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Our study considered effective-plane-wave propagation, both longitudinal and shear, through a medium containing a random distribution of spherical inclusions. We assumed that the particles and matrix are separated by a thin layer of elastic material with different properties. For some systems, we predict measurable effects for the thin layers. Especially, we considered Pb/epoxy and SiC/Al.

1. Introduction

Wave propagation through a particle-reinforced composite medium has been studied by many authors [1-13]. Except [5], all these studies assume that the inclusions bond perfectly with the surrounding matrix material. In [5], for long wavelengths, the authors consider the effect of a thin viscous third layer. Recently, Sayers [11] examined the effect of this layer when the particles and the matrix possess the same properties.

In the present study, we analyze the problem of wave propagation in a composite medium with a random distribution of spherical inclusions. The inclusions are separated from the matrix by thin layers of elastic material. The properties of the layers vary through the thickness such that there is a continuous transition from the inclusions to the matrix.

The object of this study was to explore the practicality of using ultrasound to characterize properties of interface layers. Ultrasound is a practical tool for measuring properties of, and characterizing the state of,
a material with microstructure (or changes in microstructure). References to such studies occur in

2. Scattering by a spherical inclusion with an interface layer

Consider a spherical inclusion of radius \( a \) and elastic properties \( \lambda_1, \mu_1, \rho_1 \) embedded in an elastic matrix of material properties \( \lambda_2, \mu_2, \rho_2 \). Also, let the inclusion be separated from the matrix by a thin layer of uniform thickness \( h(<<a) \) with variable material properties \( \lambda(r), \mu(r), \rho(r) \). Here, \( \lambda, \mu \) denote Lamé constants and \( \rho \) density. Let \( \lambda(r), \mu(r) \) be expressed as

\[
\lambda(r) + 2\mu(r) = (\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)f(r), \quad a < r < a + h, \\
\mu(r) = \mu_1 g(r), \quad a < r < a + h.
\]

Here, \( f(r) \) and \( g(r) \) denote general functions of \( r \). A special case arises when

\[
f(a) = 1, \quad g(a) = 1, \\
f(a + h) = \frac{\lambda_2 + 2\mu_2}{\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1}, \quad g(a + h) = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1},
\]
with the stipulation that \( f(r) \) and \( g(r) \) with their first derivatives are continuous in \( (a, a+h) \). Since \( h \) is assumed to be much smaller than \( a \), it follows from (3) that \( f'(a) \) and \( g'(a) \) can be approximated by

\[
f'(a) = \frac{(\lambda_2 + 2\mu_2) - (\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)}{h(\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)}, \\
g'(a) = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{h\mu_1}.
\]

Another special case arises when the interface material possesses constant properties. Then we have
$$f(r) = (\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)/(\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1), \ g(r) = \mu_1/\mu_1.$$  \hfill (5)

Here $\lambda_1$, $\mu_1$ are the Lamé constants for the interface material.

We also make the assumption that $h$ is much smaller than the wavelength of the propagating wave. Then, to first order in $h/\lambda$, $\lambda$ being the wavelength,

$$r^t_{rr} = r^s_{rr} + r^i_{rr}, \quad r^t_{r\theta} = r^s_{r\theta} + r^i_{r\theta},$$

$$r^t_{r\phi} = r^s_{r\phi} + r^i_{r\phi}. \hfill (6)$$

Here $r^i_{ij}$ is the stress tensor and superscripts $t$, $s$, and $i$ denote the transmitted, scattered, and incident field quantities, respectively. Note that $r^s_{ij}$, $r^i_{ij}$, and $r^t_{ij}$ appearing above are calculated at $r = a$. The spherical polar coordinates $r$, $\theta$, $\phi$ are defined in Fig. 1. Boundary conditions (6) express the fact that, to first order in $h/\lambda$, the traction components do not suffer any jump across the layer. However, the displacement components suffer jumps given by

$$u^s_r + u^i_r - u^t_r = \frac{hK_1}{\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1} r^t_{rr}, \hfill (7)$$

$$u^s_\theta + u^i_\theta - u^t_\theta = \frac{hK_2}{\mu_1} r^t_{r\theta}, \hfill (8)$$

$$u^s_\phi + u^i_\phi - u^t_\phi = \frac{hK_2}{\mu_1} r^t_{r\phi}. \hfill (9)$$

Here,

$$K_1 = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{f(a + hx)}, \quad K_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{g(a + hx)}. \hfill (10)$$

Using equations (3) and (4) in (10), we find that $K_1$ and $K_2$ are given approximately by
\[ K_1 = \frac{\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1}{\lambda_2 + 2\mu_2 - (\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_2 + 2\mu_2 - (\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)}{\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1} \right), \tag{11} \]

\[ K_2 = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 - \mu_1} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\mu_1} \right). \tag{12} \]

On the other hand, if eq. (5) is used, then

\[ K_1 = \frac{(\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)}{(\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)}, \quad K_2 = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1}. \tag{13} \]

Mal and Bose [5] studied a problem similar to the one considered here. They assumed a thin viscous third layer between the sphere and the matrix and imposed the condition of radial-displacement continuity.

We assumed the incident wave to be either a plane longitudinal wave propagating in the positive z-direction or a plane shear wave polarized in the x-direction and propagating in the positive z-direction. Thus,

\[ u^i = e^{ik_1 z} e_z + e^{ik_2 z} e_x. \tag{14} \]

Here, \( k_1 = \omega/c_1 \) and \( k_2 = \omega/c_2 \). \( \omega \) denotes the circular frequency of the wave and \( c_1, c_2 \) denote the longitudinal and shear wave speeds in the matrix. The factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \) was suppressed.

\( u^i \) given above can be expanded in spherical vector wave functions as

\[ u^i = \frac{1}{ik_1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n (2n + 1) \frac{L_n^{(1)}}{L_{on}} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m-1} \frac{2n + 1}{n(n+1)} i^n \left[ N_{mn}^{(1)} (\delta_{m1} + n(n+1) \delta_{m,-1}) \right. \]

\[ + \frac{1}{k_2} N_{mn}^{(1)} (\delta_{m1} - n(n+1) \delta_{m,-1}) \]. \tag{15}
Vector wave functions $L^{(1)}_{m}, M^{(1)}_{m}, N^{(1)}_{m}$ appearing above are regular at $r = 0$ and are given by

$$L^{(1)}_{m} = \left[ e_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} j_n (k_1 r) \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) + e_\theta j_n (k_1 r) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) \right. + e_\phi \frac{im}{rsin \theta} j_n (k_1 r) \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) \left. e^{im \phi} \right],$$

$$M^{(1)}_{m} = \left[ e_r \frac{im}{sin \theta} j_n (k_2 r) \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) - e_\theta j_n (k_2 r) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) \right] e^{im \phi},$$

$$N^{(1)}_{m} = \left[ e_r \frac{n(n+1)}{r} j_n (k_2 r) \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) + e_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rj_n (k_2 r)) \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) \right. + e_\phi \frac{im}{rsin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rj_n (k_2 r)) \frac{p^m}{p_n} (\cos \theta) \left. e^{im \phi} \right].$$

The scattered and transmitted fields can be written

$$u^s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-1}^{1} \left[ A_{m} L^{(1)}_{mn} + B_{m} M^{(1)}_{mn} + C_{m} N^{(1)}_{mn} \right],$$

$$u^t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-1}^{1} \left[ A'_{m} L^{(1)}_{mn} + B'_{m} M^{(1)}_{mn} + C'_{m} N^{(1)}_{mn} \right].$$

Here, the prime denotes that $k_1$ and $k_2$ are to be replaced by $k'_1$ ($= \omega/c'_1$) and $k'_2$ ($= \omega/c'_2$), respectively. $c'_1$ and $c'_2$ are the wave speeds in the inclusion. $L^{(3)}_{m}, M^{(3)}_{m}, N^{(3)}_{m}$ are obtained by replacing $j_n$ by $h_n$ in (16). Note that $j_n$ is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind, and $h_n$ is the spherical Hankel function of the first kind.

The constants $A, B, C, A', B', C'$ are found by using conditions (7) and (8)-(10). For this purpose, we define the following matrices:
Here,\

\[ M_n = \begin{bmatrix} F_n & G_n \\ H_n & I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (19) \]

\[ L_n = \begin{bmatrix} SF_n & SG_n \\ SH_n & SI_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20) \]

\[ F_n(k_1) = nh_n(k_1) - k_1 a h_n+1(k_1), \]
\[ G_n(k_2) = n(n+1) h_n(k_2), \quad H_n(k_1) = h_n(k_1), \]
\[ I_n(k_2) = (n+1) h_n(k_2) - k_2 a h_n+1(k_2), \]
\[ SF_n(k_1) = (n^2 - n - \frac{1}{4} k_2^2 a^2) h_n(k_1) + 2k_1 a h_n+1(k_1), \]
\[ SG_n(k_2) = n(n+1) [(n-1) h_n(k_2) - k_2 a h_n+1(k_2)], \]
\[ SH_n(k_1) = (n-1) h_n(k_1) - k_1 a h_n+1(k_1), \]
\[ SI_n(k_2) = (n^2 - 1 - \frac{1}{4} k_2^2 a^2) h_n(k_2) + k_2 a h_n+1(k_2). \]

Equations to determine \( A_{mn} \) and \( B_{mn} \) are found to be

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2h}{a} & L_n - M_n + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} M'n L'n^{-1} L_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{mn} \\ C_{mn} \end{bmatrix} =
\]

\[
a \begin{bmatrix} u^i_{rr(mn)} \\ u^i_{\theta r(mn)} \\ u^i_{\theta \theta(mn)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{a^2}{2 \mu_2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2h}{a} & \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} M'n L'n^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r^i_{rr(mn)} \\ r^i_{\theta r(mn)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)\]

Here

\[
\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda_2 + 2 \mu_1} & 0 \\ K_1 & \mu_2 & K_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
$M'_n$, $L'_n$ are obtained from $M_n$, $L_n$, respectively, by replacing $h_n$ and $h_{n+1}$ by $j_n$ and $j_{n+1}$, respectively, in (19) and (20), and by replacing $k_1$ and $k_2$ by $k'_1$ and $k'_2$, respectively. In writing (21) we express $u^i_r$ and $u^i_\theta$ given by (15) as

$$u^i_r = \frac{e}{n=0} \frac{1}{m=1} u_{r(mn)}^i \frac{p_m}{n} (\cos \theta) e^{im\phi},$$

$$u^i_\theta = \frac{e}{n=0} \frac{1}{m=1} \left( u_{r(mn)}^i \frac{\partial p_m}{\theta} + u_{\theta(mn)}^i \frac{im}{\sin \theta} \frac{p_m}{n} \right) e^{im\phi}.$$

(22)

It then follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} r^i_{r(mn)} \\ r^i_{\theta(mn)} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2\mu_2}{a} \bar{n} \bar{n}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u^i_{r(mn)} \\ u^i_{\theta(mn)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(23)

$\bar{L}_n$ and $\bar{M}_n$ are obtained from $L_n$ and $M_n$ respectively, by replacing $h_n$ and $h_{n+1}$ by $j_n$ and $j_{n+1}$, respectively.

The equation to find $B_{mn}$ is

$$[h \kappa_{22} ((n-1) h_n(k_2a) - k_2ah_{n+1}(k_2a)) -$$

$$h_n(k_2a) + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} j_n(k_2a) \frac{(n-1) h_n(k_2a) - k_2ah_{n+1}(k_2a)}{(n-1) j_n(k_2a) - k_2aj_{n+1}(k_2a)}] B_{mn}$$

$$- u^i_{\theta(mn)} \frac{2i}{\mu_2} \frac{a}{(n-1) j_n(k_2a)} \frac{j_n(k_2a)}{k_2aj_{n+1}(k_2a)} \frac{21}{u^i_{\theta(mn)}}$$

(24)

Here,

$$\frac{2i}{r^i_{\theta(mn)}} = \frac{\mu_2}{a} \frac{(n-1) j_n(k_2a) - k_2aj_{n+1}(k_2a)}{j_n(k_2a)} u^i_{\theta(mn)}.$$

(25)
Once $A_{mn}$, $C_{mn}$, and $B_{mn}$ are determined by solving (21) and (24), the scattered field is then found from (17). Since the expressions for the field inside the inclusion will not be needed to derive the dispersion equation governing the effective wavenumber of plane-wave propagation through the composite medium, we omit these.

3. Distribution of inclusions

In [5, 14] the scattered-field expressions were used to calculate effective wave speeds at long wavelengths in a medium with a distribution of spherical inclusions with interface layers. A 'quasicrystalline' approximation together with the assumption of no correlation was used to derive expressions for the effective wave speeds. As has been shown [12], particular forms of two-particle correlations can be included in the formalism. But this leads to complicated equations that require numerical solutions.

In this study, we adopt the approach taken in [10, 11] to calculate approximate phase velocities and attenuation of plane-longitudinal and plane-shear waves. In this simple approximation, the effective wavenumber $k$ is related to the forward scattered amplitude by the equation [15]:

$$K^2 = k_0^2 + 4\pi n\bar{F}(K).$$  

Here, $k_0$ is the wavenumber in the absence of scatterers, $n$ the number density of scatterers, and $\bar{F}$ the averaged forward-scattered amplitude. Equation (26) is an implicit equation for the determination of the (complex) wavenumber $K$. A further simplification occurs when the solution to (26) is taken as

$$K^2 = k_0^2 + 4\pi n_0\bar{F}(k_0).$$  

Equation (27) was derived by Foldy [16] and has been used by many authors to calculate the frequency dependence of phase velocity and attenuation of plane waves. Equations (26) and (27) are valid for low volume concentrations of inclusions.
Using (17) in (26), we find that for longitudinal waves the effective wave number is

\[
\left( \frac{k_1}{k_1} \right)^2 = 1 + 4 \pi n_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n A_{on}(K_1, K_2). \tag{28}
\]

For shear waves we obtain

\[
\left( \frac{k_2}{k_2} \right)^2 = 1 + 4 \pi n_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n b_{in}(n+1) (C_{1n} + \frac{k^{-1}_2 b_{in}}{n(n+1)(C_{-1n}-k^{-1}_2 B_{-1n})}). \tag{29}
\]

In the following section we present phase velocity and attenuation calculated from the above two equations. Note that the real part of $K/k$ gives the velocity ratio $c/C$, where $c$ is the velocity in the matrix and $C$ is the effective velocity in the composite. The attenuation of power is obtained from the equation

\[
\frac{\alpha}{k} = 2 \text{Im} \frac{K}{k}. \tag{30}
\]

For dilute concentration this equation reduces to

\[
\frac{\alpha}{k} = n_0 \Sigma. \tag{31}
\]

Here, $\Sigma$ denotes scattering cross section.

4. Numerical results and discussion

Computations were made for two particular composite materials: lead-epoxy and SiC-Al. For both materials, we consider two interface thicknesses: zero and 0.1a, through which the properties vary linearly from the inclusion to the matrix.

Numerical results for the lead-epoxy composite based on a simplified eq. (27) were presented in [10]. Figures 2 and 3 show the attenuation and
phase velocity of a longitudinal wave when the interface thickness equals zero. These results agree with those given in [10]. Also shown in these figures are the results for $h/a = 0.1$. It is seen that this thickness has little effect. At intermediate frequencies, the interface lowers the phase velocity and slightly increases the attenuation. Figures 4 and 5 show the results for the shear wave; the effect is slightly larger at moderate frequencies. We also computed the phase velocity and attenuation using eq. (26). Figure 6 shows that eq. (27) overestimates the attenuation. For phase velocity, however, as shown in Fig. 7, eq. (26) overestimates it at low frequencies, underestimates it at moderate frequencies, and gives nearly the same results at high frequencies.

Finally, in Figures 8-11 we show results for the second example: SiC-Al. These results are based on eq. (27) and show that the interface decreases both the attenuation and the phase velocity.

5. Conclusions

We considered the effect of thin interface layers between the inclusions and the matrix in modifying the dynamic properties of composite materials. Dynamic effective properties were calculated by using Foldy's equations. We found that interface effects are larger in some composites. We also studied the predictions based on iterative solutions of modified Foldy's equations in which the scattering amplitudes were calculated assuming that the matrix had the properties of the composite. This iterative solution underestimates attenuation in general; at low frequencies it overestimates phase velocity.
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Table 1.

Properties of constituents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Density (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>$E$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\mu$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>23.57</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoxy</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>3.181</td>
<td>440.6</td>
<td>188.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2.706</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Geometry of a spherical inclusion with an interface layer.

Fig. 2. Attenuation of longitudinal waves in a lead-epoxy composite with and without interface layer.

Fig. 3. Phase velocity of longitudinal waves in a lead-epoxy composite with and without interface layer.

Fig. 4. Attenuation of shear waves in a lead-epoxy composite with and without interface layer.

Fig. 5. Phase velocity of shear waves in a lead-epoxy composite with and without interface layer.

Fig. 6. Comparison of attenuation coefficients for longitudinal waves in a lead-epoxy composite predicted by an iterative solution of eq. (26) and by eq. (27).

Fig. 7. Comparison of phase velocities of longitudinal waves in a lead-epoxy composite predicted by an iterative solution of eq. (26) and by eq. (27).

Fig. 8. Attenuation of longitudinal waves in an SiC-Al composite with and without interface layer.

Fig. 9. Phase velocity of longitudinal waves in an SiC-Al composite with and without interface layer.

Fig. 10. Attenuation of shear waves in an SiC/Al composite with and without interface layer.

Fig. 11. Phase velocity of shear waves in an SiC/Al composite with and without interface layer.
The diagram shows the behavior of $\text{Re}(k_2/K_2)$ as a function of $k_2a$ for different values of $c$ and $h/a$.

- **Lead-Epoxy**
  - $h/a = 0.0$ (dashed line)
  - $h/a = 0.1$ (solid line)
  - $c = 0.05$ (dotted line)

The x-axis represents $k_2a$ and the y-axis represents $\text{Re}(k_2/K_2)$. The graph illustrates how the real part of the ratio $k_2/K_2$ changes with varying $k_2a$ for different parameter values.
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The diagram illustrates the real part of the ratio $k_1/K_1$ as a function of $k_2a$ for different materials and conditions. The curves represent:

- "iterative"
- Lead-Epoxy with $h/a = 0.0$ and $c = 0.15$

The data is sourced from Sayers, Smith (1983).
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