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ABSTRACT

Electrochemical properties of an array of closely spaced (1.2 μm) Au or Pt microelectrodes (~2 μm wide x ~50 μm long x 0.1 μm high) coated by a 0.15 μm thick layer of polycrystalline WO₃ are reported. The WO₃ is deposited on the electrodes by rf sputtering of a WO₃ target. The cyclic voltammetry of these microelectrodes indicates that WO₃ connects individual microelectrodes, since the voltammogram of a pair of microelectrodes driven together is indistinguishable from that of an individual microelectrode. WO₃ becomes a good conductor upon electrochemical reduction in aqueous solutions. The change in resistance of WO₃ connecting two microelectrodes as a function of electrochemical potential spans four orders of magnitude, from ~10⁶ to ~10² ohms. A pair of WO₃-connected microelectrodes functions as a microelectrochemical transistor that is sensitive to pH. The cyclic voltammetry is pH-dependent and consistent with pH-dependent transistor characteristics, which indicate that the device is turned on at more positive electrochemical potentials in acidic media. In basic solutions, more negative potentials are needed to turn on WO₃-based transistors. The maximum slope of the drain current, I_D, vs. gate voltage, V_G, plot at fixed drain voltage, V_D, gives a transconductance of 12 mS/mm of gate width. Potential step and potential sweep measurements indicate that the WO₃-based transistor can be reversibly turned off and on in seconds; furthermore, the gate current, I_G, and I_D can be measured simultaneously, allowing demonstration of power gain for a sinusoidal variation of V_G at fixed V_D. Operating at a frequency of 1 Hz, the power amplification by the
WO₃-based transistor is 200, at pH 1. The power amplification decreases at both higher pH and higher frequency. The properties of the WO₃-based microelectrochemical transistor allow its use as a real-time pH sensor: a reproducible change in $I_D$, at fixed $V_G$ and $V_D$, is obtained rapidly as the pH of a stream flowed continuously past the electrode is repetitively changed from pH 3.9 to pH 7.2.
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ABSTRACT

Electrochemical properties of an array of closely spaced (1.2 μm) Au or Pt microelectrodes (~2 μm wide x ~50 μm long x 0.1 μm high) coated by a 0.15 μm thick layer of polycrystalline WO₃ are reported. The WO₃ is deposited on the electrodes by rf sputtering of a WO₃ target. The cyclic voltammetry of these microelectrodes indicates that WO₃ connects individual microelectrodes, since the voltammogram of a pair of microelectrodes driven together is indistinguishable from that of an individual microelectrode. WO₃ becomes a good conductor upon electrochemical reduction in aqueous solutions. The change in resistance of WO₃ connecting two microelectrodes as a function of electrochemical potential spans four orders of magnitude, from ~10⁶ to ~10² ohms. A pair of WO₃-connected microelectrodes functions as a microelectrochemical transistor that is sensitive to pH. The cyclic voltammetry is pH-dependent and consistent with pH-dependent transistor characteristics, which indicate that the device is turned on at more positive electrochemical potentials in acidic media. In basic solutions, more negative potentials are needed to turn on WO₃-based transistors. The maximum slope of the drain current, I_D, vs. gate voltage, V_G, plot at fixed drain voltage, V_D, gives a transconductance of 12 mS/mm of gate width. Potential step and potential sweep measurements indicate that the WO₃-based transistor can be reversibly turned off and on in seconds; furthermore, the gate current, I_G, and I_D can be measured simultaneously, allowing demonstration of power gain for a sinusoidal variation of V_G at fixed V_D. Operating at a frequency of 1 Hz, the power amplification by the
WO$_3$-based transistor is 200, at pH 1. The power amplification decreases at both higher pH and higher frequency. The properties of the WO$_3$-based microelectrochemical transistor allow its use as a real-time pH sensor: a reproducible change in $I_D$, at fixed $V_G$ and $V_D$, is obtained rapidly as the pH of a stream flowed continuously past the electrode is repetitively changed from pH 3.9 to pH 7.2.
In this article, we report the properties of WO$_3$-based microelectrochemical transistors prepared by derivatization of microelectrode arrays with polycrystalline WO$_3$, which undergoes the reversible, proton-dependent redox reaction shown in equation (1).

$$\text{WO}_3 + n\text{H}^+ + ne^- \leftrightarrow \text{H}_n\text{WO}_3$$

The microelectrode arrays were coated by rf plasma deposition from a sintered polycrystalline target of WO$_3$. WO$_3$ has received considerable attention in recent years as an electrochromic material, because it becomes colored upon reduction. In addition, reports on anodically grown, polycrystalline, colloidal, and colloidal and dispersions of n-type semiconducting WO$_3$ have appeared. Further interest in the material stems from the fact that the reduced, cation-intercalated species, $\text{M}_n\text{WO}_3$ ($\text{M} = \text{H}^+$, $\text{Na}^+$, and $\text{Li}^+$), are conducting. It is the change in conductivity of WO$_3$ and the pH dependence of the electrochemical potential where the conductivity occurs that is crucial in demonstrating a pH-dependent microelectrochemical transistor.

Closely spaced (1.2 μm) Au or Pt microelectrodes (~2 μm wide x ~50 μm wide x ~0.1 μm high) have proven useful to the study of electroactive, conducting organic polymers that can be derivatized onto Pt or Au. When the conductivity of the derivatized material can be modulated by control of the electrochemical potential of the redox active polymer, as in the cases of polypyrrole, poly(N-methyl pyrrole), polyaniline, or poly(3-methylthiophene), the polymer-
connected microelectrodes behave as microelectrochemical transistors, in analogy to solid-state transistors.

A microelectrochemical transistor based on potential-dependent conductivity of a redox material is illustrated in Scheme I. When two closely spaced microelectrodes are connected by a redox active material such as WO$_3$, the current which flows between the two microelectrodes (when there is a potential difference between them) can be modulated by a change in the redox state of the redox active material. The redox state is established by the gate potential, $V_G$. The charge needed to electrochemically switch the material between the insulating and conducting states is associated with the gate current, $I_G$. The potential difference between the two microelectrodes is called the drain voltage, $V_D$, and the current flowing in the drain circuit is $I_D$. When $V_G$ is set to $V_G^1$, the material is insulating, $I_D = 0$, and the device is off, but when $V_G$ is moved to $V_G^2$, the material becomes conducting, and the device is on, $I_D > 0$, for a fixed value of $V_D$. Polypyrrole,$^8$ polyaniline,$^9$ and poly(3-methylthiophene)$^{10}$ are similar in that they are conducting when oxidized, and transistors based on these materials turn on at positive values of $V_G$. WO$_3$, in contrast, is insulating when oxidized, and conducting when reduced (Scheme I). WO$_3$-based transistors, then, are the first microelectrochemical devices which can be turned on at negative values of $V_G$ relative to the saturated calomel reference electrode. The point is that turn on potentials are associated with reduction of the active material rather than with oxidation.

Another fundamental difference between WO$_3$-based devices and the previously characterized microelectrochemical transistors is that the
Scheme 1. A WO₃-based transistor that turns on (I_D > 0) when V_G is moved from V_G₁ where WO₃ is oxidized and insulating to V_G₂ where WO₃ is reduced and conducting. The WO₃-based device can also be turned on and off by varying the pH at fixed V_G.
reduction to the conducting state involves intercalation of cationic species (in aqueous solutions, protons) into the solid. This has two consequences, the first being that response time, the amount of time necessary to switch from the conducting state to the insulating state and back, is limited by the diffusion coefficient of protons within the oxide, which can be small. The second consequence of the presence of $H^+$ in equation (1) is that the reduction of $WO_3$ is pH-dependent. Thus, the $V_G$ required to turn on the device, $V_G^2$, varies with pH. At a given $V_G$ and $V_D$, a change in pH causes a change in the ratio of oxidized to reduced $WO_3$, causing a change in $I_D$, as illustrated in Scheme I. Thus, a $WO_3$-based microelectrochemical transistor functions as a pH sensor over a wide range of pH. Several other microelectrochemical transistors based on closely spaced microelectrodes connected by pH-sensitive electroactive materials have been developed by the Wrighton group, including those based on platinized poly(3-methylthiophene), a viologen/quinone polymer, and ferrocyanide-loaded, protonated poly(4-vinylpyridine). The system based on $WO_3$-connected microelectrodes is the simplest, and operates over the widest range of pH’s. Aside from $WO_3$, there are many other transition metal oxides that could function in microelectrochemical devices. $Nb_2O_5$, $MoO_3$, $IrO_2$, and $RhO_2$ are examples of rugged oxides that can be switched electrochemically between conducting and insulating states by intercalation reactions similar to equation (1). A study of pH-sensitive Ni(OH)$_2$-based microelectrochemical transistors has recently been completed.
EXPERIMENTAL SECTION.
Preparation, Masking, Cleaning, and Encapsulation of Eight Wire Microelectrode Arrays. The microelectrode arrays used in these experiments were of a design described previously.\textsuperscript{8-10} The chips were masked prior to WO\textsubscript{3} deposition to ensure deposition on the array only either by manually applied aluminum foil masks or by photolithographically prepared Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} masks 0.1 \textmu m thick. Immediately prior to deposition of WO\textsubscript{3}, the masked devices were cleaned by an O\textsubscript{2} plasma using a Harrick Plasma Cleaner. The chamber containing the samples was evacuated and backfilled with O\textsubscript{2} to a pressure of 160 microns. The plasma was formed and the samples were treated for 10 min. After deposition of WO\textsubscript{3}, electrical contact to individual wires was made and the devices were encapsulated in the usual fashion.\textsuperscript{8c}

Deposition of WO\textsubscript{3}. The deposition of WO\textsubscript{3} was accomplished using a modification of a literature procedure.\textsuperscript{22} Polycrystalline WO\textsubscript{3} was sputtered downhill from a sintered polycrystalline WO\textsubscript{3} target onto half-masked macroscopic Au, Pt, and SnO\textsubscript{2} electrodes, and onto masked microelectrodes using an R. D. Matthis SP 310 RF diode sputtering system. The power was provided by an RF Plasma Products power supply. The diameter of the cathode was 3.5 inches. The samples were initially presputtered for 15 minutes for further cleaning. Deposition then took place onto the heated (676K) samples for 8 to 12 minutes, depending on the thickness of WO\textsubscript{3} desired. The sputtering gas was a 10\% O\textsubscript{2}/Ar mixture for both the presputtering and the actual deposition. These conditions allowed preparation of many samples.
simultaneously, all having a coating of WO₃ of thickness 0.1-0.2 μm (depending on the sputtering time).

**Measurement of Sample Thickness.** Step profiles on WO₃ microelectrodes were measured using either a Tecor Instruments Alpha-Step 100 or a Sloan Dektak surface profiling system. Both macroscopic electrodes and microelectrodes gave the same thickness (± 20%) for a given deposition. Step heights as small as 0.03 μm were measurable under favorable conditions.

**Chemicals and Solutions.** The H₂O used for all experiments was Omnisolv HPLC grade. The electrolytes were all commercially available and used as obtained. Unbuffered solutions, when used, were rigorously deoxygenated and kept under N₂ in order to maintain a constant pH value.

**Equipment.** Electrochemical experiments were performed using Pine Instruments RDE-4 bipotentiostats and Kipp and Zonen X-Y-Y’ or X-Y recorders for microelectrodes and a PAR 173/175 potentiostat/programmer in conjunction with a Houston 2000 X-Y recorder for macroscopic electrodes. The Kipp and Zonen recorders or Tektronix storage oscilloscopes with camera attachments were used for time based experiments. A sinusoidal waveform was obtained using the internal oscillator output of a PAR 5204 lock-in amplifier. UV-visible spectra were recorded using either a Hewlett-Packard Model 8451-A diode array spectrophotometer or a Cary 17 spectrophotometer. Optical micrographs of microelectrodes were obtained with a Polaroid camera mounted on a Bausch and Lomb Model optical microscope. Reproducible chemical signals were delivered to microelectrodes using the two pumps of a Hewlett-Packard Model 1084B high pressure liquid chromatograph.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

Characterization of RF Sputtered Films of WO₃ on Macroscopic Electrodes. The electrochemistry of thin films of polycrystalline WO₃ on optically transparent SnO₂ electrodes was examined at pH 4.5. As expected for the WO₃ electrochromic material,² intense coloration in the visible region accompanies electrochemical reduction, as shown in Figure 1. The significant changes in the absorbance at 700 nm occur from -0.3 to -0.8 V vs. SCE, where reduction is observed by cyclic voltammetry. The inset to Figure 1 shows the actual spectra after subtraction of the optical spectrum of the completely oxidized electrode. No significant spectral changes occur beyond -1.0 V vs. SCE for WO₃ at pH 4.5. The electrochemical potential of the cyclic voltammetric wave (and of coloration) moves with pH: at pH 0, less reducing potentials are needed to reduce the WO₃, while basic solutions necessitate more reducing potentials to reduce the WO₃.

Potential step measurements confirm that charge can be reversibly added to and withdrawn from WO₃. At pH 4.5, integrated currents from potential steps from +0.6 V to more reducing potentials indicate that at potentials negative of -0.4 V vs. SCE, "metallic" behavior is obtained, as judged by linearity of charge vs. potential plots on macroscopic SnO₂ and Au electrodes. The data indicate that WO₃ has a capacity of approximately 100 F/cm³, somewhat smaller than that for poly(3-methylthiophene).¹⁰

Cyclic Voltammetry of WO₃-Coated Microelectrodes. The cyclic voltammetry of arrays of microelectrodes coated with a 0.15 μm layer of WO₃ is depicted in Figure 2. The voltammograms we obtain on SnO₂,
Au, and Pt macroelectrodes have the same shape as those in Figure 2 for the microelectrodes. In all cases the cyclic voltammetry is invariant with time in acidic, neutral, and basic solutions. The shape of cyclic voltammograms of WO$_3$ have been modelled by Reichman et al. Their results indicate that the shape depends, among other factors, on $k_f$, the charge transfer rate constant, and on the hydrogen atom diffusion coefficient within the film, $D_H$. Our data is consistent with a material having a small $D_H$ ($\sim 1 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$/sec) and $k_f$ ($\sim 10^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$ (mole/cm$^3$)$^{-2}$). The consequences of small values for $D_H$ and $k_f$ are a slow response time (manifested as a delay in oxidation of reduced WO$_3$ upon scan reversal). Anodically grown WO$_3$, which has rapid response time, has larger values for both $D_H$ ($\sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^2$/sec) and $k_f$ ($\sim 7.2$ sec$^{-1}$ (mole/cm$^3$)$^{-2}$). Notwithstanding the irregularly shaped cyclic voltammetry, the importance of the data in Figure 2 rests in the fact that it shows that adjacent microelectrodes of the array are electrically connected with WO$_3$ and that charge transport within the oxide is rapid compared to the scan rate. At a given sweep rate, the cyclic voltammograms of wire A, wire B, and of wires A and B driven together are identical. The point is that all of the electroactive WO$_3$ is addressable by each wire; if the wires were not electrically connected, or if charge transport were slow on the timescale of the voltage sweep (as can be the case with a slow oxide like Ni(OH)$_2$, or a redox polymer), the cyclic voltammogram of the two wires driven together would equal the sum of the individual voltammograms. At sufficiently thin coatings ($< 0.05$ μm), rf sputtered or thermally grown polycrystalline WO$_3$ does
not connect adjacent wires and the array behaves as eight individually addressable microelectrodes.

Integration of the voltammograms in Figure 2 indicates roughly $6 \times 10^{-8}$ C is associated with the electrochemical process upon scanning from $+0.2$ to $-0.4$ V vs. SCE. Assuming that the density of WO$_3$ on the microelectrodes is that of the pure material, and that the surface area of WO$_3$ on the microelectrode array is $7 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$ (five times the area bounded by the wires themselves), and further assuming a one electron reduction per WO$_3$ unit, one can calculate that, as a lower limit, approximately 2.5% of the total quantity of WO$_3$ in electrical contact with the wires is reversibly reduced and reoxidized in a single scan from $+0.2$ to $-0.4$ V vs. SCE.

**Resistance of WO$_3$ as a Function of Electrochemical Potential.** The resistance of WO$_3$ connecting two (or more) microelectrodes can be measured by bringing both electrodes to a given $V_G$, and then scanning the potential of one microelectrode a small voltage ($\pm 25$ mV) about $V_G$. The drain voltage, $V_D$, is the potential difference between the two electrodes developed by scanning one microelectrode. The current passing between the electrodes can be related to the resistance using Ohm’s law. By varying $V_G$, resistance versus $V_G$ can be assessed.

Figure 3 shows representative resistance vs. $V_G$ data for a WO$_3$-coated microelectrode array at pH 6.6. The top part of the figure illustrates the $I_D$-$V_D$ curves for $\pm 25$ mV potential excursions (at 5 mV/sec) around the gate voltage, $V_G$. The drain current is equal to zero for $V_D = 0$, and the resistance is taken to be the reciprocal of the slope at this point. The data in Figure 3(b) indicate that the resistance changes by over three orders of magnitude from 0.0 to -0.9
V vs. SCE at pH 6.6. For particular samples, the resistance may reach limits of just over $10^2$ and $10^7$ ohms, but the resistance of the material never changes by more than four orders of magnitude in aqueous solution. Thus, unlike the conducting organic polymers polyaniline\(^9\) and poly(3-methylthiophene),\(^{10}\) WO\(_3\) does not exhibit very high resistance in the insulating state. The resistivity of as deposited WO\(_3\) is extremely dependent on deposition conditions, varying from $10^3$ ohm-cm to $10^9$ ohm-cm.\(^{2b}\) Since polycrystalline WO\(_3\) is an n-type semiconductor, the conductivity of the material is expected to reasonably high in electrolyte-containing solutions, where the concentration of potentially doping impurities is high.

The ruggedness of the oxide, relative to conducting organic polymers,\(^8\)-\(^{10}\) is evidenced by the stability of the material in the fully reduced (conducting) state. Excursions to -1.5 V vs. SCE, 250 mV negative of the $V_G$ of maximum conductivity (at pH 7), do not cause any irreversible damage to the oxide; in contrast, if poly(3-methylthiophene), for example, is brought to an oxidizing potential substantially more positive than where the maximum conductivity is found, irreversible chemical oxidation occurs and the polymer is degraded.\(^{10}\)

**pH-Dependence of the Transistor Properties of WO\(_3\)-Connected Microelectrodes.** The dependence on pH of the reduction of WO\(_3\) causes the transistor properties of WO\(_3\)-connected microelectrodes to also vary with pH. We have characterized the properties of WO\(_3\)-based transistors as a function of pH. The left side of Figure 4 illustrates the cyclic voltammetry of WO\(_3\)-connected microelectrodes at acidic, neutral, and basic values of pH. As expected by equation (1),
the reduction occurs at more negative potentials at basic pH, and at
more positive potentials at acidic pH. In addition, there is a slight
decrease in the amount of charge injected at basic pH, as reflected by
integration of the voltammograms. When the pH is lowered, the cyclic
voltammogram is identical to that obtained initially in acidic
solution. There may be a reversible pH-induced structural change
which blocks a percentage of WO₃ sites to reduction. The same
phenomenon has been observed, to a greater extent, with Ni(OH)₂-coated
microelectrodes.²⁰,²¹ The right side of Figure 4 shows, at the same	hree pH’s, linear plots of I_D vs. V_D (at fixed V_G) for several V_G’s,
that also show a pH dependence. Thus, at V_G = -0.2 V vs. SCE, the
device is completely turned off at pH 12.3 (judging from the lack of
drain current for any V_D), slightly on at pH 6.6, and nearly fully
turned on at pH 0. At a given pH, the slope of the I_D-V_D plots
increases as V_G is moved negatively, again illustrating that the
resistance between WO₃-connected microelectrodes decreases as WO₃ is
reduced.

Another way to quantitatively assess the effect of V_G on the
conductivity of a WO₃ film is to measure I_D as a function of V_G, at a
fixed V_D. The results of such a measurement, for three different
values of pH, are plotted in Figure 5. The I_D-V_G characteristic, like
the resistance-V_G plots of Figure 3 and the transistor curves in
Figure 4, result from properties intrinsic to WO₃: the values of V_G
which give significant I_D, the maximum slope of the curve (the
transconductance), and the maximum value of I_D (for a given V_D) may be
expected to differ from material to material. As expected, the I_D-V_G
curves depend on the pH. The maximum drain current obtained for a 200
mV drain voltage is 550 μA, which exceeds that achieved with polypyrrole,\textsuperscript{8} or polyaniline,\textsuperscript{9} but is less than the 1 mA found for poly(3-methylthiophene)-based devices of the same geometry.\textsuperscript{10} The $\text{I}_D$ for this particular device is approximately a factor of 5 higher than could be expected from the data in Figure 3, illustrating the sample to sample variability in resistance mentioned above. The reduced state of WO$_3$ is several orders of magnitude more conductive than the conducting (oxidized) state of Ni(OH)$_2$,\textsuperscript{20,21} indicating that a large variation in $\text{I}_D$-$V_G$ characteristics may be found among metal oxide-based microelectrochemical devices. It is important to note that the maximum drain current of 550 μA is achievable at pH = 7 by moving $V_G$ to a strongly reducing potential; thus, at pH = 7 the entire $\text{I}_D$ range of the device is accessible. Under basic conditions, an $\text{I}_D$ of 550 μA cannot be obtained at any $V_G$. The maximum slope of the $\text{I}_D$ vs. $V_G$ plot, transconductance, at pH 0, is around 12 mS/mm of gate width, an order of magnitude less than that obtained for poly(3-methylthiophene).\textsuperscript{10} At pH 6.6, the transconductance is approximately 10 mS/mm of gate width.

The data in Figure 5 illustrate how WO$_3$ might be used as a pH sensor, since a steady state measurement at fixed $V_G$ and $V_D$ gives an $\text{I}_D$ which is solely a function of pH, presuming that other cations in solution, which are capable of intercalation into WO$_3$,\textsuperscript{7} do not interfere with the pH response. We have carried out several experiments to ascertain whether Li$^+$, Na$^+$, and K$^+$ affect the transistor behavior of WO$_3$-based microelectrochemical devices, and find that their effect is minimal. For example, a WO$_3$-based microelectrochemical transistor was placed in $\mu = 0.05$, pH 5 acetate
buffer with $V_G = 0.0 \text{ V vs. SCE}$ and $V_D = 150 \text{ mV}$. A stable $I_D$ of 265 nA was produced. $Li^+$ was added in the form of $Li_2SO_4$ to a concentration of 0.32 $M$. The $I_D$ moved to 280 nA, a change of only $\sim 6\%$ for a four order of magnitude excess of interfering ion. Another experiment probed the effect of interfering ions on $I_D$-$V_D$ plots for various $V_G$'s (Cf. Figure 4). Saturated KCl and NaCl solutions, and 2 $M$ LiCl (all at pH 7) gave $I_D$-$V_D$ plots identical to those obtained in 0.1 $M$ Na$_2$SO$_4$ (at pH 7). Also, addition of base to a pH 0.5, 2 $M$ LiCl solution caused the $V_G$ for turn on to move to more reducing potentials. These experiments indicate that interference of K$^+$, Na$^+$, and Li$^+$ is negligible in WO$_3$-based microelectrochemical transistors operated in aqueous solutions.

Microelectrochemical transistors are fundamentally different from solid state devices in that ionic movement is necessary to bring the material on a microelectrode to a conducting state. In the case of a solid state field effect transistor (FET), for example, source-drain current is merely a consequence of capacitative charging of the gate region, with no accompanying ionic movement. Thus, ionic diffusion associated with faradaic processes places an upper limit on the on/off time for microelectrochemical transistors, and the response times can never be equal to those of solid state devices of the same dimensions. For the WO$_3$ used in this study, there are further limitations imposed by small values for $k_f$ and $D_H$, as shown by the cyclic voltammetry in Figures 2 and 4. Thus, WO$_3$-based microelectrochemical transistors exhibit response times slower than those of previously characterized microelectrochemical devices.8-10
Figure 6 shows data pertaining to the response time of a WO$_3$-based transistor upon stepping from a potential of +0.4 V to 0.0 V vs. SCE, at pH 5. With a pulse width of 5 seconds, $I_D$ varies between 0 and ~8.5 nA. The magnitude of $I_D$ indicates that this particular sample of WO$_3$ was among the most resistive we have tested. The initial response time for both turn-on and turn-off is approximately 0.1 sec. After thirty minutes of continuous pulsing, there is deterioration in the response time, as $I_D$ has lost a measure of rectangularity. After eight hours of continuous cycling, there is a very noticeable slowing of the response. The device neither turns fully on or off within the five second pulse width. In addition, the sluggish response is further manifested upon potential steps to more conducting states, where the completely on/completely off response time is measured in minutes, rather than seconds. It is possible that repeated potential steps on this particularly resistive sample caused structural changes which significantly affected $k_f$ or $D_H$.

To the list of factors affecting response time of WO$_3$-based transistors may be added the thickness of the oxide on the microelectrode array. The expectation that response time would improve as the quantity of derivatized WO$_3$ decreases is a realistic one, though at some point the connection between microelectrodes would be severed. Experiments with new microelectrode geometries will be undertaken to realize this expectation. For a given set of deposition conditions and a given thickness, a useful illustration of device response is the phase relationship of $V_G$, $I_D$, and $I_G$, depicted in Figure 7 at 1 Hz, at pH 1. $V_G$ is varied sinusoidally from +0.5 V to -0.5 V vs. SCE at a frequency of 1 Hz. In theory, at 0.5 V vs. SCE, $I_G$
will always equal zero, as the device is fully off. Scanning negatively should result in cathodic current, $I_G$, associated with reduction of $WO_3$ in the gate region. Furthermore, $I_G$ should also equal zero at $-0.5 \text{ V vs. SCE}$, when the device is fully on, since all the electroactive $WO_3$ has been reduced. Scan reversal would then lead to anodic $I_G$ associated with oxidation of the reduced $WO_3$. At $+0.5 \text{ V vs. SCE}$, the $WO_3$ is fully oxidized, and $I_G$ is again zero. If $I_G$ behaves ideally, then, it will be $90^\circ$ out of phase with the $V_G(\text{peak})$. $I_D$ should also be zero at $+0.5 \text{ V vs. SCE}$, when the device is off, but should reach its maximum value at $-0.5 \text{ V vs. SCE}$, when the device is expected to be fully turned on. Thus, $I_D$ should be exactly in phase with $V_G$. Figure 7 shows that while $I_D$ is in phase with $V_G$, $I_G$ is slightly more than $90^\circ$ out of phase with $V_G$. Even at 1 Hz, $I_G$ cannot keep up with $V_G$. At higher frequency, $I_D$ maintains its phase relationship to $V_G$ more closely than does $I_G$, but leakage $I_D$ (residual $I_D$ when the device should be completely off), barely noticeable at 1 Hz, becomes quite prominent. In addition, the magnitude of $I_D$ declines at higher frequency, indicating that the devices are not turning on fully. Results with conducting organic polymers derivatized on microelectrodes indicate that their behavior is a much closer approximation to ideal behavior at 1 Hz, and in some cases, much higher frequencies; polyaniline-based transistors can be switched on and off at frequencies up to 1 kHz.\textsuperscript{24}

The power amplification, $A$, observed for the data in Figure 7 can be calculated using equation (2), and is found to be 200, since
\[ A = \frac{\text{Average Power in drain circuit}}{\text{Average Power in gate circuit}} = \frac{P_{\text{drain}}}{P_{\text{gate}}} \quad (2) \]

\[ V_G(\text{peak}) = 1.0 \text{ V}, \quad V_D = 0.5 \text{ V}, \quad I_D(\text{peak}) = 44 \mu\text{A}, \quad \text{and } I_G(\text{peak}) = 0.11 \mu\text{A}. \]

\( I_G \) is at best proportional to the scan rate, or switching frequency, and so \( A \) decreases monotonically at higher frequencies. By 10 Hz, \( A \) is reduced to 2; at 100 Hz, the charging current associated with \( I_G \) exceeds \( I_D \) and there is no power amplification (\( A < 1 \)). At these frequencies \( I_G(\text{peak}) \) continues to be more than 90° out of phase with \( V_G(\text{peak}) \), and \( I_D(\text{peak}) \) continues to be in phase with \( V_G \), although its magnitude has declined and leakage current is significant. At \( \text{pH} > 1 \), the response time of WO\(_3\)-based transistors is slower. For example, at pH 7, a power amplification of 36 is obtained at \( 3 \times 10^{-2} \) Hz, and power gain declines to 1 at less than 1 Hz.

While the power amplification and response time for WO\(_3\)-based devices are much poorer than those of solid state devices, the important result from Figures 4, 5, and 7 is that they show that WO\(_3\)-connected microelectrodes are indeed chemically based transistors that function reproducibly. All the characteristics usually associated with conventional transistors, namely changes in the slopes of \( I_D \) vs. \( V_D \) plots as \( V_G \) is varied (Figure 4), sigmoidal plots of \( I_D \) vs. \( V_G \) at fixed \( V_D \) (Figure 5), and power amplification with well-behaved and separately measurable \( I_G \) and \( I_D \) (Figure 7), have been demonstrated. Thus, the analogy between solid state transistors and microelectrochemical transistors is complete; actuation by and
amplification of electrical signals has been achieved, though not on a competitive basis with solid state devices.

The possibility of achieving far better response time with WO$_3$-based microelectrochemical transistors depends on two factors: improving deposition conditions and improving microelectrode geometry. Optimization of the deposition conditions with respect to response time for the WO$_3$ used in this work has been not been attempted. For instance, it is known that addition of H$_2$O to the plasma produces more porous, faster responsive films of WO$_3$. Undoubtedly, it is possible to derivatize microelectrode arrays with WO$_3$ that responds more quickly to changes in V$_G$. As mentioned above, a decrease in the amount of electroactive material used in connecting closely spaced microelectrodes will improve the response time. A method to achieve this without changing the thickness of WO$_3$ is to decrease the space between the wires of the microelectrode array. The technology to significantly close the 1.2μm spacing currently in use, thereby reducing the volume of WO$_3$ required to contact microelectrodes, exists. The volume of electroactive material needed in the gate region can further be reduced by coating the tops of microelectrodes with an insulating layer of Si$_3$N$_4$. Work is in progress to prepare microelectrode arrays of the improved geometry described. Even with the many improvements possible, chemically-based microelectrochemical transistors cannot ever achieve response times associated with solid state transistors, simply because ionic movement in the gate region of chemically-based devices cannot compete with electronic movement in the gate region of solid state devices. The potential utility of WO$_3$-
based transistors rests in their ability to be turned on and off by a chemical signal, as in Scheme I.

Response of WO$_3$-based Transistors to a Reproducible, Repetitive Change in pH in a Flowing Stream. The data in Figure 5 indicate that variation in $I_D$ should be observed upon variation of pH in a solution in contact with a WO$_3$-based transistor at fixed $V_G$ and $V_D$. This fact, coupled with the durability of WO$_3$ in aqueous solutions (stable from pH 0-13; the material is soluble, however, in heated strongly basic solutions), and the lack of interference from cations, indicates that the device should make a good pH sensor. We have tested this possibility by using the two solvent reservoirs and pumps of an HPLC to create a flowing aqueous stream whose pH can be changed in order to deliver a reproducible pH change to the microelectrode. Using $\mu = 0.1$ M pH 7.2 phosphate buffer in one reservoir and $\mu = 0.1$ M pH 3.9 acetate buffer in the other, we can cycle the pH of the solution flowing past the WO$_3$-coated microelectrode. The results of this experiment are shown in Figure 8, where $V_G = -0.5$ V vs. SCE, $V_D = 150$ mV, and the solvent flow rate is 6.0 ml/min. $I_D$ is monitored over time and is found to be 0.08 $\mu$A for the pH 7.2 solution and 0.8 $\mu$A for the pH 3.9 solution. This $I_D$ is less than what would be expected from Figure 5, but is consistent with the resistance data in Figure 3. The changeover from one pH to the other requires approximately 45 seconds; $I_D$ reaches the steady state value within 90 seconds of when the transistor is exposed to the new pH solution. The stream was continuously flowed past the microelectrode for 6 h, without any degradation in the response time or the steady state $I_D$ at either pH. Thus, a WO$_3$-based transistor can sense changes in pH and gives large,
reproducible currents in real time. The magnitude of $I_D$ is such that much smaller changes in pH should be detectable without further amplification of $I_D$.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The operation of pH-sensitive microelectrochemical transistors based on WO$_3$-connected microelectrodes has been demonstrated. The response time of these devices is slower and power amplification is smaller than for microelectrochemical transistors based on conducting organic polymers, but the prospect of major improvements though control of deposition conditions and microelectrode geometry is possible. Unlike previously characterized microelectrochemical devices, the conducting region is accessed by negative values of $V_G$. This work shows that oxide-based transistors can be durable, and that, in principle, many oxides with widely varying properties can be used to fabricate microelectrochemical devices.

The effect of pH on the transistor behavior of WO$_3$-connected microelectrodes has been probed; agreement with predictions based on the pH dependence of the electrochemical reduction of WO$_3$ has been found. Real-time $I_D$ response, at fixed $V_G$ and $V_D$, to pH change in a flowing stream has been shown. The full range of $I_D$ is achievable at pH = 7 through control of $V_G$. The transconductance of these microelectrochemical transistors is sufficiently large to insure significant $I_D$ in the $V_G$ range -0.25 to -0.8 V vs. SCE at pH = 7, where the redox potential of many biological reducing agents are found, meaning WO$_3$-based devices may be of value in sensing biological molecules.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Optical spectral changes at 700 nm accompanying the change in potential of an optically transparent SnO$_2$ electrode coated with a film of rf plasma-deposited polycrystalline WO$_3$ of 0.15 micron thickness. The electrolyte was a 0.1 M, pH 4.5 acetate buffer. The inset shows the visible range absorption spectra as a function of electrochemical potential.

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammetry at four sweep rates of adjacent wires of a WO$_3$-coated microelectrode array driven individually and together in pH 6.6 phosphate buffer.

Figure 3. (a) Current-potential curves in pH 6.6 phosphate buffer as a function of $V_G$. The potential of one of the microelectrodes is varied by ± 25 mV around $V_G$, and $I_D$ is measured. (b) The resistance between WO$_3$-coated Au microelectrodes as a function of $V_G$, calculated from the slopes at $V_D = 0$ of the data in the upper portion of the figure.

Figure 4. Cyclic voltammetry (left) and $I_D$ vs. $V_D$ (at fixed $V_G$) transistor characteristics (right) of WO$_3$-connected microelectrodes at three different pH's. The voltammograms were recorded at 200 mV/sec. $V_D$ was varied at 10 mV/sec.
Figure 5. $I_D$ vs. $V_G$ at fixed (200 mV) $V_D$ at three values of pH. These are steady state data.

Figure 6. $I_D$ vs. time for a WO$_3$-based transistor at pH 5 for a potential step from +0.4 to 0 V vs. SCE.

Figure 7. Phase relationship between $V_G$, $I_G$, and $I_D$ for a WO$_3$-based transistor at 1 Hz at pH 1. $V_D = 500$ mV. $V_G$ was varied between +0.5 and -0.5 V vs. SCE.

Figure 8. $I_D$ vs. time for WO$_3$-based transistor upon variation of pH in a continuously flowing stream. $V_G = -0.5$ V vs. SCE, $V_D = 150$ mV.
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