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This report describes the first years efforts upon a number of processes related to hot corrosion. Total conductivities of pure Na$_2$SO$_4$, Na$_2$SO$_4$ doped with NiO and Na$_2$SO$_4$ doped with CaO were measured at 900°C as a function of the activity of Na$_2$O. Partial electronic conductivities were also measured for the same systems utilizing the Wagner-Hebb type polarization cell. It was found that the total D.C. conductivity of pure Na$_2$SO$_4$ was of the order of $5 \times 10^{-2}$ $(\text{S/cm})$ and varied only slightly with changes in the activity of Na$_2$O.
The partial conductivity of electrons in Na$_2$SO$_4$ was about two orders of magnitude less than the total conductivity while electron hole conductivities are considerably smaller than the electron conductivities. Thus, $t_e$ is of the order of $10^{-2}$ in pure Na$_2$SO$_4$ at 900°C.

The introduction of NiO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ into Na$_2$SO$_4$ at 900°C does not change significantly the total conductivities or the partial electronic conductivities except at a concentration of $10^{-2}$ mole % Fe$_2$O$_3$ where the electron and electron hole conductivities become of the same order of magnitude. At other concentrations of NiO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ the salt solution has electrons as a major minor carrier. This is somewhat surprising as one might generally anticipate an increase in the electron hole conduction with the introduction of multivalent ions of nickel and iron.

Hot corrosion studies on pure nickel under various heights of Na$_2$SO$_4$ were carried out at 900°C at $a_{Na_2O} = 6.3 \times 10^{-16}$. The kinetics of the hot corrosion process increased as the height of the Na$_2$SO$_4$ was increased from 0.5 cm to 2.0 cm. These results are quite informative in that they eliminate a number of possible reaction controlling steps. Further studies in this regime may aid in elucidating the reaction controlling step(s) for this process of hot corrosion.
Introduction

The mechanism of hot corrosion is accepted as that of a dissolution process of protective oxides in a neighboring liquid phase, generally, but not always, considered to be Na$_2$SO$_4$ (other liquid salts create the same type of behavior). The reactions involved, considering only the formation of metal oxides, requires transport of oxygen through the liquid salt phase. Thus, at least in the initial stages of corrosion, one of the interface reactions and/or diffusion in the boundary layers and/or diffusion through the bulk salt must control the process. After a certain thickness of reaction product accumulates at the liquid-alloy interface it is then logical to anticipate control of the corrosion process to be reactions that involve this scale.

To aid in the overall understanding of the hot corrosion process determination of a number of electrical transport processes in the Na$_2$SO$_4$ phase have been undertaken. The experiments conducted are described in the following text and the experimental results obtained during the first year of study are presented.

Experimental

A variety of electrical transport experiments were conducted. These include the measurement of the total electrical conductivity of pure Na$_2$SO$_4$ at 900°C under various SO$_2$ + O$_2$ atmospheres and determination of the partial conductivities of electrons and electron holes utilizing a Wagner-Hebb type polarization cell for pure and "doped" Na$_2$SO$_4$ also at 900°C under SO$_2$ + O$_2$ atmospheres of various concentrations.

The crucible utilized for these experiments was pure gold which is relatively inert to the aggressive salt. Gold electrodes were also employed. Atmospheres of SO$_2$ + O$_2$ employed to vary the activity of the Na$_2$O in the melt.
were obtained from gas mixtures or by flowing an inert gas, He, over ZnSO$_4$/ZnO mixtures held at a constant temperature in a furnace separate from the cell furnace. A platinum wire screen placed above the melt was utilized to catalyze the reaction. Both D.C. and A.C. measurements were made but most of the work reported herein relate to D.C. measurements since these appeared to be most stable and reproducible.

The cell constant was obtained by measuring a standard KCl solution at room temperature under identical conditions to those utilized for the cell at the high temperature operation.

In addition to the above electrochemical measurements high temperature, 900°C, hot corrosion studies were begun on metal samples covered by a certain thickness of liquid Na$_2$SO$_4$. The initial experiments were carried out in silica crucibles with pure nickel as the metal phase. The nickel was oxidized at 1000°C for about 12 hours. One surface of the oxidized sample was polished to obtain a clean metal surface while the other surfaces were permitted to retain their oxide layer. The oxide surfaces were then coated with a thin gold layer in order to protect these surfaces from reaction with the Na$_2$SO$_4$.

Weight changes during the hot corrosive process were followed by an automatic recording balance. The activity of Na$_2$O was maintained at about 6.3×10$^{-16}$ by a flowing gas stream of O$_2$ + SO$_2$.

Results and Discussion

Electrical Conductivities

The total electrical conductivity of pure Na$_2$SO$_4$ as a function of the activity of Na$_2$O in the melt at 1173 K is depicted in Figure 1. The conductivities at 1000 and 10000 Hz differ from the D.C. values obtained indicating that polarization may be present at the electrodes. However, the
A.C. values measured were not nearly as stable or reproducible as the D.C. values. Hence, at this time we relate our subsequent calculations to the total D.C. conductivities. Figure (1a) displays this conductivity on a more sensitive conductivity scale. From this figure one notes a small but definite decrease in the conductivity as the activity of the Na₂O decreases. We are presently giving thought to this decrease in order to attempt an explanation of the observed trend.

From Wagner-Hebb types of polarization measurements on pure Na₂SO₄ at 1173 K the partial conductivities of electrons and electron holes were calculated and are depicted in Figure 2. It can be seen that electron conduction in pure Na₂SO₄ is considerably larger than that of electron holes. Thus, for pure Na₂SO₄ the major minor conductors are electrons. The calculated transport number of the electronic species are depicted in Figure 3 from which it can be seen that electron transport numbers are of the order of $10^{-2}$ while that of the electron holes are of the order of $1-2 \times 10^{-4}$. Thus, a relatively large amount of the transport of charge is electronic in nature in pure Na₂SO₄, i.e. of the order of 1 part in a hundred parts. The transport numbers of electronic species in molten salts have not been measured to any great extent but the few that have been measured are smaller than that determined in this study, e.g. reference 1 gives a value of about $3 \times 10^{-3}$ for electrons in the molten eutectic of LiCl-KCl at 450°C.

In view of the fact that nickel based alloys are frequently utilized for applications (2-11) where hot corrosion may occur electrical conductivities and Wagner-Hebb type polarization measurements of Na₂SO₄ with additions of NiO have been made. The total conductivities of these melts are depicted in Figure 4 along with that of pure Na₂SO₄. It should be noted that the 10 mole % NiO solution is in excess of the solubility limits as determined by Gupta and Rapp.
(12) except for the extreme ends of the activities of Na₂SO₄; thus, the central section of this conductivity curve represents the ionic solution conductivity plus the contribution, if any, of the suspended solid phase. The conductivity scale utilized in Figure 4 is rather amplified so that the trends of the conductivities may be observed.

From these results one notes that there is no "massive" change of conductivities of NiO "doped" Na₂SO₄ as compared to pure Na₂SO₄. Of course, the levels of NiO additions are not excessive, except for the 10 mole % NiO case, so that major changes in total conductivities are not expected. However, there is a trend in the conductivities to minimize in the neighborhood of a Na₂O = 10⁻⁸ to 10⁻¹⁰. This is in the neutral region between acid and basic fluxing of the NiO and is similar to the solubility curve of NiO determined by Gupta and Rapp (12).

In general, one may note that the results obtained do indicate that the mobilities of the ions resulting from the dissolution of NiO are not significantly different from the ions present in the Na₂SO₄ melt.

The partial electronic conductivities observed for the NiO doped Na₂SO₄ are depicted in Figures 5 to 7. These have been plotted as separate figures since a single figure plot would show much intermixing of values. Basically, these results show that electron conductivities remain higher than the electron hole conductivities at all concentrations of NiO and throughout the entire range of Na₂O activities. This is somewhat surprising since one would anticipate that the introduction of nickel ions into the melt would tend to increase the electron hole conduction via the exchange of electrons between Ni²⁺ and Ni³⁺ ions. Such apparently does not occur and the postulate that the presence of nickel in Na₂SO₄ solutions would enhance the total electronic conductivity and more specifically the electron hole conduction is certainly not in accord with these results.
Utilizing the total conductivities and the partial conductivities one may calculate the transport numbers of the electronic species in these doped solutions. These values are plotted in Figures 8 to 10. It can be seen that the electron transport numbers remain consistently higher than those of the electron holes in accord with the partial and total conductivities depicted previously. Again, the effect of the dissolution of the NiO is minimal upon the electron hole transport.

The effect of Fe$_2$O$_3$ dissolved in Na$_2$SO$_4$ was also studied. Figure 11 depicts the total conductivities at various concentrations of Fe$_2$O$_3$ while Figures 12 to 14 depict the partial electronic conductivities. Electron conductivities are considerably higher as compared to electron hole conductivities except for the 10$^{-2}$ mol % Fe$_2$O$_3$ concentration. This is seen more clearly in Figures 15 to 17 which show the calculated transport numbers of these solutions. It is noted that at relatively low activities of Na$_2$O (10$^{-14}$ - 10$^{-15}$) that the electron conductivity is considerably enhanced as compared to higher activities indicating that the concentration and/or mobilities of the electrons is increased significantly in this activity region. Indeed, the total conductivities, Figure 11, are also increased considerably in this region indicating that both ionic and electronic transport are enhanced. Such may relate to dissolution products of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the Na$_2$SO$_4$ melt.

The above represents at this point in time the electrochemical results obtained. Interpretation(s) of these results are presently under consideration.

Hot Corrosion of Pure Ni as a Function of Na$_2$SO$_4$ Heigth

As noted in the experimental section of this report nickel samples, prepared to expose one metallic surface to an overlying Na$_2$SO$_4$ melt, were tested at 900°C at an activity of Na$_2$O of about 6.3x10$^{-16}$. The results obtained are
shown in Figure 18. It can be seen that the gain in weight per unit area of sample increases as the height of the melt above the metal increases. Thus, diffusion through the entire distance of the overlying Na$_2$SO$_4$ is not rate determining for this corrosion process.

Figure 19 shows a typical SEM micrograph of the layers formed on the nickel under the conditions of the experiment. Both NiO and Ni$_3$S$_2$ are present in a duplex type scale indicating that the sulfur potential is sufficient at the scale - Na$_2$SO$_4$ interface to form the Ni$_3$S$_2$ even though the gaseous atmosphere is such so as to establish an acid fluxing Na$_2$SO$_4$ melt. Figure 20 is an x-ray map of the micrograph shown in Figure 19 and reveals the distribution of the sulfur in the various phases present. Again, it is apparent that the central structure is a high sulfur containing compound.

The differences seen in weight gain as a function of height of Na$_2$SO$_4$ are worthy of further discussion. If one considers the possible rate controlling steps in this process and considers whether the step is altered by the height of the Na$_2$SO$_4$ layer one is led to the following conclusions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Effect of a thicker Na$_2$SO$_4$ layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Diffusion flux through the Na$_2$SO$_4$ thickness</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Diffusion flux through boundary layer at gas - Na$_2$SO$_4$ interface</td>
<td>No change, essentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Diffusion flux through boundary layer at scale - Na$_2$SO$_4$ interface</td>
<td>No change, essentially (see further discussion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Interface reaction at the gas - Na$_2$SO$_4$ interface</td>
<td>No change, essentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Interface reaction at the Na$_2$SO$_4$ - scale interface</td>
<td>No change, essentially (see further discussion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Oxidizing potential gradient from gas - Na$_2$SO$_4$ interface to scale - Na$_2$SO$_4$ interface</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Thickness of reaction product on metal

No change or a decrease

Each of the above steps either decrease or probably do not change appreciably when the height of Na_2SO_4 above a corroding sample is increased. Yet experimentally the results obtained indicate an increase in both the initial and the "long-time" reaction rate although the "long time" rates are less well-defined. Thus, one must search for another parameter that may relate to the control of the corrosion process.

If one accepts that dissolution of the scales occurs during the hot-corrosion process, and such is certainly supported by many studies, then one is led to conclude that the capacity of the Na_2SO_4 melt to accept a soluble product is increased by the increased amount of Na_2SO_4 present to establish a greater thickness of the salt. Thus, a larger amount of nickel compounds may go into the melt without approaching saturation and the necessity of precipitation. Hence, steps 3 and 5 in the above listing may change somewhat and may be related to the changes in the kinetics that were detected in these experiments.

Therefore, it appears that the parameters which determine the dissolution process of the scale may be the most important in this corrosion process. Such parameters are the interface reaction, diffusion of reactants to the reaction front, diffusion of products to the bulk solution, etc. Further efforts will relate to attempting a clarification of these probable rate-controlling mechanisms.
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