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The measurement of vector electric fields from an aircraft which is struck by lightning can provide information on lightning initiation and triggering processes. Calibration of the aircraft to determine geometric field enhancements and to separate the vector components, although difficult, has been accomplished. Data from 31 strikes in the summer of 1985 are presented in three formats. The raw fields measured at the four field meters are presented both to indicate proper operation and because strikes to the wing tips may be strongly related to the local field at the wing tips. The three cartesian vector components of field and the aircraft voltage (with respect to its immediate environment) are next shown followed finally by an azimuth-elevation-magnitude presentation which appears useful for triggering studies.
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VECTOR ELECTRIC FIELDS MEASURED IN A LIGHTNING ENVIRONMENT

BACKGROUND

The lack of reliable quantitative data on lightning strikes to an aircraft in flight prompted the creation of the Direct Strike project, a joint effort of the USAF/FDL, FAA/ACT, NRL, and NASA/KSC with French participation by ONERA as well. An FAA research aircraft, a Convair CV-580, N-49, was hardened for lightning exposure and instrumented to measure and record electric field, field derivative, strike current, current flow in the skin of the aircraft, and turbulence. These installations and participants are shown in the table below, and a more detailed discussion is provided by Rasch and Glynn (1985).

DIRECT STRIKE PROJECT ORGANIZATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>PARTICIPANTS</th>
<th>MEASUREMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAA/ACT</td>
<td>N. Rasch</td>
<td>Provision of CV-580 aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M. Glynn</td>
<td>Aircraft modification and installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Lawrence</td>
<td>Turbulence (vertical) measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Terry</td>
<td>Pilots and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFWL/FDL</td>
<td>P. Rustan</td>
<td>Direct current shunts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H. Burket</td>
<td>field change (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Reazer (T/SSI)</td>
<td>Surface current change (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Photography of aircraft surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRL</td>
<td>R. Anderson</td>
<td>Vector electric field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Bailey</td>
<td>Aircraft electric potential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manuscript approved December 18, 1986.
This aircraft is shown in Figure 1. There were two primary objectives in the program. First, the characteristics of lightning which strikes an aircraft in flight were unknown, with lightning specifications of necessity inferred from a few ground measurements; hence, the current, energy, and waveform of lightning attachment to aircraft were needed for the development of realistic standards. Second, the statistical fact that aircraft in flight are far more likely to be struck than the same aircraft on the ground leads to the hypothesis that a triggering process exists in which the aircraft in flight induces strikes which otherwise would not have occurred. Therefore the second primary objective of the program was to obtain data in the immediate pre- and post-strike period with which to assess this triggering hypothesis. This report is presented as a catalog of available observations from the 1985 campaign for the use of co-workers in the field. Consequently, analysis and conclusions are not presented here but will appear in subsequent reports.

INSTALLATION

The measurement of an ambient vector field from an isolated vehicle such as an aircraft requires that four independent unknowns be determined. In addition to the three spatial...
components of the field, the vehicle net charge (or equivalently its voltage) is an unknown which affects all field measurements made on the aircraft. Consequently at least four field meters are required in a complete installation. There are geometric considerations which can define optimum sites for field meter locations (see companion report, Bailey and Anderson). These optimum locations are not readily determinable, however, and may not be physically viable for a field meter installation. The four meters therefore were installed at positions as near as possible to reasonable estimates of the optimum locations. These positions were on the two wing tips (P and S), the belly centerline near the nose (F), and the belly centerline near the tail (T) as indicated in Figure 2. Details of the meters and the data recording system are given in the companion report. A typical wing tip installation is shown in Figure 3, and the vector coordinate system attached to the aircraft is seen in Figure 4. The calibration of the aircraft geometry and the separation of the vector components is described in the companion report. The result of this work is the calibration matrix:

\[
\begin{align*}
Ex &= .0086 \text{Ep} + .0086 \text{Es} + .181 \text{Ef} - .212 \text{Et} \\
Ey &= .019 \text{Ep} - .019 \text{Es} \\
Ez &= .024 \text{Ep} + .024 \text{Ep} - .168 \text{Ef} - .080 \text{Et} \\
V &= .352 \text{Ep} + .352 \text{Es} - .065 \text{Ef} + .076 \text{Et}
\end{align*}
\]

where Ex, Ey, Ez and the vector components of the external field in the coordinate system of Figure 3, V is the aircraft
potential, and $E_p$, $E_s$, $E_f$, $E_t$ are the fields in units of volts per meter at the meter location.

The fields measured at the four meters are plotted in Figures 5-35. The sign convention is that of classical physics ($E = -\nabla V$) where a positive field is the result of net positive surface charge density on the aircraft skin at the meter location. Ten seconds of data are shown in each figure, and the exact time of the center is given at the top. In most cases the lightning strike is exactly at this center, but there are a few in which this is not true. In these cases the lightning leaves an unmistakable signature; so there is no ambiguity. Gaps in the plots represent fields which were outside of the linear operating range of the instruments. These direct field values are used as inputs to the calibration matrix, they are useful for triggering analysis by showing the actual field at specific locations, and they are useful in assessment of system operation.

The next group of thirty figures (Figures 36-65) show the cartesian field vector components and the aircraft potential relative to its environment. Only thirty are given because the meter at the starboard wing tip failed during the flight of 29 June. The polarities of the field components are in accordance with the coordinate axes shown in figure 4 where the positive $x$, $y$, and $z$ axes are forward, left, and upward. The time axes are identical to the first set of curves. As mentioned in the companion report, the aircraft potential is shown in preference to charge since it is more accurately known and is equally useful.
The final set of curves (Figures 66-95), show the vector field in the angular azimuth-elevation-magnitude coordinate system defined in Figure 4. It should be noted that this is not a standard polar spherical system, but it is chosen to facilitate interpretation. Initial analysis indicates that this presentation, by giving the field as magnitude and direction, is more easily interpreted in a search for evidence of triggering.

CONCLUSIONS

There are 31 occasions on which lightning was known to strike the aircraft during the 1985 campaign. Some data is available for each of these events. It was found that data quality improved as experience was obtained and appropriate operating conditions were experimentally determined. The instrument system demonstrated a high level of reliability with only one sensor failure in the entire period.

The accuracy of the data depends on direction and on the ratio of vehicle charge to field. Analysis indicated that the worst case error is of the order +/-20% with many values (most notably the y component) much better than this. A detailed error analysis is contained in the companion report. Work on analysis of this data is continuing; so further conclusions including an assessment of the triggering hypothesis will appear in subsequent reports.
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