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The purpose of this study was to accomplish technical evaluations of six of the most common direct electric-driven handpieces on the market. This will provide assistance to base dental surgeons for selection of units for their particular requirements. The handpieces were compared against criteria developed by USAFSAM evaluators. The user evaluations were performed at USAFSAM and the results are presented herein.
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ELECTRIC HANDPIECES: TECHNICAL EVALUATION

INTRODUCTION

The use of direct electric-driven handpieces in the dental laboratory has become the standard over the use of belt-driven handpieces. The recently developed units have increased power, speed, and features over those of several years ago. The United States Air Force (USAF) Dental Investigation Service (DIS) has undertaken a study to compare the features and capabilities of the most common handpieces on the market. The information in this study can be used by dental clinics to assist in the selection of electric handpieces to meet their particular requirement.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Handpieces were tested at DIS for physical characteristics, maximum power, and speed. Each unit was placed on a Magtrol Dynamometer using a 4:1 gear reduction ratio. Handpieces were run at maximum revolutions per minute (rpm), torque was gradually applied, and the system was allowed to stabilize to eliminate inertial energy from previous readings. For each handpiece five to six distinct data points were obtained. These points were analyzed by linear regression yielding a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99.

RESULTS

Table 1 includes the results of the testing completed at DIS. The following list gives the criteria against which each unit was compared.

- MANUFACTURER: This general information lists the current address and telephone number of each manufacturer or distributor. To ensure the product line has not changed, we recommend the manufacturer be contacted prior to purchase to confirm all specifications and ordering information.

- MODEL: This general information refers to the current name of the product tested. The manufacturer must be contacted for the current order number.

- ELECTRICAL REQUIREMENTS: Electrical requirements will vary from one facility to the next. Ensure your electrical capabilities will support a particular handpiece prior to ordering.

- MAXIMUM RPM: This value is the maximum rpm as measured by DIS. These values may vary slightly from those stated by the manufacturer.

- HP WEIGHT: All units were weighed on an Ohaus 4000 electronic scale. This weight does not include the weight of the cord which connects the handpiece to the control source.
## TABLE 1. ELECTRIC HANDBRUSH DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MANUFACTURER</th>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>ELECTRICAL REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>MAXIMUM RPM</th>
<th>HP WEIGHT</th>
<th>POWER</th>
<th>HP DIAMETER</th>
<th>TYPE OF CONTROL</th>
<th>MANUAL CONTROL</th>
<th>SUR CHG</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jelenko Dental</td>
<td>Dynamo</td>
<td>1120 V 50/60 Hz</td>
<td>34,800</td>
<td>183 g</td>
<td>3.17 w</td>
<td>1.6 cm</td>
<td>Bench top</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>twist</td>
<td>$610.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Products</td>
<td>Plus</td>
<td>1240 V 50/60 Hz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199 Business Park Dr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA 91016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-423-4224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-221-6721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-423-4224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jelkus Dental Prod</td>
<td>Hi- Torque</td>
<td>120 V 50/60 Hz</td>
<td>33,800</td>
<td>183 g</td>
<td>23.7 w</td>
<td>1.6 cm</td>
<td>Bench top</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>twist</td>
<td>$581.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hyde Park L.L.,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-800-221-6721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSK American Corp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1101 W., Lions Drive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Suite III</td>
<td></td>
<td>120 V 50/60 Hz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrington, IL 60010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1312-392-6688</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-221-6721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osada Electric C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18243 W. 3rd Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Suite 150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-900-423-4224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-221-6721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuleyne Hanau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 Sonwil Drive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo, NY 14222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-450-1700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-423-4224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitek Corp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-730-350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-423-4224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-800-221-6721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA 91016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monrovia, CA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POWER: This criterion is the maximum power which the handpiece can generate. Also stated is the rpm at maximum power. While some handpieces will attempt to increase the power as torque is applied, our test methods measured the maximum capability. None of the units tested stalled but rather had a circuit breaker which was tripped.

HP DIAMETER: This criterion is the maximum and minimum diameter of the handpiece which is normally held in the hand.

TYPE OF CONTROL: The control unit for handpieces is either placed on the bench top or the floor. Units placed on the floor take up less bench space, usually have fewer features, and may be subjected to more abuse. In addition, the electrical cord may also be a problem if electrical outlets are not available below the bench. Units placed on the bench top have more features but may create a problem if bench space is limited. If a foot control is used, additional cords from the control unit to the foot control may present a problem. All units with a manual control are bench-top models.

MANUAL CONTROL: Some operators prefer to use a manual control over a variable foot control. A manual rheostat is usually set off the control unit and will operate the handpiece at a given rpm.

BUR CHANGE: This criterion is the method used to change burs. Some handpieces will use a latch-type device, and others will require a twisting motion of the handpiece. The latch type is generally easier to use; however, the user must ensure the latch is completely closed prior to operating or the mechanism will fail quickly.

PRICE: This general information is the current price as of 1 May 1988. The manufacturer should always be contacted for a current price.

DISCUSSION

Jelenko Dynamo Plus

The Jelenko Dynamo Plus (Fig. 1) is an electric handpiece which features a bench-top control box. On the front of the control box is the "OFF/ON" switch, a reset button, a light for speed indication, and a "FORWARD/REVERSE" switch. The handpiece is capable of producing a maximum of 34,800 rpm, 31.7 W at 17,000 rpm (Fig. 2) and comes with a lightweight telephone-type cord. On the reverse side of the unit is the foot control jack and the fuse. The use of the variable-speed foot control is required as there is no manual control. The handpiece weight is 183 g and does not include the weight of the cord. This handpiece may also be connected to the Jelenko Powerhouse, a high-speed bench lathe. The design of the Dynamo Plus is similar to the Jelrus Hi-Torque.

The sound level of the Jelenko Dynamo Plus is low, and there is sufficient power at all speeds. The handpiece weight is average, and the size is good for most technicians. Changing the bur involves twisting a ring on the handpiece. This procedure is slightly difficult due to the tightness of the ring. The foot pedal is very responsive; however, it did not always stay in place.
Figure 1. Jelenko Dynamo Plus.

Figure 2. Jelenko Dynamo Plus speed vs. torque curve.
The Jelrus Hi-Torque (Fig. 3) is an electric handpiece with the control box located on the bench top. On the front of the unit is the "ON/OFF" switch, the "FORWARD/REVERSE" switch, a "RESET" button, a light for speed indication, and the jack for the handpiece cord. The Hi-Torque produces a maximum of 33,800 rpm, 23.7 W at 17,600 rpm (Fig. 4), and comes with a lightweight telephone type cord. The use of the variable-speed foot control is required as there is no manual control. The handpiece weight is 183 g and does not include the weight of the cord. The design of the Jelrus Hi-Torque is similar to the Jelenko Dynamo Plus.

The sound level of the Jelrus Hi-Torque is about average. There is excellent power at low speeds and above average power at high speeds. Handpiece weight is average and balance is excellent. As with the Dynamo Plus, the handpiece size is good; however, the burs are slightly difficult to change due to the tightness of the handpiece ring. The foot pedal is a good size and very responsive; however, there is some problem with the foot pedal staying in place.

Figure 3. Jelrus Hi-Torque.
The NSK Volvere-8 (Fig. 5) electric handpiece features a bench-top control box. On the front of the unit is a "FORWARD/REVERSE" switch, a switch for selecting the manual or foot control, and a large dial for setting the desired rpm when using the manual control. The handpiece jack is located on the front of the unit and there is a light which indicates the rpm's. On the back of the unit is the foot control jack, a reset switch, and the fuse. The Volvere-8 is capable of producing a maximum of 34,000 rpm, 28.9 W at 16,750 rpm (Fig. 6). The handpiece weight is 196 g and comes with a latch-type bur changer. The Volvere-8 has an E-Type ISO standard coupling which allows the use of different attachments (purchased separately). The unit will also accept a straight angle (Friction Grip 1:3 Speed Increaser Angle, See DIS Project 87-67) or a contra angle attachment.

The NSK Volvere-8 has excellent power at all speeds to accomplish all tasks. Handpiece weight and balance are above average. The handpiece size and foot control responsiveness is above average. The ability to change burs is excellent due to the latch-type device. However, the user must be sure the latch is completely closed when operating, or the collet will quickly wear out.
Figure 5. NSK Volvere-8.

Figure 6. NSK Volvere-8 speed vs. torque curve.
Osada XL-030

The Osada XL-030 (Fig. 7) has the control box located on the bench top. On the front of the unit is the "ON/OFF" switch, a "FORWARD/REVERSE" switch, a slide rheostat for manually setting the rpm's, a "MANUAL/FOOT" switch, and the handpiece jack. On the reverse side of the unit is the reset button and the foot control jack. The XL-030 produces a maximum of 33,500 rpm and 26.9 W at 16,800 rpm (Fig. 8). The handpiece is a latch type, the smallest in size of those tested, and weighs 192 g. This unit has a manual rheostat on the control unit and the variable-speed foot control is an optional accessory.

The sound level of the Osada XL-030 is very low, and power is excellent at high and low speeds. The handpiece size is ideal for individuals who prefer a smaller handpiece. The unit tested had the optional foot control which is above average in responsiveness and stays in place well. The ability to change burs is excellent because of the easy-to-use latch-type device.

Figure 7. Osada XL-030.
The Teledyne Hanau Emesco 15EHA (Fig. 9) is a heavy-duty electric handpiece with the control box located on the floor. On the top of the control box is a "FORWARD/REVERSE" button and on the side of the unit is a reset button. The variable-speed foot control is located on the box and is a lever type. The handpiece can produce a maximum of 37,000 rpm and 37.5 W at 16,900 rpm (Fig. 10). The handpiece weight is 264 g, higher than any others tested. All operation of this unit is through the use of the variable-speed foot control as there is no manual control.

The Emesco 15EHA appears to be an extremely durable and well-made electric handpiece. This unit has exceptional power at all speeds and the foot control is very stationary. The handpiece weight and size are very large as compared to most models, which may cause some technicians to comment on hand fatigue. Because the "FORWARD/REVERSE" button is located on the top of the control box, it may be accidentally depressed, thus causing problems for some operators.
Figure 9. Teledyne Hanau Emesco 15 EHA.

Figure 10. Teledyne Hanau Emesco 15 EHA speed vs. torque curve.
The Unitek 738-352 electric handpiece (Fig. 11) has the control box located on the floor. The "FORWARD/REVERSE" button is located on the top of the unit, and the foot control is a lever type. This unit produces a maximum of 28,000 rpm and 33.4 W at 14,750 rpm (Fig. 12). The handpiece weighs 243 g, and bur removal is accomplished by twisting a ring on the handpiece. This unit may be operated only by the variable-speed foot control. Unitek also markets a similar bench-top model which has a manual rheostat on the control box and a variable-speed foot control.

The Unitek handpiece has excellent power at low and high speeds to accomplish all tasks. Handpiece weight is average, but balance, vibration, and size are above average. The foot control is above average in responsiveness, with most technicians preferring the lever type of control. The ability to change burs is above average, which is aided by the grip of the handpiece.

Figure 11. Unitek 738-352.
RECOMMENDATION

In spite of the difference in speed vs. torque (Fig. 13), all handpieces appeared to have sufficient power at low and high speeds. Handpiece size, weight, and features were more critical.

The design of a dental laboratory and work benches should be considered when purchasing handpieces. If bench space is limited, a floor model such as the Emesco 15E or the Unitek 738-352 would work well. (This type works best if there are electrical outlets below the bench.) If bench space is adequate and foot control cords may be run through the bench, the Dynamo Plus, Hi-Torque, Volvere-8, or the XL-030 should be considered. If cords may not be run through the bench, a handpiece with a manual rheostat, such as the Volvere-8 or the XL-030, would work well.

Handpiece size and bur removal method are other features which should be considered in any purchasing decision. Technicians with small hands may prefer the smaller lightweight handpieces. The larger, heavier units were not excessively heavy but may cause hand fatigue for some individuals. In general, the latch type of bur removal is preferred over the ring type. The Latch type is simple to use; however, the operator must ensure the latch is completely
closed or the mechanism will quickly wear out. The units which require the operator to twist a ring on the shaft of the handpiece were slightly difficult to use because of the tightness of the ring. This tightness was likely due to the handpiece being new and would loosen slightly with time.

![Laboratory Handpieces](image)

**Laboratory Handpieces**

- Emesco
- Jelenko
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**Figure 13.** Speed vs. torque curves.

**CONCLUSION**

All handpieces worked well for their intended purpose. The selection of the proper electric handpiece depends on the respective needs of the operator and the physical design of the work area.

The information provided in this report can be used by base dental surgeons as an aid in purchasing electric handpieces. Any questions should be directed to the U.S. Air Force Dental Investigation Service, USAFSAM/NGD, Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5301, AUTOVON 240-3502, Commercial (512) 536-3502.