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INTRODUCTION

Nitroguanidine, a primary component of US Army triple-base propellants, is now produced in a Government-owned contractor-operated ammunition plant. The US Army Biomedical Research and Development Laboratory (USABRDL), as part of its mission to evaluate the environmental and health hazards of military-unique propellants generated by US Army munitions-manufacturing facilities, conducted a review of the nitroguanidine data base and identified significant gaps in the toxicity data (1). The Division of Toxicology, LAIR, was tasked by USABRDL to develop a genetic and mammalian toxicity profile for nitroguanidine, related intermediates/by-products of its manufacture, and its environmental degradation products. A genetic and acute mammalian toxicity profile of Ball Powder®, a fielded nitrocellulose-based propellant, was also requested as a baseline against which future formulations will be compared.

Objective of Study

The objective of this study was to determine the primary dermal irritation potential of Ball Powder® in male and female New Zealand White rabbits.

MATERIALS

Test Substance

Name: Ball Powder® (Olin WC 844 double base spheroidal propellant)

LAIR Code Number: TA45
Chemical Composition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitroglycerin</td>
<td>10.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylamine</td>
<td>1.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutylphthalate</td>
<td>5.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrocellulose</td>
<td>83.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volatiles</td>
<td>1.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture and Volatiles</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Solvent</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Carbonate</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Sulfate</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Badger Army Ammunition Plant
Baraboo, WI 53913

Other test substance information is presented in Appendix A.

Vehicle

Viaflex® sterile isotonic saline (Travenol Laboratories, Deerfield, IL) was used as the vehicle for Ball Powder®. The expiration date for the saline (lot 7C950X0) used in this study was Oct 85.

Animal Data

Four male and four female New Zealand White rabbits (Elkhorn Rabbitry, 5265 Starr Way, Watsonville, CA), identified individually with ear tattoos numbered 85F018 to 85F021 (females) and 85F022-85F025 (males) inclusive, were assigned to the study. The animal weights on dosing day (13 Feb 85) ranged from 3.41 to 4.25 kg. Additional animal data appear in Appendix B.

Husbandry

The rabbits were housed individually in stainless steel, screen-bottomed, battery-type cages with automatically flushing dump tanks. The diet consisted of 150 g per day of Certified Purina Chow® Diet 5322 (Ralston Purina Company, Checkerboard Square, St. Louis, MO); water was provided by continuous drip from a central line. The animal room temperature was
maintained at 15.5° to 20.5°C with a relative humidity range of 39% to 63%
with short spikes up to 70% associated with room cleaning. The photoperiod
was 12 hours of light per day.

METHODS

Group Assignment/Acclimation

Study animals were acclimated for 5 days to the study room following a
14-day quarantine by the Division of Animal Care and Services (DACS). During
this period they were observed daily for signs of illness. They were treated
prophylactically for ear mites with a single dose of Canex® and mineral oil
instilled in the ears.

Test Procedures

This study was conducted in accordance with EPA guidelines (2) and
LAIR SOP-OP-STX-34 (3).

The backs of 8 rabbits were close-clipped 24 hours before the actual
dosing. The clipped area was divided into 4 quadrants designated I-IV (4, 5).
Site I was designated a sham patch site. Sites II and III were test compound
sites. Site IV was a saline control patch site. A standard dose of 0.5 g of
Ball Powder® was moistened with enough (usually 0.5 ml) 0.9% sodium
chloride solution to make a thick paste. This paste was placed on 1-inch (2.5
cm) square gauze patch that was taped to the appropriate site. Blencerm®
(Medical Products Division of 3M, Saint Paul, MN), an occlusive,
hypoallergenic surgical tape, was used to hold the patches in place. Vet
Wrap® (Animal Care Products Division of 3M, Saint Paul, MN) was then
wrapped securely around the animal and taped down with Conform® elastic
tape (Kendall Company, Boston, MA). The test compound was left in contact
with the skin for 4 hours. At the end of the exposure period the wrapping and
patches were removed, and the skin was gently wiped with a saline-
moistened gauze to remove any test material remaining on the skin.
Observations

The grading and scoring for dermal reactions were performed according to Table 1. Scoring and grading for dermal irritation were performed at 30-60 minutes and approximately 24, 48, and 72 hours after removal of the patch. Observations for clinical signs were made daily from 14 to 27 February 1985. After 14 days the animals were submitted for necropsy and sections were taken from the application site for microscopic evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of Skin Reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Erythema and Eschar Formation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No erythema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very slight erythema (barely perceptible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-defined erythema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-to-severe erythema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe erythema (beet-redness to slight eschar formation [injurious in depth])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible total erythema score</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Edema Formation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very slight edema (barely perceptible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight edema (edges of area well-defined by definite raising)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate edema (edges raised approximately 1 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe edema (raised more than 1 mm and extending beyond area of exposure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible total edema score</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible total score for primary irritation</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Duration of Study

Appendix C is a complete historical listing of study events.

Changes/Deviation

This study was conducted in accordance with the protocol and addenda and all applicable SOPs.

Storage of Raw Data and Final Report

A copy of the final report, study protocols, raw data, retired SOPs, and an aliquot of the test compound were retained in the LAIR Archives.

RESULTS

Animals were scored for erythema and edema at each patch site. Three rabbits (85F019, 85F021, 85F024) exhibited very slight erythema (dermal reaction score of 1) at test compound application sites. Three rabbits (85F018, 85F021, 85F024) exhibited very slight erythema (score of 1) at the sham site and two (85F019, 85F021) exhibited very slight erythema (score of 1) at the saline control site. Rabbits 85F019 (compound) and 85F021 (sham) were observed to have very slight erythema 1 hour after dosing. Rabbits 85F018 (sham), 85F021 (compound, sham, vehicle), and 85F024 (compound, sham) exhibited very slight erythema 24 hours after dosing. All rabbits had returned to normal by 48 hours after dosing. Neither edema nor any other recognizable skin reaction was detected at any time during the 14-day observation period. Results of scoring the dermal irritation potential in each rabbit are tabulated in Appendix D.

The quality control animal (85F038) submitted on 25 Jan 85 was unremarkable. Among the 8 rabbits dosed there were no gross pathological lesions attributable to the test compound or test procedures. Histopathological examination of tissues was unremarkable except for the incidental hepatic portal fibrosis in one rabbit (85F018). The Veterinary Pathology Report is presented in Appendix E.
DISCUSSION

The modified Draize dermal irritation test as performed for this study has proven reliable for detecting nonirritating substances and severe irritants but considerably less reliable for detecting mild and moderate irritants (5). Consequently, many systems have been used to score and categorize the dermal irritation potential of a test compound. The system used by the Toxicity Testing Program at LAIR is an adaptation of one used at the U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (6). It develops a dermal irritation index based on the peak net mean score, which is the maximum net mean score calculated during the 72-hour observation period. Nonirritating compounds have peak net mean scores of 0.0 to 0.5. Mild irritants have peak net mean scores of 0.51 to 2.0. Moderate irritants have peak net mean scores of 2.1 to 5.0. Severe irritants have peak net mean scores of 5.1 to 8.0. Ball Powder® produced very slight erythema in 3 of 8 rabbits. However, each time there was a similar score at the corresponding vehicle and/or sham sites. Consequently, the peak net mean score was zero. Therefore, Ball Powder® was classified as a nonirritant.

Ball Powder® is insoluble in physiological solutions. In order for a compound to be irritating it must first be absorbed by the skin (7). Most of the Ball Powder® was still present on the skin when the patches were removed, which indicates that the compound was poorly absorbed. This lack of irritation was also observed in a dermal toxicity study performed at this Institute (8).

CONCLUSION

The test compound, Ball Powder®, is not a dermal irritant under conditions of this assay.
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## Appendix A: CHEMICAL DATA

### PROPELLANT DESCRIPTION SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO</th>
<th>FROM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Badger Army Ammunition Plant</td>
<td>Badger Army Ammunition Plant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| DA LOT NUMBER | 50/50 blend of 1ots BA-147670 and BA-147671 |
| MFG AT | Badger Army Ammunition Plant |
| CONTRACT NUMBER | DAAA09-73-C-0004 |

**COMPOSITION NUMBER**

WC 844 for Cartridge 5.56 mm, BALL, M193

**PACKED AMOUNT**

LB

**SPECIFICATION NUMBER**

MIL-P-3984E w/Amendment 4 and Drawing No. C10542743 Rev. C

**_ACCEPTED BLEND NUMBERS**

- Nitrocellulose (NC) extracted from excessed
- Single Base Propellant

**MANUFACTURE OF PROPELLANT**

- Nitrocellulose (NC) complies with MIL-N-244A

**Pounds Solvent per Pound NC/Dry Weight Ingredients Consisting of**

| Pounds Alcohol and | Pounds Residual Solvent, Percentage Mix to Whole |

**Temperature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PROCESS-SOLVENT RECOVERY AND DRYING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PROPELLANT COMPOSITION**

### TESTS OF FINISHED PROPELLANT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSTITUENT</th>
<th>% FORMULA</th>
<th>% TOLERANCE</th>
<th>% MEASURED</th>
<th>FORMULA</th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitroglycerin</td>
<td>10.235</td>
<td>10.235</td>
<td>10.235</td>
<td>1200°</td>
<td>HEAT TEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinitrotoluene</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>No Explosion</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylamine</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>Dust &amp; Foreign Matter</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrocellulose</td>
<td>85.23</td>
<td>85.23</td>
<td>85.23</td>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volatiles</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>Gray, Density</td>
<td>1.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture and Volatiles</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Solvent</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Carbonate</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CLOSING BORE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOT NUMBER</th>
<th>TEMP</th>
<th>RELATIVE AMOUNT</th>
<th>RELATIVE VAPOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PROPELLANT DIMENSIONS (INCHES)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEC</th>
<th>DIE</th>
<th>FINISHED SPEC</th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**REMARKS**

- **Tested 29 February 1984.**

**SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR'S REPRESENTATIVE**

**SIGNATURE OF GOVERNMENT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPRESENTATIVE**
Appendix B: ANIMAL DATA

Species: *Oryctolagus cuniculus*

Strain: New Zealand White (albino)

Source: Elkhorn Rabbitry  
5265 Starr Way  
Watsonville, CA 95076

Sex: Male and female

Age: Young adults

Animals in each group: 4 males and 4 females

Condition of animals at start of study: Normal

Body weight range at dosing: 3.41 to 4.25 kg

Identification procedures: Ear tag

Pretest conditioning:

1. Quarantine from 25 January - 7 February 1985
2. Animal were close-clipped and examined 24 hours before dosing.

Justification: Laboratory rabbits are a proven sensitive animal model for dermal irritation.
# Appendix C: HISTORICAL LISTING OF STUDY EVENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 Jan 85</td>
<td>Rabbits arrived at LAIP and were examined and caged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Jan 85</td>
<td>Animals were tattooed, weighed, and placed under a 2-week quarantine. One rabbit (85F038) was submitted to necropsy for quality control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Jan - 7 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were checked daily by DACS personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were weighed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Feb 85</td>
<td>All rabbits were treated with Canex® and mineral oil in their ears to prevent ear mites. Rabbits were removed from quarantine after being certified healthy by DACS Staff Veterinarian.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were weighed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - 12 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were checked daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were close-clipped and areas marked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were weighed. Test substance was applied for 4 hours. Patches were removed and sites scored within 30-60 minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 - 27 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were observed daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 - 16 Feb 85</td>
<td>Areas were scored at 24, 48, and 72 hours after exposure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were weighed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Feb 85</td>
<td>Animals were weighed and submitted for necropsy, skin tissues were collected for microscopic evaluation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix D: DERMAL IRRITATION DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL NUMBER</th>
<th>OBSERVATION</th>
<th>QUADRANT*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F018</td>
<td>30-60 min</td>
<td>0/0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 hr#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F019</td>
<td>30-60 min</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hr#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F020</td>
<td>30-60 min#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F021</td>
<td>30-60 min</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 hr#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F022</td>
<td>30-60 min#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F023</td>
<td>30-60 min#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F024</td>
<td>30-60 min</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 hr#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F025</td>
<td>30-60 min#</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Quadrant I=sham; II, III=treated; IV=saline

† Scores are displayed as erythema/edema

# Scores were 0/0 in all quadrants for remaining observations
### SUMMARY OF PRIMARY IRRITATION TEST DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Number</th>
<th>30-60 min</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>48 h</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test*</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F019</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35F020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F022</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F023</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F024</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85F025</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|               | 0.12     | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.12 | 0    | 0    | 0      |
| Net Mean Score† | 0<       | 0    | 0    |      |      |      |      |      |        |

*Test value is the larger of the scores in Quadrants II and III.

†Test Mean - (Greater of Sham or Vehicle Mean) = Net Mean Score

<The peak net mean score is 0; therefore, Ball Powder® is a NON-IRRITANT
Appendix E: PATHOLOGY REPORT

LAIR Pathology Report
CLP Study 84033
Primary Dermal Irritation Test in Rabbits (NZW-Albino) of Ball Powder (OLIN WC 844)

History: Eight rabbits, 4 each male and female, were tested in accordance with SOP-OP-STX-34 (1 Aug 84). Tissues were submitted for histopathological evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAIR Path #</th>
<th>Animal ID #</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Gross Findings</th>
<th>Histologic Findings Skin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36955</td>
<td>85F022</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>NR (not remarkable)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36956</td>
<td>85F023</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>Pinworms - cecum</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36957</td>
<td>85F024</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36958</td>
<td>85F025</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36959</td>
<td>85F021</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>Pinworms - cecum</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36960</td>
<td>85F018</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>Pinworms - cecum, Right lateral lobe liver green*</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36961</td>
<td>85F019</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>Pinworms - cecum, 3 mm focal scar, 1 each medial and left lateral liver lobes</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36962</td>
<td>85F020</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>Pinworms - cecum</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Histologic Findings Liver #36960: There were diffuse areas of centrolobular bridging fibrosis throughout the section. Multinucleated cells often containing mineral were present within the fibrous tissue as was hemosiderin. There was piecemeal necrosis of adjacent hepatocytes along some of the borders and a few regions had almost complete loss of liver lobules.

Comments: No lesions were present that were related to the compound tested. The hepatic portal fibrosis seen in animal #85-318 was considered an incidental finding which preceded the testing and was of no consequence.

LANCE C. LOLLINI, DVM
LTC, VC
Chief, Pathology Services Group
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