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Chapter 1:

Introduction

In recent years, the development of abstraction mechanisms in languages has focused on abstract data types to "manage complexity by emphasizing what is significant to the user and suppressing what is not"[Sha84]. This has lead to modern programming languages such as Smalltalk-80, Flavors, and Ada. Software methodologies have been developed to address engineering concerns in requirements, specification, design, implementation, correctness, and reliability to reduce cost during the software development and maintenance phases. The use of abstractions to logically reduce the complexity of the task is aided by modern language mechanisms in that they provide the language constructs to encapsulate a logical data type and the operations associated with it. The language constructs of "Classes" or "Flavors" help in the abstraction process. This project is based the use of abstractions to obtain data consistency in heterogeneous databases. Our specific implementation was applied to bibliographic information. Similar techniques may be applied to other types of databases, as described in Chapter 7.

Bibliographic citation databases from heterogeneous information resources are used widely in research and development work. These databases are often accessed to do a subject search or to prepare a bibliography. The citations contained in the bibliographic databases may be large in number and collected over a long period of time. This process was done manually before computers became readily available, and was tedious and error prone. Today computers are used widely for this task. Modern computer automated tools have been developed to assist in such bibliographic processing and are continually being enhanced[Go185].

A research task may consist of accessing several bibliographic systems such as DIALOG, INSPEC, NASA/RECON, DOE/RECON, or DOD/DROLS.
The respective retrieved citation lists are down-loaded into a user file for post-processing analysis. Each database has its own form because of independent development programs and a lack of generally accepted standards. Hence post-processing analysis on a database citation file requires an individualized software processing package for each citation database. Sometimes the user's files are merged if software is available to translate the files into a common format.

To analyze data from the down-loaded and merged files requires data consistency. Hence a prototype has been developed to provide the tool to make heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases consistent. For example, the search for citations within a range of dates is encumbered by the problem that dates may be represented in different formats in different databases. Searches on author names are also a problem if different databases enter first, middle or last names in varying formats. The goal is to have one tool process the heterogeneous bibliographic citations into a standard form to provide the basis for convenient data analysis.

Significant improvements are made by conceptualizing the problem of data consistency by abstractions in terms of Smalltalk classes. Since the information types in citations are broadly similar, classes can be developed for each type of information such as "date" or "title". Careful specification of the classes can simplify the programmers task since interfaces will be defined, and data and their behaviors will be understood. Another improvement occurs when future enhancements are built on the classes already developed and serve to reduce the amount of new software needed.

This study shows the ease in developing prototype classes for integrating heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases and suggests the basis for the development of additional classes required for the complete application. The modularity of software, the inheritance by classes, the encapsulation of data structures and operations, and the use of dynamic binding reduce the task of the software designer and developer. Hence the Object-Message abstraction narrows the gap between the concepts and analysis of the problem and the notation used in the computer software to solve the problem.

In the following chapters, we discuss the background, motivation, and development of abstract data types via Smalltalk-80 classes to solve the problem of data consistency in heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases.
Chapter 2 discusses previous methods used. Chapter 3 gives the characteristics of the Message-Object Model. Chapter 4 discusses the physical hardware and software methods used to create the Objective-C classes for the prototype. Chapter 5 discusses the specifics of the prototype implementation, Chapter 6 discusses the results of the prototype implementation, and the last chapter discusses future directions.
Chapter 2:

Previous Methods for Processing Heterogeneous Bibliographic Information

This chapter gives some background information on bibliographic citation databases and discusses previous methods for processing the information.

2.1 Why Use Heterogeneous Bibliographic Information Resources?

Hall and Brown provide a statistical study of the on-line bibliographic databases that is the basis of this section[Hal83]. Online databases have been available since the 1960s but have mostly been in-house. Since 1972, there has been a rapid growth of publicly accessible databases.

Table I
Number of Bibliographic References Available Online

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current rate of addition is 8.7 million references per year. With duplication accounted for, the estimate is 50 million singular references available for use and six million additions to the reference pool made per year.
Parallel to the four-fold increase from 1976 to 1982, the growth in on-line use is estimated to be six fold as seen in Table II.

Table II

Bibliographic Searches on Public Systems in U.S.A. and Canada

in millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unique</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four particularly predominant database services. They are listed in Table III. Each supplier strives for uncommon databases in their service. Nearly 20 percent of the important databases are not available from the four services.

Table III

Unique and Common Databases available from major suppliers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>BRS</th>
<th>DIALOG</th>
<th>IRS</th>
<th>ORBIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unique</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Percent</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vast repertoire of information makes the access to heterogeneous bibliographic information an important resource to a researcher. From Table III, we see that a password to DIALOG gives access to fifty-five percent of the databases. An additional password to ORBIT gives a total access to seventy percent of the databases.
Up to 1984, more than 2453 citation and numeric data files were available from 362 on-line information vendors[Cua84]. Scientific disciplines are continually adding to the published set of abstracts and citations. Most on-line bibliographic information is still obtained in printed form after an on-line search. The vast amount of information needs a tool with a unified view to extract significant scientific and technological intelligence.

2.2 Description of Bibliographic Citations

To understand the problems involved in heterogeneous bibliographic citations a simple MEDLINE citation is described as it is mounted on BRS. Only six fields were selectively down-loaded.

Sample Bibliographic Citation

[AU] Bowry-T-R. Oywang-J. Lumba-M.

[IN] Department of Human Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Nairobi, Kenya.

[TI] HBV infection: prevalence of core antibody and other markers in urban based black school children in Kenya.


[LG] EN..

[IS] 0272-4939
The AU represents author, with hyphens separating initials. LG represents language and IS is the accession number for the citation in the particular database. IN represents the institutional affiliation of the author, TI is the title, and SO is the source. The same bibliographic citation from a different database source may be formatted in a completely different way. Inconsistency in the detail field hinders information analysis [Gol85].

2.3 Processing of Heterogeneous Bibliographic Information

With the appropriate administrative requirements fulfilled, a user can download bibliographic records from a variety of on-line services such as BRS or DIALOG. Typically, an off-line printing follows a search, and is arranged in reversed chronological order. The need for computer based editing tools is a natural consequence. Rather than obtaining the downloaded information in stacks of printout, the bibliographic citations are downloaded to a disk file so a computer can be used for automated processing of the information. We observe two problems that exist in local processing of the file. The file must be translated into a common form to handle the different database formats for data tags and to handle the inconsistencies in the detail information associated with each data tag.

Tools to develop data consistency are available in most modern database management systems. Information consistency within a specific bibliographic database may also be augmented by locally developed software and procedures. The database administrator can use software tools to constrain data entry to meet certain requirements. The user may be required to enter data strictly in integer format within a certain range of values or character format within a certain string length. Furthermore, the user may be required to enter strings that are pre-defined in a dictionary for that attribute, such as one of eight acceptable colors. We can see at this point that information may be entered correctly into a particular database in formats that are singularly defined by the local database administrator. However, there may be inconsistent formats among the heterogeneous bibliographic databases because of a lack of standards and autonomous database development and administration. For example, dates can be constrained in a local database to be either May 1, 1985 or 1 May 1985 format.
There may be additional differences in upper/lower cases, abbreviations, spaces, or punctuation. These inconsistencies hinder the automated processing of bibliographic citations in the down-loaded disk file. Hence we find in processing a search based on date ranges, software must be written to handle the date discrepancies, or the search will be incomplete. Author names also introduce problems because R. L. Smith, Richard L. Smith, and Richard Lee Smith are the names of the same author. If one desires a list of articles written by R'chard Lee Smith after a certain date, the tabulation would be inaccurate.

A recent study on popular 'front-end systems' available on the market for processing bibliographic citations shows that the user has a limited choice of features such as down-loading and file creation (i.e., SciMate, InSearch, CONIT) [Bol84]. Software is not available to address the problem of data consistency among heterogeneous databases.

Goldstein and Prettyman have developed software to process down-loaded citations with the goal of incorporating a specified reference format into manuscripts. In their work they encounter the typical problems of processing heterogeneous bibliographic citations.
They propose transforming each citation into the following canonical format.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field #</th>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Tag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TYPE</td>
<td>TY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DATABASE</td>
<td>DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TITLE</td>
<td>TI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AUTHOR</td>
<td>AU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SOURCE</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>INSTITUTION</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NO. &amp; TYPE MTG</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MEETING TITLE</td>
<td>TM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>VOLUME NO.</td>
<td>VL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ISSUE</td>
<td>IS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>MONTH (JOURNAL)</td>
<td>MO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>DAY (JOURNAL)</td>
<td>DY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>YEAR (JOURNAL)</td>
<td>YR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MONTH (MEETING)</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DAY (MEETING)</td>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>YEAR (MEETING)</td>
<td>YM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>PAGES</td>
<td>PG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>TOTAL PAGES</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>PUBLISHER</td>
<td>PU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>PUBL. CITY</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>PUBL. STATE</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>PUBL. COUNTRY</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>PUBLICATION YR</td>
<td>PY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>MTG.CITY</td>
<td>MT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>MTG.STATE</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>MTG.COUNTRY</td>
<td>MC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>REPORT NO.</td>
<td>RN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>RETRIEVAL NO.</td>
<td>RG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>ISSN NO.</td>
<td>SN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>PART NUMBER</td>
<td>PN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>CODEN</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>NOTES</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>EDITOR TYPE</td>
<td>ED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>AVAILABILITY</td>
<td>AV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>COPYRIGHT YEAR</td>
<td>CY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>PUBL. AUTHOR</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The process is divided into three stages.

* Pre-Processing
* Parsing
* Post-Processing
Steps for pre-processing records down-loaded from heterogeneous databases into separate local files are:

1. translate field labels in all files to a common set;
2. include fields for, and add database and retrieval system names to all records;
3. merge all records into one file;
4. reorder the records into a format that is optimized for further processing;
5. determine and add the type of publication;
6. standardize the format of the author’s name.

The parsing stage is to separate the complex source field into discrete information. Further details are found in Chapter 3.

Post-processing is to further format the information for consistency in the end-product application program. The end-product could be a statistical analysis based on certain keywords or a bibliography for a publication.

The post-processing tasks are:

1. conversion for case consistency;
2. standardize journal titles;
3. correct inconsistencies in format;
4. expand abbreviated titles;
5. add missing data;
6. make linkages between articles and proceedings; chapter and citations.

The Goldstein and Prettyman work involves knowing the database source and then writing specific software for that bibliographic database source. Their proposal for a canonical form for bibliographic
citation databases is an attempt to develop standardization regardless of the bibliographic citation sources.

A significant amount of work has been done in the processing of heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases by the Technology Information System (TIS) of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). They have been working on technology transfer through computer networks located nationally and abroad since 1975 and have developed the Integrated Information System (IIS) that manages information and resources on the TIS system. IIS supports the down-loading and analysis of bibliographic citations from heterogeneous database services. A major goal is to provide the capability to extract scientific and technological intelligence from the information contained in these databases. To accomplish this, software has been developed to process bibliographic citations from the federal information centers of the Department of Energy (DOE), the Department of Defense (DOD), and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) as well as the three major U. S. commercial services --- Lockheed-DIALOG, SDC-ORBIT, and BRS. [Bol84]

The Integrated Information System (IIS) software package is menu-driven and provides for the following bibliographic database options:

- [TRANSLATE] translates citations to a standard format
- [MERGE] combines translated files from different sources into one file
- [STAT] creates a statistical profile of citations
- [ANALYZE] analyzes bibliographical text
- [REVIEW] permits on-line evaluation of citations for relevancy.
- [CONCORD] creates indexes by author, subject, descriptors, etc.
- [PERMUTE] issues multi-term statistics of the text in selected data fields
- [CROSS] cross-correlates the contents of data fields
- [PLOT] shows the number of citations by year in a graph
- [DISPLAY] displays the contents of any file on the CRT screen
TRANSLATE, MERGE, DISPLAY and REVIEW do the pre-processing steps 1-4 mentioned by Goldstein and Prettyman. ANALYZE, CONCORD, PERMUTE, CROSS, PLOT, and STAT allow the user to produce some trend analysis from the bibliographic citations that have gone through the preprocessing steps.

Currently, the trend analysis is not entirely accurate since the detail information is not entirely consistent. A closer examination of the pre-processed files shows dates in the following form:

1. 1 May 1985
2. May 1, 1985
4. 5/1/1985
5. 1985
6. 5/1/85
7. May 1985
8. May, 1985

The job of producing a file that is consistent is time-consuming and difficult; duplicate bibliographic citations are not easily detected. A particular citation usually contains only a subset from the set of data tags and different databases may enter certain detail information under different data tags. An example is the following:

```<DATABASE SOURCE> DIALOG NTIS FILE 6
<TITLE> Online Directory of Databases for Material Properties

DATABASE SOURCE> DOE/recon
<TITLE(MONO)> Online directory of databases for material properties```
The purpose of this project is to further extend the consistency of the detail information found in a merged file that is the result of down-loading heterogenous bibliographic citation databases. It is through the development of abstract data type Smalltalk-80 classes that similar types of information can be standardized, regardless of source. The standardization of dates and authors and titles include accounting for spaces, punctuation, capitalization, and ordering.
Chapter 3:

The Message-Object Model

We first establish the foundation for using abstractions in software development. Next, we discuss the motivation for using abstract data types via Smalltalk 80 classes to solve the data consistency problem in heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases.

3.1 Abstraction Mechanisms in Modern Programming

Recent work in programming methodology has led to the recognition of three kinds of abstractions: control, procedural and data. A large effort has been expended in developing a modern programming methodology so software is constructed that is easy to understand, modify, maintain, and is reliable. The quality of a program depends on the programming methodology used. The effective utilization of the methodology is strongly dependent on the programming language selected for the software development. Certain concepts in the methodology may be difficult to put into place if the language does not provide the constructs that make the process automatic. The language does influence the way a programmer thinks and formulates ideas. A good match of the methodology and the language enhances the likelihood that the methodology will be followed. An example would be to attempt to introduce the concept of block structure using Fortran 66. A better choice would be Pascal because the language supports block structured constructs. While it is true that software can be written in Fortran to simulate the methodology, the job is unnecessarily enlarged for the software implementer[74][77].
3.1.1 Software Abstractions

What do we mean by software abstractions? We mean that the abstraction isolates the use from the implementation. That is to say, that the abstraction can be used without the knowledge of how the implementation was carried out, and the implementation can be done without the knowledge of how it is to be used [Lis77]. In the early 1950s, we see the application of abstractions in terms of assembly language rather than machine language in terms of octal numbers. Three letter acronyms were used instead of an octal number that represented the operator. Operands were designated by symbolic labels rather than absolute addresses in memory. Early languages supported built-in data types like integer and reals. One did not think in terms of binary bits in a computer word at a certain physical location in memory. Later type checking aided in appropriate default conversions when a real number was added to an integer. Hence, the programmer was relieved of low level detail. Procedural and control abstractions were dominant. Sorting procedures and square root functions could be specified without requiring knowledge of the implementation, and the implementation could be done without knowledge of how they were to be used. Later, control abstractions such as do-loops were made available so the concept of iteration was abstracted by the language construct. Abstractions were treated as a program organization technique. Programmers could define macros and define new data types required by a specific problem. We note that data structures such as stacks and linked lists were first treated systematically in 1968. The idea of studying and formalizing programming activity dates back to this time [Sha84].

What was recognized in early 1970 was that programs were difficult to understand and maintain. With the infamous gotos that spanned a large number of software lines indiscriminately, the term "spaghetti code" evolved and was a familiar occurrence among programmers. Locality was advocated in terms of if-then-else or do-while control constructs. For a while, extensible languages were promoted because they allowed the programmer to add new control constructs and data types to the base language in an attempt to add clarity to the program and make the programmer's tasks easier. This idea became unpopular since it was difficult to keep independent extensions compatible, to organize the definitions so related information were grouped together, and to find a technique to describe the extensions accurately.
The need for more accurate specifications was recognized since programmers typically relied on procedure headers and parameter lists with accompanying text to define the procedural abstraction. This specification technique depended on individual styles, and some were well written and accurate, while others were vague or out of date.

3.1.2 Structured Programming Methodology

The structured programming methodology was developed in the 1970s to address these problems: to make programs reliable, easy to understand, develop and maintain. It detailed phases in software development, specified tools needed to assist in the process, and established tests and criteria for program correctness. Program development was to evolve top-down using the idea of abstractions. First the statement of the problem was presented and then successive refinements were made until the problem was finally solved. The idea is to start with a high level abstraction and then progress by problem decomposition to recognizing subsidiary abstractions. This is where we find modern programming languages as CLU, Alphard, ADA, Concurrent Pascal, Euclid, Gypsy, Mesa and Modula being developed to support the structured programming methodology[Sha84].

3.1.3 Abstract Data Types

Procedural and control abstractions were available but the idea of abstract data types needed promotion. Through abstract data types, the idea of locality would hence be further extended, making programs easier to design, implement, and maintain. Specifications would be easier to write because of the encapsulation of the data structures. Data behaviors could be defined only within the abstract data type. The requirements of a language supporting data abstractions developed. Linguistic constructs were needed that implemented data abstractions as a unit in terms of data representations and operations on the data. The construct would provide a mechanism by which the language would limit access to the representation except by the operations defined. Smalltalk is such a language with abstract data types in terms of classes. CLU has clusters; Ada has packages; Flav has flavors.
A basic concept is that the operations defined for a class must include all operations needed in handling the data structure. Usually the operations include create, modify, and access operations. The desirability of classes is that the language takes care of all the interface specifications, the names for instantiations of the classes, the assignment, argument passing and type correctness.

Essential to abstract data types is the primitive library that is provided with the compiler. Here typical abstract data types as arrays, AVL trees, bags, and dictionaries are provided from which the programmer can develop new abstract data types particular to the application. Inheritance is important in that new abstract data types that are defined are based on the properties defined in a primitive abstract data type. As a matter of fact the abstract data types are usually arranged in a hierarchical tree so that an abstract data type inherits all the properties defined between it and the root of the tree.

Abstract data types are the means by which the human can transform problem-domain concepts into the computer-domain model. In other words, the separation of specification and implementation is the desired result. The goal is that program correctness at the abstract level can be ascertained before the implementation. The phrases "abstract data types" and "object-oriented programming have been used in various contexts, from Simula and its derivatives such as Ada to powerful data description languages used in knowledge representation. The meaning we apply is in the Smalltalk-80 context.[Cox84]

3.2 Object-Oriented Programming

Object-Oriented Programming replaces the operator-operand concepts that are used in the traditional computer-domain model. The idea is to introduce a coordination tool that supports change, reusability, and enhancements. The goal is to transfer work from the human to the machine and to enhance consistency from the human viewpoint.

Two major concepts of Object-Oriented programming are encapsulation and inheritance. Encapsulation is an aid in using the system and isolates the objects from the environment except through a carefully controlled interface. Inheritance is a aid to building the system. New classes are defined by first inheriting the data and behaviors of older generic classes, then specifying only how the new ones differs. The idea is to define the data abstractions so the programming task is made minimal.
Now we will define some terms used in a Message-Object programming language such as Smalltalk-80. The terms object, message, class, instance, and method are all defined in terms of each other. We will relate the terms to the Objective-C compiler that is a derivative of Smalltalk-80, and will clarify them by examples in Chapter 5.

3.2.1 Objects

Objects are virtual (computer-based) machines. They have some data (private part), a set of operations (shared part), and a run-time mechanism for selecting operations on the data that are activated by a message sent to the object. They exhibit one of their behaviors when they receive a message.

3.2.2 Messages

Messages are sent to objects and are requests to obtain a desired result. The message contains a predefined operation (method) to be done on the data structure and are serviced one at a time by the object. Objects representing numbers have arithmetic operations; objects representing data structures as AVL trees create an empty tree, add, delete, modify, or count elements.

3.2.3 Classes

A class represents a description of a group of similar objects. A class is the abstract data type and an object is an instantiation of it. For example the class rectangle deals with the generic group of rectangles, but an instance of class rectangle will have specific dimensions for length and width. Binding is done at run-time so there is no static type checking at compile time. An example would be the class Array in Objective-C. The subclasses BytArray, IdArray, and IntArray inherit properties from class Array. Hence an operation as printOn defined in class Array will work on any of the three subclasses mentioned, although the data representations differ in terms of byte, Id, or integer. Also a new subclass defined later will also be handled correctly, and class Array does not have to be revised to make considerations for the new subclass data type. This is how reusability in data abstractions becomes a major asset in software development.
3.2.4 Methods

A method is a description of how to do an operation and is specific to the class in which it has been defined. It resembles procedures and could use class variables as parameters. Methods are written in a high level language like Smalltalk-80, Lisp, or C. The set of methods should include all the operations needed to work with the encapsulated data, either via inheritance or definition within the class.

3.3. Benefits of Object-Oriented Software

One basic caveat of object-oriented software is the concept of reliable reusable code. As a matter of fact the classes are called IC’s from the engineering concept of integrated circuits. To start with, one uses a set of basic classes that form the root of the inheritance tree that can be systematically augmented by defining new classes.

To further understand the problem we are addressing, let us look at the Goldstein and Prettyman[Gold85] analysis of bibliographic sources from four different bibliographic citation databases: MEDLINE, INSPEC, ISIC, and COMPENDEX.


[ISIC] COMPUTER 9(3):11-12

[COMPENDEX]


The parsing of the citation source is a major task in arriving at the information in the canonical form suggested. It cannot be automated fully, and is iterative due to inconsistency in the data, addition of new words to the authority dictionaries, and new valid acronyms, entries and words.
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Goldstein and Prettyman give an accompanying parsing structure for each of the above citation sources.

[Medline]  [Title]. *[Year]*[Month]*[Day(s)]. *[Volume]*[Issue]. *[Pages].

[INSPEC]  [Title]. *[Volume]*[Issue]. *[Pages].

[ISIC]  [Title]. *[Volume]*[Issue]. *[Pages].

[COMPENDEX]

a) [Title]*v*[Volume]*n*[Issue]*[Month]*[Day(s)]. *[Year]*[Pages].

b) [Title]*v*[Volume]*n*[Issue]*[Month][Days]*[Year]. *[Conf. Name]*[City]. *[Volume]*[Issue]. *[Day(s)]. *[Year]. *[Pages].

One observes there are classes that are common to the different sources. As a matter of fact, the tasks involved in processing for data consistency of title, volume, and date, are similar regardless of the database origin or the citation source. There may be variations in case, punctuation, abbreviations, and/or format. We see date specified as Sep 1978 or May 9-12 1978 in the COMPENDEX sources. The goal of this project is to develop some prototype classes that augment the set of generic classes to provide the abstract data types needed to produce data consistency in citations from heterogeneous bibliographic databases.
Chapter 4:

Prototype Development Environment

This chapter describes the physical hardware and software methods used to implement the prototype classes to process heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases into a consistent form.

4.1 Computer System

The work was started on the LLNL Engineering Research Division (ERD) VAX 11/780 using the VMS operating system since it was the only installation with the Objective-C compiler at LLNL at the time. The parser development using the Unix tools LEX and YACC was done on the Tektronix 6205 workstation. The parser modules were sent over the network to the VAX to be compiled by Objective-C along with the prototype class modules to minimize use of the resources on the VAX. With limited system resources on the ERD VAX, the work was later completed on the LLNL Technology Information System (TIS), which meanwhile acquired the Objective-C compiler. Their VAX 11/780 uses the UNIX operating system BSD 4.2; certain VMS program lines needed for compatibility with Objective-C were removed. In general the environment was simpler for development work since the VMS port for the Objective-C was still in progress whereas the port for Unix BSD 4.2 was complete.

4.2 Software Development Tools

The Objective-C compiler from Productivity Products International in conjunction with the C compiler was used to implement the Object/Message model prototype for bibliographic citation databases. The Unix tools Lex and Yacc were used to develop the parser generator, and the tool Make aided in software development. [PPI85]
4.2.1 Objective-C Compiler

The Objective-C compiler is based on the Smalltalk-80 Message/Object Model. The syntax for developing classes in Objective-C resembles the Smalltalk-80 language but differs significantly in that the class methods are defined using the C-language. The Objective-C compiler is a preprocessor that produces C source that is then compiled. The preprocessor produces Class and Phylum files that are information repositories and form the basis for inheritance and encapsulation for the classes.

Smalltalk-80 is the result of 14 years of research and development by the Software Concepts Group at Xerox PARC. It is based on a software environment contained entirely within a workstation with special hardware to improve performance by orders of magnitude. The Smalltalk-80 environment solely uses the Smalltalk-80 language and provides the software person with a repertoire of basic classes. The environment includes utilities usually provided by the computer operating system, such as the text editor, compiler, and debugger. The environment makes extensive use of graphics windows, pull down menus, and a pointing device so the user can work on several views of his work in progress. To change text under software development, the user points at the line, edits it, issues the compile command, removes syntax errors, tests the software, and then compiles and links the new software into the system. All this is done without changing "modes" for editing, compiling, filing or executing.

The Objective-C compiler is different in that it is one of the many tools the software developer can add to the utilities offered by the operating system. It is available in the VAX VMS operating system environment as well as computer systems with the Unix BSD 4.2 operating system. It is a preprocessor to the C compiler and adds the basic Smalltalk-80 concepts of classes, objects, messages, encapsulation and inheritance. Objective-C is an object oriented programming language layered on top of C and allows one to use it in addition to the existing software and hardware.
Objective-C Class Libraries

Included with the Objective-C compiler package are the Basic Class Library and the Foundation Class library that establish the root of the hierarchy of reusable classes from which classes for the specific application are developed. Classes developed for the application inherit properties of classes between the root and itself. The hierarchy of classes provided with the Objective-C compiler are presented graphically in appendix A.

The Basic Library contains the classes Nil, Object, Array, IdArray and String. The root of the inheritance hierarchy is class Object that points to the Nil class. Every object inherits all the methods and instance variable available in class Object. Class Array is detailed to give an idea of the methods this class supports. Array is a superclass of several classes that support indexed instance variables. It has an instance variable capacity that records the units of elements of the array. Methods are defined for instance creation with n-elements that may be initialized from an argument list or not. Methods are also defined for copying, inquiring on capacity, printing to an I/O device, comparing and hashing, and notifying on bounds violations.

The Foundation Library contains the classes Assoc, AVLDict, AVLTree, Bag, BytArray, Cltn, Dictionary, IntArray, Cltn, Dictionary, IntArray, OrdCltn, Point, Rectangle, Sequence, Sets, Stack and Unknown.
Diagram of Hierarchy of Classes in Basic and Foundation Library [PPI85]
The implementer of an Object/Message application must be familiar with the available classes to appropriately use the inheritance properties inherent in the class hierarchy. In the prototype implementation, the class Object was used. In the discussion of future work in Chapter 7, the development of other classes are described to support the task of creating consistency in the heterogeneous bibliographic citation database.

4.2.2 Unix Tool: Lex

The Unix tool, Lex, is a program or module generator. The basic model for Lex is based on the theory of regular expressions[Aho74]. It generates a module that is a deterministic finite state automaton. The input to Lex is based on user specified rules that are in the form of regular expressions. Regular expressions are rules for specifying character strings to be matched and include operator characters to account for repetition of strings, optional or required occurrences of strings, and the ordering of strings. The user may associate a procedure with a rule so further processing is done when a rule is matched. For example, if a rule in the form of a regular expression expects a number, the associated procedure may verify that the number is in an expected range and flag an error if it is not valid[Les75]. Lex generates the module that does lexical analysis on the input character stream consisting of the detail information associated with a data tag in a bibliographic citation. The tokens and optional values are passed to the parser.

4.2.3 Unix Tool: Yacc

Yacc is a tool that generates a program or module called the parser. Yacc is based on Context Free Grammars using Backus-Naur Form(BNF) descriptors to specify the parser that accepts the language. The formal discussion is found in [Aho74] and a user's manual in [Joh75]. The input to Yacc are user specified grammar rules and optional procedures to be invoked when the grammar rule is recognized. The parser includes a call to the lexical analyzer that passes tokens and optional values recognized from the input character stream.
The parser does a syntactic analysis and does the associated actions if the input satisfies the grammar rule. For the prototype the grammar rules include all the legal variations in the detail information for a data tag in a bibliographic citation.

4.2.4 Unix Tool: Make

The Unix tool Make is a software management tool that allows dependencies to be specified by the user among software modules. Changes to a source file are automatically detected and trigger the appropriate actions specified in the dependency rule. For example, modifications to a source file could trigger recompilations of other dependent source files.

4.3 Summary

The software prototype was developed in the Unix BSD 4.2 software environment, using the Unix tools Lex, Yacc, Make and the Objective-C compiler. The C compiler was used to develop the software. The next chapter discusses implementation of the prototype and how the tools are used in the implementation.
Chapter 5:

Prototype Implementation

This chapter introduces the basic data abstraction mechanism in Objective-C, the class. A prototype for processing heterogeneous bibliographic information is described to show how the abstraction is used in program design and how it is used and implemented in Objective-C. A system overview that details the major steps in producing the prototype is diagramed.

System Overview of Prototype Implementation
5.1 Sources of Data

The source of data could be the result of a session by a user at a terminal making queries of an on-line system such as the Dialog system that involve the search of bibliographic citations on a topic. The output is usually in the form of a display of the retrieved citations and may be followed by a more complete printout of the citations. In our case, the facilities at the LLNL Technology Information System (TIS) were used to obtain bibliographic citations on the subject of "Computer Gateways and Networks" from the six following on-line database services: DTIC/DROLS-TR, DIALOG NTIS FILE 6, BRS, DOE/RECON, NASA/RECON, and SDC/LIBRARY and INFORMATION SCIENCE ABSTRACT. An on-line session with each particular database service was used to capture the information into a local file. The citations in the local file was translated into the TIS standard form for bibliographic citations. The six local files were then merged into a single file so that post-processing analysis could be done on a single file. A sample of the merged file is included in Appendix D.

Each bibliographic citation consists of an average of twenty fields of information. Each field begins on a new line and consists of a data tag delimited by left and right angle brackets (<,>) and ending with the descriptive information. In database terminology, one can consider the data tag as a field label and the descriptive information as the field detail.

5.2 Reformatting the Detail Information for Consistency

On closer examination of the bibliographic citations in the merged file one finds similar types of information may be represented in differing formats if they come from different database sources. There may be varying formats within a database for items coming from different publication types. For example, "<DATE> 1985." appears in a BRS/National Library of Medicine Database record, whereas, "<DATE> Aug 1984" appears in a DIALOG NTIS FILE 6 citation. Another problem is that "<TITLE> PLURIBUS SATELITE IMP DEVELOPMENT MOBILE ACCESS TERMINAL NETWORK" appears in upper-case in the DTIC/DROLS-TR citation but "<TITLE> An on-line directory of databases for material properties" appears in lower case except for the first word in the NASA/recon citation database. One can make the observation, however, that similar "classes" of information occur in bibliographic citations.
The task of reformatting the detail information for consistency is a complex job. The detail information from different database sources may appear with a different data tag. An example is "<TITLE> Post-processing of Bibliographic Citations from DOE/RECON, NASA/RECON, and DOD/DROLS. Revision 1." from the DIALOG NTIS FILE 6 whereas the same citation in the DOE/recon database has "<TITLE(MONO)> Post-processing of Bibliographic Citations from DOE/RECON, NASA/RECON, and DOD/DROLS. Revision 1." The task of consistency may include a cross correlation of information. If the title is not available with the <TITLE> data tag, the information may be available with the <TITLE(MONO)> data tag. Hence a duplicate may be detected and removed. Typically, one may request a yearly count of articles written on a subject to ascertain the emerging importance of research in the area. We pointed out in Chapter 2, they estimate that thirty-five percent of the bibliographic citations are duplicates[Hal83] and so the accounting of duplicates is important.

5.3 Program Design Abstractions

Consider the merged file as a data abstraction called in-stream, and the data abstraction called out-stream that will contain bibliographic citations in a consistent format. We will need procedural abstractions that indicate when in-stream is empty, or determine the next data tag and data field pair. We can consider each data tag and data field pair as an abstraction. Hence, we can arrive at abstract data types for "date", "title", "author", and etc. that are based on the data tags found in the merged file.

The <DATE> abstraction is presented with details for its implementation. The bibliographic data tags such as <DATE>, <AUTHOR>, or <TITLE> are handled as left context operators. They trigger environments that are very dissimilar. On closer examination, the information associated with <TITLE> is considered as a string, whereas the information associated with <DATE> is considered on a word basis, where a word is any nonempty sequence of alphanumeric characters. Adjacent words may be separated by non-alphanumeric characters as space, punctuation, or newline. Hence the lexical rules and actions must be specified separately for these two different environments. In looking at the <AUTHOR> and <DATE> detail information, the parser rules and actions must be specified individually also. An author June E. Smith has a first name of "June", whereas June should be handled as the sixth month if it is a date.
A discussion on handling of left context sensitivity is described in the Lex reference [Les75]. Once the data tag has been identified, then separate lexical and parser routines associated with Lex and Yacc rules are called to process the information. We can think of Lex and Yacc as procedural abstractions in the development of our prototype class. The Unix tools Yacc and Lex produce C modules of advanced algorithms in a convenient form that can be easily integrated into the prototype application program. These program generators do special jobs based on user specifications that are easy to update. Yacc produces the module "yyparse" and Lex produces the module "yylex". The user can insert C code before, within, and after the call to either module to add a large amount of flexibility. The modules generated are special purpose and have excellent performance in terms of time and space. They save the user from writing their own C code and hence frees the programmer from details that are conceptualized as procedural abstractions.

5.4 The Prototype

To show the ease in creating Objective-C classes, the prototype for the Date class is described. The prototype consists of the Lex and Yacc specification files, the Date class data abstraction, and the main program module. The tutorials on Lex and Yacc were helpful in developing the specification files [Bel78].

5.4.1 Lex Specification File

The general format of Lex input is:

{definitions}
%
{rules}
%
{user routines}
The definition section is:

```c
#include "objc.h"
#include "y.tab.h"

#define MON(x) 
{ 
yylval.lex=x; return MONTH; 
}

=(N,Collection,Primitive)
%
```

The include file "objc.h" contains most of the standard definitions for the user of the Objective-C compiler. The file contains various C types such as STR for string, SEL for selector, BOOL for boolean, IOD for I/O descriptor and SHR for the shared part of an object. The include file y.tab.h is created by Yacc and contains the tokens used for communication between the lexical analyzer and the parser. The macro MON(x) is defined to assign a value to yylval.lex that is returned to the parser. Values returned by the lexical analyzer and associated action procedures are integers by default. The rules to Yacc can define other types that the parser tree handles so the stack properly carries out the reduce and shifts to determine an accepting state for the statement being parsed. The Yacc discussion covers the union of types that account for the suffix ".lex". The last statement is an Objective-C declaration for the Phyla files.

The rules section consisting of regular expressions is:

```c
%
[jJ]an(\"\u{00a9}uary)\? MON(1);
---
[dD]ec(\"\u{00a9}ember)\? MON(12);
```
[0-9]    {yylval.lex = yytext[0] - '0'; return DIGIT;}

{}     { /**< delete blanks */ }

"\n"    { return EOL;  }

"."    { return EOL ; }

In the regular expression '([J]an(""|uary)?)', the months are allowed in different forms, i.e. jan, jan.,
january,Jan, Jan., or January. The macro MON(x) is the action statement where the value returned is an
integer, that is 1 for January, 2 for February, and etc. The value is stored in yylval.lex, and MONTH is the
token returned. The characters 0 through 9 are recognized by the regular expression [0-9] and the action is
to return the integer value for the character representation and DIGIT for the token. The regular expression
{} deletes blanks since there is no action statement. The regular expression "\n" recognizes end-of-lines
and returns the EOL token. The regular expression "." recognizes any other character and the action
statement returns the single character.

The last section defines procedure "date(month,day,year)" for checking that the month is in the range
1-12, and the days for a month are correct. The leap year is taken into account on the days of a month.
Terse error warnings are included that could be changed to more sophisticated error recovery actions. See
Appendix C for the details. Hence the lexical analyzer module, yylex, should be able to recognize the
tokens in the eight variations for "date" that are tabulated in Chapter 2.

5.4.2 Yacc Specification File

We now describe the specification file that is input to Yacc to generate the module yyparse. The
general form looks like:

declarations
%

rules
The declaration section is:

```c
#include "objc.h"

extern id dateObj;

union {
    short lex;
    id obj;
}

%Start prog

%token<lex> DIGIT MONTH
%token<lex> EOL
%type<lex> number year day
%type<obj> DateStmt
```

In the declaration section we have the include file objc.h and the phyla declaration that were described in the previous section on Lex. The external declaration of the instantiation of the Date class, dateObj, is required since dateObj is created in the main program. The union statement defines the two data structures on the parser tree, the "lex" integer data structure and the Objective-C "obj" id data structure. The goal symbol, prog, is defined by the %Start statement, and the legal lexical tokens that yylex
recognizes are DIGIT, MONTH, and EOL. Number, year, and day are parsed by yyparse and have the
"lex" integer data structure. The DateStmt has the "obj" id data structure.

The rules section is:

```%
prog: DateStmt EOL { exit (); } ;

DateStmt: MONTH day ',' year
{
    date ( $1, $2, $4 );
    $$ = [dateObj mo: $1 da: $2 yr: $4 ];
        [dateObj print];
}
|--|--

day: number;

year: number;

number: DIGIT | number DIGIT {$$ = 10 * $1 + $2; }; 
```

The rules section specifies the BNF grammar for parsing the legal forms of date. The date procedure
checks that the number of days is within the correct range for the month, with leap year taken into
consideration.

The following statement:

```$$ = [dateObj mo: $1 da: $2 yr: $4 ];
```

stores the month, day, and year values in the object, dateObj. The Objective-C message expression is
contained between the pair of square brackets([...]). The message is sent to the receiver, dateObj. There
are three keyword selectors, mo, da, and year, that consist of a string of characters ending in a colon character. The arguments to the keyword selectors are $1$, $2$, and $4$ that are obtained from the parse tree. This is an invocation of a method defined in the Date class and is a behavior in addition to the instance methods that Class Date inherits from the Object Class.

```
[dateObj print];
```

The print method is defined in the Date class and defines a behavior for printing the values stored in the dateObj object for month, day and year. The user simply invokes the print method and is not encumbered by the details of the data structures of month, day, or year to print the information correctly. In contrast, the Fortran programmer must know whether the month, day, or year may be in ascii, octal, or integer format to select the proper conversion specification in the "Format" statement. The proper definition of the methods in a class should encompass the create, modify, or reply so that the user's requirements in working with the class object is complete.

The program section is the last section and contains an error diagnostic that prints a warning to the user if the input can not be parsed by the grammar rules contained in the input specification file for Yacc. One may observe at this point how terse the software is to do all this work. The extraneous characters for space, /, and variations in the date format are handled with a minimum amount of software. The values for month, day, and year are stored as instance variables into the object, dateObj, through the method defined within the class Date, and the print operation is easily invoked since the details are encapsulated as a method in the class Date.

### 5.4.3 Date Class

The Date class is defined in the source code file, "date.m". The declaration section has the Objective-C include file, objc.h, and the Yacc include file, y.tab.h. Next, the declaration for ascii representations for month is included for the print method.
The following statement:

\[
\text{Date:Object (N,Collection,Primitive)}
\]

reflects that the Date class inherits properties from the Object Class, and the Date class will be included in the writable phylum file "N". Also, the Date class may use the classes in the Objective-C libraries: Collection, and Primitive. The instance variable are declared to be integer for month, day, and year, and are called `mon, da, and yr` respectively. The first method prefaced with "-mo: ..." stores the values in the instance object. The next method denoted by "-print ..." prints the date to the terminal. The print method will test for the default values of -1 and vary the printout. The three sample printout forms are:

1 May 1985
May 1985
1985

5.4.4 Main Module

The main program contained in the file, "main.m", begins with the include file for the C compiler standard I/O library, `stdio.h`, and the Objective-C include file, `objc.h`. The phyla declaration statement for the main program follows. The externals are declared in addition to the instance object, `dateObj`. The main program sets the output to be the terminal that is the Unix standard output device.

The statement:

```
dateObj = [Date new] ;
```

creates the object for the Date class. Since the method "new" is not defined in the Date Class, the method is inherited from the Object Class. The prompt ">" is printed at the terminal and then the input is expected from user at the terminal so that it can be parsed and have its values for month, day, and year stored into the date object just created. The print method is then invoked to verify the proper values are stored in `dateObj` for month, day, and year. The last two statements declare the classes and phyla that can be used in this application program.
Chapter 6

Summary and Results:

The intent of the prototype implementation is to provide a programming example of the Class data abstraction mechanism of Objective-C as applied to the Date class to obtain data consistency in varying forms of dates that are contained in bibliographic citations. Through a simple example, features of the abstraction mechanism in Objective-C have been presented. The Unix tools, Lex and Yacc were used to develop the procedural abstractions, yylex, and yyparse, that do the lexical analysis and syntactic analysis on the varying date forms. Eight variations of dates consisting of month, day and year were established in the dateObj object for the Date class. With the instance variables set to specific values, the print method could be invoked to take care of the task. The private data and data access methods are encapsulated within the Date class, and requires that the user communicate through messages to the object to elicit the behaviors desired.

The Date class is an elementary example to show how other classes for the bibliographic citation database can be developed for accomplishing data consistency in the numerous fields in a bibliographic citation. The Date class can easily be extended to included more methods, categorized as setting, inquiring, performing arithmetic and printing.

Setting:

1. -setmo: aMonth   set the month
2. -setda: aDay     set the day
3. -setyr: aYear    set the year
Inquiring:
1. -getmo: aMonth reply the month
2. -getda: aDay reply the day
3. -getyr: aYear reply the year

Performing Arithmetic:
1. -julian reply the Julian day
2. -dayofyear reply the nth day of year

Printing
1. -printmo reply the month
2. -printdy reply the day
3. -printyr reply the year

The goal is to develop a comprehensive Date class to simplify the task of constructing reliable software that is easy to understand, modify, and maintain. This Date class will be part of the Class Library that is accessed by application programmers who will rely on the skill of the designer who develops the abstraction. The classes must be defined such that the behaviors of the class of information is fully defined. These include the create, modify and reply operations. In the event that additional behaviors are necessary, the concept of abstraction mechanisms in the programming language as Objective-C will guarantee that software will not have to be re-examined or re-written because of the change.

We briefly describe how the <AUTHOR> and <TITLE> classes can be defined and used in the application for data consistency in heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases. The main program is expanded to examine the in-stream of data and look for the "<AUTHOR>" or "<TITLE>" data tag. This is easily done since the data tags are enclosed in the left and right angle brackets. The characters following the right angle bracket are saved in a buffer until a left angle bracket is detected. This buffer of characters is then passed as data input to the parser developed for the particular data tag information.
In the TITLE data tag the Lex specification file will have the action statement convert the text to upper-case for consistency, and then will store the title into the object

```yaml
yyval.obj = [String str: yytext];
return STRING;
```

The Yacc specification file will contain the action statement:

```yacc
$$ = [titleObj str: $1 ] ;
```

In the case of the AUTHOR data tag, the buffer of characters captured after detecting the Author tag is passed to the Author parser that has BNF specifications to handle the variations in author names. The author list could be saved in the Set class. The creation of an Author object could include an initialization that would give a wild card character like "*" for the first or middle name in cases where the names are missing from the input stream. The methods defined for the author class could treat the names as wild cards when a match is required.

The next logical development is to define a citation object that contains the Author, Title, and Date Objects as a related triple.

```yaml
extern id String, Set; id citationObj;

citationObj = [self with: 3
    [dateObj str];
    [titleObj str];
    [authorObj str]; ];
```

Methods could be defined to create, add, delete, or modify a citation, in addition to printing the citation in "pretty" forms for easy user viewing.
The prime idea in defining classes for the heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases is to present the application programmer with abstractions that handle the data types involved, and include all methods to process the abstract data types. Hence the objects are the entities that are handled by the application programmer to reduce the details that must be remembered. The particular class should characterize the behavior of the data entirely. If not, additional methods may be added to the class definition. Indeed, even if this is done, software that has been written based on the former class definition may not have to be rewritten unless it accesses the new features in the class. The underlying physical structure of the program is taken care of by the physical interfaces used by the Objective-C compiler. The basic actions in programming the application are assignment statements that create objects and invocations of class methods through messages to the objects to exhibit behaviors.
Chapter 7

Discussion and Future Directions

In recent years a variety of powerful generic tools have been created. Database Management Systems (DBMS) and Spreadsheets are examples. They gain their power from the ability to operate on various data. They provide the generic operations of create, modify, and output. We have attempted to create the tool for data conversion. This study was restricted to bibliographic citations to see how far the idea of a generic library tool can be extended. The development of the generic library tool requires the definition of classes which the application programmer incorporates into user software. The concept of abstract data types via classes can be extended to Database Management Systems. If one considers the relational model, then the relations in the form of tables can be considered the data structure of the class. The operations of retrieve, update, and append with qualifiers can be considered the class methods. This abstraction is a convenient one for the application programmer since tables of information are a common occurrence. But a detail look at the physical implementation of the data structure may be complex. The storage and access mechanisms may be based on hashing algorithms if the data are sparse and have a balanced distribution. B-trees may be used with linked lists for fast searches. Here the user is relieved of the complexities that are left to the Database Management System implementers. To access the relations the user relies on the query language that allows operations on the relations. In this same regard, the person developing the classes for an Object Oriented application must provide the application programmer with the necessary classes to do a job. The classes must be general enough to handle application programs that have not yet been defined. This is what a good Database Management System provides, and is what the class library for the application should provide. Of course, Database Management Systems are always being enhanced to do a better job for the user, and it is expected that the class library will be improved with
time. What is important is that the user will not have to rewrite any software that has been developed. Even if the underlying physical structure is changed to improve speed or space, the user need not be concerned, and all the benefits will be automatically gained. One can now readily understand the strength in using abstractions. Through Object Oriented Programming the abstraction mechanism found in Database Management Systems and Spreadsheets can now be extended to programming languages through abstraction mechanisms provided in languages like Smalltalk-80 and Objective-C.

This project has demonstrated the feasibility of establishing data consistency in heterogeneous bibliographic citation databases through data abstractions, called classes. Future work involves specifying and implementing the full set of classes for this application. With the classes in place, the application programs can be written to further the data consistency goal.

We have discussed the bibli-citation object consisting of the title, author, and date objects. The objects associated within the citation object should be expanded to include the necessary elements for identifying a bibliographic citation. This requires the establishment of a canonical form for a bibliographic citation. A study of the bibliographic citation format from different sources shows that the data tag names are diverse and many are singular. For example, the DOE/RECON database uses "<PAGE NO> 17", whereas the DTIC/DROLS-TR has "<PAGINATION> 30P". Goldstein and Prettyman have proposed a set of 36 fields for the citation canonical form and it appears in chapter 2. They propose two character data tags, such as PG for the number of pages in the reference. Their canonical form is based on bibliography preparation. The data fields for the general case needs to be studied and proposed. On a cursory glance, the expanded canonical form should include "AB" for abstract, and "KW" for keyword descriptors. We note singular data tags that probably are only meaningful to the local bibliographic database such as "<LIMITATION CODES> 1", can be excluded from the canonical form of the citation. With the data tag and associated data elements defined for the canonical form of a bibliographic citation, the definition of classes for data consistency can proceed. The Date class can be re-used for the journal date, publication year, copyright year, and the meeting date. The definition of a Location class is appropriate for the meeting location, publication location, and author location. This class should access an abbreviation dictionary to produce a consistent form of the location.
If the location is listed as London, then London, England should be substituted. The location US, U.S.A., or United States should be made consistent in the same fashion. Warnings should be included for data not found in the dictionary, so that it may be updated with new entries. The standardization of publication titles can be added to a Source class. Certainly, the conversion for case consistency in a character strings, and the expansion of abbreviations should be included in the class methods. Alternate names for people or institutions could be accessible from a dictionary to further aid in data consistency. We note that the Dictionary class is available in Objective-C and can be incorporated into an class.

A future expansion should include the post-processing tasks in terms of the classes defined in the application tool library. Methods could be included to "pretty-print a bibliographic citation", to analyze bibliographic text, to display the citations on the CRT screen, to plot the statistical information on a graph, and to do cross-correlations on the data fields. The convenient tools of Unix can be incorporated into the classes since Objective-C is designed with the use of Unix tools in mind. We have seen how the Unix tools Lex and Yacc were incorporated into the Objective-C program.

The procedure of establishing data consistency in a heterogeneous bibliographic citation database through the definition of abstract data types can be extended to other heterogeneous databases. The restriction is that the information in the heterogeneous databases derive from a common base, as in bibliographic citations. Hence for a relational database where a relation is employee, a field in the relation is name, and its detail information is John Jones, the data tag could be <employee.name>, and the detail field would be John Jones. The existence of a data tag and an associated detail field in the database establishes the reuse of the data abstractions created for the bibliographic citation database.
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Appendix A

Hierarchy of Objective-C Classes - @class, @phyla  [PPL85][Eag85]
Hierarchy of Objective-C Classes (continued)

Object
  Oclase
  Unknown
  Unknown
  Ophyla
  Unknown
  Primitive

String
  Oclase
  Unknown
  Ophyla
  Primitive

Sequence
  Oclase
  Unknown
  Unknown
  Ophyla
  Collection
  Primitive

Object
  Oclase
  Sequence
  IdArray
  Ophyla
  Primitive
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Appendix B

Objective-C Base Tree - methods [PPI85][Eag85]

Object

free
initialize
addVarSize
new
poseAs:
readFrom:

asGraph:
awake
capacity
class
compare:
copy
deepCopy
describe
doesNotRecognize:
error:
free
hash
MUST:
isCopyOf:
isEqual:
kindOf:
isMemberOf:
isSame:
name
notEqual:\nnotImplemented
perform:
perform: with:
print
printOn:
printString:
respondTo:
sel
shallowCopy
shouldImplement
show
size
storeOn:
str
subclassResponsibility
superClass

AVLTree

key:

addContentsTo:
addKeysTo:
find:
free
insertInto:
isCopyOf:
key:
key:
printOn:
Objective-C Base Tree (continued)

**Object**
new
initialize
ndxVarSize
new
readFrom:

**ByteArray**

**Array**
new:
ndxVarSize
ndxVarType
with:

**IdArray**

**IntArray**
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Object

free
initialise
new
poseAs:
readFrom:
selGraph:
awake
capacity
class
compare:
copy
doesNotRecognise:
depCopy
describe
derror:
free
hash
idOBT:
isCopyOf:
eQual:
eKindOf:
eMemberOf:
eSame:
name
equality:
notImplemented
eSame:
perform:
perform: with:
perform: with: with:
print
printOn:
printString:
respondsTo:
sel:
show
shallowCopy
shouldNotImplement
size
storeOn:
str
subclassResponsibility
superClass

Assoc

key:
key: value:
compare:
hash
eQual:
key
key:
printOn:
str
value
value:

Point

fromUser:
x: y:
dot:
above:
below:
left:
right:
hash
length
moveBy:
moveBy: x:
moves:
moveTo:
plus:
printOn:
times:
x:
y:
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Objective-C Base Tree (continued)

Object
---
new
size
show
storeOn:
str
subclassResponsibility
superClass

Rectangle
---
fromUser
new
area

initialize
origin:: corner:
origin:: extent:

poseAs:
origin:: extent::-

poseAs:
bottom:
bottom:
bottomCenter:
bottomLeft:
bottomRight:

center:
centerX:
centerY:

top:
top:
topCenter:
topLeft:
topRight:

union:
width:
width:
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Objective-C Base Tree (continued)

### Object

- free
- initialise
- ndxVarSize
- new
- poseAt:
- readFrom:
- nsGraph:
- awake
- capacity
- class
- compare:
- copy
- deepCopy
- describe
- doesNotRecognise:
- error:
- free
- hash
- idOSTR:
- isCopyOf:
- isEqual:
- isKindOf:
- isMemberOf:
- isEqual:
- name
- notEqual:
- notImplemented
- notSame:
- perform:
- perform: with:
- perform: with: with:
- print
- printOn:
- printString:
- respondsToSelector:
- self
- shallowCopy
- shouldNotImplement
- show
- size
- storeOn:
- str
- subclassResponsibility
- superclass

### Sequence

- over:
  - first
  - free
  - isCopyOf:
  - next
  - over:
  - rewind

### String

- ndxVarSize
- ndxVarType
- new
- new:
- printf:
- str:
- asFloat
- asInt
- asLong
- capacity
- capacity:
- charAt:
- charAt: put:
- compare:
- compareSTR:
- concat:
- concatSTR:
- copy
- describe
- hash
- isEqual:
- isEqualSTR:
- printOn:
- size
- str
- strcat:

### Unknown

- ndxVarSize
- ndxVarType
- newClass:
- var:
- onIOD:
- Text:
- printOn:
- capacity
- describe
- doesNotRecognise:
- iVarCapacity:
Appendix C

Prototype Source Code

LEX Specification File

```c
#include "objc.h"
#include "y.tab.h"
define MON(x) | yylval.lex = x ; return MONTH ; }
= (N, Collection, Primitive)
%
%%
[jJ]an("."|uary)? MON(1) ;
[if]eb("."|ruary)? MON(2) ;
[Mar|ar("."|ich)? MON(3) ;
[Apr|prt("."|il)? MON(4) ;
[May] MON(5) ;
[jJun|un("."|e)? MON(6) ;
[Jul|uil("."|y)? MON(7) ;
[aAug|ug("."|ust)? MON(8) ;
[aSep|ep("."|tember)? MON(9) ;
[Oct|ct("."|ober)? MON(10) ;
[nNov|ov("."|ember)? MON(11) ;
[dDec|ec("."|ember)? MON(12) ;
[0-9] yylval.lex = yytext[0] - '0' ;
\"n\n" return (yytext[0]) ; /* return single characters */
%
#include "stdio.h"

int noleap [] = { 0, 31, 28, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 31, } ;
int leap [] = { 0, 31, 29, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31, } ;
date ( month, day, year )
{
int *daysin ;
daysin = isleap ( year ) ? leap : noleap ;
if ( month < 1 || month > 12 )
{
printf ( "month out of range \n" ) ;
return ;
}
if ( day < 1 || day > daysin[month] )
{
printf ( "day of the month out of range\n" ) ;
return ;
}
isleap (year)
{
if ( year % 4 != 0 ) return (0) ;
if ( year % 100 != 0 ) return (1) ;
if ( year % 400 != 0 ) return (0) ;
return (1) ;
}
int yywrap (}
{return(1) ;}
```
YACC Specification File

#include "objc.h"

= (M, Collection, Primitive) /* phyle */
extern id dateObj;

union {
    short lex; /* stack type */
    id obj; /* an object */
}

start prog

#define<lex> EOL

token<lex> number

type<obj> DateStmt

prog: DateStmt EOL { exit(1); }

DateStmt: MONTH day year
    { date ( $1, $2, $4 );
      $5 = [ dateObj mo: $1 da: $2 yr: $4 ];
      [ dateObj print ];
    } day MONTH year
    { date ( $2, $1, $3 );
      $5 = [ dateObj mo: $2 da: $1 yr: $3 ];
      [ dateObj print ];
    } number /* number */
    number
    { if ( $5 < 100 ) $5 = $5 + 1900;
      date ( $1, $3, $5 );
      $5 = [ dateObj mo: $1 da: $3 yr: $5 ];
      [ dateObj print ];
    }

number /*
    { date ( 1, 1, $1 );
      $5 = [ dateObj mo: -1 da: -1 yr: $1 ];
      [ dateObj print ];
    }

year: number

number: DIGIT
  number DIGIT
    { $1 = 10 * $1 + $2; }


#include "stdio.h"
yerror ( s ) /* called for yacc syntax error */
char *s;
{
  warning(s, (char *) 0);
}

char *program="stdin";

warning(s, t1, t2, t3, t4, t5, t6, t7, t8, t9) /* print warning message */
char *s, *t1, *t2, *t3, *t4, *t5, *t6, *t7, *t8, *t9;

extern int yylineno;

fprintf(stderr, "file: ", program);

fprintf(stderr, "near line %d
", yylineno);
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```c
#include "objc.h"
#include "y.tab.h"

Date:Object ( N, Collection, Primitive )
{ int mon, dy, year ; }
- mo:(int) aMonth da:(int) aDay yr:(int) aYear
  { mon = aMonth ;
    dy = aDay ;
    year = aYear ;
    return self ;
  }
- print
  { if (dy>0 && mon>0 )
    printf("<DATE> %d %s %d\n", dy, MON[mon], year ) ;
  if (dy < 0 && mon<0 )
    printf("<DATE> %d\n", year ) ;
  if (dy < 0 && mon >0 )
    printf("<DATE> %s %d\n", MON[mon], year ) ;
  /* insert code for different type of prints to account for defaults*/
  }
```

#include "stdio.h"
#include "objc.h"

#define ( N, Collection, Primitive )
    extern BOOL msgFlag ;
    extern IOD yyin, yyout, msgIOD ;
    id dateObj ;

main ()
{
    extern id Date, Set ;

    msgIOD = stdout;
    msgFlag = NO ;
    dateObj = [ Date new ] ;
    printf (">" ) ;
    yyparse() ;
    printf ("end yyparse\n");
}

@class ( Date, Set, Cltn, IdArray, Sequence )
@phyla ( N, Collection, Primitive )
Appendix D

Merged File of Heterogeneous Bibliographic Citations from Six Database Sources

<ACCESSION NO.> 5812902C 8506
<DATABASE SOURCE> BRS/National Library of Medicine Database
<ENTRY NO.> ELLISON-J W WORFF-ERA
<PUBL. NO.> CAMBRIDGE HOSPITAL. MASSACHUSETTS.
<TITLE> More than a gateway: the role of the emergency psychiatry service in the community mental health network
<LANGUAGE> EN.
<MAJOR CATEGORY> COMMUNITY-MENTAL-HEALTH-CENTERS: og.
<MINOR CATEGORY> ADULT. CASE-REPORT. CRISIS-INTERVENTION. FEMALE. HOSPITAL-BED-CAPACITY-300-TO-499. HUMAN. INTERINSTITUTIONAL-RELATIONS. MENTAL-HEALTH-SERVICES og.
<ABSTRACT> Role of the emergency psychiatric service in the community mental health network. The emergency unit performs triage and provides backup for the agencies, coordinates the management of multi-agency cases, and holds weekly educational conferences for agency staff. Using case examples, the authors illustrate how unit and agency staff collaborate to ensure continuity of patient care. Author.
<DATE> 1985.
<ISSN> 0022-1597.
<ZN> Z, 117.567.875.
<NO> MH11182.
<DATABASE SOURCE> DTIC/dots-tr
<TRANSLATION DATE> Mon Jul 1 13:33:43 POT 1985 (489098023)
<DOWNLOAD DATE> Mon Jul 1 10:18:29 POT 1985 (489086309)
<DOWNLOAD FILE NAME> gate
Merged File of Heterogeneous Bibliographic Citations (continued)

joint post-processing of bibliographic citations from the federal information centers of the Department of Energy (DOE), the Department of Defense (DOD), and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). This program is currently installed on the Intelligent Gateway Processor of the Technology Information System (TIS/IGP) at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and is under evaluation by the TIS user community from remote terminals by telephone dial-up, over TYMNET, and the ARPA computer network. Users are individually authorized for automated access to specific information centers, use standard commands for the downloading, compilation, and online review of citations in a common format. Previously reported post-processing capabilities have been further expanded, permitting (1) online citation review, categorization, and addition of new data elements; (2) disassembly and re-assembly of citations; (3) statistical analysis of data field contents; (4) cross-correlation of data field contents; and (5) concordance generation. In addition, the new two-pass interpreter for the post-processing program permits the transformation of abbreviated data field names into English names preferred by each agency, the statistical analysis of the density and completeness of data fields in selected sets of citations; the elimination of redundant data fields, and trend analysis. The latter is a powerful tool for the exploration of time-dependent characteristics in a particular field of an organization, or for an organization, or for an author. Standard commands for the downloading, compilation, and online review of citations are available in the description of the work, can be used in the ongoing research and the intensity of its support (ERA citation 18:081786).

INDEX TERMS: Information: Computer Networks; Information Retrieval; Specifications

INDEXING TERMS: EDG/980380; NTISDE

SH 58 (Behavioral and Social Sciences--Documentation and Information Technology); 9B (Electronics and Electrical Engineering--Computers); 880 (Library and Information Sciences--Information Systems), 620 (Computers, Controls, and Information Theory--Computer Software)

ACCESSION NO.: 8408188598

DATABASE SOURCE: DOE/recon

TRANSLATION DATE: Mon Jul 1 13:33:43 PDT 1985 (489896823)

DOWNLOAD DATE: Mon Jul 1 10:18:29 PDT 1985 (489886399)

DOWNLOAD FILE NAME: gote

REPORT NO./PAGE: UCRL-58995-Rev.1 P. 17: DE85000617

TITLE: Merged File of Heterogeneous Bibliographic Citations (continued)

EDITOR OR COMP: Belinger, W.A.; Hensel, V.E.; Harrison, I.; Murphy, T.P.

CORPORATE AUTH: Lawrence Livermore National Lab., CA (USA)

CORPORATE CODE: 9513805

TYPE: R

SEC REPT NO.: CONF-841243--1-Rev.1

PAGE NO.: 17

AVAILABILITY: NTIS, PC AB2/MF AB1.

ORDER NUMBER: DE85000617

CONTRACT NO.: Contract W-7405-ENG-48

CONF TITLE: 6. International online information meeting

CONF PLACE: London, UK

CONF DATE: 4 Dec 1984

DATE: Aug 1984

CO OF AUTO: US

CO OF PUB: US

ANN J: ERA-18:081786; EDB-84:188555

DISTRIBUTION: MN-32

DOCUMENT ORIGIN: P

BIBLIOGRAPHY: EDB-998380

PRIMARY CAT: EDB-998380 (GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS; INFORMATION HANDLING)

ABSTRACT: We have developed an interactive, self-guided program for the joint post-processing of bibliographic citations from the federal information centers of the Department of Energy (DOE), the Department of Defense (DOD), and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). This program is currently installed on the Intelligent Gateway Processor of the Technology Information System (TIS/IGP) at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and is under evaluation by the TIS user community from remote terminals by telephone dial-up, over TYMNET, and the ARPA computer network. Users are individually authorized for automated access to specific information centers, and use standard commands for the downloading, compilation, and online review of citations in a common format. Previously reported post-processing capabilities have been further expanded, permitting (1) online citation review, categorization, and addition of new data elements; (2) disassembly and re-assembly of citations; (3) statistical analysis of data field contents; (4) cross-correlation of data field contents; and (5) concordance generation.
In addition, the new two-pass interpreter for the post-processing program permits the transformation of abbreviated data field names into engineering names and cross-referencing. The statistical analysis of the data, and completeness of data fields in selected sets of bibliographic citations, the elimination of redundant citations (using user-specified criteria), and trend analysis. The latter is a powerful tool for the exploration of time-dependent characteristics in a particular field of research of an organization, or for an author. Graphical displays of publication rates as a function of time and the normalized statistics of terms used in the description of the work, can be used to signal new directions of ongoing research and the intensity of its support.

<DESCRIPTORS> INFORMATION--computer networks; INFORMATION RETRIEVAL; SPECIFICATIONS

<ISSUE> 8423
<DOCUMENT NO> 84:186555
<AQUSSION:NO> 8448173597
<DATABASE SOURCE> DOE/RECON
<TRANSLATION DATE> Mon Jul 1 13:33:43 PDT 1985 (489898632)
<DOWNLOAD DATE> Mon Jul 1 10:19:29 PDT 1985 (489886389)
<DOWNLOAD FILE NAME> geta
<REPORT NO, PAGE> UCRL--91383 P. 10:DE950817744
<TITLE(MAND)> Integration of an automated library support system with an intelligent gateway
<EDITOR OR COMP> Burton, H.D.
<CORPORATE AUTH> Lawrence Livermore National Lab., CA (USA)
<CORPORATE CODE> 9513635
<TYPE> N
<SEC RP ID NO> CONF-8489138--1
<PAGE NO> 10
<AVAILABILITY> NTIS, PC 402/WF A01.
<ORDER NUMBER> DE850817744
<CONTRACT NO> Contract W-7405-ENG-48
<CONF TITLE> Integrated online library systems conference
<CONF PLACE> Atlanta, GA, USA
<CONF DATE> 13 Sep 1984
<DATE> Aug 1984
<EDITOR OR AUTH> US
<CONF OF PUBL> US
<ANN J> EDB-84173591
<DISTRIBUTION> NN-32
<DOCUMENT ORIGIN> P
<B> TIS
<CATEGORY> EDB-998380 (GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS; INFORMATION HANDLING)
<P> ABSTRACT A new project of the Technology Information System (TIS) at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) calls for the evaluation of commercially available library support packages and the extension and integration of the most desirable system with the TIS gateway to provide a comprehensive prototype for libraries and information centers. This prototype system is planned to facilitate access to and management of information resources centers such as cataloging, serials control, and acquisitions, as well as to interface to external systems and services for data forwarding and exchange, retrieval, and post-processing. Cooperative cataloging, distributed database processing, electronic inter-library loan, and customized bibliography production are some of the features planned for the prototype. Development of an interpreter front-end processor will allow the user to submit his search query in a semi-automated manner using a single, English-like command language. The TIS at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) has developed a computer-based intelligent gateway for automated access to such diverse, geographically distributed information systems as DOE/RECON, DOT/DCR/LS, NASA/RECON, CAS Online, DARC (France) and DECEMA (West Germany), among many others. New information resources centers are being added as required and users can connect simultaneously to more than one host to compare their data. The TIS online master directory provides the user with a single, integrated view of available and relevant resources. The automated access procedures permit the user to concentrate on the information aspects of his work rather than be burdened with various log-on procedures, database formats and protocols. The merger of the library support with the TIS gateway should provide users with the capabilities to access the full spectrum of textual, numeric and graphics data resources.
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The directory is intended to provide interactive access to scientific and technical databases available to the public that contain information pertaining to nuclear, atomic, molecular, physical, chemical, and mechanical properties of substances. In addition to the 101 data files previously reported, the information is updated with more than 38 numeric databases and predictive systems in these fields. In addition to describing the contents of the databases, updated information is provided on the availability of the databases and their online access over public telephone and data networks. Some of the numeric databases are directly accessible by authorized users via the TIS Intelligent Gateway Processor at LLNL (TIS/10P), with self-guiding procedures for the downloading, merging, post-processing, and graphical/statistical analysis of data.
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describes the applications which have benefited from ARPANET during the
reporting period. Finally, it discusses an investigation of the techniques
for facsimile transmission between different devices over the network.

Earlier work in attaching hosts by front-end techniques has been broadened
to providing gateway facilities between computer networks. Here, pursuit was two lines. An internetwork Transmission Control Protocol TCP has been implemented which is designed to be applicable to a host-host protocol between hosts on different networks. Experiments to test the properties of this protocol have been started between UCL, Stanford U and Bolt, Beranek and Newman BBN. More effort has been put into designing and implementing gateway functions when a specific node acts as a gateway between two networks and performs a mapping between the standard protocols of the two. Investigated was the applicability of this approach to several networks, including the connection of ARPANET and the UK's Post Office Experimental Packet Switched Service (EPSS). Preliminary results show that the technique should be feasible, but since other networks are not yet operational, the technique was not demonstrated.
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