management and/or design reviews?',
Safety At Reviews, [ Yes, No, Unknown]).

IF ?Safety At Reviews is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Safety At Reviews-YES')
ELSE
  IF ?Safety At Reviews is NO
  THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Safety At Reviews-NO')
  ELSE
    Include_Paragraph(?area,'Safety At Reviews-UNKNOWN').

Do(SSG Or SSWG).
End. (* Safety At Reviews *)

TOPIC SSG Or SSWG.
ASK ( 'Is a System Safety Group (SSG) or System Safety
Working Group (SSWG) being established for this acquisition
program?', SSG Or SSWG,[ YES, No, Unknown]).

IF ?SSG Or SSWG is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SSG Or SSWG-YES')

IF ?SSG Or SSWG is NO or
?SSG_Or_SSWG is Unknown
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SSG_Or_SSWG-NO_or_UNKNOWN').
Do(Close_Loop_Track).
End. (* SSG_Or_SSWG *)

TOPIC Close_Loop_Track.
ASK ( 'Will the contractor be required to close-loop track identified hazards until eliminated or controlled?', Close_Loop_Track,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Close_Loop_Track is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Close_Loop_Track-YES')
ELSE
  IF ?Close_Loop_Track is NO
    THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Close_Loop_Track-NO')
  ELSE Include_Paragraph(?area,'Close_Loop_Track-UNKNOWN').

Do(Periodic_Reports).
End. (* Close_Loop_Track *)

TOPIC Periodic_Reports.
ASK ( 'Are periodic reports of the System Safety Management and Engineering activities required during the contract period?', Periodic_Reports,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Periodic_Reports is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Periodic_Reports-YES')
ELSE
  IF ?Periodic_Reports is NO
    THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Periodic_Reports-NO')
  ELSE Include_Paragraph(?area,'Periodic_Reports-UNKNOWN').

Do(Prelim_Hazard).
End. (*Periodic_Reports*)

TOPIC Prelim_Hazard.
ASK ( 'The following will be required:

A. A Preliminary Hazard List
B. A Preliminary Hazard Analysis
C. Both of the Above
D. None of the Above
E. Unknown', Prelim_Hazard,[ A, B, C, D, E]).

IF ?Prelim_Hazard is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Prelim_Hazard-A').

IF ?Prelim_Hazard is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Prelim_Hazard-B').
IF $\text{Prelim\_Hazard}$ is C
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Prelim\_Hazard\_A') and
Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Prelim\_Hazard\_B')

IF $\text{Prelim\_Hazard}$ is D OR
$\text{Prelim\_Hazard}$ is E
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Prelim\_Hazard\_D\_or\_E')
Do(Analysis\_Required).
End. (*$\text{Prelim\_Hazard}$ *)

TOPIC Analysis\_Required.
ASK ( 'The following analyses will be required:

A. Subsystem Hazard Analysis
B. System Hazard Analysis
C. Both of the Above
D. None of the Above
E. Unknown',
Analysis\_Required,[ A, B, C, D, E]).

IF $\text{Analysis\_Required}$ is A
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Analysis\_Required\_A')

IF $\text{Analysis\_Required}$ is B
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Analysis\_Required\_B')

IF $\text{Analysis\_Required}$ is C
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Analysis\_Required\_A') and
Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Analysis\_Required\_B')

IF $\text{Analysis\_Required}$ is D
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Analysis\_Required\_D')

IF $\text{Analysis\_Required}$ is E
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Analysis\_Required\_E')
Do(Safety\_Test).
End. (*$\text{Analysis\_Required}$ *)

TOPIC Safety\_Test.
ASK ( 'Will the system have safety critical hardware, software, or procedures which would require verification by analysis, inspection, demonstration or test?',
Safety\_Test,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF $\text{Safety\_Test}$ is YES
THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Safety\_Test\_YES')
ELSE
  IF $\text{Safety\_Test}$ is NO
  THEN Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Safety\_Test\_NO')
  ELSE Include\_Paragraph(?area, 'Safety\_Test\_UNKNOWN').
Do(Safety_Training).
End. (* Safety_Test *)

TOPIC Safety_Training.
ASK ('Will the system under development involve safety hazards requiring contractor design personnel and managers, and/or contractor government test personnel to receive specialized safety training?', Safety_Training,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Safety_Training is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Safety_Training-YES')
ELSE
   IF ?Safety_Training is UNKNOWN
      THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Safety_Training-UNKNOWN').

Do(Mishap_Risk).
End. (* Safety_Training *)

TOPIC Mishap_Risk.
ASK ('Does the system require a comprehensive evaluation of the mishap risk being assumed (by the Air Force) prior to test, operation of a system, or contract completion?', Mishap_Risk,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Mishap_Risk is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Mishap_Risk-YES')
ELSE
   IF ?Mishap_Risk is NO
      THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Mishap_Risk-NO')
   ELSE Include_Paragraph(?area,'Mishap_Risk-UNKNOWN').

Do(Analyze_ECPS).
End. (* Mishap_Risk *)

TOPIC Analyze_ECPS.
ASK ('Do you want the contractor to perform a safety analysis of an Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) (DI-E-3128/T) or a request for deviation/waiver (DI-E-3129/T) for this acquisition', Analyze_ECPS,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Analyze_ECPS is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Analyze_ECPS-YES').

IF ?Analyze_ECPS is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Analyze_ECPS-UNKNOWN').

Do(Software_Analysis).
End. (* Analyze_ECPS *)

TOPIC Software_Analysis.
ASK ('Will there be any critical software controlled
operator information, or command and control functions associated with The system?", Software_Analysis,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Software_Analysis is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Software_Analysis-YES').

IF ?Software_Analysis is UNKNOWN THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Software_Analysis-UNKNOWN').

Do(Be_in_Weather).
End. ( * Software_Analysis *)

TOPIC Be_in_Weather.
write([?outfile], 'AEROSPACE METEORLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT:',#n).
area is 'AEROSPACE METEORLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT'.
ASK ( 'The system will require operation, non-operation, transport, and/or storage or components and subsystems:

A. UNDER A RANGE OF METEOROLOGICAL (WEATHER) CONDITIONS IN THE EARTHS ATMOSPHERE
B. UNDER A RANGE OF CONDITIONS IN SPACE
C. BOTH IN THE EARTHS ATMOSPHERE AND IN SPACE
D. NEITHER IN THE EARTHS ATMOSPHERE NOR IN SPACE
E. UNKNOWN',
Be_in_Weather,[ A, B, C, D, E]).

IF ?Be_in_Weather is A THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Be_in_Weather-A') and Do(Use_Weather_Data).

IF ?Be_in_Weather is B THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Be_in_Weather-B') and do(Use_Weather_Data).

IF ?Be_in_Weather is C THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Be_in_Weather-A') AND Include_Paragraph(?area,'Be_in_Weather-B') and do(Use_Weather_Data).

IF ?Be_in_Weather is D THEN do(Electromagnetic_Radiation).

IF ?Be_in_Weather is E THEN do(Use_Weather_Data).
End. ( * Be_in_Weather *)

TOPIC Use_Weather_Data.
ASK ( 'Is the system required to transmit, receive, store or process weather data?', Use_Weather_Data,[ YES, NO,
UNKNOWN).

IF ?Use_Weather_Data is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Use_Weather_Data-YES').

Do(Electromagnetic_Radiation).
End. (* Use_Weather_Data *)

TOPIC Electromagnetic_Radiation.
IF ?Prime_Mission_Equipment is YES
THEN Electromagnetic_Radiation is YES
ELSE
ASK ('Does the system emit and/or receive electromagnetic radiation?'), Electromagnetic_Radiation,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Electromagnetic_Radiation is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Electromagnetic_Radiation-YES').
Do(Lessons_Learned).
End. (* Electromagnetic_Radiation *)

TOPIC Lessons_Learned.
ASK ('Does this program/project have a lessons learned section?'), Lessons_Learned,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Lessons_Learned is YES or
?Lessons_Learned is UNKNOWN
THEN
Include_Paragraph(?area,'Lessons_Learned-YES_or_UNKNOWN').
Do(Delivery_Terms_FOB).
End. (* Lessons_Learned *)

TOPIC Delivery_Terms_FOB.
write([?~outfile],'PRESERVATION, PACKAGING, AND PACKING:',f;
area is 'PRESERVATION, PACKAGING AND PACKING'.

ASK ('The delivery terms will Free on Board (FOB):
A. Origin
B. Destination at a remote site
C. Destination not at a remote site
D. No equipment will be produced and shipped
E. Unknown',
Delivery_Terms_FOB,[ A, B, C, D, E]).

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Delivery_Terms_FOB-A') AND
do(Levels_Of_Packing).

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Delivery_Terms_FOB-B') AND
do(Levels_Of_Packing).
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IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is C
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area,'Delivery_Terms_FOB-C') AND do(Leveis_Of_Packing).

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is D
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area,'Delivery_Terms_FOB-D') and do(TP_Delivery_Terms_FOB).

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is E
THEN Do(Leveis_Of_Packing).
End. (* Delivery_Terms_FOB *)

TOPIC Levels_Of_Packing.
ASK ( 'Will known levels of packaging and packing be specified
for all categories of equipment and materials to be delivered
under the contract?', Levels_of_Packing,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Levels_Of_Packing is YES
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area,'Levels_Of_Packing-YES').
Do(Pack_Haz_Materials).
End. (* Levels_Of_Packing *)

TOPIC Pack_Haz_Materials.
ASK ( 'Will the contractor prepare the packaging, packing,
marking, and shipment of hazardous, dangerous materials?',
Pack_Haz_Materials,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Pack_Haz_Materials is YES
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area,'Pack_Haz_Materials-YES').
Do(Special_Containers).
End. (* Pack_Haz_Materials *)

TOPIC Special_Containers.
ASK ( 'Will specialized containers be required to meet
contractual requirements?', Special_Containers,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Special_Containers is YES
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area,'Special_Containers-YES').
Do(Initial_Parts).
End. (* Special_Containers *)

TOPIC Initial_Parts.
ASK ( 'Will initial operational spare/repair parts be
required?', Initial_Parts,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Initial_Parts is YES
THEN IncludeParagraph(?area,'Initial_Parts-YES').
Do(TP_Delivery_Terms_FOB).
End. (* Initial_Parts *)
TP_Delivery_Terms_FOB.
write([?outfile], 'TRANSPORTATION:',#n).
area is 'TRANSPORTATION'.

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'TP_Delivery_Terms_FOB-A').

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'TP_Delivery_Terms_FOB-B').

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'TP_Delivery_Terms_FOB-C').

IF ?Delivery_Terms_FOB is_not D
THEN do(Security).
End. (* TP_Delivery_Terms_FOB *)

Security.
ASK ('Will the system generate, handle, process, or use classified information?', Security,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Security is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Security-YES').
DO(Transport_Parameters).
End. (* Security *)

Transport_Parameters.
ASK ('Does the equipment exceed the transportability parameters listed in MIL-P-9024?', Transport_Parameters,[ YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Transport_Parameters is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Transport_Parameters-YES').
End. (* Transport_Parameters *)

Include_Paragraph(area,findstring).
Text is find('special.txt',,,?findstring,'/end').
window(?area,,,1,7,78,19).
say(?text).
write([?outfile],?text,#n).
close_window().
close('special.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

End. (* Specialty *)
ASK('Input a file name',outfile).
do('Support Equipment').

(* 'SUPPORT EQUIPMENT' IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)

Topic 'Support Equipment'.
write(['?outfile','3.8 Common Support Equipment',#n]).
area is 'COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT'.
do(Restore).

EOF is numbertochar(26).
data is find('design.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Type_Equipment is read('design.dat','Type_Equipment','/'
ELSE Type_Equipment is UNKNOWN.
do(Support_Equipment).
end. (* Restore *)

Topic Include_Paragraph(area,findstring).
Text is find('Supequip.txt',,,?findstring,'/end').
write([?outfile],?text,1 n).
closewindow(.
close('Supequip.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Support_Equipment.
IF ?Type_Equipment is Simulators OR
   ?Type_Equipment is 'No Additional Equipment'
THEN Support_Equipment is D
ELSE
   ASK('Support equipment will:
      A. BE REQUIRED TO SUPPORT THE SYSTEM ORGANICALLY.
      B. BE REQUIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR
      C. NOT BE REQUIRED
      D. UNKNOWN',
Support_Equipment, [A,B,C,D]).

IF ?Support_Equipment is A
THEN Include_Paragraph('?area','Support_Equipment-A') and
do(Support_Equipment_Plan).

IF ?Support_Equipment is B
THEN Include_Paragraph('?area','Support_Equipment-B') and
do(SE_Identification).
IF ?Support_Equipment is D
THEN do(Support_Equipment_Plan).
end. (* Support_Equipment_Plan *)

Topic Support_Equipment_Plan.
ASK('The Support Equipment Plan (SEP):',
Support_Equipment_Plan,[ 'Is Required', 'Is Not Required',
UNKNOWN])

IF ?Support_Equipment_Plan is 'Is Required'
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Support_Equipment_Plan-Is_Required').
do(SE_Identification).
end. (* Support_Equipment_Plan *)

Topic SE_Identification.
ASK('The type of Systems Engineering methodology used for
support equipment (SE) identification is:', SE_Identification,
[LSA, 'Informal Analysis', UNKNOWN]).

IF ?SE_Identification is LSA
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SE_Identification-LSA').

IF ?SE_Identification is 'Informal Analysis'
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,
'SE_Identification-Informal_Analysis').
end. (* SE_Identification *)

End. (* Support Equipment *)
ASK('INPUT FILE NAME', outfile).
do(Support).

(* TOPIC SUPPORT IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)
Topic Support.
write([?outfile], 'Test and Evaluation Support', #n).
do(Delete_Data).

Topic Delete_Data.
(* Delete old data *)
EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('support.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF THEN dos('del support.dat') and
new_file('support.dat') and
close('support.dat').
do(Maintenance_Concept).
end. (*Delete_Data*)

Topic Include_Paragraph(area, findstring).
Text is find('support.txt', #n, ?findstring, '/end').
window(?area, ..., 1, 7, 78, 13).
say(?text).
write([?outfile], ?text, #n).
close_window().
close('support.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Showtext(area, findstring).
Text is find('support.txt', #n, ?findstring, '/end').
window(?area, ..., 1, 7, 78, 13).
say('NOTE: THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE IS NOT WRITTEN TO A
FILE.', #n, ?text).
close_window().
close('support.txt').
end. (* Showtext *)
(* Freoerational Maintenance *)

Topic Maintenance_Concept.
write([?outfile], 'PREOPERATIONAL MAINTENANCE:', #n).
area is 'PREOPERATIONAL MAINTENANCE'.

ASK('The Maintenance Concept:
A. NEEDS TO BE WRITTEN
B. IS WRITTEN, NEEDS TO BE UPDATED.
C. IS WRITTEN, DOES NOT NEED TO BE UPDATED
D. IS NOT REQUIRED
E. UNKNOWN',

Maintenance_Concept, [A, B, C, D, E]).

If ?Maintenance_Concept is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Maintenance_Concept-A') and
do(LSA_Task_302).

IF ?Maintenance_Concept is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Maintenance_Concept-B') and
do(LSA_Task_302).

IF ?Maintenance_Concept is C
THEN do(LSA_Task_302).

IF ?Maintenance_Concept is D
THEN do(GFE_Maintenance).

IF ?Maintenance_Concept is E
THEN do(LSA_Task_302).
end. (* Maintenance_Concept *)

Topic LSA_Task_302.
ASK('Has LSA Task 302, Support System Alternatives, been tailored and accomplished, and have the results been included in the ILSP/Maintenance Concept?', LSA_Task_302, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?LSA_Task_302 is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'LSA_Task_302-NO').

IF ?LSA_Task_302 is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'LSA_Task_302-UNKNOWN').
do(GFE_Maintenance).
end. (* LSA_Task_302 *)

Topic GFE_Maintenance.
ASK('The contractor will perform preoperational maintenance:
A. ON GOVERNMENT FURNISHED EQUIPMENT
B. NOT ON GOVERNMENT FURNISHED EQUIPMENT
C. WILL NOT PERFORM PREOPERATIONAL MAINTENANCE
D. UNKNOWN.',
GFE_Maintenance, [A,B,C,D]).

IF ?GFE_Maintenance is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFE_Maintenance-A').

IF ?GFE_Maintenance is B

THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'GFE_Maintenance-B').

IF ?GFE_Maintenance is D
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'GFE_Maintenance-D').

close('support.dat').
WRITE('Support.dat', #o, 'GFE_Maintenance', ?GFE_Maintenance, '/').
do(Interim_Support).
end. (* GFE_Maintenance *)

Topic Interim_Support.
ASK('Will there be a requirement for interim contractor support?', Interim_Support, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Interim_Support is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Interim_Support-YES').

IF ?Interim_Support is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Interim_Support-UNKNOWN').
do(CETS_Required).
end. (* Interim_Support *)

Topic CETS_Required.
ASK('Is a Contractor Engineering and Technical Services (CETS) Plan required?', CETS_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?CETS_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'CETS_Required-YES').

IF ?CETS_Required is UNKNOWN
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'CETS_Required-UNKNOWN').
do(Reliability_In_CDRL).
end. (* CETS_Required *)

Topic Reliability_In_CDRL.
ASK('Have reliability, maintainability data reporting and feedback failure summary reports been included in the reliability/maintainability section of the CDRL?', Reliability_In_CDRL, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Reliability_In_CDRL is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Reliability_In_CDRL-NO').
do(Material_In_CDRL).
end. (* Reliability_In_CDRL *)

Topic Material_In_CDRL.
ASK('Have contractual provisions for material reporting been included in the CDRL?', Material_In_CDRL, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Material_In_CDRL is NO
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THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Material_In_CDRL-NO').
d(Preoperational_Spares_REQUIRED).
end. (* Material_In_CDRL *)

(* Preoperational Supply Support *)

Topic Preoperational_Spares_REQUIRED.
write([?outfile],'PREOPERATIONAL SUPPLY SUPPORT:',#n).
area is 'PREOPERATIONAL SUPPLY SUPPORT'.

ASK('Will the contractor be required to provide spare and repair parts for the equipment during the preoperational phase?', Preoperational_Spares_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Preoperational_Spares_Required is YES
THEN
Include_Paragraph(?area,'Preoperational_Spares_Required-YES')
and do(GFP_Spares).

IF ?Preoperational_Spares_Required is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area,'Preoperational_Spares_Required-UNKNOWN')
and do(GFP_Spares).
end. (* Preoperational_Spares_Required *)

Topic GFP_Spares.
ASK('The equipment will include:
A. GFP WITH CONTRACTOR SPARE PARTS SUPPORT
B. GFP WITHOUT CONTRACTOR SPARE PARTS SUPPORT
C. NO GFP
D. UNKNOWN',
GFP_Spares, [A,B,C,D]).

IF ?GFP_Spares is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFP_Spares-A').

IF ?GFP_Spares is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'GFP_Spares-B').

IF ?GFP_Spares is D
THEN Showtext(?area,'GFP_Spares-UNKNOWN').
end. (* GFP_Spares *)

End. (* Support *)
TESTEVAL.KB

(* TESTEVAL.KB PRODUCES THE TEXT FOR SOW PARAGRAPH *)

(* 3.4 SYSTEM TEST AND EVALUATION *)

ASK('input a file name', outfile).
do('Test and Evaluation').

(* 'TEST AND EVALUATION' IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)

Topic 'Test and Evaluation'.
write([?outfile], '3.4 System Test and Evaluation', #n).
area is 'SYSTEM TEST AND EVALUATION'.
do(Delete_Data).

Topic Delete_Data.
(* Delete old data *)
EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('testeval.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN dos('del testeval.dat') and
    new_file('testeval.dat') and
    close('testeval.dat').
do(Restore).
end.(* Delete_Data *)

Topic Restore.
EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('Design.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF
THEN Design_change is read('Design.dat', 'Design_Change', '/')
ELSE Design_Change is UNKNOWN.
do(Special_Oversight).
end. (* Restore *)

Topic Include_Paragraph(area, findstring).
Text is find('testeval.txt',,,?findstring,'/end').
window(?area,,,1,7,78,13).
say(?text).
write([?outfile],?text,#n).
close_window().
close('testeval').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Showtext(area, findstring).
Text is find('testeval.txt',,,?findstring,'/end').
window(?area,,,1,7,78,13).
say('NOTE: THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE IS NOT WRITTEN TO DISK', ?TEXT).
close_window().
close('testeval.txt').
end. (* Showtext *)
Topic Special_Oversight.
ASK ('Is your program a major program as defined in AFR 800-2 or
has it been designated for special oversight by the Director of
Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E)?', Special_Oversight,
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Special_Oversight is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Special_Oversight-YES').

IF ?Special_Oversight is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area,'Special_Oversight-UNKNOWN').
doto(Do_TypeTestingRequired).
doto().
edo.(* Special Oversight *)

Topic Type_TestingRequired.
ASK ('The contractor will be required to:
A. TO CONDUCT A CI/SUB-SYSTEM/SYSTEM LEVEL QUALIFICATION TEST
   PROGRAM (DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION (DT&E) TEST
   PROGRAM.
B. TO PARTICIPATE IN OR SUPPORT SYSTEM INITIAL OPERATION TEST
   AND EVALUATION (IOT&E) TESTING
C. BOTH OF THE ABOVE
D. WILL NOT BE REQUIRED TO CONDUCT TESTING
E. UNKNOWN',
Type_TestingRequired, [A,B,C,D,E]).

IF ?Type_TestingRequired is A
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_TestingRequired-A') and
do(InstallAndCheck).

IF ?Type_TestingRequired is B
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_TestingRequired-B') and
do(InstallAndCheck).

IF ?Type_TestingRequired is C
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_TestingRequired-A') and
   Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_TestingRequired-B') and
do(InstallAndCheck).

IF ?Type_TestingRequired is D
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_TestingRequired-D_or_E')
   and exit().

IF ?Type_TestingRequired is E
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Type_TestingRequired-D_or_E')
   and do(InstallAndCheck).
end. (*Type_Testing_Required*)

Topic Install_And_Check.
IF ?Type_Testing_Required is D
THEN exit()
ELSE
ASK('Will the contractor be tasked to install and check on the system (or equipment) at a fixed or permanent site prior to conducting the system and/or IOT&E tests?', Install_And_Check, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Install_And_Check is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Install_And_Check-YES').

IF ?Install_And_Check is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area,'Install_And_Check-UNKNOWN').

IF ?Type_Testing_Required is_not B
THEN do(FCAORFQR).
end. (*Install_And_Check*)

Topic FCA_OR_FQR.
ASK('The contractor will be required to conduct a:',
FCA_OR_FQR, ['FUNCTIONAL CONFIGURATION AUDIT (FCA)', 'FORMAL QUALIFICATION REVIEW (FQR)', 'BOTH OF THE ABOVE', UNKNOWN]).

IF ?FCA_OR_FQR is 'FUNCTIONAL CONFIGURATION AUDIT (FCA)' OR
?FCA_OR_FQR is 'FORMAL QUALIFICATION REVIEW (FQR)' OR
?FCA_OR_FQR is 'BOTH OF THE ABOVE'
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'FCA_OR_FQR-BOTH').
close('testeval.dat').
WRITE('testeval.dat',#o,'FCA_OR_FQR',?FCA_OR_FQR,'/').
do(Software_Development).
end. (*FCA_OR_FQR*)

Topic Software_Development.
IF ?Design_Change is Software or
?Design_Change is Both
THEN Software_Development is YES
ELSE
IF ?Type_Testing_Required is D
THEN exit()
ELSE
ASK('Will the contractor be required to perform software development, modification, and/or integration as part of the overall system development?',Software_Development, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Software_Development is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Software_Development-YES').
IF ?Software_Development is UNKNOWN THEN Showtext(?area,'Software_Development-UNKNOWN').
d(Be_In_TPWG).
end. (*Be_In_TPWG*)

Topic Be_In_TPWG.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to be a member of the Test Planning Working Group (TPWG)?', Be_In_TPWG, [YES,NO,UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Be_In_TPWG is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Be_In_TPWG-YES').

IF ?Be_In_TPWG is UNKNOWN THEN Showtext(?area,'Be_In_TPWG-UNKNOWN').
d(Verification_Cross_Reference).
end. (*Be_In_TPWG*)

Topic Verification_Cross_Reference.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to prepare or complete the verification cross reference index appearing in Section 4 (quality assurance) of the HWCI/CSCI specification(s)?', Verification_Cross_Reference, [YES,NO,UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Verification_Cross_Reference is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Verification_Cross_Reference-YES').

IF ?Verification_Cross_Reference is NO THEN Showtext(?area,'Verification_Cross_Reference-NO').
d(Special_Facilities).
end. (*Verification_Cross_Reference*)

Topic Special_Facilities.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to arrange for the use of special or unique commercial and/or government test facilities?', Special_Facilities, [YES,NO,UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Special_Facilities is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Special_Facilities-YES').

IF ?Special_Facilities is UNKNOWN THEN Showtext(?area,'Special_Facilities-UNKNOWN').
d(Radio_Frequency_Allocation).
end. (*Special_Facilities*)

Topic Radio_Frequency_Allocation.
ASK('Was a radio frequency allocation required and obtain for contractor development for in-plant testing? If so, will the contractor be tasked to perform system testing or installation...')
and checkout at a new location not referenced in the original application?", Radio_Frequency_Allocation, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Radio_Frequency_Allocation is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Radio_Frequency_Allocation-YES').

IF ?Radio_Frequency_Allocation is UNKNOWN THEN Showtext(?area,'Radio_Frequency_Allocation-UNKNOWN').
do(Software_Test_Program).
end. (*Radio_Frequency_Allocation*)

Topic Software_Test_Program.
IF ?Design_change is Hardware THEN Software_Test_Program is NO ELSE IF ?Type_Testing_Required is D THEN exit() ELSE ASK('Will the contractor be conducting a formal computer software test program?', Software_Test_Program, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Software_Test_Program is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Software_Test_Program-YES'). close('testeval.dat'). WRITE('testeval.dat',#(o,'Software_Test_Program',?Software_Test_Program,'/').
do(Maintain_Test_Equipment).
end. (* Software_Test_Program *)

Topic Maintain_Test_Equipment.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to maintain and calibrate test equipment?', Maintain_Test_Equipment, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Maintain_Test_Equipment is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Maintain_Test_Equipment-YES').
do(Test_Requirements).
end. (*Maintain_Test_Equipment*)

Topic Test_Requirements.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to prepare performance diagnostic test data or test procedures for automatic, semi-automatic or manual test equipment?', Test_Requirements, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Test_Requirements is YES THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Test_Requirements-YES').
do(Prod_Test_Procedures).
end. (*Test_Requirements*)

137
Topic Prod_Test_Procedures.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to prepare and submit production test procedures?', Prod_Test_Procedures, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Prod_Test_Procedures is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Prod_Test_Procedures-YES').
do(LRIP_Program).
end. (* Prod_Test_Procedures *)

Topic LRIP_Program.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to institute a Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) Program?', LRIP_Program, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?LRIP_Program is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'LRIP_Program-YES').

IF ?LRIP_Program is UNKNOWN
THEN Showtext(?area,'LRIP_Program-UNKNOWN').
do(SR_System).
end. (*LRIP_Program*)

Topic SR_System.
ASK('Will the contractor be required to establish a Service Reporting (SR) system?', SR_System, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?SR_System is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'SR_System-YES').
end. (*SR_System*)

end. (* Test and Evaluation *)
TRAINING.KB

(* TRAINING.KB PRODUCES THE TEXT FOR SOW PARAGRAPH *)

(* 3.3 TRAINING *)

do(Training).

(* 'TRAINING' IS THE PARENT TOPIC *)

Topic 'Training'.
write([?outfile], '3.2 Training', #n).
area is 'TRAINING'.
do(Restore).

Topic Restore.
EOF is number_to_char(26).
data is find('logistic.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF THEN Warranty_Maintenance is
read('logistic.dat', 'Warranty_Maintenance', '/')
ELSE Warranty_Maintenance is UNKNOWN.

data is find('Design.dat').
IF ?data <> ?EOF THEN Type_Equipment is read('Design.dat', 'Type_Equipment', '/')
ELSE Type_Equipment is UNKNOWN.

do(Training_Required).
end. (* Restore *)

Topic Include_Paragraph(area, findstring).
Text is find('Training.txt', '', ?findstring, '/end').
window(?area, ..., 1, 7, 78, 13).
say(?text).
write([?outfile], ?text #n).
oclose_window().
oclose('Training.txt').
end. (* Include_Paragraph *)

Topic Training_Required.
IF ?Warranty_Maintenance is A OR ?Warranty_Maintenance is B
THEN Training_Required is YES
ELSE ASK('Will your system require training for operation and maintenance?',
Training_Required, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Training_Required is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area, 'Training_Required-YES') and
do(Training_As_CLIN).
IF ?Training_Required is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Training_Required-NO').

IF ?Training_Required is UNKNOWN
THEN do(Training_As_CLIN).
end. (* Training_Required *)

Topic Training_As_CLIN.
ASK('Will training be included as a contract line item in the
FSD contract?

NOTE: Normally, training requirements are procured solely by
ATC; Therefore, you should normally provide a NO response to
this question!', Training_As_CLIN, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Training_As_CLIN is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Training_As_CLIN-YES').

IF ?Training_As_CLIN is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Training_As_CLIN-NO').
d0(Training_Equipment).
End. (* Training_As_CLIN *)

Topic Training_Equipment.
IF ?Type_Equipment is Simulators OR
  ?Type_Equipment is Both
THEN Training_Equipment is YES
ELSE ASK('Will your system include the development of training
equipment?

NOTE: Normally, training equipment is not developed during
this phase. You should normally provide a NO response to this
question!', Training_Equipment, [YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Training_Equipment is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Training_Equipment-YES').

IF ?Training_Equipment is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph (?area,'Training_Equipment-NO').

d0(Contingency_Plan).
end. (*Training_Equipment *)

Topic Contingency_Plan.
ASK('If Technical Orders/Manuals are not available in time for
training, is there a contingency plan?', Contingency_Plan,
[YES, NO, UNKNOWN]).

IF ?Contingency_Plan is YES
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contingency_Plan-YES').
IF ?Contingency_Plan is NO
THEN Include_Paragraph(?area,'Contingency_Plan-NO').
end. (* Contigency_Plan *)

end. (* Training *)
REM: KP.BAT
REM: THIS IS THE BATCH FILE WHICH AUTOMATICALLY EXECUTES
REM: KNOWLEDGEPRO.
REM: NOTE THAT THIS REVISION OF KP.BAT ALLOWS THE USE OF THE
REM: FIND COMMAND.
echo off
set _CHAIN=
set _USER=97
set _PRM=
find
if exist kpO.com goto kp
if exist dkpO.com goto dkp
rkpO %1 %2
goto end
:kp
kpO %1 %2
goto end
:dkp
dkpO %1 %2
goto end
:end
if errorlevel 127 %_chain%
set _USER=
set _CHAIN=
set _PRM=
# Appendix E: Topic Reference Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Software Module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access_Roads</td>
<td>Facility.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative_Support_Concepts</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis_Required</td>
<td>Special.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze_ECPS</td>
<td>Special.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS_Required</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be_In_TPWG</td>
<td>Testeval.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be_In_Weather</td>
<td>Special.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETS_Required</td>
<td>Support.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close_Loop_Track</td>
<td>Special.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>Commun.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer_Resources</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Config_audits</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Config_Required</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency_Plan</td>
<td>Training.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor_as_Integrator</td>
<td>Special.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor_Config</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor_Install</td>
<td>Security.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract_Type</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count_Config_Items</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data_Management</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete_Data</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete_Data</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete_data</td>
<td>Menu.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete_Data</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete_Data</td>
<td>Support.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete_Data</td>
<td>Testeval.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery_Terms_FOB</td>
<td>Special.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design_Change</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design_Parameters</td>
<td>Logistic.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dev_Contractor_Is_Prod</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOLLARS</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollars_FSD_Contract</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollars_Prod_Contract</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECPS</td>
<td>Pmgmt.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromagnetic_Radiation</td>
<td>Special.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromag_Radiation</td>
<td>Commun.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering_Data_Required</td>
<td>Data.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental_Deliverables</td>
<td>Facility.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment_Location</td>
<td>Design.kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>Facility.kb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Facilities_Task_Required
FCA_OR_FQR
Formal_Qual_Review
FQR
Funding_Cap
GFE_Maintenance
GFE_OR_Utilities
GFE_Required
GFP_Spares
Hardware
Hardware_Supported_By
Hazardous_Materials
Helptext
Help_Management
Help_System
How_Big
How_Travel
Human_Factors
ILS_Required
Impact
Impact_Assessment
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Include_Paragraph
Initial_Parts
Initial_Spare_Repair_Parts
Install_And_Check
Interface_Control
Interim_Support
Joint_Service_Program
Lessons_Learned
Levels_Of_Packing
Logistics_Engineering
Long_Line_Communications
LRIP_Program
LSA_Task_302
Maintainability_Required
Maintain_Test_Equipment
Maintenance_Concept
Main_Menu
Material_In_CDRL
Facility.kb
Testeval.kb
Pmgt.kb
Design.kb
Pmgt.kb
Support.kb
Facility.kb
Special.kb
Support.kb
Data.kb
Spares.kb
Logistic.kb
Menu_Sys.kb
Menu_Sys.kb
Menu_Sys.kb
Facility.kb
Pmgt.kb
Design.kb
Logistic.kb
Logistic.kb
Logistic.kb
Commun.kb
Data.kb
Design.kb
Facility.kb
Logistic.kb
Logistic.kb
Security.kb
Spares.kb
Special.kb
Spares.kb
Testeval.kb
Pmgt.kb
Support.kb
Logistic.kb
Special.kb
Special.kb
Logistic.kb
Commun.kb
Testeval.kb
Support.kb
Logistic.kb
Testeval.kb
Support.kb
Menu.kb
Support.kb
| Use_Weather_Data                          | Special.kb       |
| Verification_Cross_Reference             | Testeval.kb      |
| Warranty_Maintenance                     | Logistic.kb      |
| Who_Tempest_Test                         | Security.kb      |
Appendix F: Definitions

Artificial Intelligence - The part of computer science which deals with intelligent computer programs. (3:5)

Algorithms - Formulas which produce a correct answer when numerical data is inserted into the formula. (14:29)

Experts - A person who uses their experience and knowledge to solve certain types of problems. (11:1)

Expert Systems - "An intelligent computer program that uses knowledge and inference procedures to solve problems that are difficult enough to require significant human expertise for their solution [3:5]."

Heuristics - A rule of thumb and often called the art of good guessing. Heuristics enable "experts to break problems down to smaller components, to get around incomplete data, and to make educated guesses when necessary [16:74]."

Hypertext - A database management system which accesses data by reference. It searches for a string of text in a data base and returns any information associated with that string of text.

Inference - The process of deriving new facts from known facts. (4:261)

Knowledge Base - The part of the expert system containing the experts knowledge about a particular task. (5:23)

Inference Engine - The part of the expert system which contains the rules to solve a problem. (18:118)

Statement of Work (SOW) - A document which communicates the tasks and work effort the government wants the contractor to perform.

Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) - A concept scheme which breaks down a system into its components and subcomponents and work effort into its tasks and subtasks. (2:7)
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The purpose of this project is to determine the need and feasibility of developing an expert system to assist in the development of a Statement of Work (SOW) for the Full Scale Development (FSD) phase of the acquisition cycle. This project also determines the feasibility of transporting this expert system to a microcomputer.

The methodology involves a six step process for developing a small scale expert system. The first step involves choosing a tool. The tool chosen for this project is KnowledgePro by Knowledge Garden. The second step involves defining the problem. Once the problem is defined, a determination is made on whether an expert system is appropriate for this project using a model developed in a previous thesis project. The third step involves developing the system. The fourth step involves developing a prototype system. The prototype system was developed on an IBM compatible computer using the KnowledgePro development system. The fifth step involved expanding, testing, and revising the system. The sixth step involves maintaining and updating the system.

Besides the six steps for developing the expert system, the system was validated.

The project resulted in a microcomputer based expert system for assisting managers in the development of a FSD SOW. The system produces a document in the Work Breakdown Structure as called out by MIL-STD-245B consisting of essential SOW entries, potential documents to incorporate into the SOW, and action messages which provide direction in writing the SOW.

The benefit from this research is not limited to the development of the SOW. The methodology used in this research project can be used in the development of item specifications, contract development, Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs), acquisition plans, Program Management Plans (PMPs), and Contract Data Requirement Lists (CDRLs).