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ABSTRACT

Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_r \) be a random sample of size \( r \) from a binomial distribution \( b(n, p) \). Let \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r \) be \( r \)-observed success counts. A method has been developed to estimate the total number of trials \( n \) from a Bayesian perspective when the probability of success \( p \) is either known or unknown. The prior distribution for \( n \) is assumed to be the discrete uniform distribution. In the case when \( p \) is unknown, \( p \) is assumed to have a beta prior distribution. The estimate for \( n \) is then the mode of the posterior distribution. Additionally, guidelines for selecting shape parameters for the beta distributions are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Consider that $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_r$ is a random sample of size $r$ from a binomial distribution $b(n, p)$, where $n$ is the number of trials and $p$ is the probability of success. Given $r$ observations, $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$, the usual problem in the binomial situation is to estimate $p$. However, in other instances, $n$, the number of trials, may be the unknown parameter of interest. For example, an appliance company may be interested in estimating the number of appliances of a particular type in a given service area. In the naval environment, when a number of ships have been located or detected in a certain region, sometimes it is important to estimate the overall number of ships in that region in order to decide appropriate actions. These are some of the practical problems in which $n$ in a binomial distribution becomes the parameter to be estimated.

In the simplest case, when $r = 1$ and $p$ is known, the procedure for estimating $n$ by constructing confidence intervals has been worked out by Tang and Sindler in [1]. This research is a follow-up work in which the general cases are considered but some of the restrictions are removed. $r$ is assumed to be a positive integer greater than one and $p$ may or may not be known. Draper and Guttman [2] proposed to estimate $n$ in a Bayesian framework by introducing prior probability distributions to unknown parameters. Then the estimation of $n$ is based on the posterior distribution. Since prior probability distributions are assumed, more unknown parameters become involved. But in [2], the issue of how to select the values of the parameters has not been addressed. In this research memorandum, some guidelines for selecting the values of the parameters in the prior probability distributions are recommended. Recurrence formulas for calculating the posterior probabilities are also derived.

The method of estimating $n$ from a Bayesian viewpoint is outlined in the next section. Suggestions for choosing values of unknown parameters in the prior probability distributions are discussed. Several examples are also presented in this section. Remarks and directions of future research are presented in the last section. Characteristics of beta distributions and an interactive computer program are included in appendixes.

THE METHOD

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_r$ be a random sample of size $r$ from a binomial distribution $b(n, p)$. Given the observations $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$, the objective is to estimate $n$. When $r = 1$ and $p$ is known, the method of constructing confidence intervals for $n$ has been presented in [1]. For $r$ being a positive integer larger than one, Draper and Guttman [2]
proposed a Bayesian approach for estimating $n$. Adopting their notations, the likelihood can be written as:

$$
L(n, p | x) \propto p^t (1 - p)^{n-t} \frac{n!}{(n-x_i)!} ,
$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r)'$ is a column vector of positive integers and $t = \sum x_i$ is the total number of successes in the $r$ observations. The case of $p$ being known will be discussed first; then, the case of $p$ being unknown will be discussed.

$p$ is Known

When $p$ is known, let $h(n)$ denote the prior distribution of $n$. Without further knowledge of $n$, the discrete uniform distribution provides a reasonable form for $h(n)$.

$$
h(n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{N} & \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq N \\
0 & \text{elsewhere}
\end{cases},
$$

where $N$ is a predetermined large integer. The posterior distribution for $n$ is given by

$$
p(n | x, p) \propto (1 - p)^n h(n) \frac{n!}{(n-x_i)!} .
$$

The domain of $p(n | x, p)$ is the set of $n$ such that $n = x_{max}, x_{max} + 1, x_{max} + 2, \ldots, N$, where

$$
x_{max} = \max \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\} .
$$

The mode of the posterior distribution $p(n | x, p)$ given in expression 3, denoted by $\hat{n}$, provides an estimate of $n$. $\hat{n}$, therefore, is the integer satisfying the following inequalities:

$$
p (\hat{n} - 1 | x, p) \leq p (\hat{n} | x, p) ,$$

and

$$
p (\hat{n} + 1 | x, p) \leq p (\hat{n} | x, p) .$$

Or alternatively, $\hat{n}$ is the solution of the simultaneous inequalities:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{r} (\hat{n} - x_i) \leq [\hat{n} (1 - p)]^r ,
$$
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and
\[(\hat{n} + 1) (1 - p)^r \leq \frac{\hat{n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} (\hat{n} + 1 - x_i)} .
\]

It has been pointed out by Feldman and Fox [3] that \( \hat{n} \) is also the maximum likelihood estimator for \( n \).

In addition to providing an estimate for \( n \), the posterior distribution could also cast some light on the precision of the estimate. A closed form of the estimator for \( n \) may not seem feasible. But a numerical solution can be obtained by using the following recurrence formula. For \( n = x_{\text{max}} + j, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, (N - x_{\text{max}}) \),

\[
p(n \mid x, p) = p(x_{\text{max}} + j \mid x, p) = C \cdot Q_j ,
\]

where
\[
Q_j = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } j = 0 \\
Q_{j-1} (1 - p)^r \frac{(x_{\text{max}} + j)^r}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} (x_{\text{max}} + j - x_i)} & \text{otherwise} .
\end{cases}
\]

Thus, the normalizing constant \( C \) in expression 4 is the reciprocal of the sum of the \( Q_j \)’s, i.e.,

\[
C = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{N-x_{\text{max}}} Q_j} .
\]

As far as the point estimation is concerned, an estimate for \( n \) can be obtained irrespective of the predetermined integer \( N \). If a confidence interval with a specified confidence coefficient \( \gamma \) is desired, then the value of \( N \) is needed. A 100 \( \gamma \)-percent confidence interval for \( n \) is given by

\[
[x_{\text{max}} + \ell, x_{\text{max}} + u] ,
\]

where \( \ell \) and \( u \) are integers such that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{u} p(x_{\text{max}} + j \mid x, p) = \gamma .
\]

Since \( \ell \) and \( u \) in expression 7 are the integers satisfying the condition 8, they are chosen such that the summation on the left-hand side of 8 is approximately equal to \( \gamma \) and \( 1 - \gamma \) is roughly equally divided to the two tails. Therefore, a 100 \( \gamma \)-percent confidence interval for \( n \) may not be unique.
To determine a suitable value of $N$ for computing a confidence interval for $n$, one may adopt the scheme given below. Let

$$S_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j} Q_i$$

be the $j$th partial sum of the sequence $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, \ldots$. For a given $\delta > 0$, $j$ is defined to be the smallest integer such that

$$Q_j / S_j < \delta.$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Therefore, the required integer $N$ is equal to $x_{max} + j - 1$. The criterion stated in the inequality 10 suggests that the posterior probabilities, beyond the value of $N$, will not contribute significantly.

**Example 1: $r = 1$**

Suppose $p$ is known to be 0.2. The only success count shows that ten successes have been detected, i.e., $x = 10$. Hence, $x_{max}$ is also equal to 10. An estimate for $n$ could be either 49 or 50. Similarly, 48 and 51 are also likely values for the estimate. Since $x = 10$ and $p = 0.2$, $x/p = 10/0.2 = 50$. The possible estimates are all close to 50.

Using the criterion 10 for $\delta = 0.005$, $N$ is found to be 81. A 95-percent confidence interval for $n$ is $[30, 77]$. As mentioned earlier, the confidence coefficient, 95 percent, is only an approximation.

**Example 2: $r = 4$**

Assume again $p = 0.2$. Four success counts are available: 4, 8, 12, and 8. Hence, $x_{max} = 12$. An estimate for $n$ is equal to 40. Other possible values are 39 and 41.

For $\delta = 0.005$, a 95-percent confidence interval can be chosen to be $[31, 54]$ or $[26, 51]$. Both intervals give a confidence coefficient only to approximately 95 percent.
When $p$ is unknown, assume that $n$ and $p$ are independent. Let $n$ have the same prior probability distribution as stated in expression 2. Suppose that the prior probability distribution for $p$ is in the form of a beta distribution with parameters $v_1$ and $v_2$. Let $k(p)$ denote the prior probability distribution of $p$. Thus,

$$k(p) \propto p^{v_1-1} (1-p)^{v_2-1}, \ 0 \leq p \leq 1 \ . \quad (11)$$

Differentiate $k(p)$ in expression 11 with respect to $p$ and let the first derivative of $k(p)$ equal zero. Then equation 12 represents the relationship among the maximum value and the two unknown parameters, $v_1$ and $v_2$, in the beta prior distribution:

$$(1-p)^{v_1} - p^{v_2} - 1 + 2p = 0 \ , \quad (12)$$

with an initial estimate of $p$; $v_1$ and $v_2$ can be solved through equation 12. However, the solution for $v_1$ and $v_2$ is not unique. If the initial estimate of $p$ was obtained with high certainty, then the parameters $v_1$ and $v_2$ should be chosen with larger values, e.g., $v_1 = 10$ or 20. Otherwise, use smaller values; for example, $v_1$ may be 2 or 3. This recommendation is based on the characteristics of beta distributions. Beta distributions are further discussed in appendix A.

The joint posterior distribution is given by

$$p(n, p | x) \propto p^{t+v_1-1} (1-p)^{rn-t+v_2-1} h(n) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \binom{n!}{n-x_i} \ . \quad (13)$$

The marginal distribution of $n$ can be obtained by integrating expression 13 with respect to $p$ from 0 to 1. Therefore,

$$p(n | x) \propto \frac{(rn-t+v_2-1)!}{(rn+v_1+v_2-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{n!}{(n-x_i)!} , \text{ for } x_{\text{max}} \leq n \leq N \ . \quad (14)$$

Again, the mode $n$ of expression 14 would provide an estimate of $n$. Similarly, if $n = x_{\text{max}} + j$, for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - x_{\text{max}}$,

$$p(n | x) = p(x_{\text{max}} + j | x) = C \cdot Q_j \ , \quad (15)$$

\text{---}
where

$$Q_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ \frac{Q_{j-1}}{r} & \frac{r x_{\max} - t + v_2 + (j - 1) r + i}{r x_{\max} + v_1 + v_2 + (j - 1) r + i} \\ \frac{r}{\pi} \frac{(x_{\max} + j)^r}{(x_{\max}^r + (j - x_i)^r)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

is a recurrence formula for calculating $Q_j$. The normalizing constant $C$ in expression 15 can be computed in exactly the same manner as before.

**Example 3: $r = 1$**

Suppose $p$ is unknown. An initial $p$ is found to be 0.2. Assume that the only success count gives ten successes. If $\delta$ in criterion 10 is chosen to be 0.005, then the estimates at various levels of certainty are presented in table 1.

**TABLE 1**

**ESTIMATES OF $n$ WHEN $r = 1$ AND $p$ IS UNKNOWN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$v_1 = 2, v_2 = 5$</td>
<td>29 or 30</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_1 = 5, v_2 = 17$</td>
<td>41 or 42</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_1 = 10, v_2 = 37$</td>
<td>45 or 46</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_1 = 20, v_2 = 77$</td>
<td>47 or 48</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the initial estimate of $p$ is made with high certainty, such as $v_1 = 20$ and $v_2 = 77$, the point estimate for $n$ is almost identical to the result given in example 1, in which $p$ is known. However, with $p$ unknown, confidence intervals are not as tight.
Example 4: r = 4

Consider the set of data given in example 2. Assume that an initial estimate for \( p \) is 0.2 and \( \delta = 0.005 \). The results are summarized in table 2.

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \nu_1 ) = 2, ( \nu_2 ) = 5</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_1 = 5, \nu_2 = 17 )</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>[17, 97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_1 = 10, \nu_2 = 37 )</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>[22, 81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_1 = 20, \nu_2 = 77 )</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>[24, 69]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS

In this research, the procedure for estimating \( n \) in a binomial distribution has been developed if there are \( r \) success counts available. Both cases of \( p \) being known and cases \( \cdot p \) being unknown are discussed. The approach is adopted from a Bayesian point of view, which was first proposed by Draper and Guttmann in [2]. Prior probability distribution for \( n \) is assumed to be the discrete uniform distribution. When \( p \) is unknown, \( p \) is assumed to have a beta prior probability distribution. The estimator for \( n \) is then the mode of the posterior distribution.

To put the estimation procedure into practice, suggestions of how to select values of unknown quantities in the prior probability distribution are provided. An interactive computer program, written in FORTRAN language, is included in appendix B.

The interval estimation for \( n \) is also discussed in this paper. As pointed out in the paper, confidence coefficients are not exact because \( n \) under consideration is discrete. Furthermore, confidence intervals are not unique.

Future study may include a simulation to verify whether the proposed procedure for constructing confidence intervals for the specified confidence coefficients has actually been achieved. Another topic worth further investigation is the assessment of the error of the estimators. The bootstrap method may be a useful tool for the investigation.
As mentioned by several authors before, e.g., Carroll and Lombard [4], estimators for $n$ in a binomial distribution are usually unstable. Therefore, the value of $p$ used in the estimation procedure must be selected with special caution.
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APPENDIX A

CHARACTERISTICS OF BETA DISTRIBUTIONS

Let $Y$ be a random variable having a beta distribution with parameters $v_1$ and $v_2$. The probability density function of $Y$ is given by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(v_1 + v_2)}{\Gamma(v_1) \cdot \Gamma(v_2)} y^{v_1-1} (1-y)^{v_2-1}, & 0 \leq y \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$  \quad \text{(A-1)}$$

where $v_1$ and $v_2$ are positive and $\Gamma(.)$ denotes the gamma function. The shape of the density of $f(y)$ given in equation A-1 depends on the values of $v_1$ and $v_2$.

Case 1: $v_1 = v_2 = 1$

$f(y)$ in (A-1) becomes

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$  \quad \text{(A-2)}$$

which is the density of the uniform distribution in the unit interval. The graph of (A-2) is shown in figure A-1.

Case 2: $v_1 = v_2 > 1$

Equation A-1 becomes

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2v_1)}{[\Gamma(v_1)]^2} [y (1-y)]^{v_1-1}, & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$  \quad \text{(A-3)}$$

The function $f(y)$ in expression A-3 is symmetric with respect to the line $y = 1/2$. The mode occurs at $y = 1/2$. The mode is

$$f(1/2) = \frac{\Gamma(2v_1)}{[\Gamma(v_1)]^2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{v_1-1}.$$  

Graphs of (A-3), with various values of $v_1$, are shown in figure A-2.

A-1
Case 3: $v_2 > v_1 > 1$

Equation A-1 is not symmetric. The mode occurs at

$$y = \frac{(v_1 - 1)}{[(v_1 - 1) + (v_2 - 1)]}.$$

In this case, the mode $y$ is less than one-half. The density shows a positive skewness; in other words, the graph has a long tail to the right. Graphs are presented in figure A-3 for various values of $v$ and $v_2$ with the mode equal to 0.2.

Case 4: $v_1 > v_2 > 1$

Case 4 is the reverse of case 3. The mode is larger than one-half. The density shows a negative skewness; therefore, it has a long tail to the left. Graphs of beta distributions in this case are the symmetric images with respect to the line $y = 1/2$ of those given in case 3 and shown in figure A-3.
FIG. A-2: \( v_1 = v_2 > 1 \)
FIG. A-3: $v_2 > v_1 > 1$
APPENDIX B

AN INTERACTIVE COMPUTER PROGRAM
BAYESIAN ESTIMATION OF \( n \) IN A BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

PROGRAM INPUT
1). OBSERVATIONS FOR RANDOM VARIABLE \( X(j) \)
2). PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS (PRSUCC)
3). SHAPE PARAMETER FOR PRIOR BETA DISTRIBUTION (V1)

PROGRAM OUTPUT
1). POSTERIOR PROBABILITIES
2). NUMBER OF TRIALS (n)

VARIABLE DEFINITIONS

GAMMA - THE NUMBER OF SUCCESS COUNTS (NUMBER OF \( X(j) \)'s)
QSUM - SUM OF Q
POSTPROB - COMPUTED POSTERIOR PROBABILITY
PRSUCC - PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS (BINOMIAL)
TSUM - TOTAL NUMBER OF SUCCESSES (SUM OF \( X(j) \)'s)
V1 - SHAPE PARAMETER OF BETA PRIOR DISTRIBUTION
V2 - SHAPE PARAMETER OF BETA PRIOR DISTRIBUTION
XJ - OBSERVATION OF RANDOM VARIABLE \( X(j) \)

(XNUMBER OF OBJECTS DETECTED)
XJMAX - MAXIMUM VALUE OF RANDOM VARIABLE \( X(j) \)
MODE - MODE OF PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION, THIS IS THE \( n \) VALUE THAT HAS THE MAXIMUM PROBABILITY
LSUM - SUM OF PROBABILITIES IN LOWER TAIL OF DISTRIBUTION FOR A 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL
USUM - SUM OF PROBABILITIES IN UPPER TAIL OF DISTRIBUTION FOR A 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL
LBOUND - LOWER BOUND OF CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR \( n \)
UBOUND - UPPER BOUND OF CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR \( n \)

DATA DECLARATION/INITIALIZATION

INTEGER XJ(10), XJMAX, XVALUE, GAMMA, TSUM, N(1000), UBOUND
DOUBLE PRECISION Q(1000), QSUM, POSTPROB(1000), MODE, LSUM, USUM
CHARACTER * 1 KE
DELTA = .005
XJMAX = 0
TSUM = 0
QSUM = 0.0
DATA N /1000*0/
DATA XJ /10*0/

ACCEPT XJ's FROM INPUT DEVICE AND COMPUTE GAMMA, TSUM, XJMAX

DO 20 I=1,10
20 PRINT * , 'ENTER VALUE FOR THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN SAMPLE \( X(j) \) (UP TO 10 SAMPLES)
PRINT * , 'PRESS RETURN WHEN ALL SAMPLES HAVE BEEN ENTERED'
PRINT *
ACCEPT 15, XVALUE

FORMAT (I80)
IF (XVALUE .EQ. 0) THEN
IF (I .GT. 1) THEN
GOTO 35
ELSE
PRINT * , 'MUST ENTER AT LEAST ONE VALUE'
GOTO 10
ENDIF
ENDIF
GAMMA = I
\texttt{XJ(I) = XVALUE}
\texttt{TSUM = TSUM - XVALUE}

\textbf{Determine Maximum Sample Value}

\texttt{IF (XVALUE .GE. XJMAX) XJMAX = XVALUE}

\texttt{20 CONTINUE}

\texttt{ACCEPT PROBABILITY OF DETECTION FROM INPUT DEVICE AND EDIT VALUE}

\texttt{35 PRINT * , }
\texttt{PRINT * , 'ENTER PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS '}
\texttt{PRINT * , (MUST BE .1, .2 .3, .4, OR .5)}
\texttt{PRINT * , }
\texttt{ACCEPT 40. PRSUCC}

\texttt{40 FORMAT (FS0.2)}
\texttt{IF ((PRSUCC .EQ. .1) .OR.}
\texttt{ & (PRSUCC .EQ. .2) .OR.}
\texttt{ & (PRSUCC .EQ. .3) .OR.}
\texttt{ & (PRSUCC .EQ. .4) .OR.}
\texttt{ & (PRSUCC .EQ. .5)) THEN}
\texttt{GOTO 41}
\texttt{ELSE}
\texttt{PRINT * , '
PRBSUCC OF SUCCESS MUST BE .1, .2, .3, OR .4 '}
\texttt{GOTO 35}
\texttt{ENDIF}

\texttt{41 PRINT * , }
\texttt{PRINT * , 'IS PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS KNOWN (K) OR ESTIMATED (E) '}
\texttt{PRINT * , 'ENTER K OR E '}
\texttt{PRINT * , }
\texttt{ACCEPT 42. KE}

\texttt{42 FORMAT (Al)}
\texttt{IF ((KE .EQ. 'E') .OR. (KE .EQ. 'E')) THEN}
\texttt{GOTO 45}
\texttt{ELSEIF ((KE .EQ. 'K') .OR. (KE .EQ. 'K')) THEN}
\texttt{GOTO 500}
\texttt{ELSE}
\texttt{PRINT * , '
MUST ENTER K OR E '}
\texttt{GOTO 41}
\texttt{ENDIF}

\texttt{COMPUTE PARAMETER V2 FOR BETA PRIOR DISTRIBUTION. A VALUE FOR}
\texttt{SHAPE PARAMETER V1 (FLOATING POINT VALUES 2 THROUGH 10) IS ACCEPTED}
\texttt{FROM THE INPUT DEVICE. A LARGER VALUE OF V1 INDICATES GREATER}
\texttt{CERTAINTY FOR THE SELECTED PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS. V2 IS}
\texttt{THEN COMPUTED AS A FUNCTION OF V1 BASED ON THE FOLLOWING}
\texttt{EQUATION. (V1 -1)(1-p) - (V2-1)(p) = 0, WHERE p IS THE}
\texttt{PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS.}

\texttt{45 PRINT * , }
\texttt{PRINT * , 'ENTER SHAPE PARAMETER FOR PRIOR BETA DISTRIBUTION '}
\texttt{PRINT * , 'PARAMETER MUST TAKE ON FLOATING POINT VALUES BETWEEN 2.}
\texttt{AND 10.0 '}
\texttt{PRINT * , }
\texttt{PRINT * , 'LARGER VALUES INDICATE GREATER CERTAINTY FOR THE SELECT}
\texttt{ED '}
\texttt{PRINT * , 'PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS . '}
\texttt{PRINT * , }

\textbf{B-2}
ACCEPT 50. V1
50 FORMAT (F80.2)
   IF (V1 .GE. 2.0 .AND. V1 .LE. 10) THEN
      CONTINUE
   ELSE
      PRINT *, 'SHAPE PARAMETER MUST TAKE ON VALUES BETWEEN 2.0 AND 1'
      GOTO 45
   ENDIF
IF (PRSUCC .EQ. 0.1) THEN
   V2 = (9.0 * V1) - 8.0
ELSEIF (PRSUCC .EQ. 0.2) THEN
   V2 = (4.0 * V1) - 3.0
ELSEIF (PRSUCC .EQ. 0.3) THEN
   V2 = ((7.0 * V1) - 4.0) / 3.0
ELSE
   C *** PRSUCC = 0.4
   V2 = ((3.0 * V1) - 1.0) / 2.0
ENDIF
C COMPUTE ESTIMATED PROBABILITY CASE
C COMPUTE Q(I) AND QSUM. COMPUTATIONS ARE TERMINATED WHEN Q(I) QSUM IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO DELTA.
Q(I) IS THE PRODUCT OF THE FOLLOWING THREE FACTORS
1). Q(I-1)
2). THE PRODUCT FROM (GAMMA*XJMAX-TSUM+V2+((I-1)*GAMMA)-J) J=0 TO GAMMA-1 (GAMMA*XJMAX-V1+V2+((I-1)*GAMMA)-J) 3). ((XJMAX-I)**GAMMA) / THE PRODUCT FROM (XJMAX-XJ(J)-I) J=1 TO GAMMA
C LET CONSTANT A = GAMMA*XJMAX-TSUM-V2
LET CONSTANT B = GAMMA*XJMAX+V1-V2
A = FLOAT(GAMMA)*FLOAT(XJMAX)-FLOAT(TSUM)-V2
B = FLOAT(GAMMA)*FLOAT(XJMAX)+V1-V2
Q(1) = 1.0
DO 200 I=1,9999
   ISTORE = I+1
   N(I) = XJMAX+I-1
   IF (N(I) .LT. 129) GOTO 205
C COMPUTE FACTOR 1
   IF (I .GT. 1) THEN
      FACTR1 = Q(I)
   ELSE
      FACTR1 = 1.0
   ENDIF
C COMPUTE FACTOR 2
   FACTR2 = 1.0
   DO 105 J=0,GAMMA-1
      FACTR2 = FACTR2 * (A-((I-1)*GAMMA)+J) * (B+((I-1)*GAMMA)+J)
105 CONTINUE
COMPUTE FACTOR 3
   PRODCT = 1.0
   DO 110 J=1,GAMMA
   PRODCT = PRODCT * (FLOAT(XJMAX)-FLOAT(XJ(J))-FLOAT(I))
110 CONTINUE
   FACTR3 = (FLOAT(XJMAX-I)**GAMMA).PRODCT
COMPUTE Q(I) AND QSUM
   Q(I-1) = FACTR1*FACTR2*FACTR3
   QSUM = QSUM - Q(I)
TEST TERMINATION CRITERIA
   IF (Q(I) .GT. DELTA) THEN
   CONTINUE
   ELSE
   GOTO 205
   ENDIF
200 CONTINUE
COMPUTE POSTERIOR PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION.
   DO 300 I=1,ISTORE
   POSTPROB(I) = Q(I)/QSUM
300 CONTINUE
GOTO 702
COMPUTE KNOWN PROBABILITY CASE
   COMPUTE Q(I) AND QSUM. COMPUTATIONS ARE TERMINATED
   WHEN Q(I) QSUM IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO DELTA.
   Q(I) IS THE PRODUCT OF THE FOLLOWING THREE FACTORS
   1). Q(I-1)
   2). (1-P)**GAMMA
   3). ((XJMAX-I)**GAMMA) THE PRODUCT FROM (XJMAX-XJ(J)+I)
   J=1 TO GAMMA
500 Q(I) = 1.0
   DO 600 I=1,9999
   ISTORE = I-1
   N(I) = XJMAX-I-1
   IF (N(I) .EQ. 120) GOTO 605
   COMPUTE FACTOR 1
   IF (I .GT. 1) THEN
   FACTR1 = Q(I)
   ELSE
   FACTR1 = 1.0
   ENDIF
   COMPUTE FACTOR 2
   FACTR2 = (1.0 - PRSUCC)**GAMMA

COMPUTE FACTOR 3

PRODCT = 1.0
DO 510 J=1,GAMMA
   PRODCT = PRODCT * (FLOAT(XJMAX)-FLOAT(XJ(J))-FLOAT(I))
510 CONTINUE
FACTR3 = (FLOAT(XJMAX-I)**GAMMA) PRODCT

COMPUTE Q(I) AND QSUM
Q(I-1) = FACTR1*FACTR2*FACTR3
QSUM = QSUM + Q(I)

TEST TERMINATION CRITERIA
IF (Q(I) QSUM .GT. DELTA) THEN
   CONTINUE
ELSE
   GOTO 605
ENDIF

600 CONTINUE

COMPUTE POSTERIOR PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION.

605 DO 610 I=1,ISTORE
   POSTPROB(I) = Q(I)/QSUM
610 CONTINUE

GENERATE AND DISPLAY REPORT ON CRT

702 WRITE (6.705)
705 FORMAT (110, 'BAYESIAN ESTIMATION OF n IN A BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION')
    WRITE (6.710) PRSUCC
710 FORMAT (110, 'PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS (ESTIMATE) = ', F3.1)
    IF ((KE EQ. 'E') OR. (KE EQ. 'e')) THEN
       WRITE (6.715) V1, V2
715 FORMAT (110, 'SHAPE PARAMETERS FOR PRIOR BETA V1 = ', F5.2, ' V2 = ', F5.2)
    ENDIF
    DO 730 I=1,10
       IF (XJ(I) .EQ. 0) THEN
           GOTO 730
       ELSEIF (I .LE. 9) THEN
           WRITE (6.720) I, XJ(I)
720 FORMAT (110, 'NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN SAMPLE X(', I2, ')
       ELSE
           WRITE (6.725) I, XJ(I)
725 FORMAT (110, 'NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN SAMPLE X(', I2, ')
    ENDIF
730 CONTINUE
    DO 733 I=1,9999
       IF (POSTPROB(I) .GT. POSTPROB(I+1)) THEN
           IMODE = I
           MCDE = POSTPROB(I)
           GOTO 754
       ENDIF
733 CONTINUE
WRITE (6,735) n, p(n, x, p)
WRITE (6,740)
FORMT (1H, '-----------------------------')
DO 750 I = IMODE-5, IMODE 5
WRITE (6,745) N(I), POSTPROB(I)
ENDDO
WRITE (6,740)
FORMT (1H, '.2X.I3, 4X.F7.6')
750 CONTINUE
C
C GENERATE 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR n
C
LSUM = 0.0
DO 770 I = 1, 9999
LSUM = LSUM + POSTPROB(I)
IF (LSUM LE 0.025) THEN
LBOUND = N(I-1)
GOTO 770
ELSE
LSUM = LSUM - POSTPROB(I)
ENDIF
770 CONTINUE
C
N = ISTORE-2
C
USUM = LSUM
DO 790 I = ISTORE-2, 1, -1
USUM = USUM - POSTPROB(I)
IF (USUM LE 0.05) THEN
UBOUND = N(I-1)
GOTO 790
ELSE
GOTO 800
ENDIF
790 CONTINUE
800 WRITE (6,810) N(IMODE)
810 FORMT (1H, 'MODE OF DISTRIBUTION IS n = ',I3)
WRITE (6,820) LBOUND
820 FORMT (1H, 'LOWER BOUND OF 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL = ',I3)
WRITE (6,830) UBOUND
830 FORMT (1H, 'UPPER BOUND OF 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL = ',I3)
C
PRINT *
STOP
END