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A full-scale study was conducted by the Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, PA (NADC), for the C3 Division of the U.S. Army Avionics Research and Development Activity (AVRADA), Fort Monmouth, NJ, to test and evaluate production prototype antenna systems that essentially met the requirement for SINCGARS operation when installed on an Army UH-1 helicopter. The results of these tests determined a suitable tail whip antenna to be used on the UH-1 to satisfy the SINCGARS requirement between 30 and 88 MHz.

This technical report describes the results of tests conducted on antennas manufactured by three major Airborne Antenna manufacturers, each of whom attempted to provide an antenna system that would directly replace the standard Army CU-942B antenna, and satisfy the more stringent requirements of project SINCGARS.

The information in this report provides, in part, the technical data for the production data package of an adequate VHF-FM Communications antenna for the UH-1 aircraft.
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1. **OBJECTIVE**

The object of this test was to conduct swept frequency measurements of candidate replacement antennas and couplers for the US Army UH-1B Helicopter. The measurements were made with the test items mounted on a full-scale helicopter. The frequency band was 30–88 MHz.

2. **TEST ITEMS**

The test items in these measurements were the following bent whip antennas and straight whip antenna couplers:

**Bent Whip Antennas:**

- FM 10-30-1, SN 582, Army Standard
- AS-3595, SN 011, Quad Pod
- AO-1956, SN 001, AEL
- FM 10-30-4, SN E010, Dayton Granger

**Antenna Couplers with Straight Whip:**

- CU-942B, Army Standard
- AV 11-401A, SN 0004, Avant
- AV 11-1011, SN 0001, Avant
- FM 10-22-6, SN 0002, Dayton Granger
- AO-1955, SN 0001, AEL

3. **TEST FACILITY**

The test measurements were conducted at the Naval Air Development Center Antenna Test Facility located in Warminster, PA. A UH-1B Army Helicopter was transported to the test facility and placed on a 20 by 24-foot cement pad located 500 feet from Building 115. Figure 1 shows how the test range was set up for these measurements.

4. **TEST CONFIGURATION**

The bent whip antennas and the couplers with their associated whip antennas were mounted on the helicopter in their normal flight location (Fig. 2). Swept frequency measurements were made from the front, right side, and rear of the helicopter.

The test measurement equipment was located in the equipment van and configured as shown in Figure 3. The swept frequency signals were transmitted from the test items and received by an APN-1396 Log Periodic Antenna. An APN-995B Log Periodic was used as a reference antenna.
Figure 1. Test Range Setup.
Figure 3. Test Measurement Equipment Configuration
5. TEST PROCEDURE

For each measurement, the test item was mounted on the helicopter and connected to the sweep oscillator through a 3 dB pad and an RF switch. The other port of the switch was connected to the reference antenna through a 10-dB pad to reduce its transmit level.

The reference antenna transmit level was monitored prior to the start of each sweep to assure that the oscillator output power did not vary from one sweep to the next.

The oscillator output was switched to the reference antenna whose output level was recorded over the frequency band. This was done by setting the sweep oscillator for a slow single cycle sweep (60 seconds) and then locking the receiver on the start frequency with the Automatic Frequency Control (AFC) prior to the start of the sweep. The output of the sweep oscillator was then switched to the first test item and its output recorded.

This procedure was repeated for all test items with the UH-1B in the three (3) test positions: front, side, and rear.

6. DATA PRESENTATION

The swept frequency data was reduced and plotted against the output of the reference antenna. This was accomplished by normalizing the reference antenna signal level and plotting the test item deviation from that level. Although the plotted levels are not absolute values, they are relative to each other and show the gain differences between the test items.

The AEL AO-1956 and the Army FM 10-30-1 Bent Whip Antennas were only plotted to 82 MHz. This is because the gain of these antennas decreased at the high end of the frequency band to a point that their transmit levels dropped below the level of an extraneous signal present at approximately 92.5 MHz. This caused the AFC of the receiver to lock on the extraneous signal and prevent further recording of the test item signal. This also occurred on the Army CU-942B and AEL's AO-1955 when transmitting from the right side of the helicopter. The Army CU-942B and AEL AO-1955 also experienced extremely low signal levels at 30-31 MHz when transmitting from the rear and the side of the helicopter. Although it did not affect the other straight whip antennas, this could have been caused by the transmit antenna feed cable resonating at this frequency. The patterns were repeated several times for each antenna coupler with the same results. Further investigation into this area could not be undertaken at this time because of the time limit set by flight operations. The helicopter had to be flown out of the test area before NADC flight operations closed for the day (1700 hours).

The gain plots are presented in the Appendices as follows:

Appendix A - Front of Helicopter
Appendix B - Right Side of Helicopter
Appendix C - Rear of Helicopter
The swept frequency patterns were cataloged and are presented as recorded. A slight difference in the reference antenna pattern occurs between the data collected on December 5 and 7, 1984. The test was interrupted on December 6 due to rain and high winds. The reference and receive antenna masts were lowered at that time. The slight difference is most likely due to the antennas not being erected in the same exact position as on the first day of testing.

The swept frequency patterns are presented as follows:

Appendix D - Front of Helicopter

Appendix E - Right Side of Helicopter

Appendix F - Rear of Helicopter
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SUBJECT: Vibration Survey of the Dayton-Granger FM 10-360 Quad Pod Antenna

1. BACKGROUND:
   a. The Dayton-Granger FM 10-360 Quad Pod Antenna was one of several antennas being investigated for use with the SINCGARS radio set. Previous flight testing of the antenna on a CH-47 Helicopter revealed serious vibration problems due to the coupling of the antenna's resonant frequency with the helicopter forcing frequencies.

   b. A stipulation in the Airworthiness Release for flight testing of the antenna on a UH-1 Helicopter was that an flight vibration survey of the antenna be performed and an analysis of the data provided to the Aviation Systems Command (AVSCOM) in St. Louis, MO, for study. Mr. Bernard Ricciardi of the Avionics Research and Development Activity (AVRADA) requested the survey.

2. PURPOSE:
   The purpose of the vibration survey was to determine the vibration characteristics of the FM10-360 antenna on a UH-1H helicopter.

3. TEST SITE AND DATE:
   The inflight vibration survey was performed on a UH-1H Helicopter, Serial Number 21684, by TSA personnel at the ERADCOM Flight Test Activity (EFTA), Lakehurst, NJ, on 9 August 1984.

4. TEST EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE:
   a. The flight survey was performed using Endevco Model 2227 Single Axis Accelerometers and an Endevco Model 2223C Triaxial Accelerometer. Output from the accelerometers was passed through voltage amplifiers and then fed into a Bell & Howell MARS 2000LT Modular Airborne Recording System. The recording system uses intermediate band one inch magnetic tape and can record up to 14 channels of information. The recording system and amplifiers were palletized and installed in the cargo area (Figure 1). All instrumentation was calibrated prior to use.
b. Single axis accelerometers were secured, using epoxy, at the top of each of the four (4) vertical support members. These recorded output in the y direction, i.e., side to side. Another single axis accelerometer was mounted on the horizontal element to record output in the x direction, i.e., fore and aft. The triaxial accelerometer was mounted on the base of the antenna near the aircraft skin to measure the input levels (Figures 2 and 3).

c. The helicopter was flown in a broad flight profile to simulate actual mission use. The profile was as follows: ground runup, taxi and takeoff, level flight at 70-80 knots, level flight at 90-95 knots, level flight at 105-110 knots, 45 degrees banked left (counterclockwise) turn, 45 degrees banked right (clockwise) turn, out of ground effect (OGE) hover, low level flight, in ground effect (IGE) hover, landing, and engine shutdown.

5. DISCUSSION:

a. The most significant sources of vibration in a UH-1H Helicopter are due to the main rotor and the tail rotor. The forcing frequencies are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>FORCING FREQUENCY (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAIN ROTOR</td>
<td>Fundamentals 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Blade Passage 10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Blade Passage 21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Blade Passage 32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th Blade Passage 43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5th Blade Passage 54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6th Blade Passage 64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7th Blade Passage 75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAIL ROTOR</td>
<td>Fundamental 27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Blade Passage 55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Blade Passage 110.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Blade Passage 165.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th Blade Passage 220.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5th Blade Passage 276.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the forcing frequency increases, the energy level decreases. The forcing frequency is determined by multiplying the rotor RPM, which for the main rotor is 324, by the number of blades, two (2), and dividing by 60.
b. The FM10-360 antenna was installed in place of the existing FM10-30 antenna on the helicopter roof (Figure 4). The flight survey was performed to measure the actual vibration frequencies and levels experienced by the antenna. The output of the antenna should not show amplification of the input forcing frequency levels throughout the entire flight profile. Any consistent amplification greater than two (2) will indicate that one of the resonant frequencies is very close to or the same as one of the forcing frequencies. Consistently high amplification could cause the antenna to fail or could cause the supporting aircraft structure to fail, as was the case on the CH-47 Helicopter.

c. The results of the flight survey were plotted and the amplification factors calculated. A modal analysis of the antenna was also performed. The modal analysis, which uses the impulse hammer excitation method, was performed with the antenna installed on the helicopter, and with the antenna mounted on a fixture in the laboratory. The modal analysis was used to verify the actual antenna natural resonant frequencies.

6. RESULTS:

a. The results of the modal analysis are presented in Figures 5 thru 9. The illustrations present the mode shape, and the corresponding frequency is shown in the upper right hand corner. A comparison of the resonant frequencies of the antenna with the helicopter forcing frequencies reveals two problems: one at the resonant frequency of 21.1 Hz (21.6 Hz forcing frequency), and the other at 32.5 Hz (32.4 Hz forcing frequency).

b. The results of the flight survey are presented in Figures 10 thru 41. Figures 10 thru 20 present the output measured by accelerometer #12, and the helicopter input measured in the y direction by accelerometer #3. Figures 21 thru 31 present the output measured by accelerometer #8, and the helicopter input measured in the z direction by accelerometer #5. Figures 32 thru 41 present the x direction helicopter input measured by accelerometer #6. The data in the graphs is an average of all data taken during the particular flight maneuver listed. Accelerometers 8 and 12 were identified in modal analysis as being in locations that would reveal significant amplification. The other accelerometers measured similar data.

c. The input data are the helicopter forcing frequencies at the associated g levels. The output from the antenna is its response to these inputs. A comparison of the maximum input level at each forcing frequency during each flight maneuver to the maximum antenna output reveals extreme amplification at the identified resonant frequencies of 21.1 Hz and 32.5 Hz throughout the entire flight profile. These comparisons are presented in Figure 42.
7. CONCLUSION:

The results show that amplification factors much greater than two exist throughout the flight profile for the FM10-360 Antenna. This indicates that the antenna could fail or could cause the aircraft structure to fail during prolonged flight use. The FM10-360 Antenna as tested is unsafe for any type of inflight service on the UH-1H Helicopter.

8. RECOMMENDATION:

The FM10-360 Antenna should not be flown on the UH-1H Helicopter, or any other helicopter with forcing frequencies similar to the UH-1H, until a redesign of the antenna and subsequent testing indicates safer vibration characteristics.
Single Axis Accelerometer at the top of each leg

Triaxial Accelerometer

Figure 2. FM10-360 Antenna and Accelerometer Locations
Figure 4. Existing FM10-30 antenna that is removed to mount the FM10-360 antenna.
Figure 6. FM10-360 Antenna, Second Mode of Vibration
Figure 8. FM10-360 Antenna, Fourth Mode of Vibration
Figure 9. FM10-360 Antenna, Fifth Mode of Vibration
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #12

Y DIRECTION ANTEENA OUTPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 54 65 71.5 100 HZ

4.23 1.6 1.21 .798 .572 .471 .553 G's

ACCELEROMETER #3

Y DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 54 65 100 HZ

2.49 1.58 2.02 .852 .592 .558 G's

FIGURE 10. GROUND RUNUP 324 RPM: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21584
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #12
Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT
2.08  .808  1.12  .411  G's
10.75  21.5  32.25  HZ  71.5  100

ACCELEROMETER #3
Y DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT
.419  .161  .222  .154  G's
10.75  21.5  32.25  HZ  71.5  100

FIGURE 11. TAXI AND TAKEOFF: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER

#12 1.43 1.45 1.21 .277 .506 G's

Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER

#3 .679 .211 .241 .125 .250 G's

Y DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

FIGURE 12. LEVEL FLIGHT 70-80 KNOTS, 100-3000 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #12
Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT

0.321 1.59 2.41 0.632 G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 100 HZ 71.5

ACCELEROMETER #3
Y DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

0.541 0.076 0.107 0.250 G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 100 HZ 71.5

FIGURE 13. LEVEL FLIGHT 90-95 KNOTS, 3000 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#12
Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA
OUTPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 100 HZ

0.327 2.54 1.42

0.530 G's

ACCELEROMETER
#3
Y DIRECTION
HELICOPTER
INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 100 HZ

0.719 0.129 0.111

0.147 G's

FIGURE 14. LEVEL FLIGHT 105-110 KNOTS, 3000 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER

#12

0.363 1.69 2.40

6.13 G's

Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER

#3

0.640 0.106 0.057 0.241

0.312 G's

Y DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 HZ 71.5 100

FIGURE 15. 45 LEFT BANK 70-75 KNOTS, 2800 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#12
Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA
OUTPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 Hz 71.5

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 Hz 71.5

ACCELEROMETER
#3
Y DIRECTION
HELICOPTER
INPUT

.367 1.48 1.41 .127 .661 G's

.543 .124 .067 .283 .361 G's

FIGURE 16.45 RIGHT BANK 70-75 KNOTS, 2800 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #12
Y DIRECTION, ANTENNA OUTPUT
0 .231 .180 .219 .366 G's
10.75 21.5 32.25 Hz 71.5 100

ACCELEROMETER #3
Y DIRECTION, HELICOPTER INPUT
0 .322 .09 .077 .139 .200 G's
10.75 21.5 32.25 43 Hz 71.5 100

FIGURE 17. HOVER 1000 FEET: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
\#12
0 10 75 21.5 32.25 Hz 71.5 Hz 100
841 2.22 1.34

Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA
OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER
\#3
0 10 75 21.5 32.25 Hz 71.5 Hz 100
723 .338 .197 .217 .200 .294

Y DIRECTION
HELICOPTER
INPUT

FIGURE 18. LOW LEVEL FLIGHT 70-75 KNOTS, UNDER 50 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #12
Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA OUTPUT

0
21.5 32.25
HZ

ACCELEROMETER #3
Y DIRECTION
HELICOPTER INPUT

0
10.75 21.5 32.25
HZ

0.509 1.07 0.621 G's
0.095 0.049 0.042
0.294 G's

FIGURE 19. IN GROUND EFFECT (IGE) HOVER 10-15 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #12
Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT
1.99 .500 .930 .569 G's

ACCELEROMETER #3
Y DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT
1.03 .033 .046 .155 G's

FIGURE 20. LANDING AND ENGINE SHUTDOWN: ACCELEROMETERS 3 AND 12
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#8
Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA
OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER
#5
Z DIRECTION
HELICOPTER
INPUT

FIGURE 21. GROUND RUNUP 324 RPM: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #8
Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT

0.606 0.600 G's

21.5 32.25 HZ

ACCELEROMETER #5
Z DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

0.127 0.155 0.575 0.473 0.538 G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 55.0 71.5 HZ

FIGURE 22. TAXI AND TAKEOFF: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#8
Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT

0.274 1.80 0.701 0.241 0.166 G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 67.5 71.5 100

ACCELEROMETER
#5
Z DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

0.400 1.04 0.764 0.578 0.672 G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 55.0 71.5 100

FIGURE 28. LEVEL FLIGHT 78-80 KNOTS, 100-3000 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

**CCELEROMETER #8**
- Y DIRECTION
- ANTENNA OUTPUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Acceleration (G's)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CCELEROMETER #5**
- Z DIRECTION
- HELICOPTER INPUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Acceleration (G's)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>0.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>0.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 24. LEVEL FLIGHT 90-95 KNOTS, 3000 FT; ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10 360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #8
Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER #5
Z DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 55.0 71.5 100

G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 67.5 71.5 100

G's

FIGURE 25. LEVEL FLIGHT 105-110 KNOTS, 3000 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#8
Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA
OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER
#5
Z DIRECTION
HELICOPTER
INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 HZ 67.5 71.5 100

.441 2.91 1.57

.199 .162 G's

.404 .291 1.30

.472 .554 G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 55.0 71.5 HZ 100

FIGURE 26. 45 LEFT BANK 70-75 KNOTS, 2000 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER

**#8**

Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA OUTPUT

.308 .226 .902 .245 .185 G's

ACCELEROMETER

**#5**

Z DIRECTION
HELICOPTER INPUT

.356 .252 .974 .518 .582 G's

FIGURE 27. 45 RIGHT BANK 70-75 KNOTS, 2000 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER #8
Y DIRECTION ANTENNA OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER #5
Z DIRECTION HELICOPTER INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 HZ 67.5 71.5 100

0.188 1.50 1.27

0.174 0.122 G's

0.342 0.230 0.956 0.475 0.470 0.556 G's

FIGURE 28. HOVER 1000 FEET: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#8
Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA OUTPUT

ACCELEROMETER
#5
Z DIRECTION
HELICOPTER INPUT

291 2.94 676 .397 .146 G's

.928 .521 .771 .333 .502 .578 G's

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 HZ 67.5 71.5 100
0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 55.0 71.5 HZ 100

FIGURE 29. LOW LEVEL FLIGHT 70-75 KNOTS, UNDER 50 FT: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#8
Y DIRECTION
ANTENNA
OUTPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 55.0 71.5 HZ 100

0.107 0.918 1.06 0.145 G's

ACCELEROMETER
#5
Z DIRECTION
HELCOPTER
INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 55.0 71.5 HZ 100

0.439 0.215 0.794 0.164 0.416 0.648 G's

FIGURE 30: IN GROUND EFFECT (IGE) HOVER 10-15 FT; ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
IM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-1H HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

ACCELEROMETER
#6
Y DIRECTION
ANTIENNA OUTPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 HZ 71.5 100

0.138 .958 1.27 .109 G's

ACCELEROMETER
#5
Z DIRECTION
HELIICOPTER INPUT

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 43 55.0 71.5 HZ 100

.389 .253 .891 .186 .333 .413 G's

FIGURE 31. LANDING AND ENGINE SHUTDOWN: ACCELEROMETERS 5 AND 8
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

G'S - .295 .336 .344

0 10.75 21.5 32.25 HZ 100

FIGURE 32. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: GROUND RUNUP 324 RPMS
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

FIGURE 33. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: TAKEOFF AND TAXI
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

FIGURE 3. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: LEVEL FLIGHT 110 KNOTS
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

FIGURE 36. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: 45 DEG LEFT BANK TURN
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

FIGURE 37. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: 45 DEG RIGHT BANK TURN
FM10-360 ANTELLA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684

AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY

PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

G'S 0.157 0.108 0.859 0.171

FIGURE 38. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: HOVER AT 1000 FEET
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

FIGURE 3. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: 30 FT ALT LEVEL FLIGHT
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

G'S  .151  .380  .686  .176

0  10.75  21  32.5  HZ  71.75  100

FIGURE 40. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: GROUND EFFECT HOVER
FM10-360 ANTENNA VIBRATION SURVEY ON UH-IH HELICOPTER 73-21684
AVERAGE G LEVELS VS FREQUENCY
PERFORMED ON AUGUST 9, 1984 AT NAS LAKEHURST NJ

FIGURE 4. X DIR HELICOPTER INPUTS
CONDITION: LANDING
### Ground Run-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Helicopter Input Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>Antenna Output Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>amplification factor</th>
<th>output/input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Taxi and Take Off

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Helicopter Input Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>Antenna Output Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>amplification factor</th>
<th>output/input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level Flight 90-95 Knots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Helicopter Input Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>Antenna Output Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>amplification factor</th>
<th>output/input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>33.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level Flight 105-110 Knots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Helicopter Input Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>Antenna Output Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>amplification factor</th>
<th>output/input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level Flight 70-80 Knots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Helicopter Input Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>Antenna Output Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>amplification factor</th>
<th>output/input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 45 Degree Banked Left Turn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Helicopter Input Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>Antenna Output Direction</th>
<th>Level G's RMS</th>
<th>amplification factor</th>
<th>output/input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 42. Comparison of Helicopter Input vs Antenna Output (Sheet 1 of 2)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>45 Degree Right Banked Turn</th>
<th>IGE Hover</th>
<th>Low Level Flight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helicopter Input</td>
<td>Antenna Level</td>
<td>Amplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direction</td>
<td>G's RMS</td>
<td>Output Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>.543</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.252</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.974</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.582</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OGE Hover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.342</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#3Y</td>
<td>.956</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.475</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.556</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landing and Shutdown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.389</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.253</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.891</td>
<td>#8Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>#5Z</td>
<td>.413</td>
<td>#12Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 40. Comparison of Helicopter Input vs Antenna Output (Sheet 2 of 2)**
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