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ABSTRACT

Transparent polyurethane sheets have been prepared containing a dispersed or covalently bonded organic dye. The fluorescent dyes are 2-diphenylacetyl-1,3-indanedione-1-p-dimethylaminobenzaldazine or the p-dihydroxyethylaminobenzaldazine analog, which can be called DIPAIN derivatives. Changes in the fluorescence of the dyes have been studied in the presence of methyl benzilate and several nonvolatile aliphatic alcohols. When methyl benzilate is added during polymerization, fluorescence in both dye-containing sheets increases, but the effect is greater for the sheet containing the covalently bonded dye. When a solution of methyl benzilate is dropped on the surfaces of the dye-containing sheets, the effect is greater for the dispersed dye. The dimethyl dye blooms to the surface of the polyurethane sheet and can be wiped off as a fine orange powder. Decyl alcohol shows an enhancement effect when spotted together with the dimethyl dye on a silica gel TLC plate. Fluorescence enhancement is also observed when a polyurethane sheet containing dimethyl dye is placed in contact with decyl alcohol vapor. The nature of the interaction can include the aromatic ring systems of the dye and methyl benzilate, and also the interaction of the dye with decyl alcohol through hydrogen bonding.
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INTRODUCTION

This research investigated molecular complex formation of fluorescent dyes and dye-containing polyurethanes with aromatic and aliphatic organic compounds. Molecular complex formation between members of a class of fluorescent 1,3-indanedione-1-imine derivatives and α-amino acids, α-hydroxy acids, esters of α-hydroxy acids, insecticides, and herbicides on solid supports, such as glass fiber paper and silica, has been reported.¹²

Complex formation was found to alter the fluorescence behavior of the dyes either by effecting more intense fluorescence or by shifting the fluorescence spectrum, thus permitting detection of the complex. Of the dyes evaluated by Poziomek et al.,¹² 2-diphenylacetyl-1,3-indanedione-1-p-dimethylaminobenzaldazine exhibited the strongest fluorescence and displayed the least specificity; i.e., it formed a complex with the greatest number of organic compounds, including methyl benzilate.

This study examined the fluorescence effect of several organic compounds on dye 3, its functionalized analogue, 2-diphenylacetyl-1,3-indanedione-1-p-bis(2-hydroxyethyl) aminobenzaldazine 7, and dye 3-and dye 7-containing polyurethanes. Dye 3 was dispersed within the polyurethane matrix, while 7 was covalently bonded to it.

The proclivity of the benzaldazine dyes to form molecular complexes, which can be identified fluorometrically, permits using the dyes in chemical substances detection applications. Incorporation of the dyes into polymers renders them more useful for two reasons. A smaller amount of dye is required when it is incorporated into a polymer matrix, and these highly amorphous polymers are capable of being fabricated into clear coatings.

EXPERIMENTAL

Dye Synthesis

The synthesis of dye 3,4 outlined in Equation (1), and dye 7,5,6 outlined in Equations (2) and (3), have been described previously.

Polyurethane Synthesis

Polyurethanes 11, based on methylene bis(4-cyclohexyl isocyanate) 8(H12MDI) (Desmodur W, Mobay Chemical Corporation), were synthesized by the two-step prepolymer method. The soft segment component was poly(tetramethylene oxide) (PTMO) 9 of molecular weight 2000 (Polymeg 2000, Chemicals Division, The Quaker Oats Company). The prepolymer 10 resulting from the reaction of 8 with 9 (Equation 4) was chain extended with 1,4-butandiol (Equation 5). The molar ratio of the reactants was

2.6:1.0:1.5 for Desmodur W:PTMO:1,4-butanediol, which represents an isocyanate-to-hydroxyl ratio of 1.05:1. The reaction was catalyzed by a four percent (mass to volume) dibutyltin dilaurate (T-12, MT Chemicals) solution in dry methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) (IUPAC:2-butanone). Starting materials 8 and 9 were used as supplied, and 1,4-butanediol was dried over molecular sieves. The polyurethane synthesis has been described previously.

The uncured viscous mixtures were poured into polypropylene molds and compression molded at 100°C under 125 psi (862 kPa). The samples were cured in the press for one hour at 100°C and for fifteen hours in an oven at 100°C. Depending on the mold, films varied in thickness from 58 to 71 mils (0.15 to 0.18 cm). The variation in a single sample was ±1 mil (2.54 x 10^-3 cm). Compression-molded samples were cut to measure 3.50 x 0.80 cm.

Dye Incorporation

Dyes 3 and 7 were generally incorporated into the polyurethane matrix by blending into 10 at the point of chain extension, as described previously.5,6 Dye concentration in the polyurethanes was 2.2 x 10^-7 to 3.7 x 10^-6 moles/100 g polymer. Comparisons were made with polyurethanes of the same dye concentrations. In one or two cases mentioned below, 7 was added to disocyanate 8 prior to prepolymer synthesis.

Fluorescence Spectroscopy

Fluorescence spectra were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer LS-5 scanning spectrophotometer. Samples were held in the front surface accessory. The fluorescence spectra for the dye-containing polymers are shown in Figure 1. The excitation wavelength was 476 nm.

Fluorescence Microscopy

An epi-fluorescence microscope (Olympus Vanox, Japan-Spectra Instruments, Inc., Littleton, MA), powered by a 200-W mercury lamp, was used to try to evaluate the homogeneity of the dye distribution in the polyurethane films. Film samples ranging in thickness from 58 to 71 mils (0.15 to 0.18 cm) were examined while mounted on glass slides. The resolution of the instrument is approximately 0.5 mm. Data were quantified by the Olympus control unit attached to the microscope.

Samples were examined under white light in the transition mode and fluorescent light in the epliluminescent mode. The excitation filter permitted excitation from 480 nm, and it had a peak maximum of 490 nm. Emission was examined at wavelengths higher than 500 nm using another filter. Samples were examined at magnifications ranging from 48 to 1200X.

Treatment With Methyl Benzilate, An Aromatic Compound

The polyurethane samples were treated with methyl benzilate 12 in one of three ways: 1) adding 12 as a solution in THF to the prepolymer 10 during polymerization at the chain extension step; 2) immersion of the polyurethane 11 in an ethanolic

solution of 12; or 3) adsorption of an ethanolic solution of 12 onto the polyurethane surface. In all cases, the concentration of 12 exceeded that of the dyes by at least one order of magnitude. Generally, four specimens of each sample were tested. Methyl benzilate was used, because it has been shown to exhibit a very strong fluorescent enhancement with 3 on solid supports such as silica gel.

When 12 was added during polymerization, the dyes were added to 10 at the same time. For the immersion tests, 3 was added to 10 during chain extension, and 7 was added to 8 prior to prepolymer synthesis. For the adsorption test, 7 was added at different stages in different polyurethane samples, and 3 was added to 10 during chain extension.

Treatment With Aliphatic Compounds

The interaction between dyes 3 and 7 and the following aliphatic compounds was investigated: 2-dibutylaminoethanol (2-DBAE), diethylene glycol monobutyl ether
(DEGE) [IUPAC: 2-(2-butoxyethoxyethanol)], and n-decyl alcohol (DA) [IUPAC: 1-decanol]. These compounds were distilled and used both neat and as one percent solutions in ethanol. The enhancement effect was studied in three ways: 1) diffusion (immersion) in dye 7-labeled polyurethane; 2) interaction in the solid state (silica gel) with 3 and 7; and 3) vapor phase diffusion (sorption) of DA in dye 3-containing polyurethane.

The diffusion of DA into a 0.21072-g polyurethane specimen, in which 3 was dispersed, took place under vacuum. Sorption measurements were conducted at 25°C using an electrobalance. Mass increase due to solvent uptake was monitored over time with a strip-chart recorder. DA has a saturation vapor pressure \( P_0 = 0.05 \) mm Hg (=7 Pa). This value was determined using Antoine's equation with appropriate coefficients and confirmed by extrapolation of the line obtained from plotting known values. The sorption procedure, which took eight days due to low vapor pressure of DA, involved equilibrating the film with solvent at a value approaching unit activity. A schematic diagram of the sorption apparatus is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Vapor sorption apparatus.](image)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Treatment With Methyl Benzilate 12, an Aromatic Compound

Method 1: Treatment With Methyl Benzilate 12 During Polymerization

Fluorescence enhancement in the presence of 12, which had been observed in the crystalline state on solid supports, has been found to occur in polymer matrices. The enhancement effect observed with 3 and 7 when 12 was added to the prepolymer is represented by the curves in Figure 1.

Compound 12 effected a 65 percent increase in fluorescence intensity in the dye 3-containing sample and a 225 percent increase in the sample containing 7 (Table 1). The fluorescence enhancement realized by the latter was visible to the unaided eye.

---

Table 1. FLUORESCENCE ENHANCEMENT IN POLYURETHANES TREATED WITH METHYL BENZILATE DURING POLYMERIZATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fluorescence Intensity Increase After Exposure (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/3</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/7</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dramatic fluorescence enhancement observed in the dye 7-labeled polyurethane suggests that complex formation is favored when the dye is covalently bonded. Dye conformation may be altered by covalent bonding such that 12 has greater access to the covalently bonded dye 7 than to the dispersed dye 3. The distributions of 3,7 and methyl benzilate in the hard and soft segments also probably affect the result. While 7 is covalently bonded and assumed to be present in the hard segment, it could be bonded in very short, hard segments that might be phase mixed.

Method 2: Treatment by Immersion

Two samples, one containing 3 and one labeled with 7, were treated with a one percent (by weight) solution of 12. Dyes 3 and 7 were present in concentrations of $4.05 \times 10^{-7}$ and $3.05 \times 10^{-7}$ mol/100 g, respectively. Specimens were weighed before immersion and after drying in order to ensure the absence of residual solvent. Specimens were dried under ambient conditions.

Fluorescence enhancement occurred in the methyl benzilate-exposed samples. Typical data for specimens exposed to 12 for at least four days and dried for seven days are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. FLUORESCENCE ENHANCEMENT IN POLYURETHANES IMMERSED IN METHYL BENZILATE SOLUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fluorescence Intensity Increase After Exposure (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/3</td>
<td>4069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fluorescence intensity increase for 11 containing 3 is far larger than for the polymer containing 7. While these data represent the reverse of the trend observed for samples treated during polymerization (Method 1), they are entirely reproducible. This reverse trend indicates that 3 is more accessible to 12 than 7 in the immersion test. This is not surprising, since 3 continually bloomed as a fine orange powder on the surface of the transparent polyurethane sheet starting several days after it was prepared. The immersion solvent showed evidence of dissolved dye. Dye 7 is covalently bonded in the polyurethane hard segment, which is typically less swellable by common solvents. The solution of methyl benzilate simply did not come
in contact with 7 to any extent. Ethanol was chosen as the solvent for these immersion tests, because the swelling of the polymer was low in this solvent and the integrity of the sample was maintained. The weight uptake after 66 hours immersion of the polyurethane in pure alcohol was 72%, compared with 226% in tetrahydrofuran and 1300% in methylene chloride.

Method 3: Treatment by Adsorption

Methyl benenate was adsorbed onto polyurethane by placing 12, as a one percent solution, dropwise on the film surface. After solvent evaporation, the samples were wiped with 2-propanol to remove 12, which may have crystallized on the polymer surface. Fluorescent enhancement was realized in both the covalently bonded and dye-dispersed systems. The intensity was so great as to surpass the measuring capability of the instrument for samples whose dye concentration was $10^{-6}$ mol/100 g.

Three polyurethane samples in the $10^{-7}$ mol/100 g dye concentration range were treated with a one percent solution of 12. These polyurethanes were prepared with dye as follows: 1) Dye 7 added to 8 prior to prepolymer synthesis at a concentration of $2.19 \times 10^{-7}$ mol/100 g; 2) Dye 7 added to 10 at the chain extension step, resulting in 7 bonded to hard segment at a concentration of $2.19 \times 10^{-7}$ mol/100 g; and 3) Dye 3 added at the chain extension step dispersed within the matrix at a concentration of $3.67 \times 10^{-7}$ mol/100 g.

The samples were permitted to dry for one day before their fluorescence was measured. Sample 3 exhibited the greatest fluorescent enhancement following exposure to 12. The fluorescence intensity increase of 92% for sample 3 was followed by an increase of 17% for sample 1, which was followed by an increase of 2 percent for sample 2 (Table 3). The enhancement difference between samples 1 and 2 may not be significant; however, the difference between samples 3 and either samples 1 or 2 is significant.

Table 3. FLUORESCENCE ENHANCEMENT IN POLYURETHANE SURFACE-TREATED WITH METHYL BENZILATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Fluorescence Intensity Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data suggest that the dispersed dye is more accessible to 12 than the bonded dye. This may be due either to migration of 3 to the sample surface (blooming) or more accessible internal location of the dispersed dye as compared to that of the bonded dye. While 3 is presumed to be dispersed evenly throughout the polyurethane matrix (assuming no migration), it may be located primarily in the soft segment domains, the reason being that the soft segment comprises 71% of the matrix. Soft segments are generally more permeable to and swellable in organic solvents than polyurethane hard segments. Selective sorption of the methyl benenate solution into the soft segment would lead to the greatest degree of fluorescence enhancement.
realized by sample 3. This relationship between the nonfunctionalized and function-
alized dyes where the dispersed dye realized the greater fluorescence enhancement is
similar to that observed in the samples exposed to 12 by immersion.

Samples 1-3 were rescanned ten days after the initial data were collected. The
results are shown in Table 4. The increase in fluorescence enhancement with time
for all samples may be due to loss of polymer-free volume within the matrix due to
evaporation of ethanol that had diffused into the polyurethane upon treatment with
methyl benzilate.

Table 4. FLUORESCENCE ENHANCEMENT IN
POLYURETHANES SURFACE-TREATED WITH
METHYL BENZILATE SOLUTION
(RESCANNED AFTER 10 DAYS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Fluorescence Intensity Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In both the immersion and adsorption studies, methyl benzilate effected greater
enhancement of dye 3 as compared to 7 regardless of the location of 7. Only in the
case where 12 was added directly to the polymerization during chain extension did 8
effect a greater enhancement of 7, which was added at the same time. For an appli-
cation in which the polymer sheet might be used in chemical detection equipment, the
use of non-bonded dye is clearly indicated.

Treatment With Aliphatic Compounds

The fluorescence enhancement effect has been shown to occur with a small number
of aliphatic compounds.* Since aliphatic compounds are less likely to form charge-
transfer complexes than aromatic compounds, it was appropriate to study fluorescence
enhancement in the polymer sheets with aliphatic compounds. This would provide
evidence for the general utility of the polymer sheets in detection applications.
The aliphatic compounds chosen are all large alcohols; therefore, hydrogen bonding
also plays a role in the enhancement effect to be described.

Method 1: Treatment by Immersion

Polyurethane samples containing 7, which were exposed to 2-DBAE, DEGE, and DA
for 64 hours in ethanol solution, were scanned in the spectrophotometer six days
after exposure. Decreases in fluorescence were observed (Table 5). These de-
creases, also observed for samples exposed to the neat aliphatic compounds (Table
6), may be due to fluorescence quenching by the aliphatic compounds or to altered
morphology as a result of polymer swelling. A polyurethane containing 3 was not
studied by this method, but might give a more positive result such as that obtained
when methyl benzilate was used.

*ASHMAN, W. P. Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, unpublished results.
Table 5. EFFECT OF ALIPHATIC COMPOUNDS (SOLUTIONS) ON FLUORESCENCE OF DYE 7-LABELED POLYURETHANES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fluorescence Intensity Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-DBAE</td>
<td>-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEGE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. EFFECT OF ALIPHATIC COMPOUNDS (NEAT) ON FLUORESCENCE OF DYE 7-LABELED POLYURETHANES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fluorescence Intensity Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-DBAE</td>
<td>-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEGE</td>
<td>-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>-48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 2: Treatment on a Solid Support

The enhancement effect of these aliphatic compounds on 3 and 7 was reexamined in the solid state. Both dyes were immobilized on silica gel-covered thin layer chromatography plates. Enhancement was evident with both 3 and 7. DA effected the greatest enhancement for both dyes. 2-DBAE and DEGE effected fluorescence enhancement to a lesser degree but approximately equal to each other. The aromatic methyl benzilate 12 caused the least enhancement on the silica TLC plates. Based on these data, sorption was conducted with DA.

These data are significant, because they indicate that the mechanism of fluorescence enhancement is not exclusive to interaction between aromatic rings. The interaction may be that of charge transfer; however, DA is also capable of hydrogen bonding interaction with both dyes. The interaction between 12 and the dyes may also include hydrogen bonding.

Method 3: Treatment by Vapor Sorption

The polyurethane specimen realized a 6.5 percent mass increase due to sorption of DA. The sorption data are plotted as weight uptake versus the square root of time (Figure 3) and display essentially Fickian diffusion behavior. At the activity of the system, the average diffusion coefficient was calculated as having a value of $1.1285 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^2$/sec. Sample fluorescence intensity increased 35% as a result of association with DA, indicating a significant interaction with the dye 3-containing polyurethane matrix. The sorption experiment simulates the exposure of chemical detection equipment to toxic vapors in the environment.
Fluorescence Microscopy

Samples exhibited an even distribution of fluorescence intensity across the length and width. A dye 3-containing sample that had been treated with methyl benzilate exhibited significantly brighter fluorescence than its untreated counterpart. No crystallites of any kind were observed in the treated sample. This indicates that the polymer samples used in the experiments discussed above were free of large precipitated dye crystals, which would cause tremendous variability among samples.

SUMMARY

The 1,3-indanedione-1-imine dyes were found to form molecular complexes with certain aliphatic and aromatic compounds when immobilized on either an inorganic support or in a polyurethane matrix. Complex formation was identified by the increase in fluorescence of these dyes and the dye-containing polyurethanes. The fluorescence response depended on the method by which the organic molecule was introduced into the polyurethane. When methyl benzilate 12 was introduced by immersion or adsorption, the dye 3-containing system exhibited greater fluorescence enhancement; however, when 12 was introduced during polymerization, the dye 7-labeled system exhibited greater fluorescence. Fluorescence enhancement in the presence of an aliphatic compound occurred in a vapor sorption experiment on a polymer film containing 3.
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Transparent polyurethane sheets have been prepared containing a dispersed or covalently bonded organic dye. The fluorescent dyes are 2,5-diethylencyl-1,3-indene-1,5-dimethylbenzeneazoline and the 2,5-diethylencyl-1,3-indene-1,5-dimethylbenzeneazoline analog, which can be called DIPA derivatives. Changes in the fluorescence of the dyes have been studied in the presence of methoxybenzene and several nonvolatile aliphatic alcohols, when methoxybenzene is added during polymerization. Fluorescence in both dye-containing sheets increases, but the effect is greater for the sheet containing the covalently bonded dye. When a solution of methoxybenzene is dropped on the surface of the dye-containing sheets, the effect is greater for the dispersed dye. The dimethylen dye bonds to the surface of the polyurethane sheet and can be wiped off as a fine orange powder. Decal alcohol shows an enhancement effect when spotted together with the dimethylen dye on a silica gel plate. Fluorescence enhancement is also observed when a polyurethane sheet containing dimethylen dye is placed in contact with decal alcohol vapor. The nature of the interaction can include the aromatic ring system of the dye and methoxybenzene, and also the interaction of the dye with decal alcohol through hydrogen bonding.