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ABSTRACT

Some probability models for classifying individuals as belonging to one of two or more populations using scale invariant discriminant functions are considered. The investigation is motivated by practical situations where the observed data on an individual are in the form of ratios of some basic measurements or measurements scaled by an unknown non-negative number. The probability models are obtained by considering a p-vector random variable $X$ with a known distribution and deriving the distribution of the random vector $Y = [G(X)]^{-1}X$, where $G(X)$ is a non-negative measure of size such that $G(\lambda X) = \lambda G(X)$ for $\lambda > 0$. Explicit expressions are obtained for the densities of what are called Angular Gaussian, Compositional Gaussian, Type 1 and Compositional Gaussian, Type 2 distributions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the problem of classifying an individual as belonging to one of two or more populations using scale invariant discriminant functions. The investigation is motivated by practical situations where the observed data on an individual are in the form of ratios of some basic measurements or measurements scaled by an unknown non-negative number. In this paper we derive some probability models for applications to such data.

If \( X' = (x_1, \ldots, x_p) \) is a vector of \( p \) basic measurements which may be known apart from a positive scaling factor, then we may consider transformed measurements

\[
(y_1, \ldots, y_p)' = Y = [G(X)]^{-1}X
\]

which are scale free if \( G \) is some non-negative measure of size such that \( G(\lambda X) = \lambda G(X) \) for \( \lambda > 0 \). Some typical examples of \( G(X) \) are

\[
G(X) = \|X\| = (\sum x_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.2)
\]

\[
= |\sum x_i|. \quad (1.3)
\]

We call the corresponding transformed variables \( Y = X/\|X\|, X/|\sum x_i| \) as directional, and compositional data respectively. We note that the term compositional data is usually applied to a set of non-negative proportions (see Aitchison (1985)), but our definition is more flexible. However, we refer to \( Y = X/|\sum x_i| \) as compositional data of type 1 and \( Y = X/\sum x_i \) as of type 2, even when \( x_i \) are not non-negative.

It is also interesting to note that when we have compositional data with non-negative proportions, \( (y_1, \ldots, y_p) \) such that \( \sum y_i = 1 \), then we may transform them into directional data by considering \( (\sqrt{y_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{y_p}) \) and use appropriate probability models for directional data (with non-negative components) for statistical analysis as suggested by Stephens (1982).

One way of generating probability models for directional and compositional data is to consider a probability distribution for the basic measurements \( X \) and then derive the induced distribution for \( Y = [G(X)]^{-1}X \). In this paper we assume that \( X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma) \), i.e., as
p-variate normal with mean vector \( \mu \) and variance-covariance matrix \( \Sigma \), and derive the distribution of \( Y \) for different size functions \( G \).

Once an appropriate probability model is chosen, the problem of discrimination can be handled in the usual way.

We also comment on non-parametric methods for estimation of density for directional and compositional data.

2. CLASSES OF DISTRIBUTIONS FOR DIRECTIONAL DATA

2.1 Angular Gaussian Distribution (AGD)

Let \( X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma) \) and define \( Y = R^{-1}X \) where \( R = ||X|| = (X'X)^{1/2} \), so that \( Y \) is the vector of direction cosines with the condition \( Y'Y = 1 \). The marginal distribution of \( Y \) on the \( p \)-dimensional unit sphere \( \Omega_p \) is called the AGD (Angular Gaussian Distribution). For the special case when \( \Sigma = \sigma^2 I \), Bingham obtained the distribution of \( Y \) in the form of an infinite series (see Watson (1983), p. 226). In this section, we obtain the distribution of \( Y \) in the general case in a closed form involving a finite number of terms.

Consider a polar transformation from \( X \) to \( (R, \theta) \), where \( \theta \) is a vector of \( p - 1 \) angles in which case the Jacobian is of the form

\[
\frac{D(X)}{D(R, \theta)} = R^{p-1} f(\theta).
\]

The transformed p.d.f. (probability density function) is

\[
|2\pi\Sigma|^{-1/2} R^{p-1} f(\theta) \exp\left[-2^{-1}(R^2\Omega_3 - 2RO_2 + Q_1)\right]
\]

where
\[ Q_3 = Y^{1}Y, \quad Q_2 = \mu^{1}Y, \quad Q_1 = \mu^{1} \]

and \( Y \) is a function of \( \theta \) only. Changing from \( R \) to \( r = R\sqrt{Q_3} \), the p.d.f. (2.2) transforms to

\[
|2\pi \Sigma|^{1/2}Q_3^{-p/2}\varphi(\bar{y})\exp[-2^{-1}(Q_1Q_2^{-1})] \\
\times r^{p-1}\exp[-2^{-1}(r-Q_2Q_3^{-1/2})^2].
\] (2.3)

Integrating out for \( r \) from 0 to \( \infty \), the p.d.f. at \( Y \) w.r.t. to the surface element \( d\omega_p \) on \( \Omega_p \) is

\[
p(Y|\mu,\Sigma) = |2\pi \Sigma|^{-p/2}I_p(Q_2Q_3^{-1}) \exp[-2^{-1}(Q_1Q_2^{-1})] 
\] (2.4)

where

\[
I_p(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty r^{p-1}\exp[-2^{-1}(r-\alpha)^2]dr.
\] (2.5)

The function \( I_p \) satisfies the recurrence relation

\[
I_p(\alpha) = (p-2)I_{p-2}(\alpha) + \alpha I_{p-1}(\alpha) \text{ for } p > 2
\] (2.6)

with the initial values

\[
I_2(\alpha) = e^{-\alpha^2/2} + \alpha I_1(\alpha), \quad I_1(\alpha) = \sqrt{2\pi} \Phi(\alpha)
\]

where \( \Phi(\alpha) \) is the distribution function of \( N_1(0,1) \).
It may be noted that the p.d.f. (2.4) remains unchanged if \( \mu \) and \( \Sigma \) are replaced by \( a\mu \) and \( a^2 \Sigma \) for any \( a > 0 \). However, we can make the parameters unique by imposing the condition \( ||\mu|| = 1 \).

2.2 Longevin Distribution

We can generate other distributions for \( Y \) from (2.2) and (2.3) as suggested by Fisher (1953) by considering conditional instead of marginal distributions. Thus, from the expression (2.3), the conditional p.d.f. of \( Y \) on \( \Omega_p \) given \( r = 1 \) is

\[
\text{const. } Q_3^{-p/2} \exp(Q_2 Q_3^{-1/2}) \\
= \text{const. } (Y^\top \Sigma^{-1} Y)^{-p/2} \exp((Y^\top \Sigma^{-1} Y) / \sqrt{Y^\top \Sigma^{-1} Y})
\]

where we may impose the restriction \( ||\mu|| = 1 \). When \( \Sigma = \sigma^2 I \) we have the Longevin (1905) – von Mises (1918) – Fisher (1953) distribution

\[
\text{const. exp} \frac{1}{\lambda} e_{\lambda Y}.
\]

on the surface of a \( p \)-dimensional sphere.

From the expression (2.2), we find that the conditional p.d.f. of \( Y \) on \( \Omega_p \) given \( R = 1 \) with respect to \( d\theta_p \) is

\[
\text{const. exp} \frac{1}{\lambda} e_{\lambda Y}.
\]

where \( ||\mu|| = 1 \).

We add two other classes of distributions found to be useful in practical applications as possible models for directional data:
Scheidegger-Watson p.d.f. \[ b_p(k)^{-1} \exp (k'Y)^2. \] (2.10)

Bingham p.d.f. \[ b(k)^{-1} \exp Y'KY, \] where K is pxp symmetric matrix. (2.11)

2.3 Estimation of Parameters

The model (2.4), which is the angular normal distribution, can be used to construct scale invariant discriminant functions provided the parameters \( \mu \) and \( \Sigma \) are known. If they are unknown we may have to estimate them from past observations \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \) on Y. Using the density function (2.4), the likelihood based on past data is

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(Y_i | \mu, \Sigma)
\]

with the restriction \( \| \mu \| = 1 \). The method of maximum likelihood for estimation of parameters can be implemented without much difficulty since the derivatives of all the expressions involved in (2.4) with respect to \( \mu \) and \( \Sigma \) can be easily evaluated. However, there are too many parameters to be estimated and a very large sample may be necessary to obtain reasonably good estimators.

We may consider an alternative method by considering the marginal bivariate distributions of Y, where \( Y = (x_1/\|x\|, \ldots, x_n/\|x\|) \) and \( X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma) \). If \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are the first two components of \( Y \), then it is easily seen that

\[
P_\alpha = P(y_1 \leq ay_2) = P(x_1-\alpha x_2 \leq 0) = \Phi[(a\nu_2-\mu_1)/(a^2\sigma_{22}-2\alpha\sigma_{12}+\sigma_{11})^{1/2}]. \] (2.13)

where \( \Phi \) is the distribution function of \( N_1(0,1) \). If we have a sample of size \( n \) on \( Y \) with the first two components \( (y_{1i}, y_{2i}), i = 1, \ldots, n \), we can estimate \( p_\alpha \) for any given \( \alpha \) by
\[ \hat{p}_\alpha = \text{proportion of } i \text{'s such that } y_{1i} \leq \alpha y_{2i}. \]  

Then we have the observational equations

\[ \Phi[(\alpha \mu_2 - \mu_1)/(\alpha^2 \sigma_{22} - 2\alpha \sigma_{12} + \sigma_{11})^{1/2}] = \hat{p}_\alpha \psi \alpha. \]  

or

\[ (\alpha \mu_2 - \mu_1)^2 = [\phi^{-1}(\hat{p}_\alpha)]^2(\alpha^2 \sigma_{22} - 2\alpha \sigma_{12} + \sigma_{11}) \psi \alpha. \]  

There are \( p(p-1)/2 \) families of equations of the kind (2.15) or (2.16) are available involving all the elements of \( \mu \) and \( \Sigma \) by considering every pair of components in \( Y \). From the equations (2.15) or (2.16) it is clear that only ratios of the parameters can be estimated. They can be made unique by using a restriction like \( \|\psi\| = 1 \). An appropriate method may be used to combine the equations (2.15) or (2.16) to produce the requisite number of consistent equations to estimate the parameters.

We describe one of the methods. First, we note that by smoothing \( \hat{p}_\alpha \) in (2.15), we can estimate \( \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \) such that \( \hat{p}_\alpha = 1/4, \hat{p}_\alpha = 1/2 \) and \( \hat{p}_\alpha = 3/4 \). Writing

\[ \hat{\psi}^{-1}(1/4) = q_{-1}, \quad \hat{\psi}^{-1}(1/2) = 0, \quad \hat{\psi}^{-1}(3/4) = q_1 \]  

the equations (2.16) for \( \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \) can be written as

\[ (\alpha_0 \hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) = 0 \]  

\[ (\alpha_s \hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 = q_s^2 (\alpha^2 \sigma_{22} - 2\alpha \sigma_{12} + \sigma_{11}), \quad s = -1, 1. \]  

There are \( p \) equations of the kind (2.18) obtained by considering all pairs of the
components of \( Y \). They yield estimates of the ratios of \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p \), which can be standardised to satisfy the restriction \( |\|\mu\| | = 1 \). Then we have \( p(p-1) \) equations of the type (2.19) involving the \( p(p+1)/2 \) parameters in \( \Sigma \). Observing that the equations are linear in \( \sigma_{ij} \), we may combine them by least squares method to produce \( p(p+1)/2 \) equations by solving which we obtain the estimates of \( \sigma_{ij} \).

The estimates obtained by the above method may still require large samples. Other methods of combining the equations (2.15) or (2.16) have to be explored.

3. CLASSES OF DISTRIBUTIONS FOR COMPOSITIONAL DATA

3.1 Compositional Gaussian Distribution, Type 1, [CGD(1)]

Let \( X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma) \) and define \( Y = |\Sigma x|^{-1} X \) so that \( |\Sigma Y| = 1 \). We call the distribution of \( Y \) on the set

\[
S = \{ Y : |\Sigma Y| = 1 \}
\]

(3.1)

the Compositional Gaussian Distribution, Type 1. We distinguish two sets

\[
S_+ = \{ Y : \Sigma Y = 1 \}, \quad S_- = \{ Y : \Sigma Y = -1 \}
\]

(3.2)

and note that \( S_+ \cup S_- = S \) and

\[
P(Y \in S_+) = P(\Sigma x_1 > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad P(Y \in S_-) = P(\Sigma x_1 < 0).
\]

(3.3)

In \( S_+ \) we consider the transformation

\[
x_i = Ry_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p-1
\]

\[
x_p = R(1-y_1-\cdots-y_{p-1}) = Ry_p
\]

(3.4)

and in \( S_- \).
\[ x_1 = -Ry_1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p-1 \]

\[ x_p = R(1+y_1+\cdots+y_{p-1}) = -Ry_p. \quad (3.5) \]

The Jacobian of the transformation in either case is

\[ \frac{D(x_1, \ldots, x_p)}{D(R, y_1, \ldots, y_{p-1})} = R^{p-1} \quad (3.6) \]

The p.d.f. of \( X \) in \( S_+ \) transforms to

\[ (2\pi)^{-p/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} R^{p-1} \exp\left[-2^{-1}(Q_3 R^2 - 2Q_2 R + Q_1)\right] \quad (3.7) \]

where

\[ Q_3 = Y^T \Sigma^{-1} Y, \quad Q_2 = \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} Y, \quad Q_1 = \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu. \]

Making the transformation \( r = RQ_3^{1/2} \), the expression (3.6) changes to

\[ (2\pi)^{-p/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} Q_3^{-p/2} \exp\left[-2^{-1}(Q_1 - Q_2 Q_3^{-1})\right] \]

\[ \times r^{p-1} \exp\left[-2^{-1}(r - Q_2 Q_3^{-1/2})^2\right]. \quad (3.8) \]

Integrating out with respect to \( r \) from 0 to \( \infty \), the p.d.f. of \( Y \) in \( S_+ \) with respect to the volume element \( dy_1 \ldots dy_{p-1} \) is

\[ (2\pi)^{-p/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} Q_3^{-p/2} I_p (Q_2 Q_3^{-1}) \exp\left[-2^{-1}(Q_1 - Q_2 Q_3^{-1})\right] \quad (3.9) \]

where \( I_p (\alpha) \) is as defined in (2.5).

In \( S_{-1} \), under the transformation (3.4), the expression corresponding to (3.8) is
\[(2\pi)^{-p/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} Q_3^{-p/2} \exp[-2^{-1}(Q_1 - Q_2 Q_3^{-1})] \]
\[\times |r|^{p-1} \exp[-2^{-1}(r+Q_2 Q_3^{-1/2})^2]. \quad (3.10)\]

Integrating out with respect to \( r \) from \(-\infty\) to 0, we obtain the same expression as in (3.9) for the pdf of \( Y \) in \( S_- \).

It may be noted that in the expression (3.9), we can impose a suitable restriction on \( \mu \) to make the parameters identifiable.

3.2 Compositional Gaussian Distribution, Type 2, [CGD(2)]

Let \( X \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma) \) and define \( Y = (\Sigma x)^{-1} X \). For this we consider the transformation

\[ x_i = R y_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p-1 \]
\[ x_p = R (1 - y_1 - \cdots - y_{p-1}) = R y_p \quad (3.11) \]

so that \( \Sigma y_i = 1 \). We define the marginal distribution of \( Y \) on the simplex

\[ S = \{ Y : \Sigma y_i = 1 \} \]

as the Compositional Gaussian Distribution, Type 2. Making the transformation (3.11), proceeding as in Section 3.1 and integrating the expression corresponding to (3.8) with respect to \( r \) from \(-\infty\) to \( \infty \) we obtain the pdf of \( Y \) with respect to the volume element \( dy_1 \cdots dy_{p-1} \) as
where $Q_1$, $Q_2$ and $Q_3$ are as in (3.7) and $I_p$ is as defined in (2.5).

As in the other cases, the pdf remains unchanged if $\mu$ and $\Sigma$ are replaced by $a\mu$ and $a^2\Sigma$ respectively for any scalar $a > 0$.

As in (2.7), the conditional distribution of $Y$ given $r = \kappa$ (a constant) is

$$\text{const} Q_3^{-p/2} \exp(\kappa Q_2 Q_3^{-1/2})$$

which could be used as a probability model for compositional data.

If we define $Y = x_p^{-1} X$, then the distribution of $y_1, \ldots, y_{p-1}$ is the same as in (3.13). In the computation of $Q_2$ and $Q_3$, we substitute the value 1 for $y_p$. A natural way of normalizing the parameters $\mu$ and $\Sigma$ is to consider

$$|\mu_p|^{-1} \mu \text{ and } \mu_p^{-2} \Sigma.$$ (3.14)

3.3 Logistic Gaussian and Related Distributions

Let $Y$ be a vector of non-negative components $y_1, \ldots, y_p$ such that $\sum y_i = 1$. Then, one possible model which has been studied in detail is the logistic Gaussian distribution which assumes that

$$x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}) = (\log y_1^{-1}, \ldots, \log y_{p-1}^{-1})$$ (3.15)

has a $(p-1)$-variate Gaussian distribution (see Aitchison and Shen (1980), Aitchison (1982)). In such a case the pdf of $Y$ can be written in the form
\[(2\pi |\Sigma|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y_1 \cdots y_p)^{-1}\exp\{-2^{-1}(X-\mu)\Sigma^{-1}(X-\mu)\}\] (3.16)

where \(X\) can be expressed in terms of \(Y\) as in (3.15).

In building a model for \(Y\) we could have used other transformations from the basic \((p-1)\) dimensional Gaussian variable \(X\) such as

\[x_i = \lambda^{-1} [y_i / y_p]^{-1}, i = 1, \ldots, p-1\] (3.17)

which is the Box and Cox (1964) transformation, or more generally any appropriate transformation

\[x_i = h(Y), i = 1, \ldots, p-1\] (3.18)

suggested by data.

A well-known distribution for compositional data with non-negative proportions is the Dirichlet class \(D(\beta)\) with the typical density function

\[
[\Delta(\beta)]^{-1} y_1^{-1} y_p^{-1} y_1^\beta y_p^{p-1} (3.19)
\]

where

\[
\Delta(\beta) = \Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_p) / \Gamma(\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_p).
\]

Aitchison (1985) considered a mixture of a Dirichlet and a logistic Gaussian distributions, but imposing some relationship between the parameters \(\mu\) and \(\beta\) to reduce the number of free parameters in the model. He also provided a computational procedure for obtaining the maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters in such a mixture of distributions.
4. ESTIMATION OF THE DENSITY FUNCTION

Let \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \) be independent observations on a random variable \( Y \) defined on \( \Omega_p \), the \( p \)-dimensional unit sphere. If a suitable model for the distribution of \( Y \) is not available, we may use non-parametric methods and estimate its p.d.f. based on \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \). For this purpose we define a window function defined on \( \Omega_p \), which is indexed by two parameters \( x \) and \( \theta \), \( x \in \Omega_p \) and \( 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2 \),

\[
\phi_{x, \theta}(Y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x'Y \geq \cos \theta, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \tag{4.1}
\]

The set of points \( Y \) satisfying the first equation in (4.1) defines a cup on \( \Omega_p \) with \( x \) as a central point, whose area is

\[
a(\theta) = \frac{2\pi (p-1)/2}{\Gamma(p-1/2)} \int_0^\theta \sin \psi \, d\psi. \tag{4.2}
\]

The number of points falling on this cup is

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{x, \theta}(Y_i). \tag{4.3}
\]

By choosing a small value of \( \theta = \theta_n \) an estimate of the p.d.f. of \( Y \) at \( x \) may be obtained as

\[
p_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [a(\theta_i)]^{-1} \phi_{x, \theta_i}(Y_i). \tag{4.4}
\]

More generally we could use any suitable p.d.f. on \( \Omega_p \) as a window function. In particular we suggest the use of the Longevin density (2.8)

\[
c(x) \exp(x'Y/k_n) \tag{4.5}
\]

and estimate the p.d.f. of \( Y \) as
\[ p_n(x) = n^{-\frac{1}{c_n}} \prod_{i=1}^{c_n} \exp(x' Y_i / \kappa_n). \] (4.6)

We can choose \( \kappa_n \) by the method of Hebbema et al (1974) as the value \( \kappa \) at which the pseudo-likelihood

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{j \neq i} c(\kappa) \exp(Y_i Y_j / \kappa) \] (4.7)

is maximized. Further work on density estimation will be reported elsewhere.
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