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ABSTRACT

It is shown that cubic spline interpolation with the not-a-knot side condition converges to any $C^2$-interpoland without any mesh-ratio restriction as the mesh size goes to zero.
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SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

The cubic spline interpolant to a given function agrees with that function at the knots $t_1 < \ldots < t_n$ of the spline; its complete specification requires two additional conditions. Originally, the slope at the end points $t_1$ and $t_n$ was used, giving the complete spline interpolant. But, in the absence of such information, one has to try something else. One proposal is the not-a-knot condition [B3; Ch. IV] in which the third derivative of $f$ is made continuous at $t_2$ and at $t_{n-1}$. In effect, these next-to-boundary knots are not knots. But, whereas the convergence of complete spline interpolation has been established in various ways, there doesn't seem to be a proof of the convergence of not-a-knot cubic spline interpolation in the literature.

The present report remedies this situation.
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The cubic spline interpolant $P_g$ to a given function $g$ agrees with $g$ at the knots $t_1 < \ldots < t_n$ of the spline; its complete specification requires two additional conditions. Originally, the slope at the end points $t_1$ and $t_n$ was used, giving the complete spline interpolant. But, in the absence of such information, one has to try something else. One proposal is the not-a-knot condition [B3; Ch. IV] in which the third derivative of $P_g$ is made continuous at $t_2$ and at $t_{n-1}$. In effect, these next-to-boundary knots are not knots. But, whereas the convergence of complete spline interpolation has been established in various ways, there doesn't seem to be a proof of the convergence of not-a-knot cubic spline interpolation in the literature (except for [SV] in which it appears as a special case but is only treated for a uniform mesh).

The argument here follows the standard path (see [B1], [B2]): One considers the derived projector $P^*$ obtained from $P$ by the rule

(1) $P^* D^2 g := D^2 (P_g)$

and shows it to be bounded in the uniform norm independently of the mesh, i.e., one shows that

(2) $N := \sup_{t} |P^* f| < \infty$

with

$|P^* f| := \sup_{t} |P^* f|/|f|$

and

$|f| := |f|_{\infty} := \sup_{t \leq x \leq t_n} |f(x)|$

Since $P^*$ is a linear projector with range

$S := S_{2,0}$
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i.e., with range the span of the linear B-splines on the knot sequence

\[ s := (s_i)_{i=1}^{m+2} := (t_1, t_1, t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{n-3}, t_{n-2}, t_n), \text{ hence } m := n-2, \]

this implies that

\[ \| D^2 (g - P_g) \| = \| D^2 g - P^* (D^2 g) \| \leq (\| \| P^* \| \| D^2 g, s \| ) \leq (1 + M) \omega_f (|s|) \]

with \( \omega_f \) the modulus of continuity of \( f \) (on \([t_1, t_n]\)) and

\[ |s| := \max_i \Delta s_i. \]

Consequently,

\[ \| g - P_g \| \leq ((1 + M) |s|^{2/3}) \| D^2 g, s \| \]

using the fact that \( P_g \) agrees with \( g \) at \( t \) and using the standard estimate for \( \text{dist}(D^2 g, s) \) in case \( D^2 (D^2 g) \) is bounded.

This leaves the hard part of the argument, namely a proof of (2), but here, too, the approach is standard, as follows.

Since \( P_g \) agrees with \( g \) at \( t \), \( P^* D^2 g \) agrees with \( D^2 g \) at

\[ \lambda_i := \int M_i x_i, \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, m, \]

with \( M_i := M_i, 2, t \) the linear B-splines for the knot sequence \( t \) (and normalized to have \( \int M_i = 1 \)). This follows from the fact

\[ 2 \int [t_i, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}] g = \int M_i (x) D^2 g (x) dx. \]

Hence, with \( N_i := N_i, 2, s \) the linear B-splines for the knot sequence \( s \) (and normalized to sum to 1), and \( f := D^2 g \), we obtain \( P^* f \) in the form

\[ P^* f = \sum a_i N_i, \]

where \( a \) is the unique solution of the linear system

\[ (3) \quad A a = (\lambda_i f) \]

with

\[ (4) \quad A := \left[ M_i M_j \right]. \]

Consequently,

\[ \| P^* f \| = \| a \|_w \leq \| A^{-1} \| \max_i |\lambda_i f| \leq \| A^{-1} \| \| f \|_w. \]

This shows that

\[ (5) \quad \| P^* f \| = \| A^{-1} \| \]

and so reduces the proof of (2) to finding a uniform lower bound for \( A \).
Since $A$ is totally positive, a lower bound for $A$ is obtained from any vector $x$ for which
\[ d := \min_j (-)^j (Ax)_j > 0. \]
For, the total positivity of $A$ implies (by Cramer's rule) that its inverse is checkerboard, i.e.,
\[ (-)^{i+j} A^{-1}(i,j) > 0. \]
Therefore,
\[ |x(i)| = |Ax^{-1}(i,j)(Ax)| = I |A^{-1}(i,j)| (-)^j \]
\[ = |A^{-1}(i,j)| \geq \sum_{i,j} |A^{-1}(i,j)| 
\]
hence
\[ |x|^1_m = \max_1 |x_i| > |A^{-1}|_m d \]
or
\[ |A^{-1}|_m < |x|^1/d. \]

The matrix $3A$ is tridiagonal, with typical row
\[ \Delta s_1/(s_{i+2}-s_1), 2, \Delta s_{i+1}/(s_{i+2}-s_i), \ldots, 2, \Delta s_2/(s_3-s_1), \]
and only the first two and the last two rows deviate from this. Here are the first two rows:
\[ 1 + (\Delta t_2)/(t_3-t_2), 2 - (\Delta t_2)/(t_3-t_2) \]
\[ (\Delta t_2)^2 \frac{1}{(t_3-t_2)(t_4-t_2)} + \Delta \frac{2(\Delta t_2)^2}{(s_3-s_1)} \frac{3(\Delta \Delta t_2)}{(s_3-s_1)} + Z \Delta \]
The last two rows can be obtained from this by the appropriate change in variables.

The typical row of $3A$ suggests the vector $x := (-1, -1, 1)$ as suitable since this gives
\[ b := 3Ax = (b_1, b_2, -1, -1, 1, \ldots, b_{m-1}, b_m), \]
i.e., an appropriate result except, perhaps, for the first two and last two components.
One can check that, as $t_2$ varies in the interval $[s_1, s_3]$, the first component of $b$ becomes positive and this destroys the desired alternation. This can be helped, though, by choosing $x_1$ and $x_2$ in dependence on $t_2$. Specifically, I choose $x$ in the form
\[ x = (x_1, x_2, -1, -1, 1, \ldots) \]
so that

\[ b = (-1,1,0,1,-1,1, \ldots ) , \]

with the analogous happening at the other end. This requires that \( m > 5 \), to avoid destructive interference of the machinations on one end with those at the other. With this assumption, though, it is sufficient to run through the details for the left end only.

This means that I solve the linear system

\[
\begin{align*}
A(1,1)x_1 + A(1,2)x_2 &= -1 \\
A(2,1)x_1 + A(2,2)x_2 &= 1 - A(2,3)x(1)
\end{align*}
\]

Since the system is invariant under a linear change in the independent variable, I normalize the situation to one where

\[ s_1 = 0, s_3 = 1 \]

and define

\[ k := \Delta t_2, \quad h := \Delta s_3 . \]

This means that I am interested in the range \( k \in [0,1) \), \( h > 0 \). In these terms, the system reads

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
1+k & 2-k \\
k^2 & 3k-k^2+2h
\end{vmatrix}
\begin{vmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{vmatrix}
= \begin{vmatrix}
-1 \\
-kh
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

Its solution is

\[ x = \frac{(-5k + 2k^2 - 6h + 2hk, k + 2k^2 + 2h + 2hk)}{D} , \]

with

\[ D := (3k + 2h + 2hk) > 0 . \]

Now observe that, for \( (k,h) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \),

\[ x_1 - (-3) = \frac{(4k + 2k^2 + 8hk)}{D} > 0 \text{ with equality only if } k = 0 , \]

\[ -1 - x_1 = \frac{2(1-k)(k + 2h)}{D} > 0 \text{ with equality only if } k = 1 . \]

Finally,

\[ x_2 = 1 - 2k(1-k)/D < 1 , \text{ with equality only if } k = 0 \text{ or } 1 . \]

-4-
I conclude that $x_1 \in [-3,-1]$ and $x_2 \in [2/3,1]$, hence

$$|x_2| < 3.$$ 

Also, $b_3 < -1$, since $x_2 < 1$, while $b_4 = (\cdot)^{-1}$ otherwise, hence

$$3d := \min_1 (-)^{-1} b_4 > 1.$$ 

This shows that

(7) 

$$|A^{-1}|_\infty < 9$$ 

independently of $t$ (as long as $n > 6$).

Remarks. (i) As $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ and $t_{n-1} \rightarrow t_n$, not-a-knot cubic spline interpolation reduces to complete spline interpolation, hence $P^n$ becomes least-squares approximation from $\mathbb{S}_{2,t}$. The above argument then reduces to the standard one. In particular, the computed $x$ is just the alternating vector $(-1,1,-1,1,...)$ and the resulting bound is the customary one: $|A^{-1}|_\infty = 3$.

(ii) It may be possible to carry out the argument by perturbation, starting off with the known stability of $P^n$ for complete cubic spline interpolation and showing that the change in the side conditions to the not-a-knot conditions is gentle enough (at least for large $n$) to change $|P^n|$ by a bounded amount.

(iii) When I wrote this note in August of 1984, I wrote that "It should be possible to show the boundedness, independently of $t$, of the derived linear projector $P'$, given by the rule

$$P'(Dg) := D(Pg).$$ 

But the argument via total positivity would be a bit messier." In the meantime, R. K. Beatson, who had earlier brought my attention to the fact that there did not seem to be a convergence proof for spline interpolation with the not-a-knot condition, has independently studied this question and shown that, strictly speaking, $P'$ is not boundable independent of the mesh. The difficulty lies in the first and last data interval. If one measures the size of $P'f$ by the number

$$|P'f| := \sup_{t_2 \leq t < t_{n-1}} |P'f(t)|,$$ 

-5-
then he obtains a mesh-independent bound for $|p'| := \sup_{f} |p'f| / |f|$. See Beatson's forthcoming paper "On the convergence of some cubic spline interpolation schemes", ms., Feb. 85, Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CN 06268.
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It is shown that cubic spline interpolation with the not-a-knot side condition converges to any C^2-interpoland without any mesh-ratio restriction as the size goes to zero.