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INTRODUCTION

Over the years since the invention of the singularity expansion method (SEM), there has been some uncertainty surrounding the identity and role of the "possible entire function" term in the singularity expansion of a scatterer's response. The fact that the entire function does not have a unique definition causes the role of this component to be somewhat vague. The results presented herein represent an attempt to grasp the meaning and utility of the entire function. The present investigation seeks to answer the following questions: (1) Is an entire function component required for the accurate representation of transient scattering responses? (2) What is the physical significance of an entire function component? (3) For applications such as resonance estimation and radar target identification, how can an entire function component be represented, dealt with, or effectively used?

Entire functions are frequently found in the frequency-domain responses of circuits where they represent forced components in the responses. Forced components are distinguished from the unforced or natural responses of a system in that they exist only while the system is under excitation, whereas the unforced response may exist independently of the excitation. Although the unforced response can exist independently, in all practical situations it is the result of some past (or present) excitation. Therefore, the label "unforced" is misleading. In fact, the forced response can be thought of as that part of the total response which quickly damps out after the excitation is removed and the unforced response is the remainder with little real distinction between the two components. The recognition of this similarity between the forced and unforced components is crucial for understanding one facet of the entire function's role in transient scattering.

As is shown in Sec. 2 for the far-field response of a conducting sphere under plane wave illumination, an entire function component is not required. On the other hand, if the sphere is deformed through the...
prolate spheroids into an infinitely thin filament, an entire function is required. As the poles of the sphere are tracked from the sphere to the filament, some of the poles approach the imaginary axis in the \( s \)-plane while the rest tend to \( s = \infty \). The poles that withdraw to infinity give rise to an entire function which can be thought of as the forced component of the filament’s response. In the time-domain, this forced component is a square-pulse function, i.e., a time-limited function, that is non-zero only while the incident plane wave is on the scatterer.

In Sec. 3, the approximation of time-limited functions with sums of highly damped exponentials is found to be theoretically feasible although in practice such approximation encounters numerical difficulties. In the frequency-domain, this type of approximation corresponds to representing an entire function by a pole series involving poles with large negative real parts. The practical difficulties inherent with such a representation points out the effectiveness of the entire function as an approximation. For distributed systems, the entire function component can be used as an approximate representation for the contribution of an infinitely large group of poles that recede to infinity in the complex frequency plane. The forced components in the responses of circuits are, in fact, based on such approximations. In an absolute sense, an entire function may never be required in the responses of real systems. In a practical sense, however, the entire function can provide a welcome refuge from all the numerical problems posed by attempting to represent transient responses by pole series with infinite numbers of terms.

Such practical considerations motivate the present investigation. Radar target identification has been suggested as a possible application of the \( \text{SEM} \) representation wherein the poles of a radar scatterer are used to identify it. Poles are particularly useful features for target identification because they are invariant with aspect angle. The
authors first became acquainted with the question of the entire function while estimating resonances from measurements of transient scattering from thin cylinders. We noticed that only when the early-time portions of the back scattered returns were excluded from the resonance estimation procedure (Prony's method) could consistent estimates of the resonances be achieved. At the time, we speculated that the early-time data was not composed purely of the unforced response, but also included a forced component which could not be adequately modeled by the finite pole series being matched to the data. The results of the present investigation confirm this initial speculation.

Because an infinite number of degrees of freedom exist in the residues of a scatterer's pole series, there is considerable freedom in the choice of the entire function. The residues can, in many cases, be adjusted to absorb or produce an additional arbitrary entire function in the representation. In Sec. 3, it is shown that the Laplace transform of a time-limited function can be represented to any degree of accuracy by a pole series formed from poles with large negative real parts. Since most scatterers possess an infinite number of such poles, any entire function resulting from an arbitrary time-limited function in the early-time response can be expanded in those poles to any degree of accuracy. As a result, there is usually a variety of choices for entire function and one simply has to make a good choice.

Heyman and Felsen have suggested that for many types of scatterers, such as the sphere, pole series are not very useful in the representation of the early-time response. Instead, they suggest that, for many scatterers, the responses can be more efficiently represented if groups of resonances are combined into the wavefronts and rays of the geometrical theory of diffraction for the early-time responses, while the SEM pole series can be retained for late times if the late-time
response is significant. Wavefronts are nothing more than entire function components. Specifically for the conducting sphere, Uberall and Gaunaud\(^3\) have shown how the scattered fields of the conducting sphere can be split into a geometrical-optics and creeping-wave components. The geometrical-optics component corresponds to an entire function and the creeping waves to a pole series in the frequency domain. Mathematically, this entire function has no meaning since it could be excluded from the representation altogether. Numerically, the inclusion of this component accelerates the convergence of the representation. Physically, this component can be given meaningful interpretation as the reflection from the apex of the sphere. This choice for the entire function is, of course, one among many.

Morgan\(^4\) and Pearson\(^5\) have suggested that the entire function is required in addition to the pole series to accurately describe the scatterer's response. The results of the present investigation do not bear out the absolute necessity of the entire function in the responses of scatterers but only its usefulness in the accurate and efficient representation of the early-time response. Morgan's\(^4\) entire function represents another possibly good choice for the entire function.

For many scatterers, it is possible to distinguish two groups of poles: the highly-damped poles and the dominant poles. The contributions of the highly-damped poles can be grouped into what we will call a "quasi-entire function" component. In Sec. 4, the quasi-entire components of two scatterers, a conducting cylinder and loop, are numerically derived by subtracting the dominant pole series from the total responses. Both class 1 and class 2 coupling coefficients are used to form the pole series which results in two definitions of the quasi-entire function for each case, thus illustrating the non-uniqueness of this response component. The quasi-entire function may be regarded as a forced response component in the same spirit as the forced responses of circuits. As the results of Sec. 4 illustrate, this forced component resembles the extended physical optics\(^4,6\) response of the scatterer.
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EXAMPLES AND COUNTEREXAMPLES OF REQUIRED ENTIRE FUNCTION COMPONENTS IN TRANSIENT RESPONSES

To illustrate the basic issues under consideration, some simple systems that can be analyzed by exact methods allow some preliminary conclusions to be made. First, a circuit shown in Fig. 2.1, whose transfer function contains both an entire function component and a resonant component is considered. The transfer function of this circuit can be written as

\[ H(s) = \frac{V_{\text{in}}(s)}{V_{\text{out}}(s)} = 1 + A_1(s - s_1)^{-1} + A_2(s - s_2)^{-1} \]  

(2.1)

where

\[ s_{1,2} = -\frac{R}{2L} \pm j\left[\frac{1}{LC} - \left(\frac{R}{2L}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \]

\[ A_1 = -\frac{R}{L} \frac{s_1}{s_1 - s_2} \]

\[ A_2 = -\frac{R}{L} \frac{s_2}{s_2 - s_1} \]

![Figure 2.1. A network whose transfer function contains an entire function and a pole series.](image-url)
with $1/(LC) > R^2/(2L)^2$. The first term of Eq. 2.1 is a (constant) entire function of the Laplace transform variable $s = \sigma + j\omega$. The presence of this term causes the output of the circuit to contain the familiar forced component. The remaining two terms comprise a finite pole series that constitutes the unforced or natural response of the circuit. The entire function in this case is required for the accurate representation of the response.

Next, consider the direct connection between input and output formed by replacing the $R$ element of Fig. 2.1 by a short and the $L$ and $C$ elements with an open. The transfer function of this network is $H(s) = 1$ and the response consists only of a forced entire-function component. This direct connection can be thought of as transmission line observed at low frequencies. At high frequencies, a transmission line of length $L$ with a voltage generator, $V_{in}$, at the input and an open at the output as shown in Fig. 2.2 has a transfer function given by

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{\cos(-j\omega L/c)}$$

(2.2)

where $c$ is the speed of light. The transfer function has poles,

---

Figure 2.2. A transmission line as a network whose transfer function can be represented exactly as an infinite pole series alone or approximately at low frequencies by an entire function alone.
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and can be expanded into a pure pole series with an infinite number of terms. Note that at low frequencies Eq. 2.2 can be approximated as \( H(s) = 1 \). It then becomes obvious that the entire function found in circuit theory is a result of the low frequency approximations that form the basis of circuit theory. A large number of high frequency resonant contributions can be combined and approximately represented as an entire function accurately within limited region of the complex-frequency plane.

The above considerations indicate that an entire function contribution may never exist in reality but is merely a convenient approximate representation for a large number of high-frequency resonant contributions. The question to answer then becomes: how is the entire function to be defined in specific cases? The answer to this question appears to be that no precise or unique definition is ever possible. However, in certain extreme system configurations, the system poles will frequently cluster into widely separated regions of the complex plane. In such cases, the definition of the entire function can take on a measure of precision and uniqueness. One such extreme configuration is the infinitely thin wire scatterer which is explored below. Other such configurations are circuits of which an example has already been given. Next, we will consider two scatterer geometries, namely the sphere and the infinitely thin wire, which illustrate the extremes with regard to the entire function question.

The conducting sphere is one scatterer that can be solved entirely by analytical techniques. Moreover, the poles of the sphere are found by finding the zeros of polynomials, as is done for circuits, instead of more complicated functions as is the case for most, if not all other scatterers. The scattered far field of a perfectly conducting sphere in
free space with an +x-polarized incident plane wave traveling in the +z direction can be written as \(^1,7-9\)

\[
E^s = -j \frac{\exp(-jkR)}{R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n + 1}{n(n + 1)}
\]

\[
x \left[ \frac{j_n(ka)}{kh_n^{(2)}(ka)} \left[ \frac{P_n^{(1)}(\cos \theta)}{\sin \theta} \cos \phi - \frac{dP_n^{(1)}(\cos \theta)}{d\theta} \sin \phi \right] \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{[k_j(ka)]'}{k[k_j(ka)]'} \left[ \frac{dP_n^{(1)}(\cos \theta)}{d\theta} \cos \phi - \frac{P_n^{(1)}(\cos \theta)}{\sin \theta} \sin \phi \right]
\]

(2.3)

where \( P_n^{(1)} \) is the associated Legendre function of the first kind of order 1 and degree \( n \), \( j_n \) and \( h_n^{(2)} \) are spherical Bessel functions of the first and third kinds, respectively, \( a \) is the radius of the sphere, \( k = \omega/c = -j\kappa/c \) is the wave number, and \( R \) is the distance to the far field point. The derivatives of the spherical Bessel functions appearing in Eq. 2.3 are defined by

\[
[kaz_n(ka)]' = \frac{d}{dx} [z_n(x)] \bigg|_{x=ka} = z_n-1(ka) - \frac{n}{ka} z_n(ka)
\]

where \( z_n \) denotes the Bessel function. Equation 2.3 can be converted into a pole series plus an entire function by expanding the factors

\[
\frac{j_n(ka)}{kh_n^{(2)}(ka)} = \frac{1}{2k} \left[ 1 + \frac{h_n^{(1)}(ka)}{h_n^{(2)}(ka)} \right]
\]

(2.4a)

and
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into pole series and entire function terms where the spherical Bessel functions are defined by

\[ h_n^{(1)}(z) = j^{-n-1} \frac{\exp(jz)}{z} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i (-2jz)^{-i} \]

\[ h_n^{(2)}(z) = j^{n+1} \frac{\exp(-jz)}{z} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i (2jz)^{-i} \]

and

\[ a_i = \frac{(n+i)!}{i!(n-i)!} \]

For each \( n \), Eqs. 2.4a and 2.4b can be expanded into a pole series plus the entire function, \( \{1 - \exp(j2ka)\}/(2k) \). These entire functions can be summed over \( n \) to give a total entire function component in the sphere's response. Upon examination, this component displays some rather peculiar features, has no apparent physical interpretation, and is regarded as a mathematical artifact.

Although when each of the factors in Eq. 2.4 are taken individually, an entire function term must exist for each term of Eq. 2.3; this does not indicate that the total response requires an entire function. In Sec. 3, it is shown that the residues of a pole series with a large number of deeply-imbedded poles can always be adjusted to absorb the entire function into the pole series. Since the sphere possesses such a set of poles, the response of the sphere should be representable to any
degree of accuracy by a pole series alone. It is always possible, though, to rearrange the equations so that an entire function component is retained. In this case, the residues of the resulting pole series are altered to account for the additional component.

Next the infinitely thin conducting wire or filament scatterer is considered. This scatterer requires an entire function component, in addition to a constant coefficient pole series, to accurately represent its scattered field and thus it provides a counter example to that of the sphere. Finally, the transition from sphere through the prolate spheroids to the filament will be explored and there the specific causes of the difference between the sphere and the filament with regard to the entire function will become clear.

To develop a solution for the axial currents on a filament with a plane wave incident field, we start with an asymptotic solution obtained for an infinitely long conducting cylinder driven by voltage across an infinitely thin gap, given by Chen and Keller. This solution, which is valid for large \( k|z - z'| \), can be written as an admittance which, when multiplied by the gap voltage, gives the current:

\[
Y(z, z', s) = \frac{2\pi}{\eta} \exp\left(-s|z - z'|/c\right) \frac{\exp(-s|z - z'|/c)}{\log(2j|z - z'|/a) - \log(-j\eta sa/c)}
\]  

(2.5)

where \( \eta = \sqrt{\mu/\epsilon_0} \) is the impedance of free space in which the cylinder is immersed, \( a \) is the radius of the cylinder, \( \Gamma = 1.781 \) is the exponential of Euler's constant, \( z' \) is the \( z \) coordinate of the gap and \( z \) is the coordinate for the location of the current. The tilde denotes a Laplace transformed quantity.

For very small \( ka \), Eq. 2.5 can be written in a simpler form:

\[
\tilde{Y}(z, z', s) = \tilde{Y}_0 \exp(-s|z - z'|/c)
\]

(2.6)
where

\[ Y_0 = -\frac{2\pi}{\eta \log \omega_0 a/c} \]

and \( \omega_0 \) is a constant such that \( \omega_0 = \omega \). In transient analysis, \( \omega \) will vary over some range. In this case, \( \omega_0 \) is set to the middle of this range.

Equation 2.6 is valid even for \( k|z - z'| \ll 1 \) when \( ka \) is very small. However, in a region very close to the gap, Eq. 2.6 does not adequately account for the logarithmic singularity in the current. Near the gap, the current is given by

\[ \bar{y}^o = -\frac{4jka}{\eta} \log k|z - z'| \]

As \( ka \to 0 \), this component has a vanishingly small effect compared to the other components of current given by Eq. 2.6 and, hence, it can be neglected.

The solutions of Eqs. 2.5 and 2.6 assume that a gap, i.e., an opening in the metal, exists, and that the gap voltage has no variation in \( \phi \) so that no \( \phi \)-directed currents are excited on the cylinder. Some resolvable differences then exist between the gapless filament under excitation by an arbitrary plane wave and the cylinder. The gap in the cylinder can be closed without any change in the exterior fields. This is done by defining fields of the gap-excited cylinder as scattered fields, closing the gap with a perfect conductor, and introducing an incident field to cancel with the electric field corresponding to the gap voltage on the perfect conductor. It will be assumed that the \( \phi \) components of the incident plane wave electric field on the cylinder's surface and the \( \phi \)-directed currents produced by these components have negligible effects on the overall response of the filament. This is a
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standard thin-wire assumption which is known to produce correct results as \( k_0a \) approaches zero. The \( \pm \)-variation of the incident field obviously becomes negligible as \( k_0a \) approaches zero.

Applying the inverse Laplace transform to Eq. 2.6 gives the time domain form:

\[
y_s(z,z',t) = Y_0 \delta(t - |z - z'|/c)
\]

(2.7)

where \( \delta(t) \) is the Dirac delta function. Equation 2.7 approaches the exact solution away from the gap for the time-domain current on an infinitely long filament excited locally at \( z' \) by a delta function incidence field. Equation 2.7 represents two current impulses traveling in both directions away from the excitation point.

Equation 2.7 can be used to determine the currents on a filament of finite length. The reflections of the current pulses off the ends of a finite filament can be modeled with additional sources (infinitely thin gaps with voltages across them) situated at the ends and defined such that the currents at the ends are always zero. Chen and Keller\(^{10}\) give a detailed description of the modeling of the ends of the cylinder. The time-domain current on a filament of length \( L \) excited by a localized E-field or voltage source at \( z' \) can then be written as

\[
y(z,z',t) = Y_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \delta(ct - z - z' - 2nL) + \delta(ct + z - z' - 2nL) \\
- \delta(ct - z - z' - (2n + 1)L) \\
- \delta(ct + z + z' - (2n + 1)L) \right\} u(t)
\]

(2.8)
where \( u(t) \) is the unit step function. Equation 2.8 represents the two current impulses traveling away from \( z' \) and recurrently reflecting off the ends of the finite filament.

Filament currents due to an incident impulsive plane wave, as shown in Fig. 2.3, can be found by superposition, using

\[
I(z,t) = E_z^i \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} Y(z,z',t) \, dz' \quad (2.9)
\]

where \( E_z^i \) is the \( z \) component of the incident electric field. Similarly, the scattered far field can be found from

\[
E_0(\theta,t) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi R} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} I(z,t + z \cos \theta - R) \, dz \quad (2.10)
\]

where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle and \( R \) is the distance to the far field. The currents and fields given by Eqs. 2.9 and 2.10 are composed of the superposition of a number of step functions in time.

Figure 2.3. Geometry for scattering by filament scatterer of length \( L \).
Figure 2.4 illustrates the time history of the backscattered far field produced by an infinitely thin filament of length $L$ with impulsive plane wave excitation as found using Eq. 2.10. In Fig. 2.4, the responses of a perfectly conducting sphere-capped cylinder with a length-to-diameter ratio of 30 are provided for comparison to those of the filament. The cylinders response's were obtained using a moment-method solution of the electric field integral equation. Note that all of the features, except for the damping and amplitudes, of the filament's and cylinder's responses agree well. The late-time portions of the filament responses are completely periodic, whereas in the early-time an aperiodicity is noted. The periodic late-time portion of the response can be expanded in a Fourier series which is nothing more than the pole series employing the dominant filament resonances. However, this series cannot model the aperiodicities in the early-time portions of the filament responses. If the periodic late-time response is continued into the early-time and subtracted from the total response in the early-time, the residual is a time-limited function whose Laplace transform is an entire function.

In contrast to the sphere, a filament scatterer requires an entire function component in its far field response to plane wave excitation. What is the difference between the two cases? As is shown below, some of the sphere's poles withdraw to $s = -\infty$ as the sphere is deformed through prolate spheroids toward the infinitely thin filament. It is these large frequency poles whose contributions may be approximated quite closely by an entire function in the manner discussed above. In the limit as $ka \to 0$, the approximation becomes exact.

Figures 2.5 and 2.6 show selected pole loci for the prolate spheroid as it varies from the sphere to the filament scatterer. The geometry of the prolate spheroid is indicated in Fig. 2.7. In cylindrical coordinates, the equation for the spheroid is $\rho^2/a^2 + z^2/b^2 = 1$. The results for $b/a < 1$ were obtained with BRFD\textsuperscript{11} which is a scattering
(a) Filament scatterer, $\theta = 90^\circ$

(b) Sphere-capped cylinder with a length-to-diameter ratio of 30 ($L/D = 30$), $\theta = 90^\circ$

Figure 2.4. Time history of backscattered far fields due to impulsive plane wave excitation.
(c) Filament scatterer $\theta = 60^\circ$

(d) Sphere-capped cylinder ($L/D = 30$), $\theta = 60^\circ$

Figure 2.4 (Cont.)
Figure 2.5. Prolate spheroid pole loci for surface currents modes which are constant in $\phi$. 2-13
Figure 2.6. Prolate spheroid pole loci for surface currents modes which have first harmonic variation in $\phi$, i.e., the modes vary as $\exp(\pm j\phi)$. 
Figure 2.7. Geometry of prolate spheroid.
code for general conducting bodies of revolution and is based on a method-of-moments solution of the frequency-domain electric field integral equation. These results indicate that certain poles of the sphere, namely those poles in the layer nearest the imaginary axis which are associated with current modes that are constant in \( \phi \), migrate to the imaginary axis in the s-plane as the sphere is deformed continuously through the prolate spheroids to arrive finally at the filament scatterer. All other poles withdraw to \( s = \infty \). The periodic components of the filament responses shown in Fig. 2.4 must be composed of pole series of the filament which is nothing more than a Fourier series. The early-time aperiodic component must be due to those poles that have withdrawn to \( s = \infty \). Hence, the poles that flee to infinity must give rise to the entire function in the filament’s frequency-domain response.

When the prolate spheroid is thin, but not infinitely thin, there exists a group of poles which are deeply-imbedded in the left half of the s-plane. These poles give rise to a quasi-entire function in the scatterer’s frequency-domain response and are distinguished from the dominant poles which lie near the imaginary axis. For general scatterer geometries, it should always be possible to define a quasi-entire function whenever a set of dominant poles are clearly distinguishable from the rest. The numerically-derived quasi-entire functions of selected thin scatterers are examined in Sec. 4.
In Sec. 2, we have seen that the contribution of a group of large frequency poles is an effectively time-limited component in a scatterer's response which corresponds to a quasi-entire function. In this section, the representation of actual time-limited functions by exponential series, which are the time-domain representatives of pole series, is considered. It is found that time-limited functions can be represented to any degree of accuracy with an exponential series by including a sufficient number of terms and that the best approximations are obtained if poles that are deeply-imbedded in the left half of the s-plane (Re $s$ large and negative) are employed in the exponential series. The fact that the pole sets of scatterers usually include many such deeply-imbedded poles suggests that the residues of the complete pole series for the scatterer's response can always be adjusted to incorporate any time-limited, forced component that may exist.

First consider the approximation of the exponential entire function by a pole series. As is shown in Appendix A,

$$\exp(z) = N^N \prod_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - z)^{-1}$$

(3.1)

where the following conditions hold

$$|z| \ll N$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2a)

$$|z_i - N| \ll N \text{ for all } i$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2b)

$$\frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - N) \right| \ll 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2c)
Note that Eq. 3.1 can be expanded in partial fractions to form a pole series. The $z_i$ can have any distribution, so long as the conditions in Eqs. 3.2b and 3.2c are satisfied. Thus, in the finite complex plane, the exponential entire function can be approximated to any degree of accuracy by a pole series with a sufficient number of terms. Conditions in Eqs. 3.2b and 3.2c are roughly equivalent to the constraint that $z_i \neq N$.

The Laplace transform,

$$F(s) = \int_a^b f(t)e^{-st} dt , \quad 0 \leq a < b$$

of the time-limited function, $f(t)$, can be approximated to any degree of accuracy by choosing $M$ sufficiently large in the sum

$$F(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} f(t_k) \exp(-st_k)\Delta t$$

(3.3)

where

$$t_k = a + (k - \frac{1}{2})\Delta t$$

and

$$\Delta t = (b - a)/M$$

Here we assume that $f(t)$ satisfies the usual conditions for the existence of the transform. Replacing $z$ with $-st_k$ and $z_i$ with $z_{ik} = -s_{ik}t_k$ in Eq. 3.1, and substituting into Eq. 3.3 gives
where the \( z_{ik} \) are chosen so that the conditions in Eqs. 3.2a to 3.2c are satisfied. Equation 3.4 can be expanded into partial fractions to provide a pole series for \( F(s) \). Therefore, the following has been shown: The Laplace transform of any time-limited function can be approximated to any degree of accuracy over a finite region of the complex plane with a pole series. The restriction to the finite region of the complex plane is equivalent to the restriction to band-limited cases.

If Eq. 3.4 is to be a good approximation, the \( s_{ik} \) should satisfy

\[
s_{ik} = -N/t_k
\]

for all \( i \) and \( k \) which is equivalent to saying that the poles should be deeply imbedded in the left half of the s-plane. In general, scatterers possess an infinite number of poles that satisfy this requirement.

The above observations indicate that, at least for bandlimited cases, the residues or coefficients of the pole series for a scatterer's response to an impulsive plane wave can always be adjusted to incorporate any time-limited, forced component that may exist while the incident plane wave is on the scatterer, and thus that the natural modes form a "complete" basis for the scatterer's responses. Unfortunately, this completeness property of the natural modes cannot be demonstrated with absolute rigor since the above argument is based on an assumed rough knowledge of the pole distribution in the complex frequency plane. For general scatterers, the authors' knowledge is quite limited in this regard.
To more concretely explore the question of the representation of time-limited entire functions as discussed above, the following numerical experiment was performed. A pole series of the form

$$F(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i (i - 1)! (s + N)^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

which in the time domain is

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i t^{i-1} \exp(-Nt)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

is fit to the pulse function \textit{u(t) - u(t - 1)} in a least-squares sense over the interval \((0, \infty)\) by adjusting the \(A_i\), as described in Appendix B. In Eq. 3.5, \(F(s)\) has an nth order deeply-imbedded pole at \(s = -N\). Figure 3.1 shows the results for \(N = 2, 4, \text{ and } 6\). This type of approximation on an infinite interval cannot be achieved with a Fourier series, which can only represent periodic functions, except in the limit as the Fourier series becomes the Fourier transform. The Fourier series is equivalent to a pole series whose poles are evenly spaced along the imaginary axis in the s-plane. Figure 3.1 illustrates the use of deeply-imbedded poles in a pole series for the approximation of an aperiodic, time-limited function on the infinite interval. The practicality of using such a representation, though, is questionable since as the poles move deeper into the left half of the s-plane, one encounters numerical problems. One way to avoid these problems is to abandon the pole series and resort to the entire function representation obtained by taking the Laplace transform of the pulse function. Therefore, as a practical matter, pole series using deeply-imbedded poles are abandoned in favor of other representations, one of which is the entire-function representation.
Figure 3.1. Approximation of pulse function by a sum of highly-damped exponentials. The dashed lines show the pulse function. The solid lines show the approximation.
Ordinarily, one may resort to other representations for the quasi-entire function with impunity except while attempting to estimate the resonances with techniques like Prony’s method. For resonance estimation, it may be feasible to assign a number of highly-damped poles to account for the quasi-entire function in a constrained resonance estimation procedure like the constrained version of Prony’s method described in Appendix C.
NUMERICAL EXAMPLES OF THE QUASI-ENTIRE FUNCTION

In this section, the numerically-derived quasi-entire function, which was discussed in Sec. 1, of the conducting loop (torus) and the sphere-capped cylinder are examined. Both of these scatterers possess a clearly distinguishable set of dominant resonances when they are sufficiently thin.

The method for isolating the quasi-entire function consists of subtracting the pole series constructed with the dominant poles from the total response. The pole series is constructed through use of the electric field integral equation (EFIE) for a perfectly-conducting body, which can be written as

$$\Gamma \bar{J} = n \times \bar{E}^i$$

where \( \bar{J} \) is the surface current density, \( \bar{E}^i \) is the incident electric field, \( n \) is the outward-pointing unit vector normal to the scatterer's surface, and \( \Gamma \) is a linear operator defined by

$$\Gamma \bar{J} = \nabla \times \left( j\omega \bar{A} + \frac{1}{j\omega} \bar{\phi} \right)$$

The magnetic vector potential, \( \bar{A} \), and electric scalar potential, \( \phi \), are defined as

$$\bar{A}(\bar{r}) = \int_{S} \bar{J}(\bar{r}') \frac{\exp(-jkR)}{4\pi R} dS'$$

$$\phi(\bar{r}) = \int_{S} \nabla' \cdot \bar{J}(\bar{r}') \frac{\exp(-jkR)}{4\pi R} dS'$$
where the integrals are over the scatterer's surface, $S$, and $R = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|$. The method of moments is applied to convert the EFIE into a set of matrix equations. The conversion is accomplished by first expanding the current on a set of basis functions, $\{I_m(\vec{r}), m = 1, ..., M\}$, as

$$\vec{J}(\vec{r}') = \sum_m J_m \vec{F}_m(\vec{r}')$$

(4.2)

Next, define an inner product

$$\langle \vec{f}, \vec{g} \rangle = \int_S \vec{f} \cdot \vec{g} \, ds$$

Finally, a set of testing functions $\{\vec{g}_m, m = 1, ..., M\}$ is defined and a set of equations is formed by taking the inner product of Eq. 4.1 with each of the testing functions. Using Eq. 4.2, these equations can be written as

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} J_m \langle \vec{F}_m, \vec{g}_n \rangle = \langle \vec{A} \times \vec{E}^i, \vec{g}_n \rangle \quad n = 1, 2, ..., M$$

These equations can be written in matrix form as

$$ZI = V$$

where the $nth$ element of $V$ is $\langle \vec{A} \times \vec{E}^i, \vec{g}_n \rangle$, the $mth$ element of $I$ is $J_m$, and the $nth$-$mth$ element of $Z$ is $\langle \vec{F}_m, \vec{g}_n \rangle$. 4-2
Assuming only first order dominant poles, the response for the scatterer currents may be written in the form

\[ I(s) = \sum_{\alpha} (s - s_{\alpha})^{-1} v_{\alpha}(s) + W(s) \]  

(4.3)

where \( s_{\alpha} \) are the dominant natural frequencies or poles, \( v_{\alpha} \) are the natural mode vectors, \( \eta_{\alpha}(s) \) are the coupling coefficients, and \( W \) is, as it is used here, the quasi-entire function which contains contributions from all poles not included in the dominant pole set, as well as contributions from any true entire function that may exist.

The various quantities in Eq. 4.3 can be determined in the manner described by Baum. The poles are found by a search procedure (Newton's method) as the zeroes of the determinant of \( Z - Z(s) \). That is, the poles satisfy \( \det(Z) = 0 \) where \( Z_{\alpha} = Z(s_{\alpha}) \). The natural mode vectors satisfy \( Z_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} = 0 \). In addition, coupling vectors, \( u_{\alpha} \), are defined and satisfy \( u_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} = 0 \). The coupling coefficients are found as

\[ \eta_{\alpha}(s_{\alpha}) = \frac{u_{\alpha}^T v_{\alpha}}{u_{\alpha}^T Z_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}} \]

where \( v_{\alpha} \) is the value of \( V \) at \( s_{\alpha} \) and

\[ Z_{\alpha}' = \frac{d}{ds} Z(s) \bigg|_{s=s_{\alpha}} \]

The coupling coefficients are not uniquely defined and may assume any number of forms at frequencies away from the poles. Two forms which will be used for present purposes are the "class 1" form: 14,15
\[
\eta^{(1)}(s) = \exp[(s \alpha - s)t_o] \frac{u^{TV}_\alpha}{u^{TZ\prime\nu}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}}
\]

where \( t_o \) is the turn-on time of the series and the "class 2" form:

\[
\eta^{(2)}(s) = \frac{u^{TV}(s)}{u^{TZ\prime\nu}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}}
\]

The turn-on time is chosen as the time at which the impulsive planewave first touches the scatterer.

Figures 4.1 and 4.2 show the geometries for the conducting sphere-capped cylinder and the conducting loop scatterers. Both scatterers are bodies of revolution (BORS) and results were obtained with the BRFD code\(^{11}\) which uses a method-of-moments solution of the frequency-domain electric field integral equation specialized for BORS. BRFD can determine the resonant poles, modes, and coupling coefficients, as well as the current and scattered field responses. Time-domain results are obtained by inverse Fourier transforming the frequency-domain responses. The scatterer geometry is described by a generating contour which, when rotated about the z-axis, sweeps through the surface of the BOR. The surface coordinates \( t \) and \( \phi \) are used to define locations on the BOR's surface where \( t \) is the arc length along the generating contour. Surface currents are broken into \( t \) and \( \phi \)-directed components.

Figure 4.3 and Tables 4.1 through 4.4 define the dominant poles for the two cylinders and two loops that are used to construct a pole series which is then subtracted from the total response to find the residual response which corresponds to the quasi-entire function, as defined in Eq. 4.3. Figures 4.4 through 4.7 show both total and residual \( t \)-directed currents for the \( L/D = 10 \) cylinder for \( \theta \)-polarized
Figure 4.1. Sphere-capped cylinder geometry. Arc length along generating contour is denoted as $t$. 
Figure 4.2. Loop (torus) geometry.
Figure 4.3. Dominant poles for (a) conducting sphere-capped cylinder with (●) L/D = 10 (■) L/D = 30, and (b) conducting loop with (●) b/a = 10 (■) b/a = 100.
Table 4.1. Poles for sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$s_k L/cn$ Real</th>
<th>$s_k L/cn$ Imag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.1404751</td>
<td>0.822279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.2389305</td>
<td>1.760744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.3280972</td>
<td>2.721550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.4203163</td>
<td>3.68549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.5030614</td>
<td>4.627963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.5693341</td>
<td>5.567039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.6114042</td>
<td>6.515115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.6424545</td>
<td>7.497416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.6786275</td>
<td>8.528675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2. Poles for sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$s_k L/cn$ Real</th>
<th>$s_k L/cn$ Imag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.1014181</td>
<td>0.8725323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.1575135</td>
<td>1.828658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.2035508</td>
<td>2.803896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.2478629</td>
<td>3.785218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.2912341</td>
<td>4.760835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.3279485</td>
<td>5.724434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.3504116</td>
<td>6.681224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.3586058</td>
<td>7.644284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.3629002</td>
<td>8.620916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3. Poles for loop with b/a = 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$s_k b/c$</th>
<th>Real</th>
<th>Imag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.2404304</td>
<td>1.034475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.3971459</td>
<td>1.989159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.5232771</td>
<td>2.913365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.6255311</td>
<td>3.824480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.7108793</td>
<td>4.730809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.7844273</td>
<td>5.635828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.8495169</td>
<td>6.541081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.9083419</td>
<td>7.447382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.9624029</td>
<td>8.355284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4. Poles for loop with b/a = 100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$s_k b/c$</th>
<th>Real</th>
<th>Imag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.0958744</td>
<td>1.045078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.1417687</td>
<td>2.061150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.1777874</td>
<td>3.072605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.2085869</td>
<td>4.081876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.2358296</td>
<td>5.089798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.2602842</td>
<td>6.096767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.2823390</td>
<td>7.103003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.3021917</td>
<td>8.108644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.3199142</td>
<td>9.113752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.4. Surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at frequency of c/2L and an incident angle of $\theta = 90$ degrees.
Figure 4.4 (Cont.)

(c) Class 2 residual currents

Figure 4.4. Surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at a frequency of $c/2L$ and an incident angle of $\theta = 60$ degrees.

(a) Total currents

Figure 4.5. Surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at a frequency of $c/2L$ and an incident angle of $\theta = 60$ degrees.
(b) Class 1 residual currents

(c) Class 2 residual currents

Figure 4.5 (Cont.)
Figure 4.6. Surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at a frequency of $c/2L$ and an incident angle of $\theta = 30$ degrees.
(a) Total currents

Figure 4.7. Surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at a frequency of \( c/2L \) and an incident angle of \( \theta = 0 \) degrees.
(b) Class 1 residual currents

(c) Class 2 residual currents

Figure 4.7 (Cont.)
incident plane wave from directions $\theta = 90, 60, 30,$ and 0 degrees and
$\phi = 0$ degrees at the frequency $c/2L$ (near the first resonant frequency
of the cylinder). The residual currents obtained by using both
class 1 and class 2 coupling coefficients are shown. The incident
electric field magnitude is one volt/meter. Figures 4.8 and 4.9 show
the same for frequencies 2 and 3 $c/2L$ with incident angle $\theta = 90$
degrees. Figure 4.10 shows $\phi$-directed currents at the frequency $c/2L$
and an incident angle $\theta = 0$ degrees. The phi-directed currents, both
total and residual, for other angles and other incident directions are
almost identical in magnitude to the currents shown in Fig. 4.10.
Figure 4.11 shows "extended physical optics" currents,$^4,6$ so called here
because they ignore shadowing effects. That is, the extended physical
optics currents are defined by $\mathbf{J} = 2\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{H}^\perp$ over the entire surface of
the scatterer. To be true physical optics currents, those currents
between $\theta = 90$ and 180 degrees, as well as certain currents near the
ends of the cylinder, should be zero. While the currents shown in Fig.
4.11 are for an incident angle of $\theta = 90^\circ$, the magnitudes of the cur-
rents do not change with frequency or incident angle.

Several features of the currents are noteworthy. First, the mag-
nitudes of the class 2 residual currents are almost, if not entirely,
independent of both the incident angle and the frequency. Second, the
residual currents show a strong resemblance to the extended physical
optics currents of Fig. 4.11 and a lesser resemblance to the true physi-
cal optics currents. Third, at an incident angle of $\theta = 0$ degrees,
the total and residual currents are virtually identical, that is, the
dominant pole contributions appear to be minimal for this angle of inci-
dence. The true physical optics currents contribute significantly more
to the far fields than do the currents of Fig. 4.11, since the currents
on the front and back sides of the cylinder tend to cancel for the lat-
ter currents. However, in spite of the resemblance between the extended
physical optics and residual currents, the contributions of the residual
and true physical optics currents to the far fields are comparable due
Figure 4.8. Surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at a frequency of C/L and an incident angle of θ = 90 degrees.
(c) Class 2 residual currents

Figure 4.8 (Cont.)

(a) Total currents

Figure 4.9. Surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at a frequency of $3C/2L$ and an incident angle of $\theta = 90$ degrees.
(b) Class 1 residual currents

(c) Class 2 residual currents

Figure 4.9 (Cont.)
Figure 4.10. $\phi$-directed surface currents on sphere-capped cylinder at frequency of $c/2L$ and an incident angle of $\theta = 0$ degrees.
Figure 4.11. Extended physical optics currents on sphere-capped cylinder.
to an imbalance in the residual currents between the front and back sides of the cylinder.

One should probably not attempt to infer too much from the resemblance between residual and physical optics responses noted above since these responses become decidedly different as the cylinder radius approaches zero. For the filament scatterer, the physical optics response, which varies in direct proportion with the radius, is insignificant compared to the actual response given by Eqs. 2.8 through 2.10, which varies as $1/\log ka$. A refined local field solution similar to physical optics wherein the scatterer's curvature is brought to bear may provide a more accurate description of the residual currents.

Figure 4.12 shows the total, residual and physical optics monostatic radar cross sections (RCS) as a function of frequency for the cylinder with $L/D = 10$. The RCS is defined by

$$
\sigma = \lim_{R \to \infty} 4 \pi R^2 \left| \frac{E^S}{E^i} \right|^2
$$

where $E^S$ is the scattered electric field at the observation point, $E^i$ is the incident electric field at the scatterer, and $R$ is the distance to the far field. In Fig. 4.12, the RCS is expressed in decibels relative to $L^2$. The incident plane wave is assumed to be linearly polarized and 0-polarized. Figures 4.13 through 4.15 compare the θ components of various time-domain electric far field species. In each of these figures, the electric field is given in units of $(\sqrt{\pi} R)^{-1} E_o$. Where $E_o$ is the incident electric field magnitude. The time-domain incident field is given by
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Figure 4.12. Total (O), class 1 residual (A), class 2 residual (+), and true physical optics (X) RCS for sphere-capped cylinder.
Figure 4.12 (Cont.)

(c) $L/D = 10$ for $\theta = 30$ degrees

Figure 4.13. Comparison of total (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.

(a) $\theta = 90$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$
(b) $\theta = 60$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$

(c) $\theta = 30$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$

Figure 4.13 (Cont.)
Figure 4.14. Comparison of true physical optics (solid line) with class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.

(a) $\theta = 90$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$

(b) $\theta = 60$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$
(c) $\theta = 30$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$

Figure 4.14 (Cont.)

(a) $\theta = 90$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$

Figure 4.15. Comparison of class 1 residual (solid line) with class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.
Figure 4.15 (Cont.)

(b) $\theta = 60$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$

(c) $\theta = 30$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 10$

Figure 4.15 (Cont.)
\[
\mathbf{E}^k(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{2LE_0}{ct_0^2 \sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cos \theta - \hat{\mathbf{e}} \sin \theta \right) \\
\times \exp \left\{ -(t - t_I - \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{c})^2 / t_0^2 \right\} 
\]  
(4.4)

where \( t_0 = 0.1415L/c \), \( t_I \) is an arbitrarily delay selected for convenience in plotting, \( \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{k}/k \), \( k = |\mathbf{k}| \), \( \mathbf{k} \) is the propagation vector of the incident plane wave, and \( E_0 \) is the incident electric field strength. Note that the incident field has a Gaussian-pulse time history. Figures 4.16 through 4.19 show the corresponding results for the cylinder with \( L/D = 30 \).

From the above results, the following observations can be made. When the cylinder is relatively fat, the residual RCS shows a strong resemblance to the physical optics RCS. As the cylinder becomes thinner, the physical optics RCS becomes weaker relative to the residual RCS. This last observation is consistent with the above-mentioned fact that the physical optics response becomes insignificant in comparison to the actual response as the cylinder radius approaches zero. Finally, the class 2 residual time-domain responses closely resemble the aperiodic components in the filament responses of Sec. 2.

Figures 4.20 through 4.27 show the corresponding results for the two loop scatterers. For the first loop, \( b/a = 10 \) and, for the second, \( b/a = 100 \). These results provide another example of early-time components in transient scattering responses and tend to corroborate the above observations for the cylinder's responses. The excitation for all the loop responses is a \( \phi \)-polarized plane wave incident from various directions. The incident electric field is always parallel to the plane of the loop. Figures 4.21 through 4.23 and 4.25 through 4.27 show the \( \psi \) components of various time-domain electric far field species. In each of these figures, the electric field is in units of \((\sqrt{\mu\epsilon})^{-1}E_0b\). The time-domain incident field is given by
Figure 4.16. Total (O), class 1 residual (∆), class 2 residual (+), and true physical optics (X) RCS for sphere-capped cylinder.
Figure 4.16 (Cont.)

(c) \(L/D = 30\) for \(\theta = 30\) degrees

Figure 4.17. Comparison of total (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.

(a) \(\theta = 90\) degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with \(L/D = 30\)
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(b) $\theta = 60$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$

(c) $\theta = 30$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$

Figure 4.17 (Cont.)
Figure 4.18. Comparison of true physical optics (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.

(a) $\theta = 90$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$

(b) $\theta = 60$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$
(c) $\theta = 30$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$

Figure 4.18 (Cont.)

(a) $\theta = 90$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$

Figure 4.19. Comparison of class 1 residual (solid line) with class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.
(b) $\theta = 60$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$

(c) $\theta = 30$ degrees of sphere-capped cylinder with $L/D = 30$

Figure 4.19 (Cont.)
Figure 4.20. Total (O), class 1 residual (Δ), class 2 residual (+), and true physical optics (X) RCS for loop with b/a = 10.
(c) $\theta = 60$ degrees

(d) $\theta = 90$ degrees

Figure 4.20 (Cont.)
Figure 4.21. Comparison of total (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.

(a) $\theta = 0$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$

(b) $\theta = 30$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$
(c) $\theta = 60$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$

(d) $\theta = 90$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$

Figure 4.21 (Cont.)
Figure 4.22. Comparison of true physical optics (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.
(c) $\theta = 60$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$

(d) $\theta = 90$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$

Figure 4.22 (Cont.)
Figure 4.23. Comparison of class 1 residual (solid line) with class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.
Figure 4.23 (Cont.)

(c) $\theta = 60$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$

(d) $\theta = 90$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 10$
Figure 4.24. Total (O), class 1 residual (△), class 2 residual (+), and true physical optics (X) RCS for loop.
(c) $b/a = 100$ for $\theta = 60$ degrees

(d) $b/a = 100$ for $\theta = 90$ degrees

Figure 4.24 (Cont.)
Figure 4.25. Comparison of total (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.

(a) $\theta = 0$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$

(a) $\theta = 30$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$
(c) $\theta = 60$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$

(d) $\theta = 90$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$

Figure 4.25 (Cont.)
Figure 4.26. Comparison of true physical optics (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.
(c) \( \theta = 60 \) degrees of loop with \( b/a = 100 \)

(d) \( \theta = 90 \) degrees of loop with \( b/a = 100 \)

Figure 4.26 (Cont.)
Figure 4.27. Comparison of class 1 residual (solid line) and class 2 residual (dashed line) electric far-field time histories.

(a) $\theta = 0^\circ$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$

(b) $\theta = 30^\circ$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$
(c) $\theta = 60$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$

(d) $\theta = 90$ degrees of loop with $b/a = 100$

Figure 4.27 (Cont.)
\[ H^4(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{2b^2}{ct_0^{3/2}} \int x \exp\left(-\left(t - t_1 - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{z} / c\right)^2 / t^2_0\right) \]  

(4.5)

where \( t_0 = 0.4444b/c \) and the other quantities in Eq. 4.5 are defined as in Eq. 4.4.
CONCLUSIONS

The results of this effort indicate that, in a practical SEM representation for general scatterers, a quasi-entire function is required in addition to a pole series constructed from the dominant resonances of the scatterer. The quasi-entire function may be regarded as a forced component in the same spirit as the familiar forced components in the responses of circuits. The forced components may find a concise description in a form similar to the extended physical optics response with, perhaps, refinements to account for the scatterer's curvature. One refined physical optics solution has already been used. The description might also assume a ray-theoretic form.

The authors cannot, unfortunately, apply the completeness argument of Sec. 3 with absolute rigor to transient responses of general scatterers since we know very little about the distribution of poles in the s-plane for general scatterers. A possible rigorous argument might be found by proceeding from the completeness of the eigen modes and their close, but complicated relation to the natural modes.

It is interesting to notice that the forced components are constructed from poles, i.e., aspect angle independent features. However, resolving individual pole terms within the forced components is well-nigh impossible. Given the nature of this component, it seems doubtful that any other aspect independent features can be found. Target identification using information within the forced response, therefore, would almost certainly require knowledge of the target's orientation which can frequently be obtained from radar tracking information.

Realization of resonance-based target identification hinges on the existence of dominant resonances and proper processing to deal with the forced response. Ideally, information within the forced response would also be exploited for identification. This effort has clarified the nature of forced responses in transient scattering and has shown their
close relation to the SEM entire function. Armed with this information, it should now be possible to explore procedures for exploiting both dominant resonances and the forced response for target identification and other applications.
REFERENCES


Ref.-1


APPENDIX A

POLE SERIES REPRESENTATIONS OF AN EXPONENTIAL

Here it is shown that

\[ \exp(z) = P_N(z) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

where

\[ P_N(z) = \exp(\gamma) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{N(z_i - z)^{-1}}{i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

and

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - N) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

Taking the logarithm of Eq. A.2 gives

\[ \log P_N = \gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \frac{z_i - z}{N} = z + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{z_i - z}{N} - 1 \right)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

If \( |z| \ll N \) and \( |z_i - N| \ll N \) for all \( i \), then Eq. A.4 becomes

\[ \log P_N = z \]

which is equivalent to Eq. A.1. If, in addition,
\[ 1 \gg \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - N) \right| \]

then

\[ \exp(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} N(z_i - z)^{-1} \]
APPENDIX B
APPROXIMATION OF A PULSE FUNCTION

The pulse function \( g(t) = u(t) - u(t - 1) \), where \( u(t) \) is the unit step function, is to be approximated by

\[
f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i t^{i-1} \exp(-Nt)
\]

on the interval \((0, \infty)\). The approximation criterion is the integrated square error:

\[
E = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ g - \sum_{i} A_i t^{i-1} \exp(-Nt) \right]^2 \, dt
\]

Setting the partial derivatives of \( E \) with respect to each \( A_i \) to zero provides the system of equations,

\[
F_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i E_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N \quad \text{(B.1)}
\]

which can be solved for the \( A_i \) that minimize \( E \). In Eq. B.1,

\[
F_j = \int_{0}^{1} t^{j-1} \exp(-Nt) \, dt,
\]

B-1
\[ E_{ij} = \int_{0}^{t^{1+j-2}} \exp(-2Nt) \, dt \]

The \( F_j \) and \( E_{ij} \) are evaluated analytically.
APPENDIX C

METHODS FOR CONSTRAINING PRONY'S ALGORITHM

In the implementation of Prony's method, an nth order polynomial is solved for its roots. The order of the polynomial, N, is the number of poles being sought in the transient data. If the coefficients of the polynomial are denoted as α then the polynomial can be expressed as per Ref. 19, as

$$a_0 + a_1z_1 + a_2z_2^2 + \ldots + a_Nz_N^N = 0 \quad (C.1)$$

where $a_N$ is usually set equal to unity. The N roots, $z_i$, are defined as

$$z_i = e^{Ats_i} \quad (C.2)$$

with $s_i$ being the poles sought, and $At$ being the time step size used in the analysis.

If the value of one or more of the poles is known—that is, we know some of the $z_i$—then the $z_i$ can be substituted into Eq. C.1. For example, if $s_i$ or $z_i$ is known, then Eq. C.1 can be written as

$$a_0 + a_1z_i + a_2z_i^2 + \ldots + a_Nz_i^N = 0 \quad (C.3)$$

The $N + 1$ polynomial coefficients α are solved for in Prony's method by solution of the difference equation
The $I_{p+K}$ and $I_{N+K}$ are the samples of the transient signal being analyzed and $M$ is the total number of samples being used. The value of $M$ must be at least equal to $2N$ to give $N$ sets of equations in the $N$ unknowns $a_p$. However, if the value of a pole is known, then one of the $N$ equations can be Eq. C.3 and $N - 1$ equations of the form of Eq. C.4 can be written.

If $L$ poles are known a priori, the $L$ equations of the form of Eq. C.3 can be written as

$$\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} a_p^2 = -\delta^N, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, L \quad (C.5)$$

and $\gamma = M - N - L$ equations can be written in the form of Eq. C.4 as

$$\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} a_p^2 = -\delta^N, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, \gamma - 1, \quad \gamma = M - N - L \quad (C.6)$$

Hence, there are still $\gamma = M - N$ total equations to solve for the $N$ values of $a_p$, however, the system is constrained by the knowledge of the location of $L$ poles. As is usually done in Prony's method, if $M = 2N$, then the set of equations is inverted and solved. If $M > 2N$, then a pseudo-inverse procedure is used.

Using the matrix notation of Ref. 19, if $M = 2N$ and $L$ poles are known, then we solve the equation

$$C-2$$
\[ AB = C \] \hspace{1cm} (C.7)

where \( A \) is a square matrix defined as

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & z_1 & z_1^2 & \ldots & z_1^{N-1} \\
1 & z_2 & z_2^2 & \ldots & z_2^{N-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & z_L & z_L^2 & \ldots & z_L^{N-1} \\
I_0 & I_1 & I_2 & \ldots & I_{N-1} \\
I_1 & I_2 & I_3 & \ldots & I_N \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
I_{N-1-L} & I_{N-L} & I_{N-L+1} & \ldots & I_{2N-L-2}
\end{bmatrix}
\] \hspace{1cm} (C.8a)

and \( B \) and \( C \) are vectors defined as

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_0 \\
\alpha_1 \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{N-1}
\end{bmatrix} \hspace{1cm} C = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_0 \\
\alpha_1 \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{N-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\] \hspace{1cm} (C.8b, C.8c)

C-3
If \( M > 2N \) and \( L \) poles are known, then the solution takes on a pseudo-inverse or least-squares form as

\[
A^T A B = A^T C \quad (C.9)
\]

where \( A^T \) is the transpose of the matrix \( A \) and \( A \) is now a rectangular matrix of the form

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & z_1 & z_1^2 & \cdots & z_1^{N-1} \\
1 & z_2 & z_2^2 & \cdots & z_2^{N-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & z_L & z_L^2 & \cdots & z_L^{N-1} \\
I_0 & I_1 & I_2 & \cdots & I_{L-1} \\
I_1 & I_2 & I_3 & \cdots & I_N \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
I_{M-N-L} & I_{M-N-L} & \cdots & I_{M-L-2}
\end{bmatrix} \quad (C.10a)
\]

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_0 \\
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2 \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{N-1}
\end{bmatrix} \quad (C.10b)
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
z_N \\
z_N^2 \\
\vdots \\
z_L^2 \\
I_0 \\
I_1 \\
\vdots \\
I_{M-L-2}
\end{bmatrix} \quad (C.10c)
\]
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