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Abstract

In this note, we present an improved algorithm to Schwartz, Sharir and Siegel's algorithm [8] for labeling the connected components of a binary image. Our algorithm uses the same bracket marking mechanism as is used in the original algorithm to associate equivalent groups. The main improvement of our algorithm is that it reduces the three scans on each line required by the original algorithm in its first pass into only one scan by using a recursive group-boundary dynamic tracking technique, while maintaining the computation on each pixel during scan still a constant time. This algorithm is fast enough to handle images in real time and simple enough to allow an easy and very economical hardware implementation.
List of Symbols

\begin{itemize}
\item \(m\) \hspace{1cm} \text{the number of rows of an image}
\item \(n\) \hspace{1cm} \text{the number of columns of an image}
\item \(R_p\) \hspace{1cm} \text{the row \(p\) of an image}
\item \(I_p\) \hspace{1cm} \text{lower semi-image from row \(p\) to row \(m\)}
\item \(r\) \hspace{1cm} \text{a run in a row defined as a sequence of 1-pixels bounded on both side by 0-pixel}
\item \(G_p\) \hspace{1cm} \text{partition of a set of runs in \(R_p\)}
\end{itemize}
1 Introduction

The labeling of connected components of a binary image is a fundamental problem in image analysis. An early method was developed by Rosenfeld and Pfaltz [7] in 1966; it uses a pair of arrays, one containing the current region label and the other containing its smallest equivalent label. This algorithm processes an image from top to bottom to compute label equivalences, storing the result in the arrays. A second pass reassigns each label to its smallest equivalent label. Lumia, Shapiro and Zuniga [3] improved this method by using a short equivalence table, which needs to cover only one line. Schwartz, Sharir and Siegel [8] presented an algorithm which uses bracket marking to associate equivalent groups. This method enables one to compute the component numbers for each pixel on the fly, by using an relative small auxiliary bracket table. More interestingly, this algorithm uses mainly pushdown-stack data structures which allows simple high-speed hardware implementation. In addition to the above mentioned sequential algorithms, there are parallel algorithms, for example, an logarithmic-time connected components algorithm for massively parallel computing system (e.g. one processor per pixel) connected in shuffle-exchange or other similar pattern by Shiloach and Vishkin [9].

In this note we present an improved algorithm to the Schwartz, Sharir, and Siegel's algorithm [8]. Like the original algorithm, we make two passes over a binary image, with the first pass sweeping row by row from the bottom to top of the image and the second pass in the opposite direction. However, our improved algorithm makes only one scan on each line in the first pass, while the original algorithm needs three scan on each line in the first pass. While not as fast as the logarithmic-time parallel algorithm [9], the algorithm to be presented is fast enough to handle images in real time and simple enough to allow an easy and very economical hardware implementation.

The algorithm described in this note has been implemented in hardware [10]. A prototype
Connected Components Board has been designed and physically implemented by the author in the Robotics Research Laboratory of New York University. It did not involve any specially designed VLSI chips and can compute a 512 by 512 binary image in about 300 ms. A real-time version of the algorithm in VLSI, which pipelines the two passes of the algorithm, has been proposed in [10].

In the following, we first review the definitions and details of Schwartz, Sharir, and Siegel's algorithm [8]. Then, we describe our improved algorithm.

2 The Original Algorithm

Assume that a binary image has $m$ rows and $n$ columns. Some key definitions of the original algorithm are reviewed as below.

Definition 1: Let $R_p$ be an image row, $1 \leq p \leq m$.

(a) A run in $R_p$ is a sequence of 1-pixels (i.e. pixels with gray value 1) of $R_p$ bounded on both sides by 0-pixel (For simplicity, we add two additional 0-pixels to the left and right-end of each row of the image, respectively).

(b) The lower semi-image $I_p$ consists of the union of all rows $R_j$, $p \leq j \leq m$.

(c) $G_p$ is defined to be the partition of the set of runs in $R_p$, for which two runs are in the same partition group $g \in G_p$, iff they belong to the same connected component of the lower semi-image $I_p$.

The original algorithm consists of two passes. Pass 1 sweeps through the rows from bottom to top, during which the groups in $G_{p-1}$ are calculated inductively from the knowledge of $R_p$ by a simple updating rule. Pass 2 sweeps from top to bottom to assign component numbers to each pixel and outputs this symbolic image.

In each row, runs belonging to the same group are associated by a simple mechanism, called
bracket marking, as follows:

**Definition 2:** We define four symbols '[', ']', ' ', and ' ]'.

(a) If \( r \) is the leftmost (resp. rightmost) run in its group \( g \), it is assigned marking [ (resp. ]).

(b) If \( r \) is both leftmost and rightmost run in \( g \), i.e. \( g \) consists of a single run \( r \), then \( r \) is assigned the marking [].

(c) If \( r \) lies between the leftmost and rightmost run in its group, it is assigned the marking []

An example is given in Fig 1, where a bracket marking is shown for seven runs in \( R_p \) numbered from right to left. These seven runs are divided into three groups - (7,4,3,1), (6,5), and (2).

The following lemmas have been proved in [8]:

**Lemma 1:** (a) the bracket sequence that the proceeding definitions associate with the row \( R_p \) is properly nested, i.e. each right bracket in it is matched (by the well-known stacking algorithm) to an associated left bracket and vice versa.

(b) The groups into which we have divided the set of all runs in \( R_p \) can be reconstructed from the bracket sequence associated with \( R_p \) by applying the following rule: put all runs whose
associated brackets match into one group. (Note that according to this rule runs with the \[, \[\] marking will link certain runs to their left and certain runs on their right into a single group.)

Lemma 2: Let \( g \) and \( g' \) be distinct groups of runs in \( G_p \). Then if there are runs \( z_1, z_2 \) in \( g \), which are to the left and to the right, respectively, of some run \( z' \) in \( g' \), it follows that all the runs in \( g' \) lie between \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \).

The goal of pass 1 is to calculate bracket marking from bottom to top row by row. For this we want a rule telling us how to calculate the groups (or, equivalently, the bracket marking) for the row \( R_{p-1} \) given the same information for \( R_p \). Our aim is just to determine which runs of \( R_{p-1} \) have other runs in the same group which lie to their left (resp. right). Note that two runs, say \( r_i \) and \( r_j \) for some \( i \) and \( j \) of \( R_{p-1} \) belong to a same group if:

(a) both of them overlap with some run of a same group in \( R_p \); or

(b) \( r_i \) overlaps with a run of a group in \( R_p \), \( r_j \) overlaps with a run of a different group in \( R_p \), and these two groups in \( R_p \) are then merged together by some run other than \( r_i \) and \( r_j \) in \( R_{p-1} \).

The original algorithm makes four scans on each image row, two left-to-right, the others right-to-left. The first two of these scans calculates what is called extended group:

Definition 3: Two runs in \( R_p \) belong to the same extended group if they are members of the same connected component of the lower semi-image \( I_{p-1} \).

Note that every extended group \( g' \) of \( R_p \) is a union of one or more groups \( g \) of \( R_p \) which are merged together by some runs in \( R_{p-1} \). The merging of two groups can be in following three basic ways:

Case (a)

In case (a) (Fig. 2.a), a run of \( R_{p-1} \) overlaps with both the leftmost run of group \( g_i \) and the rightmost run of group \( g_j \) of \( R_p \). To introduce an equivalence between two immediately adjacent brackets \( ']' \), \( '[' \), we can simply change them both to \( '][' \).
Figure 2: Three basic ways of merging two groups in calculating extended group.
Case (b)

In case (b) (Fig. 2.b), the equivalence between group \( g_i \) and group \( g_j \) can be introduced during the scan from right to left as following. We can change \( l_2 \) from ‘]’ to ‘][’ and leave \( l_1 \) unchanged; then we must locate the extreme left-hand bracket \( h_2 \) of group \( g_i \) (in \( R_p \)) whose rightmost bracket is \( l_2 \), and change \( h_2 \) from ‘[’ to ‘][’.

Case (c)

The case (c) is symmetric to the case (b). Hence it can be handled in a similar manner of the case (b) in an opposite scan from left to right.

It follows that the extended groups of \( R_p \) can be obtained by making the bracket modifications described. This modification can be made in two successive passes (over \( R_p \) and \( R_{p-1} \) together), as follows: scan the pixels of \( R_p \) and \( R_{p-1} \) simultaneously, from right to left, holding unmatched brackets on a stack. Whenever runs of \( R_p \) described by successive brackets ‘]’, ‘]’ (call them \( l_2 \) and \( l_1 \) as before) are found to be in contact with an unbroken run in \( R_{p-1} \), change \( l_2 \) to ‘][’, where ‘*’ is an additional 1-bit mark which indicates that the bracket representing the left end of the group whose right end is \( l_2 \) must be changed to ‘][’ when encountered during the stacking/unstacking process. Treat successive pairs of brackets ‘[’, ‘[’ symmetrically during an immediately following left-to-right scan.

Once these bracket modifications have been performed, two more relatively straightforward passes, over \( R_p \) and \( R_{p-1} \) simultaneously, suffice to construct a bracket marking describing the groups of \( R_{p-1} \).

The third scan (left-to-right) identifies all those runs \( r \) in \( R_{p-1} \) which belong to groups \( G \) containing runs \( r' \) lying to the left of \( r \). We still scan the rows \( R_{p-1} \) and \( R_p \) together, and simultaneously scan the modified bracket marking in \( R_p \). An auxiliary stack \( S \) is used to store left brackets discovered during the scan of \( R_p \) that have not yet been matched. If a run \( r \) in \( R_p \)
is currently being scanned, then the bracket on top of $S$ will describe the group containing $r$. Stacked brackets have two mark fields: `grouphit` and `old`. The bracket on top of $S$ will have its `grouphit` mark set to 1 whenever, during the scan of $R_{p-1}$ a pixel in $R_p$ is discovered to be adjacent to a pixel in $R_{p-1}$. This records the fact that some run in the group represented by the top bracket (and all matching brackets) is known to be adjacent to some run of $R_{p-1}$. The `old` mark distinguishes between the case in which a group $g$ of $R_p$ represented by a stacked bracket with `grouphit` = 1 only has pixels adjacent to the run in $R_{p-1}$ that is currently being scanned (in which case `old` = 0), from the contrary case in which $g$ is adjacent to a run in $R_{p-1}$ that has already been scanned (in which case `old` = 1).

The start of each run $r$ of $R_p$ pushes an associated left bracket on $S$ if the marking of $r$ is either [$ or [, and the end of $r$ pops the top bracket of $S$ if $r$ is marked either ] or ]. The marking $\|\|$ is handled most efficiently by regarding it as a 'no-op' which simply continues the bracket currently on the stack. Whenever two adjacent white bits, belonging to runs $r' \in R_{p-1}$ and $r \in R_p$ respectively are seen, we check whether the top bracket in the stack is `grouphit` and `old`. If this is the case, $r'$ must be connected to some run in $R_{p-1}$, and that run lies to the left of $r'$. If not, the bracket's `old` mark must be zero, since runs in $r$'s group lying to the left of $r$ do not contact $R_{p-1}$; but in this case the `grouphit` mark of this same bracket will already be set.

The subsequent right-to-left scan performs exactly the mirror image of these actions, i.e. determines what runs $r$ of $R_{p-1}$ are part of a group extending to their right. This gives us the bracket marking associated with $R_{p-1}$.

Since the second scan produces each modified bracket just when this is needed by the third left-to-right scan, these two left-to-right scans can be combined into one. Thus three scans over each successive pair of rows suffice to generate the bracket markings in $R_{p-1}$.
We then perform a top-to-bottom pass which completes the assignment of connected component numbers. To process the groups $g$ of $G_p$ after $R_{p-1}$ has been processed, we simply apply the following rule: if any run in $g$ touches any run $r$ in $R_{p-1}$, assign each pixel of $g$ the same component number as that assigned to $r$. Otherwise $g$ represents a new component; assign a new component number to its pixels. To do this, we perform a simultaneous left-to-right pass over $R_p$ and $R_{p-1}$ during which the parenthesis marking of $R_p$ drives the stacking/unstacking procedure previously described; during this process each stacked bracket must be marked either with a zero (indicating no contact yet), or with a nonzero integer defining a component number. While this is done, a queue giving the component number for each group of $R_{p-1}$ must be available. Component numbers can be stored at the end of the final run of the corresponding group of $R_p$.

3 An Improved Algorithm

Our improved algorithm makes also two passes over an image and uses the same bracket marking mechanism as that of the original algorithm. The major difference of our algorithm from the original algorithm is that our algorithm reduces the three scans on each row required by the original algorithm in its first pass into only one scan while maintaining the computation on each pixel during the scan still in a constant time. The main idea of this improvement is the following. Instead of first calculating the extended groups of $R_p$ before actually computing the bracket marking of $R_{p-1}$ as is done in the original algorithm, we now directly compute the bracket marking of $R_{p-1}$ in one scan by using a recursive group-boundary dynamic tracking technique.

Assume that we scan a row from right to left. The connectivity of runs in row $R_{p-1}$ through links in the semi-image $I_p$ can be classified into three basic cases as follows (refer to Fig. 3):
Figure 3: Basic cases of connectivity in semi-image $I_p$: (a) simple chain; (b) a cluster of links is bound at right end; (c) a cluster links is bound at left end.

(a) Several runs may be linked by a simple chain;

(b) A cluster of links (or arcs) may be bound together on its right end by a single run in row $R_p$;

(c) A cluster of links (or arcs) may be tied together on its left end by a single run in row $R_p$.

The general connectivity can be decomposed into these three elementary cases by recursively considering a subset of consecutive runs in a group as a virtual "single run". For example in Fig. 1, if we consider runs 4 and 3 as a virtual "single run" denoted by 4-3, the connectivity between 7, 4-3, and 1 falls into the elementary case (c). Our algorithm handles the connectivity problem from inner level to outer level recursively. Thus, at any time, we only have to deal with one of the three elementary cases defined above; however a run could be a simple run or a virtual "single run".

We now use two stacks, called stack1 and stack2. Stack1 plays a similar role as in the
original algorithm to trace the bracket marking already computed for $R_p$, while stack2 is used to dynamically trace group boundaries of $R_{p-1}$ during the scan. Assume we number each run in row $R_{p-1}$ from right to left starting from 1, as an example shown in Fig. 1. We still scan $R_{p-1}$ and $R_p$ together. At each stage of the scan, there is an entry in stack2 for each non-ended group found so far. Each entry is a pair of integers representing the current right-most and left-most runs of the corresponding group. In the following we show how this group boundary information can be updated according to the new knowledge we gain about each group as the scan continues.

When a run, say $r_j$, of $R_{p-1}$ starts a new group, an entry $[r_j, r_j]$ is pushed on stack2. The condition for starting a new group can be easily checked out locally during the scan. Specifically, when we encounter a new run in row $R_{p-1}$, we check if it makes contact with any run in row $R_p$. If it does not, we know that it is the start of a new group containing one isolated run only; or it does make contact with some runs in $R_p$ the right-most of which has a ']' marking, we assume temporarily that a new group starts. (We say 'temporarily' here since initially no link connects the current run in $R_{p-1}$ to any run to its right on the same row. However this new run might link to some run to its right indirectly through several arcs. For example in Fig. 3(c), run 2 links indirectly to run 1 through two arcs.)

Now we describe how a group's boundaries are expended in each of three elementary cases and when a group is terminated.

Case (a)

In case (a) of Fig. 3, we have entry $[1,1]$ on stack2 after reaching run 1, which means the left and right boundaries of the current group are both equal to 1. When run 2 is encountered, we know that it links to its right to the current group represented by the top of stack2 by checking the top of stack1 and applying lemma 2. So the left bound expands to 2 after run 2, resulting in
the top stack entry [1,1] being updated to [2,1]. Similarly, it is then updated to [3,1] when run 3 is reached. When a run of $R_p$ with a ']' marking is scanned, the top entry of $stack_1$ is popped off and also if the group $g$ of $R_p$ represented by this popped entry contacts the current group $g'$ of $R_{p-1}$ represented by the top entry of $stack_2$, then $g'$ is terminated since there is no more path in $I_p$ possible to link $g'$ further to its left; hence, its entry on $stack_2$ is popped off.

Case (b)

The situation in case (b) of Fig. 3 is slightly more complicated. We have [1,1] on $stack_2$ after run 1. (Remember that we have two marked entries on $stack_1$ after run 1 in row $R_p$ since it contacts two runs in row $R_p$ both of which have ']' brackets.)

After run 2, the top entry on $stack_2$ becomes [2,1] and the top entry on $stack_1$ was popped. At this point we do not know whether or not to pop the top entry on $stack_2$. While it is possible, using only local information to determine the start of a new group, this is not the case for determining the end of the current group. The fact that a run has no more arcs linking it leftwards does not necessarily mean that the end of this group has been reached. (Note that run 2 is further linked to run 3 through an indirect path.)

To handle this situation, we introduce an auxiliary field in $stack_1$'s entry to indicate whether the arc associated with a ']' marking in that entry is the outermost (or lowest) arc. For example, we say arc A is the lowest among the cluster of arcs bounded by run 1 in row $R_p$ in (b) of Fig. 3. The auxiliary bit can be easily set as following. During an unbroken run in row $R_{p-1}$, if one or more entries have to be pushed onto $stack_1$, the auxiliary field in the first pushed entry is set to TRUE and the auxiliary fields in all other entries are set to FALSE. If the auxiliary field in the entry just popped off $stack_1$ is FALSE, we know the arc (or link) just ended is not the outermost (lowest) one, i.e. there is an arc belonging to the same group enclosing this finished arc, so we don't pop the entry off $stack_2$ because we don't know if the current group in row $R_{p-1}$
is finished yet. After reaching run 3 in row $R_p$, the left bound of current group is expanded to 3, and the top entry on stack2 is changed from [2,1] to [3,1]. When the entry on stack1 is popped off, we see that the auxiliary field is TRUE. So, we can confidently pop the top entry on stack2 off this time because we know that there is no further arc linking this group to any run to its left.

Notice that, since the introducing of this auxiliary field, the handling of case (a) should be modified to include a checking of this auxiliary field in determining the termination of the current group.

Case (c)

A situation symmetrical to case (b) occurs in case (c). After reaching run 1, we have one entry, [1,1], on stack2. Note that at the moment after reaching run 2, it is impossible to know that this run is actually linked to run 1 through an indirect path. So, we have a second entry, [2,2], on top of stack2. After point $z_1$ in run 3 is reached, the top entry of stack2 is updated from [2,2] to [3,2]. When we reach point $z_2$ we find that two arcs are bound together. So, the two top entries on stack2 are merged into one in such a way that the new left bound is the left bound of first entry on stack2 and the new right bound is the right bound of the second entry of stack2, resulting a [3,1] on stack2. These operations can be performed in two steps: (1) pop stack2; (2) replace the left bound of top entry by the left bound of the entry just popped. In this example, we pop [3,2] off stack2 first; then change the left field of top entry - [1,1] to 3 and get [3,1] as the new top on stack1.

The bracket marking can be effectively encoded by a two-bit binary number as shown in Table 1. The bracket information calculated during pass 1 is stored in a memory of $m \times \frac{3}{2} \times 2$ bits, called the bracket table. This table is indexed by two row counters, row_count1 and row_count2, and two column counters, run_count1 and run_count2. Row_count1 and run_count1 are combined...
to access brackets for row $R_{p-1}$, and $row\_count2$ and $run\_count2$ for those in row $R_p$. Each entry in the table has two 1-bit fields, both of which are initialized to zero. $Run\_counts$ are set to zero at the beginning of a row scan and incremented by one every time a new run started.

Now we show how the bracket table can be updated efficiently as the group boundaries updated dynamically during a scan. There are only two types of group-expanding operations: (a) the left boundary of the current group expands to include the current scanned run; (b) the two top most groups on stack2 are merged together. To do each of these operations in constant time, we must be able to directly index correct columns in a row of the bracket table so that we can set corresponding bits to 1's. The index of the current scanning run is provided by $run\_count1$ for row $R_{p-1}$ and $run\_count2$ for row $R_p$. The boundaries of all non-ended groups are maintained in stack2 in a properly nested order with the current group on the top. Thus, the updating of the bracket table's entry in every possible case can obviously be done in a constant time.

The second pass of our algorithm is same as that of the original algorithm. A full implementation of our algorithm written in C programming language can be found in [11].

4 Conclusion and Additional Remarks

In this note, we have presented an improved algorithm to Schwartz, Sharir and Siegel's algorithm [8] for labeling the connected components of a binary image. Our algorithm uses the same
bracket marking mechanism as is used in the original algorithm to associate equivalent groups. The main improvement of our algorithm is that it reduces the three scans on each line required by the original algorithm in its first pass into only one scan by using a recursive group-boundary dynamic tracking technique, while maintaining the computation on each pixel during scan still a constant time. This algorithm is fast enough to handle images in real time and simple enough to allow an easy and very economical hardware implementation. In fact, a prototype connected components board has already been designed and implemented by the author [11].

When we want to compute a sequence of input images continuously, it is interesting to pipeline the two passes of the algorithm in order to get a sequence of continuous output symbolic images. In some applications, it will be useful to identify the $k$ largest components and/or to calculate various additive geometric invariants of these components, e.g. their number of pixels, medians and second moments. These computations can be performed in a variety of ways. One simple method is to compute these values on the fly during the top-to-bottom (i.e. second) pass; or separate these computations into an individual stage and place it into the pipeline at the place after the second pass.
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