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The theory of Spencer and Lamb for the performance of two coupled lasers is
generalized to account for intercavity phase shifts (transmitted wave minus
reflected wave) other than \( \pm \pi/2 \). The results are directly applicable for
the case of two coupled single line Fabry-Perot resonators with intercavity
losses. Analytic expressions are given for steady-state performance and for
the regions of stable operation. It is concluded that it is generally not
possible to achieve stable operation on all potential laser lines for two
multiline coupled lasers. Competition between stable and unstable lasing
modes, in these devices, may result in stable operations on a reduced number of lines.
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I. INTRODUCTION

We consider two nearly identical Fabry-Perot laser oscillators, which are coupled by partial injection of the output of one laser into the second and vice versa. Spencer and Lamb have shown that, under some circumstances, a steady-state solution can be obtained where the two lasers are locked to a fixed frequency and phase relation. This technique can then be used to obtain the coherent combination of the output from the two lasers.

In the theory of Spencer and Lamb, it is assumed that there is a fixed phase difference (herein denoted $\psi = -\pi/2$) between the transmitted and reflected radiation at the coupling mirrors. This boundary condition is appropriate when the coupling mirrors are equivalent to a lossless Fabry-Perot etalon (see Appendix). A more general treatment is to consider the value of $\psi$ to be arbitrary. This approach is used herein.

The equations that describe the performance of coupled laser oscillators operating on a single frequency are first noted. Weak coupling is assumed. A third-order saturation model is used for both homogeneous and inhomogeneous laser media. Analytic and numerical solutions are then obtained for special cases with emphasis on the stability range for steady-state operation. Finally, the stability of multiline coupled oscillators is discussed.
II. THEORY

Equations that define the performance of single line coupled resonators are deduced herein.

A. RESONATOR PROPERTIES

Coupled resonators are illustrated in Fig. 1a. The coupling occurs through mirrors M\(_{12}\) and M\(_{21}\). The electric field at these mirrors is noted in Fig. 1b. The reflected electric field at M\(_{12}\) and M\(_{21}\) is denoted E\(_{1}e^{i\phi_{1}}\) for M\(_{12}\) and E\(_{2}e^{-i\phi_{2}}\) for M\(_{21}\). The corresponding transmitted electric field in M\(_{12}\) is \((T/R)^{1/2}E_{2}e^{i(\phi_{2}+\psi)}\) and \((T/R)^{1/2}E_{1}e^{i(\phi_{1}+\psi)}\). Here, T and R represent net intensity transmission and reflection coefficients while \(\psi \equiv \phi_{t}-\phi_{r}\) is the net phase difference between the transmitted and reflected waves. Values of T, R, and \(\psi\) are given in the Appendix for cases where mirrors M\(_{12}\) and M\(_{21}\) form a Fabry-Perot etalon. When there are no losses, T + R = 1 and \(\psi = \pm \pi/2\). The latter result is consistent with assumptions in Ref. 1. However, when losses occur, T + R < 1 and \(\psi\) depends on the optical path length \(2\pi h/\lambda\) (Appendix). Hence, consideration is given herein of cases for which \(|\psi| \neq \pi/2\).

Vector addition of the reflected and transmitted wave in each resonator (Fig. 2) provides the net perturbation, per round trip, caused by the injection process. For weak coupling, \((T/R)^{1/2} \ll 1\), the result is

\[
\Delta E_{1} = (T/R)^{1/2}E_{2}\cos(\phi + \psi) \quad (1a)
\]

\[
\Delta \phi_{1} = (T/R)^{1/2}(E_{2}/E_{1})\sin(\phi + \psi) \quad (1b)
\]
Fig. 1. Fabry-Perot coupled resonator configuration.
\[ \phi \equiv \phi_2 - \phi_1 \]

Fig. 2. Vector sum of electric fields at \( M_{12} \) and \( M_{21} \).
\[ \Delta E_2 = \left(\frac{T}{R}\right)^{1/2} E_1 \cos (\phi - \psi) \] (1c)

\[ \Delta \phi_2 = -\left(\frac{T}{R}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E_1}{E_2}\right) \sin (\phi - \psi) \] (1d)

where \( \phi = \phi_2 - \phi_1 \). The round-trip time in each resonator is

\[ t_{r,n} = 2 \frac{L_n}{c} \] (2)

where \( n = 1,2 \). The empty cavity resonance frequency for each resonator is

\[ \Omega_1 = \left(2\pi N_1 - \phi_1\right)\left(\frac{c}{2L_1}\right) \] (3a)

\[ \Omega_2 = \left(2\pi N_2 - \phi_2\right)\left(\frac{c}{2L_2}\right) \] (3b)

where \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are integers. Here, \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) denote the net phase change induced by reflections during a round trip within resonators 1 and 2, respectively. Both homogeneous and inhomogeneous media are considered. For later reference, we introduce the normalized frequency relations

\[ \xi = \frac{\omega - \nu}{\gamma} \] (4a)

\[ \Delta_n = \frac{\omega - \Omega_n}{\gamma} \] (4b)

\[ \Delta = \Delta_2 - \Delta_1 = \frac{\Omega_1 - \Omega_2}{\gamma} \] (4c)

where \( \omega, \nu, \) and \( \gamma \) denote line center frequency, laser frequency, and homogeneous line width (HWHM), respectively.
B. UNSTEADY RESONATOR EQUATIONS

Single-mode operation of a Fabry-Perot resonator has been described by Lamb. These equations can be generalized to the case of two coupled resonators by inclusion of Eqs. (1a) through (1d). The result is

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dE_1}{dt} &= (a_1 - \beta_1 I_1) E_1 + M_1 E_2 \cos(\phi + \psi) \\
\frac{dE_2}{dt} &= (a_2 - \beta_2 I_2) E_2 + M_2 E_1 \cos(\phi - \psi) \\
\frac{d\phi_1}{dt} &= \gamma(\xi - \Delta_1) + \sigma_1 - \rho_1 I_1 + M_1 (E_2/E_1) \sin(\phi + \psi) \\
\frac{d\phi_2}{dt} &= \gamma(\xi - \Delta_2) + \sigma_2 - \rho_2 I_2 - M_2 (E_1/E_2) \sin(\phi - \psi) \\
\frac{d\phi}{dt} &= -\gamma \Delta + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \rho_1 I_1 - \rho_2 I_2 - M_2 (E_1/E_2) \sin(\phi - \psi) \\
&\quad - M_1 (E_2/E_1) \sin(\phi + \psi)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( I_n = E_n^2 \) and

\[
M_n = (T/R)^{1/2}/\tau_{r,n}
\]

The last term on the right hand side of Eqs. (5a) through (5d) is deduced by dividing Eqs. (1a) through (1d) by \( \tau_{r,n} \) to obtain the rate of change per unit time.
The quantities $a_n$, $\beta_n$, $\sigma_n$, $\rho_n$ are functions of $\xi$ and resonator parameters $F_1,n$, $F_2,n$, and $F_3,n$ as defined in Table I. When $\phi = -\pi/2$, Eqs. (5a) through (5e) agree with the corresponding equations in Ref. 1 except for the saturation model, which herein is limited to the third-order (in $E$) theory of Lamb. The third-order theory is accurate for $1 < F_1,n/F_2,n \leq 1.2$ (denoted $N/N_T$ in Ref. 2), but is expected to give qualitatively correct results for larger values of this parameter.

C. STEADY-STATE SOLUTION

A steady-state solution of Eqs. (5a) through (5e) is obtained by assuming that the time derivative terms are zero. The resulting equations can be put in the form

$$I_1 = \frac{B_2[a_1 + M_1(I_2/I_1)^{1/2} \cos(\phi + \psi)]}{B_1[a_2 + M_2(I_1/I_2)^{1/2} \cos(\phi - \psi)]}$$

(7a)

$$\xi = \frac{\gamma A_1 - M_1(I_2/I_1)^{1/2} \sin(\phi + \psi)}{\gamma + (\sigma_1/\xi) - (\rho_1/\xi B_1)[a_1 + M_1(I_2/I_1)^{1/2} \cos(\phi + \psi)]}$$

(7b)

$$I_1 = [a_1 + M_1(I_2/I_1)^{1/2} \cos(\phi + \psi)]/B_1$$

(7c)

$$I_2 = (I_2/I_1) I_1$$

(7d)
TABLE I. Coefficients that define gain medium saturation from Ref. 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Homogeneous Medium</th>
<th>Inhomogeneous Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_n$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{Q}<em>1,n - F</em>{2,n}$</td>
<td>$e^{-\zeta^2} F_{1,n} - F_{2,n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_n$</td>
<td>$\mathcal{Q}^2F_{3,n}$</td>
<td>$(1 + \mathcal{Q}) F_{3,n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_n$</td>
<td>$\xi \mathcal{Q}F_{1,n}$</td>
<td>$2 F_{1,n} D(\zeta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_n$</td>
<td>$\xi b_n$</td>
<td>$\xi \mathcal{Q}F_{3,n}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$F_{1,n} = (g_{oo} l / t_r)_n \quad (g = d\ln I / dx)$

$F_{2,n} = (g_c l / t_r)_n$

$F_{3,n} = F_{1,n}$ (assumes I normalized by saturation value)

$\xi = (\omega - \nu) / \gamma$

$\mathcal{Q} = (1 + \zeta^2)^{-1}$

$\zeta = (\gamma / \gamma_d) \xi$

$D(\zeta) = $ Dawson integral $= e^{-\zeta^2} \int_0^\zeta e^{x^2} dx$

$g_{oo} = $ line center - zero power - gain

$g_c = $ threshold gain $= - \ln(R_{11} R) \frac{1}{2} / \xi$

$\xi = $ length of gain region
\[ y\Delta = \sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \rho_1 I_1 - \rho_2 I_2 - M_2 (I_1/I_2)^{1/2} \sin(\phi - \psi) \]
\[ - M_1 (I_2/I_1)^{1/2} \sin(\phi + \psi) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7e)

\[ \Delta_2 = \Delta + \Delta_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7f)

The procedure for obtaining a solution is as follows.

The quantities \( \phi \), \( \psi \), \( M_1 \), \( M_2 \), \( \Delta_1 \), \( \gamma \), \( F_{1,n'} \), \( F_{2,n} \) and \( F_{3,n} \) are specified. For an inhomogeneous medium, the doppler half width \( \gamma_d \) is also specified. The value of \( \xi \) is estimated and \( I_1/I_2 \) is obtained from Eq. (7a). A new estimate for \( \xi \) is then obtained from Eq. (7b). The procedure is repeated until the estimate for \( \xi \) converges. The quantities \( I_1 \), \( I_2 \), \( \Delta \), and \( \Delta_2 \) are then found from Eqs. (7c) through (7f). The variables \( \psi \), \( M_1 \), \( M_2 \), \( \Delta_1 \), \( \Delta_2 \), \( \gamma \), \( F_{1,n'} \), \( F_{2,n} \), and \( F_{3,n} \) are resonator and gain medium properties. The above procedure provides the corresponding values of laser frequency \( \nu \), phase angle \( \phi \), and laser intensities \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \). Equation (7a) is fourth order in \( (I_1/I_2)^{1/2} \) so that four steady-state solutions for \( (I_1/I_2)^{1/2} \) are possible, in principle. However, in all cases considered herein, no more than one stable steady-state solution has been found for a given set of initial conditions. Stability is examined in the next section.

D. STABILITY CRITERION

The stability of the steady-state solutions can be determined by considering the effect of small perturbations.

Let \( E_1 = E_{10} + \Delta E_1 \), \( E_2 = E_{20} + \Delta E_2 \), and \( \phi = \phi_0 + \Delta \phi \) where subscript zero denotes the steady-state solution and \( \Delta (\cdot) \) denotes an unsteady perturbation.
Substitution into Eqs. (5a), (5b), and (5e) and neglect of terms of order $\Delta^2$
then yields

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta E_1 \\
\Delta E_2 \\
E_1 0 \Delta \phi
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta E_1 \\
\Delta E_2 \\
E_1 0 \Delta \phi
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(8)

where

\[
a_{11} = a_1 - 3\beta_1 I_{10}
\]

\[
a_{12} = M_1 \cos(\phi_o + \psi)
\]

\[
a_{13} = -M_1 (E_{20}/E_{10}) \sin(\phi_o + \psi)
\]

\[
a_{21} = M_2 \cos(\phi_o - \psi)
\]

\[
a_{22} = a_2 - 3\beta_2 I_{20}
\]

\[
a_{23} = -M_2 \sin(\phi_o - \psi)
\]

\[
a_{31} = 2\rho_1 I_{10} - M_2 (E_{10}/E_{20}) \sin(\phi_o - \psi) + M_1 (E_{20}/E_{10}) \sin(\phi_o + \psi)
\]

\[
a_{32} = -2\rho_2 E_{10} E_{20} + M_2 (I_{10}/I_{20}) \sin(\phi_o - \psi) - M_1 \sin(\phi_o + \psi)
\]

\[
a_{33} = -M_2 (E_{10}/E_{20}) \cos(\phi_o - \psi) - M_1 (E_{20}/E_{10}) \cos(\phi_o + \psi)
\]
In the limit $\psi = -\pi/2$, these equations agree with corresponding equations in Ref. 1. Perturbations are damped, and the system is stable, if the roots of the characteristic equation

$$|a_{ij} - \delta_{ij} r| = 0$$

have negative real parts.

E. SIMILITUDE

The steady-state equations depend on $\phi$ and $\psi$ through terms of the form $\cos(\phi \pm \psi)$ and $\sin(\phi \pm \psi)$. Equivalent cases are now noted. Let $f(\phi, \psi)$ denote a dependent variable. Equations (7a) through (7f) indicate

$$f(\phi \pm \pi, \psi \pm \pi) = f(\phi, \psi) \quad (11)$$

For the special case $A_1 = 0$,

$$f(-\phi, -\psi) = f(\phi, \psi) \quad f = I_1, I_2 \quad (12a)$$

$$= -f(\phi, \psi) \quad f = \xi, \Delta, \tilde{\phi} \quad (12b)$$

where $\tilde{\phi}$ is defined in Eq. (15).

F. BEAM COMBINATION

The output from each of the coupled resonators can be directed to antennae which are either separated (Fig. 3a) or collocated (Fig. 3b). When a coupled resonator is operating under steady state conditions (i.e., lock in),
Fig. 3. Applications of coupled resonator output.
the configuration in Fig. 3a constitutes a phased array while the configuration in Fig. 3b constitutes a technique for coherent beam combination. Factors affecting the beam quality of the latter configuration are discussed herein for both single line and multiline coupled resonators.

The beam quality of the output beam indicated in Fig. 3b can be expressed

\[
BQ = \frac{I_{\text{ideal}}}{I_{\text{actual}}} \tag{13}
\]

where \(I_{\text{actual}}\) is far-field center line intensity and \(I_{\text{ideal}}\) is the value for a combined beam with uniform near-field phase and intensity. Values of beam quality above 1 indicate a lack of near-field phase uniformity. Assume that the output beams from the resonators in Fig. 3b are equal in area and intensity and illuminate subappertures \((A_1\) and \(A_2\)) of equal area. Let \(\phi_{A_1}\) and \(\phi_{A_2}\) denote the phase of the radiation at \(A_1\) and \(A_2\), respectively, and assume \((\phi_{A_2} - \phi_{A_1})^2 \ll 1\). The beam quality of the combined beam is then

\[
BQ - 1 = \left(\frac{\phi_{A_2} - \phi_{A_1}}{2}\right)^2 + O(\phi_{A_2} - \phi_{A_1})^4 \tag{14}
\]

Equation (14) can be evaluated as a function of coupled resonator geometry and gain medium properties.
For a single line stable coupled resonator the phase of the output beam at stations $M_1$ and $A_1$ can be shown to be $\phi_1 + (\Delta \phi_1/2)$ and $\phi_1 + (\Delta \phi_1/2) - k\xi_1$, respectively, where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$. Similarly, the phase of the output beam at $M_2$ and $A_2$ is $\phi_2 + (\Delta \phi_2/2)$ and $\phi_2 + (\Delta \phi_2/2) - k\xi_2$, respectively. The phase difference between the radiation at $A_2$ and $A_1$ is then

$$\phi_{A_2} - \phi_{A_1} = \phi + \left(\frac{T}{R}\right)^{1/2} - k(\xi_2 - \xi_1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15a)

where $\phi = \phi_2 - \phi_1$ and

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\Delta \phi_2 - \Delta \phi_1}{2(T/R)^{1/2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{E_1}{E_2} \sin(\phi - \psi) + \frac{E_2}{E_1} \sin(\phi + \psi) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (15b)

$$= -\sin \phi \sin \psi \quad (E_1 = E_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15c)

Substitution of Eq. (15a) into Eq. (14) defines the beam quality of the combined beam. In a single-line stable resonator, with $L_1 \neq L_2$, it can be shown that $\phi \neq 0$. However, the condition $\phi_{A_2} - \phi_{A_1} = 0$ (i.e., good beam quality) can be achieved by proper choice of $\xi_2 - \xi_1$ in Eq. (15a). In a multiline stable coupled resonator, with $L_1 \neq L_2$, however, the values of $k$, $\phi$, and $\tilde{\phi}$ differ for each wavelength. Hence, it is not possible to adjust $\xi_2 - \xi_1$ so as to obtain good beam quality for all wavelengths. Therefore, a necessary condition to achieve good beam quality, for the case of a multiline stable coupled resonator, is to operate under conditions where $L_1 \neq L_2$ and $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$. In this case $\phi$, $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\phi_{A_2} - \phi_{A_1}$ are small for each wavelength and good beam quality is obtained provided the solution is stable.
III. SPECIAL CASES

Numerical methods are generally needed to evaluate coupled resonator performance. Explicit expressions can be obtained in special cases. The cases \( \cos(\phi + \psi) = \cos(\phi - \psi) \) and \( \phi^2 \ll 1 \) are considered here.

A. ASSUMPTIONS

Let \( L \equiv (L_1 + L_2)/2 \) denote the average length of resonators 1 and 2 and assume

\[
(L_2 - L_1)/L = 0(\lambda/L) \ll 1 \quad (16a)
\]

It follows that

\[
t_{r,n} = t_r [1 + O(\lambda/L)] \quad (16b)
\]

\[
M_n = M [1 + O(\lambda/L)] \quad (16c)
\]

where \( t_r \equiv 2L/c \) and \( M \equiv (T/R)^{1/2}/t_r \). We also assume that the gain medium and the mirror configuration for resonators 1 and 2 are nominally identical. We therefore replace \( M_n, a_n, b_n, \sigma_n, \) and \( \rho_n \) in Eqs. (5) and (7) by the mean values \( M, a, b, \sigma, \) and \( \rho \). Under these conditions the dependent variables

\[
I_1, I_2, \xi, \phi \quad (17)
\]

are functions of the parameters
To facilitate computations, \( \phi \) is sometimes specified and the corresponding value of \( \Delta_1 \) (or \( \Delta_2 \)) is determined.

B. \textbf{CASE} \( \cos(\phi + \psi) = \cos(\phi - \psi) \)

To simplify Eq. (7a), we assume that \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) satisfy the relation

\[
\cos(\phi + \psi) = \cos(\phi - \psi) \equiv \cos\phi \cos\psi
\]  

(19)

Equation (19) is equivalent to \( \sin\phi \sin\psi = 0 \) and is satisfied by

\[
\phi = 0, \pi; \psi = \text{arbitrary} \quad (20a)
\]

and by

\[
\psi = 0, \pi; \phi = \text{arbitrary} \quad (20b)
\]

Equation (20a) includes the case where \( L_1 = L_2 \) and \( h \) is variable.

Equation (20b) includes the case where \( L_1 \neq L_2 \) and \( kh \) has a value equal to an integral number of half wave lengths. Substitution of Eq. (19) into Eq. (7) yields

\[
(I_1/I_2)^{1/2} = 1
\]  

(21a)

\[
= -1 \pm (1-4n^2)^{1/2}
\]  

(21b)
where
\[ D = \frac{M}{a} \cos \phi \cos \psi \]  

(21c)

and the root \((L_1/L_2)^{1/2} = -1\) has been omitted. Equation (21b) provides real positive solutions when \(-\frac{1}{2} < D < 0\). Several numerical examples, however, indicate that these solutions are unstable. We therefore pursue the case \(L_1 = L_2 = 1\). Substitution of the latter relation into Eq. (7) yields

\[ \xi = \frac{\gamma L_1 - M \sin(\phi + \psi)}{\gamma + (\sigma/\xi) - \theta/(\xi L)} + a + M \cos(\phi + \psi) \]  

(22a)

\[ \xi = \frac{\gamma L_1 - M \sin(\phi + \psi)}{\gamma + F_2 - M \cos(\phi + \psi)} \]  

homogeneous

(22b)

\[ I = \frac{\gamma L_1 + M \cos(\phi + \psi)}{\gamma + F_2} \]  

medium

(22c)

\[ \gamma \Delta = -2M \sin \psi \cos \psi \]  

(22d)

\[ \hat{\phi} = 0 \]  

(22e)

Equations (22a) through (22e) provide a solution for \(x, I, \) and \(\Delta\) when \(\gamma, L_1, M, \phi, \psi, F_1,\) and \(F_2\) are specified.
When $\psi = 0$, $\pi$ and $\phi$ is arbitrary the roots of Eq. (10) are

$$r_1 = -2M \cos \phi \cos \psi$$

(23a)

$$r_2 = r_1 - 2a$$

(23b)

$$r_3 = 2r_1 - 2a$$

(23c)

The coupled resonator solution is stable when $r_1 < 0$ or

$$-\pi/2 < \phi < \pi/2 \quad \psi = 0$$

(24a)

$$\pi/2 < \phi < 3\pi/2 \quad \psi = \pi$$

(24b)

Note the identity

$$\Omega_2 - \Omega_1 \equiv \frac{L_1 - L_2}{\lambda/2} = -\frac{\gamma A(T/R)^{1/2}}{2\pi M}$$

(25)

It follows, from Eqs. (22d), (24), and (25) that the tuning range for stable operation is

$$\left| \frac{L_1 - L_2}{\lambda/2} \right| < \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{T_1}{R} \right)^{1/2}$$

(26)

The tuning range increases linearly with $(T/R)^{1/2}$.

Similarly, when $\phi = 0$, $\pi$ and $\psi$ is arbitrary the roots of Eq. (10) are

$$r_1 = -2(2a + b)/3$$

(27a)
\[ r_{2,3} = -b \pm (b^2 - 4c)^{1/2} \]  

(27b)

where

\[ b = a + 3M \cos \phi \cos \psi \]  

(27c)

\[ c = M^2(1 + \cos^2 \psi) + M \cos \phi (a \cos \psi + \rho I \sin \psi) \]  

(27d)

Consideration of the root \( r_2 \) indicates that the system is stable when the conditions \( b > 0 \) and \( c > 0 \) are satisfied. The condition \( b > 0 \) is satisfied by

\[ \cos \psi > -\frac{a}{3M} \quad \phi = 0 \]  

(29a)

\[ \cos \psi < \frac{a}{3M} \quad \phi = \pi \]  

(28b)

In the limit \( (a/3M)^3 \ll 1 \), the latter stability region becomes

\[ |\psi| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{a}{3M} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{a}{3M}\right)^3 \quad \phi = 0 \]  

(29a)

\[ \pi > |\psi| > \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{a}{3M} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{a}{3M}\right)^3 \quad \phi = \pi \]  

(29b)

The condition \( c > 0 \) is satisfied by

\[ \cos \phi \cos \psi > -\frac{M}{a} (1 + \cos^2 \psi) - \frac{\rho I}{a} \sin \psi \cos \phi \]  

(30)
For a homogeneous medium with $\phi = \Delta_1 = 0$, Eq. (30) becomes

$$\cos \psi > \frac{M}{\gamma + F_2} \frac{1 - (\gamma + F_2)(1 + \cos^2 \psi)/a}{1 - 2M^2/[a(\gamma + F_2)]} \quad (31a)$$

$$> \frac{M}{\gamma + F_2} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{\gamma + F_2}{a} \right) + O\left( \frac{M^2}{a(\gamma + F_2)} \right) \right] \quad (31b)$$

When $a/3M$ is small, values of $\psi$ for stable operation are determined by the condition $b > 0$. When $a/3M$ is large, values of $\psi$ for stable operation are determined by the condition $c > 0$. The range of $\psi$ for stable operation first increases and then decreases with increase in $a/M$ (Fig. 4). In cases where $\xi^2 \ll 1$, the substitution $a = F_1 - F_2$ simplifies the evaluation of stability.

C. \textbf{CASE } $\phi^2 \ll 1$

We assume $\phi^2 \ll 1$ and express dependent variables in the form

$$E_1/E_2 = 1 + \phi E_{11} \quad (32a)$$

$$a = a_0(1 + \phi a_{11}) \quad (32b)$$

$$\beta = \beta_0(1 + \phi \beta_{11}) \quad (32c)$$

where subscript zero denotes values for $\phi = 0$ and where $a_{11}$ is not to be confused with Eq. (9a). Substitution into Eqs. (7a) through (7f) and neglect of terms of order $\phi^2$ yields

$$F_{11} = \frac{-A}{1 + 2A} \tan \psi \quad (33a)$$
Fig. 4. Effect of saturation on range of $\psi$ for stable operation. A homogeneous medium is assumed with input conditions given in Table II.
\[
\frac{YA}{2\phi} = E_{11} \left[ \frac{\rho_0 a_0}{\beta_0} (1+A) + M \sin\psi \right] - M \cos\psi \tag{33b}
\]

\[
\tilde{\phi} = - \phi(\cos\psi - E_{11} \sin\psi) \tag{33c}
\]

where

\[
A = \frac{(M/a_0) \cos\psi}
\]

Equation (33a) indicates the departure from the condition \( I_1/I_2 = 1 \) as \( \phi \) is increased from zero. Equation (33b) indicates the relation between \( \phi \) and the tuning parameter \( A \). Additional expressions for dependent variables can be readily obtained.
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of variations in $\phi$ and $\psi$ on steady-state coupled resonator performance has been evaluated for initial conditions given in Table II. Calculations were conducted at intervals of $\Delta\phi = \Delta\psi = 2^\circ$. The results are given in Tables III and IV for homogeneous and inhomogeneous media, respectively, and are discussed herein. The end of each stable operating regime, denoted by an asterisk in Tables III and IV, is correct to within $2^\circ$ due to the computational interval.

A. $\phi$ FIXED AND $\psi$ VARIED

The present computations correspond to keeping $L_1(\Delta_1 = 0)$ and $L_2(\Delta_2 \sim \phi)$ fixed while varying $h(\psi)$.

The net output is proportional to $I_1 + I_2$ and is a maximum when $\phi = \psi = 0$. When $\phi = 0$, an increase in $|\psi|$ results in a decrease in net output and stable operation is limited to the range $-96^\circ < \psi < 96^\circ$. With increase in $\phi$ the peak output continues to occur at $\psi = 0$ (except when $\phi = 90^\circ$) but is reduced from the output at $\phi = \psi = 0$. The range of $\psi$ for stable operation is also reduced with increase in $\phi$.

The data in Tables III and IV correspond to a fixed degree of saturation, $F_1/F_2$. The effect of this parameter on the range of $\psi$ for stable operation is indicated in Fig. 4 where stability limits are plotted versus $(F_1 - F_2)/M$. When $\phi = 0$, the stable operating regime limit increases from $\psi = \pm 90^\circ$ at $(F_1 - F_2)/M = 0$ (threshold) to $\psi = \pm 130^\circ$ at $(F_1 - F_2)/M = 2$. These results can be obtained by taking $b = 0$ in Eq. (27c). The range of $\psi$ for stable operation decreases with further increase in $(F_1 - F_2)/M$ and is deduced by taking $c = 0$ in Eq. (27d). An increase in $\phi$ results in a decrease in the
TABLE II. Input for numerical computations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>( 0.50 \times 10^8 \text{ rad/s} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_d )</td>
<td>( 1.00 \times 10^9 \text{ rad/s} ) (Table IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>( 0.10 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_1 )</td>
<td>( 0.20 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( = 0.17 \times 10^8 - 0.60 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1} ) (Fig. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_2 )</td>
<td>( 0.16 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_1 )</td>
<td>( 0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. Coupled resonator performance for case of homogeneous medium. Input conditions given in Table II. Asterisk denotes end of stable operating regime to within 2°.

(a) \( \phi \) Fixed and \( \psi \) Varied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \phi \pi )</th>
<th>( \psi \pi )</th>
<th>( \xi )</th>
<th>( I_1 )</th>
<th>( I_2 )</th>
<th>( I_1+I_2 )</th>
<th>( \Delta_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pm 30 )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.087 )</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pm 60 )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.142 )</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pm 90 )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.152 )</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pm 96^{*} )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.148 )</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>(-114^{*} )</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>(-90 )</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>(-60 )</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>-0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>(-30 )</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>-0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>62^{*}</td>
<td>-0.298</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>-0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>(-90^{*} )</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>(-60^{*} )</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>-0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>(-30^{*} )</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>-0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>-0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>-0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>32^{*}</td>
<td>-0.309</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>-0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>(-6^{<em>} / 186^{</em>} )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.109 )</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>( \pm 0.425 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>(-4 / 184 )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.124 )</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>( \pm 0.411 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>(-2 / 182 )</td>
<td>( \pm 0.138 )</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>( \pm 0.404 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0 / 180</td>
<td>( \pm 0.152 )</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>( \pm 0.400 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>2 / 178</td>
<td>( \pm 0.167 )</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>( \pm 0.400 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>4^{<em>} / 176^{</em>}</td>
<td>( \pm 0.188 )</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>( \pm 0.404 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. Coupled resonator performance for case of homogeneous medium. Input conditions given in Table II. Asterisk denotes end of stable operating regime to within 2° (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\frac{\psi}{\pi}$</th>
<th>$I_1$</th>
<th>$I_2$</th>
<th>$I_1+I_2$</th>
<th>$\Delta_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±0.087</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>±0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±60</td>
<td>±0.142</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>±0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±90</td>
<td>±0.152</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>±0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>±0.096</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±90</td>
<td>±0.091</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±60</td>
<td>±0.053</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±0.000</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>1.213</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>±0.087</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±0.165</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>±0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±60</td>
<td>±0.256</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>±0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±62</td>
<td>±0.298</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>±0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>±0.164</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>±0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±10</td>
<td>±0.159</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>±0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±130</td>
<td>±0.145</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>±0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±74</td>
<td>±0.028</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>±0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±60</td>
<td>±0.000</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>±0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±0.053</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>±0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>±0.142</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>±0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±0.256</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>±0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±12</td>
<td>±0.309</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>±0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>±0.066</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>±0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±50/240</td>
<td>±0.081</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>±0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±40/220</td>
<td>±0.090</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>±0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30/210</td>
<td>±0.091</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>±0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±20/202</td>
<td>±0.083</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>±0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±10/190</td>
<td>±0.142</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>±0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±10/180</td>
<td>±0.152</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>±0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±8/176</td>
<td>±0.188</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>±0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. Coupled resonator performance for case of inhomogeneous medium. Input conditions given in Table II. Asterisk denotes end of stable operating regime to within 2°.

(a) Fixed and \( \psi \) Varied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \frac{180}{\pi} \phi )</th>
<th>( \frac{180}{\pi} \psi )</th>
<th>( \xi )</th>
<th>( I_1 )</th>
<th>( I_2 )</th>
<th>( I_1 + I_2 )</th>
<th>( \Delta_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±0.109</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±60</td>
<td>±0.182</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±90</td>
<td>±0.200</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±96*</td>
<td>±0.197</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-112*</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-90</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>-0.152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-60</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.109</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>-0.192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-0.309</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68*</td>
<td>-0.440</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>-0.387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-90*</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-60</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>-0.291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>-0.346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.309</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>-0.358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38*</td>
<td>-0.449</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>-0.483</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-34*/214*</td>
<td>±0.116</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>±0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30/210</td>
<td>±0.115</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>±0.385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10/190</td>
<td>±0.150</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>±0.414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/180</td>
<td>±0.200</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>±0.400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*/174*</td>
<td>±0.267</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>±0.411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. Coupled resonator performance for case of inhomogeneous medium. Input conditions given in Table II. Asterisk denotes end of stable operating regime to within 2°.

(a) $\phi$ Fixed and $\psi$ Varied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\frac{180}{\pi}$</th>
<th>$\frac{180}{\pi}$</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$I_1$</th>
<th>$I_2$</th>
<th>$I_1+I_2$</th>
<th>$\Delta_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±0.109</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>1.636</td>
<td>±0.200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±60</td>
<td>±0.182</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>±0.346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±90*</td>
<td>±0.200</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>±0.400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-92*</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.109</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>-0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-0.309</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>-0.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-0.440</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>-0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-140</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-130*</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-130*</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-74*</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.309</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38*</td>
<td>-0.449</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>-0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>-60* / 240*</td>
<td>±0.083</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>±0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-50 / 230</td>
<td>±0.103</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>±0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-40 / 220</td>
<td>±0.114</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>±0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-30 / 210</td>
<td>±0.115</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>±0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-10 / 190</td>
<td>±0.150</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>±0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 / 180</td>
<td>±0.200</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8° / 172*</td>
<td>±0.340</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>±0.226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stable operating range for \( \psi \). In all cases the range of \( \psi \) for stable operation is approximately \( \pm 90^\circ \) which corresponds to maintaining control of \( h \) to within half a wavelength.

B. \( \psi \) FIXED AND \( \phi \) VARIED

The present computations correspond to keeping \( L_1(A_1 = 0) \) and \( h(\psi) \) fixed while varying \( L_2(A_2 = \phi) \). Peak power occurs at \( \phi = \psi = 0 \) and for \( \psi \neq 0 \), has a local maximum at \( \phi = 0 \). The results for \( \psi = 0 \) agree with Eqs. (23) through (26) and indicate a stable range

\[
-\frac{1}{\pi^2 R_{1/2}} < \frac{L_1 - L_2}{\lambda/2} < \frac{1}{\pi R_{1/2}}
\]  

(34)

The range of \( A_2 \) and therefore of \( (L_1 - L_2)/\lambda \) for stable operation decreases as \( \psi \) increases. Tables IIIb and IVb indicate that when \( \psi \neq 0 \), the solution, in some cases, is a multivalued function of \( A_2 \). The impact on coupled laser performance has not been pursued.

C. GAIN MEDIUM EFFECTS

The performance of coupled lasers with homogeneous and inhomogeneous gain mediums are compared in Tables III and IV, respectively. Initial conditions of Table II are used. It is seen that for these initial conditions the range of stable operation and the dependence of output power on \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) is insensitive to the nature of the gain medium. [The factor two difference in \( I \) between Tables III and IV is a gain medium property and is not significant.] However, this good agreement may not apply for initial conditions which yield \( \xi^2 \) not small.
V. MULTILINE COUPLED RESONATOR PERFORMANCE

The previous results have assumed a single lasing transition. We now consider the effect of multiline operation. To achieve good beam quality it is necessary to operate under conditions such that $\phi = 0$ as noted in Eq. (15). The stability of this configuration requires consideration.

We first consider a homogeneous gain medium with a single longitudinal mode for each laser line. Let $\lambda_p$ and $\lambda_q$ denote the smallest and largest wavelengths, in the multiline coupled resonator, and let $\lambda$ denote a mean value. If a lossy etalon is assumed, the corresponding values of $\psi$ are $\psi_p = 2\pi h/\lambda_p$ and $\psi_q = 2\pi h/\lambda_q$. Consider a typical device wherein $(\lambda_q - \lambda_p)/\lambda = 0(0.1)$ and $h = 0(1m)$. It follows that

$$\psi_p - \psi_q = 2\pi \frac{h}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda_q - \lambda_p}{\lambda} \right)$$

(35a)

$$= 0(10^5)$$

(35b)

It may be concluded that $\psi_p$ and $\psi_q$ are uncorrelated and the value of $\psi$, for each wavelength, is random within the interval $-\pi < \psi < \pi$. Since stable operation is limited to the interval $-\pi/2 < \psi < \pi/2$ (e.g., Fig. 4) it follows that for any fixed value of $h$, and a “lossy” etalon, only about half of the possible laser wavelengths can achieve steady-state operation.

In the case of an inhomogeneously broadened medium, there may be many longitudinal modes associated with each laser line. Let $\lambda_p$ and $\lambda_q$ denote the wavelength of adjacent longitudinal modes. Neglect mode pulling and pushing and assume $(T/R)^{1/2} \ll 1$ in Eq. (7b). It follows that $\lambda_q - \lambda_p = \lambda^2/2L$ so that
In the limit \( h/L = 0, 2, 4, \ldots \) all the longitudinal modes, corresponding to a given laser line, have the same degree of stability or instability. In the limit \( h/L = 1, 3, 5, \ldots \), in general, one longitudinal mode will be stable while the adjacent mode will be unstable. In the latter case, half of the longitudinal modes, for a given line, are stable while the remaining modes are unstable.

The net power and stability of the output from multiline coupled lasers will depend on the competition, if any, between the stable and unstable resonator modes. It is seen from the coupling terms in Eqs. (5a) and (5b) that when \( |\phi \pm \psi| < \pi/2 \) the stable modes have, in effect, more gain than the corresponding unstable (i.e., uncoupled) modes. Hence, when both the stable and unstable modes compete for the same gain medium, the stable modes will dominate. In the latter case, multiline coupled lasers will tend to provide stable output on a reduced number of lines with relatively little loss in net output power.
VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The present study has considered coupled Fabry-Perot resonators with intercavity losses such that $\psi = 2\pi \frac{h}{\lambda}$. In more complex resonator geometries (e.g., hole-coupled and edge-coupled unstable resonators), the radiation generally makes a single pass in the intercavity region. Hence, a phase change relation similar to $\psi = 2\pi \frac{h}{\lambda}$, as well as present results, are expected to be applicable for these devices.
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APPENDIX

ETALON PERFORMANCE

Mirrors \( M_{12} \) and \( M_{21} \) may each be etalons. The combination of \( M_{12} \) and \( M_{21} \), then, also forms an etalon. The steady-state performance of these configurations is now noted.

I. SINGLE ETALON

A single etalon (e.g., mirror \( M_{12} \)) is illustrated in Fig. A-1a. Following the development in Ref. 3, the reflection and transmission coefficients can be expressed

\[
\frac{E^r_{12}}{E^{12}} = R_{12}^{1/2} e^{i\phi_{12}} = \frac{(1 - r) e^{i\delta_{12}}}{1 - r^2 e^{i\delta_{12}}} \quad (A-1a)
\]

\[
\frac{E^t_{12}}{E^{12}} = T_{12}^{1/2} e^{i\phi_{12}} = \frac{(1 - r^2) e^{i\delta_{12}/2}}{1 - r^2 e^{i\delta_{12}}} \quad (A-1b)
\]

where

\[
r = |(n' - n)/(n' + n)|
\]

\[
\delta_{12} = \frac{4\pi n_{12}}{\lambda_{12}}
\]

and \( n, n' \) denote index of refraction. The coefficient \( \exp(i\delta_{12}/2) \) in Eq. (A-1b) is usually neglected but is retained here to obtain phase information. Equations (A-1a) and (A-1b) indicate
Fig. A-1a. Etalon configurations.
\[ R_{12} = 1 - T_{12} = 4r^2 \sin^2(\delta_{12}/2)/[(1 - r^2)^2 + 4r^2 \sin^2(\delta_{12}/2)] \quad (A-2a) \]

\[ \Phi_{12} = (-1) \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2} \cot \left( \frac{\delta_{12}}{2} \right) \right] \quad (A-2b) \]

\[ \Phi_{12}^t = \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 + r^2}{1 - r^2} \tan \left( \frac{\delta_{12}}{2} \right) \right] \quad (A-2c) \]

It follows that

\[ \psi_{12} = \Phi_{12}^c - \Phi_{12}^r = \pm \pi/2 \quad (A-3) \]

II. DOUBLE ETALON

The etalon formed by the combination of mirrors \( M_{12} \) and \( M_{21} \) is now evaluated. The configuration is illustrated in Fig. A-1b. We assume that scattering losses occur in the space between the mirrors. The local scattering coefficient is taken to be a constant, namely

\[ \alpha_s \equiv -d\ln I/dx \equiv -2d\ln E/dx \quad (A-4a) \]

The reduction in electric field intensity, after one round trip, is

\[ E_{p+1}/E_p = e^{-\alpha} \quad (A-4b) \]

where \( \alpha = \alpha_s \). The reflection and transmission coefficients for the double etalon, with losses, are then
\[
\frac{E^r}{E^t} \equiv R_1^{1/2} e^{i\phi^r} = R_{12}^{1/2} e^{i\phi^r_{12}} \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha} e^{i\delta})}{1 - R_{12} e^{-\alpha} e^{i\delta}} \quad (A-5a)
\]

\[
\frac{E^t}{E^t} \equiv T^{1/2} e^{i\phi^t} = T_{12}^{1/2} e^{-\alpha/2} e^{i(\phi^r_{12} + \delta/2)} \quad (A-5b)
\]

where

\[
\delta = 4\pi h/\lambda \\
\bar{\delta} = 2\phi^r_{12} + \delta
\]

Equations (A-5a) and (A-5b) indicate

\[
R = \frac{R_{12}[(1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 + 4e^{-\alpha} \sin^2(\delta/2)]}{(1 - R_{12} e^{-\alpha})^2 + 4e^{-\alpha} R_{12} \sin^2(\delta/2)} \quad (A-6a)
\]

\[
T = e^{-\alpha} \frac{(1 - R_{12})^2}{(1 - R_{12} e^{-\alpha})^2 + 4e^{-\alpha} R_{12} \sin^2(\delta/2)} \quad (A-6b)
\]

\[
\phi^r = \phi^r_{12} - \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{2(1 - R_{12}) \sin(\delta/2) \cos(\delta/2)}{e^{\alpha}(1 - R_{12} e^{-\alpha})(1 - e^{-\alpha}) + 2(1 + R_{12}) \sin^2(\delta/2)} \right] \quad (A-6c)
\]

\[
\phi^t = \phi^r_{12} + \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 + R_{12} e^{-\alpha}}{1 - R_{12} e^{-\alpha} \tan(\delta)} \right] \quad (A-6d)
\]
In the present case, \( R + T < 1 \) when \( \alpha > 0 \). In the limit \( \alpha \to 0 \)

\[
\psi \equiv \phi^r - \phi^\tau = \pm \pi/2 \quad (\alpha = 0)
\]  

(A-7a)

This result is consistent with the assumption \( \psi = -\pi/2 \) used in Ref. 1.

In the limit \( \alpha \to \infty \),

\[
\psi = \delta/2 \quad (\alpha \to \infty)
\]  

(A-7b)

In the latter case \( \psi \) depends on \( h/\lambda \).
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