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Honorable Edward J. King
Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts
State House
Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Governor King:

Inclosed is a copy of the Lost Wilderness Lake Northern Dam (MA-01059) Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM E. HODGSON, JR.
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Acting Division Engineer
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Indentification No.: MA 01059
Mass. D.P.W. No. 1-7-297-3
Name of Dam: Lost Wilderness Lake - Northern Dam
Town: Tolland
County and State: Hampden County, Massachusetts
Stream: Tributary of West Branch Farmington River
Date of Inspection: October 31, 1979

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

The Northern Dam is located at the northwest corner of Lost Wilderness Lake (formerly Twining Pond) which is approximately 2.5 miles west of Tolland Center in Tolland, Massachusetts. A second dam, Twining Pond Dam (MA 00321) was also constructed to form Lost Wilderness Lake. The dam was constructed as part of a recreational community and land development project. The dam is an earthen embankment 240 feet long and 23 feet high with a drop inlet principal spillway structure and a 10-inch outlet conduit. The emergency spillway is located at the right abutment of the dam and the spillway is 30 feet wide at the control section. There is also an earthen dike which is approximately 400 feet long and 8 feet high to the right of the emergency spillway.

The dam is owned by Lost Wilderness, Inc. which is currently being managed by the Woronoco Savings Bank of Westfield, Massachusetts.

The drainage area affecting the Lost Wilderness Lake Dams is approximately 1.22 square miles and is comprised of heavily wooded rolling terrain. The dam impounds approximately 1,200 acre feet at the normal pool elevation of 1,349 feet MSL and 2,000 acre feet at the top of the dam elevation of 1,355.5 feet MSL. The Northern and Twining Pond dams are INTERMEDIATE in size. The Northern dam is a LOW hazard classification and Twining Pond dam is a SIGNIFICANT hazard classification.

The test flood for this dam is one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (½ PMF). For this drainage area the ½ PMF is 1,390 cfs. When this flood is routed through the reservoir, the resulting outflow is 960 cfs. The spillways of both the Twining Pond Dam and the Northern Dam would be used to relieve the test flood since both spillways are indicated to be at the same elevation. The combined emergency spillway capacity is 5,140 cfs. The elevation of the spillways was determined from construction drawings; no field levels were made to check elevations. The spillway test flood outflow would be about 160 cfs from the Northern Dam and 800 cfs from the Twining Pond dam. The depth in the spillways would be approximately 1.3 feet with a freeboard of 3.2 feet remaining to the top of the dam.

Failure of the Northern dam will not threaten any homes. The only significant damage attributable to a dam failure is the culvert crossing East Otis Road, which is a secondary gravel surfaced roadway.
The dam is generally in good condition, however, the emergency spillway is only in fair condition due to rock outcrops in the emergency spillway channel. The dam is, therefore, assessed to be in FAIR condition.

The rock outcrops in the emergency spillway channel should be removed to provide the design cross-section through the spillway. The source of the wet condition along the downstream toe of the dike should be investigated and remedial action taken if necessary.

Remedial measures to be undertaken by the Owner include: implementing a program of periodic maintenance; backfilling tire ruts, erosion, and low spots in the embankment and the dike; mowing embankment slopes and removing debris from the emergency spillway entrance.

The recommendations and the remedial measures contained herein should be implemented within one year of receipt of this report by the Owner.

John W. Powers
Massachusetts Registration 23106
PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.
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SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 Operational Procedures

(a) General

No written operational procedures are available for this dam. The dam is self-regulating.

(b) Description of Any Warning System in Effect

There is no written warning system in effect.

4.2 Maintenance Procedures

(a) General

There are no formal maintenance procedures for the Northern Dam at Lost Wilderness Lake. It has been reported that there has been no maintenance on this dam since it has been constructed.

(b) Operating Facilities

The dam is self-regulating and there are no facilities that require periodic operation.

4.5 Evaluation

Detailed operating procedures are not considered necessary since the dam is self-regulating.

A program of annual technical inspections should be established and regular maintenance should be carried out.

A downstream emergency flood warning system should be developed.
e) Some settlement along the top of the dike.

f) One wet spot was noticed along the downstream toe of the dike approximately 120 feet from its easterly abutment.
(c) **Appurtenant Structure**

1) **Drop Inlet Principal Spillway Structure** (See photo 2)

The structure is in good condition with no evidence of spalling, cracking, or efflorescence. There is no mechanical method of controlling the flow at this structure. The only way to regulate the flow is to install flashboards across the weir. At the time of this inspection there were no flashboards in use. The trash rack is in good condition and was free of debris.

2) **Pond Drain Inlet Pipe**

The only pond drain is located at the principal spillway of the Twining Pond Dam.

3) **Outlet Conduit** (See photo 6)

The downstream end of the outlet pipe is in good condition. There is no evidence of settlement or displacement of the conduit and no misalignment or cracking was evident on the inside of the pipe for those few sections that could be observed.

(d) **Reservoir Area**

The shore of the reservoir is generally gently sloping woodland. It appears stable and in good condition. However, there is considerable debris along the entrance to the emergency spillway.

(e) **Downstream Channel** (See photo 7)

The downstream channel is a narrow channel passing through moderately sloping woodland. The channel appears stable and in good condition. The plunge pool is in good condition but is not completely protected by riprap.

### 3.2 Evaluation

The dam and outlet conduit are in good condition. The emergency spillway is in fair condition. The potential problems noted during the visual inspection are listed below.

a) Tire ruts and erosion on the downstream face of the dam embankment.

b) Debris on the upstream dam embankment slope and at the entrance to the emergency spillway.

c) A few, minor wet areas along the left toe of the dam embankment.

d) Rock outcrops in the emergency spillway were not removed during construction and therefore the spillway cross section does not agree with the design.
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

(a) General

The Northern Dam at Lost Wilderness Lake (Dam No. MA 01059) is in good condition, however, the emergency spillway is in fair condition at the present time.

(b) Dam

1) Earth Embankment (See photos 1, 2, 4 & 5)

   The upstream slope is protected by riprap and is in good condition. There is considerable debris on the upstream slope near the entrance to the emergency spillway. An inspection of the upstream slope showed no evidence of erosion or animal borrows along the slope.

   There is only one toe drain on the downstream slope and the discharge was clear. The flow from the toe drain was approximately 2 to 3 gallons per minute.

   The downstream slope had some tire marks which were approximately 4 to 6 inches deep. The downstream slope showed some erosion along the entire length and greater erosion along the tire marks. There were small minor wet areas along the left toe of the dam embankment.

   There is a small dike on the left side of the dam approximately 400 feet long and 8 feet high. At the downstream toe of the dike there was a small wet area, located approximately 120 feet from dam abutment.

2) Emergency Spillway (See photos 1 & 3)

   The emergency spillway is in fair condition. The entrance is partially blocked with considerable debris and the channel has a few rock outcrops that were not removed during construction. These outcrops decrease the area of the spillway and will tend to collect debris near the control section.

   The downstream slope is in good condition and shows no signs of erosion. There was no ponding water or any apparent wet spots. Further downstream the emergency spillway curves around the embankment and discharges to the same brook that receives the discharge of the principal spillway.
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design Data

Some design data, including hydrologic computations for the watershed and hydraulic computations for the Twining Pond Dam only, as well as some soils testing at both sites, some seepage calculations and reinforced concrete structural design computations were available for review at the offices of Robert G. Brown and Associates, Inc., Pittsfield, Massachusetts.

2.2 Construction Data

The design plans available for this dam show good agreement with the visual inspection.

Construction data was not made available for our review.

2.3 Operation Data

Since the dam is self regulating, there is no operational data available.

2.4 Evaluation of Data

The hydraulic and hydrologic design data was not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the Corps of Engineers "Recommended Guidelines." Therefore, hydraulic and hydrologic calculations were carried out as part of this Phase I Investigation and are discussed in Section 5 and detailed in Appendix D.

Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of paragraph 4.4 of the "Recommended Guidelines" were not available for review. However, since the dam is INTERMEDIATE in size and LOW in hazard classification, and since our visual inspection showed the dam to be in generally GOOD condition such analyses are not considered necessary at this time (Ref. Par. 3.6.1 of "Recommended Guidelines.")
6) Downstream Channel:
   a) Principal spillway: Small, unlined plunge pool and narrow channel through moderately sloping woodland.
   b) Emergency spillway: Grass covered, earth cut and fill channel with level control section.

(j) Regulating Outlets
1) Invert: 1,346.2 feet MSL
2) Size: 10-inch
3) Description: 122 feet of 10" Class 150 Asbestos Cement Pipe
4) Control Mechanism: None at this location. See Lost Wilderness Lake Twining Pond Dam (MA 00321) for description of pond drain.
2) Length: 240 ft. 400 ft.
3) Height: 23 ft. 8± ft.
4) Top Width: 15 ft. 15 ft.
5) Side Slopes: Upstream 3 to 1
   Downstream 3 to 1 same
6) Zoning: More Pervious Soil Borrow
   (Gravel or Sand Borrow - GP, GW, SP or SW) Same
7) Impervious Core: More Impervious
   Soil Borrow (SM or GM) Same
8) Cutoff: More Impervious Soil Borrow
   (SM or GM) Unknown
9) Grout curtain: None None

(h) Diversion and Regulating Tunnel
Not applicable

(i) Spillway
1) Type:
   a) Principal spillway: Reinforced concrete drop inlet
   b) Emergency spillway: Grass covered, earth
      excavated channel with level control section.
2) Length of weir:
   a) Principal spillway inlet: 3 feet
   b) Emergency spillway: 30 feet
3) Crest elevation:
   a) Principal spillway inlet: 1,349.0
   b) Emergency spillway: 1,351.0
4) Gates: None
5) Upstream Channel:
   a) Principal spillway: Reservoir
   b) Emergency spillway: Grass covered earth
      excavated channel 80± ft. to control section.
3) Maximum tailwater: unknown
4) Normal pool: 1,349.0
5) Full flood control pool: Not applicable.
6) Emergency spillway crest (no gates): 1,351.0 (both dams)
7) Design surcharge (Original Design): unknown
8) Top of dam: 1,355.5 (both dams)
9) Test flood design surcharge: 1,352.3
(d) Reservoir (Length in feet)
1) Normal pool: 3,300±
2) Full flood control pool: Not applicable.
3) Emergency spillway crest pool: 3,360±
4) Top of dam: 3,500±
5) Test flood pool: 3,400±
(e) Storage (acre-feet)
1) Normal pool: 1,200±
2) Full flood control pool: Not applicable.
3) Spillway crest pool: 1,400±
4) Top of dam: 2,000±
5) Test flood pool: 1,600±
(f) Reservoir Surface (acres)
1) Normal pool: 100
2) Full flood control pool: Not applicable.
3) Spillway crest: 116
4) Test flood pool: 130
5) Top of dam: 150
(g) Dam
1) Type: Earth Embankment
(g) Dike
1) Type: Earth Embankment
2) **Maximum Known Flood at Damsite**

There is no data available for the maximum known flood at this damsite.

3) **Ungated Spillway Capacity at Top of Dam**

The capacity of the principal spillway with the reservoir at top of dam elevation (1355.5 feet MSL-NGVD) is approximately 11 cfs. The capacity of the emergency spillway is approximately 745 cfs at this level.

4) **Ungated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood**

The capacity of the principal spillway with the reservoir at test flood elevation (1,352.3 feet MSL-NGVD) is approximately 11 cfs. The capacity of the emergency spillway is approximately 149 cfs at this level.

5) **Gated Spillway Capacity at Normal Pool Elevation**

There are no gated spillways associated with this structure.

6) **Gated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation**

There are no gated spillways.

7) **Total Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation**

The total spillway capacity for this dam at test flood elevation (1,352.3 feet MSL-NGVD) is approximately 160 cfs. (Southern dam spillway capacity is approximately 800 cfs for a combined capacity of 960 cfs.)

8) **Total Project Discharge at Top of Dam**

The total project discharge at top of dam (1,355.5 feet MSL-NGVD) is approximately 756 cfs. (Twining Pond Dam discharge is approximately 4,384 cfs for combined discharge of 5,140 cfs)

9) **Total Project Discharge at Test Flood Elevation**

The total project discharge at test flood elevation (1,352.3 feet MSL-NGVD) is approximately 160 cfs. (Twining Pond Dam discharge is approximately 800 cfs for a combined discharge of 960 cfs.)

(c) **Elevation (ft. above MSL-NGVD)**

1) Streambed at toe of dam: 1,332.5±

2) Bottom of cutoff: 1,335±
(e) Ownership

The dam is owned by Lost Wilderness, Inc. of Tolland, Massachusetts. The Woronoco Savings Bank in Westfield, Massachusetts is handling all the affairs of the subdivision at this date. Inquiries should be made to Mr. Mahoney at the Woronoco Savings Bank by telephone at 413-568-9141.

(f) Operator

Apparently, the operator of the Northern Dam is Lost Wilderness, Inc. of Tolland, Massachusetts. According to Mr. Mahoney of the Woronoco Savings Bank, the corporation is not very active and he is not aware of any operation and maintenance done by the corporation.

(g) Purpose of the Dam

The purpose of the dam is recreational. Lost Wilderness Lake was designed to be the center of a recreational community. The area was subdivided and some property has been sold. However, very little of the surrounding area has been developed.

(h) Design and Construction History

The dam was designed by Brown, Moynihan & Associates, Inc. of Lee, Massachusetts and construction was completed in 1976.

(i) Normal Operating Procedure

The dam is self regulating. The only means of draining the pond is to open the sluice gate at the Twining Pond Dam.

1.3 Pertinent Data

(a) Drainage Area

The drainage area for this dam covers approximately 1.22 square miles. It is made up primarily of rolling hills with a small section of fresh water marsh. The hills are wooded with some pasture and minor development.

(b) Discharge at Damsite

1) Outlet Works

Normal discharge at the site is via the inlet at elevation 1,349.0 to the principal spillway and through the 10 inch diameter outlet pipe to the downstream channel. In the event of severe flood flows, excess flow would discharge over the emergency spillway at elevation 1351.0 feet (MSL). The test flood would flow through the spillway facilities at both the Twining Pond Dam and the Northern Dam. (See calculations in Appendix D.)
The "low stage inlet" is an uncontrolled opening. It is three feet wide and 12 inches high and is located in the upstream face of the riser structure. The water flows over this orifice and drops into the riser structure. It is protected by a trash rack assembly which protects the entire face of the orifice. This assembly is fabricated from galvanized steel reinforcing bars cast into the upstream wall.

The riser structure is drained by a 10-inch diameter Class 150 Asbestos Cement pressure pipe. It is approximately 122 feet long and drops approximately 12.2 feet over that length. The pipe penetrates the downstream side of the riser structure and is supported by the embankment. Plans indicate 3 concrete anti-seep collars cast around the pipe within the embankment.

The downstream end of the conduit extends approximately 35 feet downstream of the embankment to a concrete headwall. The discharge conduit outlets into a small plunge pool.

3) Emergency Spillway (See pages B-1 & B-2)

The emergency spillway was excavated in the right abutment. It curves to the left around the embankment and is 30 feet wide at the control section. The spillway is approximately 320 feet long and its control section is approximately 4.5 feet below the crest of the dam. The side slopes are 3 horizontal to 1 vertical.

4) Foundation and Embankment Drainage

A four foot wide trench drain of clean sand and gravel extends almost the full length of the downstream embankment. The drain includes one 4-inch perforated asbestos cement pipe. It extends 75 feet along the base of the dam and outlets at the headwall.

(c) Size Classification

The maximum impoundment for both dams is approximately 2,000 acre feet with the pond elevation at the top of the dams. The height of the Northern dam is 23 feet from the original downstream toe stream channel to the top of the dam. The dam is, therefore, in the INTERMEDIATE size category according to the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines.

(d) Hazard Classification

The hazard potential classification for the Northern dam is LOW because of the slight economic losses and very low potential for loss of life downstream which may occur in the event of dam failure. No houses are endangered by a dam failure and the only significant damage is the culvert crossing East Otis Road. Section 5 of this report presents more detailed discussion of the hazard potential.
1.2 Description of Project

(a) Location

The Northern Dam is located at the northwest corner of Lost Wilderness Lake (formerly Twining Pond) which is approximately 2.5 miles west of Tolland Center in Tolland, Massachusetts. It can be reached from East Otis Road which intersects State Route 57 approximately 1 mile east of the center of New Boston. The dam is not shown on the 1958 USGS Tolland Center Quadrangle which covers portions of both Massachusetts and Connecticut. The dam is located at approximately N-42°-06'-15" latitude and W-73°-03'-15" longitude (see Locus Plans 1 and 2). Page B-1 of Appendix B is a site plan for this dam. The Northern Dam is one of two dams impounding water which creates Lost Wilderness Lake; the other dam is Twining Pond Dam (MA 00321).

(b) Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The dam consists of an earth embankment, a principal spillway with a reinforced concrete riser and an asbestos cement outlet pipe and an emergency spillway located at the right abutment of the dam. The length of the embankment is 240 feet. The separate emergency spillway is 30 feet wide at the control section.

1) Embankment (See pages B-1 & B-2)

The embankment is made up primarily of silty fine sand (Designation SM or GM using the Unified Soil Classification System). It is 240 feet long and is a maximum of 23 feet high. The upstream slope is 3 horizontal to 1 vertical; the downstream slope is 3 horizontal to 1 vertical; and the width of the crest is 15 feet.

Beneath the embankment is an earthfill cutoff trench of approximately 12 feet in width at the bottom. According to available plans, it is constructed of the same silty fine sand material as the embankment. The cutoff trench was designed and constructed to extend through sand and gravel layers to firm bedrock or glacial till.

Riprap approximately 30 feet wide over the upper portion of the entire length of the upstream slope provides erosion protection. The riprap is machine placed, 1' to 2' diameter stone.

2) Principal Spillway (See pages B-1 & B-2)

The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete drop inlet structure with an uncontrolled orifice inlet and an outlet pipe which is supported on a concrete cradle.

The riser structure is 5.2 feet high, 4.6 feet wide, and 4.6 feet long. The top slab, bottom slab and walls of the structure are 8 inches thick.
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PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

LOST WILDERNESS LAKE - NORTHERN DAM

NO. MA 01639

SECTION 1

PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

(a) Authority

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Tighe & Bond/SCI has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Tighe & Bond/SCI under a letter of October 24, 1979 from Colonel William E. Hodgson, Jr., Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW-33-80-C-0005 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

(b) Purpose

1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-federal interests.

2) Encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-federal dams.

3) Update, verify, and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

(c) Scope

The program provides for the inspection of non-federal dams in the high hazard potential category based upon location of the dams and those dams in the significant hazard potential category believed to represent an immediate danger based on condition of the dams.
SECTION 5 - EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES

5.1 General

The Northern Dam at Lost Wilderness Lake is in the watershed of the West Branch of the Farmington River. The dam is located approximately 1.3 miles upstream of the confluence of an unnamed brook and the West Branch of the Farmington River. The upstream drainage area is approximately 1.22 square miles with rolling topography.

The dam itself is a 240 foot long earthen embankment with a grass-lined earth emergency spillway, 30 feet wide at the control section. The principal spillway consists of one orifice located on a reinforced concrete drop inlet riser on the upstream face of the embankment. Flow from the orifice proceeds under the dam through an asbestos-cement pipe.

5.2 Design Data

The design data made available for this review was insufficient to determine all hydraulic and hydrologic features of the Northern Dam. The dam was designed by Brown, Moynihan & Associates, Inc. and their design plans show the elevation of the normal pool to be at 1,349.0 feet MSL. The emergency spillway crest was set at 1,351.0 feet MSL and the top of the dam was set at 1,355.5 feet MSL.

5.3 Experience Data

No records of flow or stage are known to be available for the Northern Dam (No. MA 00321).

5.4 Test Flood Analysis

The hydrologic conditions of interest in this Phase I investigation are those required to assess the dam's overtopping potential and its ability to safely allow an appropriately large flood to pass. This requires using the discharge and storage characteristics of the structure to evaluate the impact of an appropriately sized Test Flood. The original hydraulic and hydrologic design calculations have not been made available for inclusion in this Report.

Guidelines for establishing a recommended Test Flood based on the size and hazard classification of a dam are specified in the "Recommended Guidelines" of the Corps of Engineers. The impoundment of between 1,000 and 50,000 acre feet and the height of less than 100 feet classify this dam as an INTERMEDIATE size structure.

The appropriate hazard classification for this dam is LOW because of the very slight economic losses and small potential for loss of life downstream in the event of dam failure. As shown in the Dam Failure Analysis section, the increase in flooding caused by failure would not pose a threat to life and property at downstream locations. (See Dam Failure Analysis section.)

5-1
As shown in Table 3 of the Corps of Engineer's "Recommended Guidelines," the appropriate Test Flood for a dam classified as INTERMEDIATE in size with a LOW hazard potential would be one half the probable maximum flood (T/2 PMF). The Corps of Engineers' "Maximum Probable Peak Flow Rates" curve using rolling topography gives a PMF of 2,280 cfs/sq. mi. for a drainage area of 1.22 square miles. Therefore, the probable maximum flood is 2,780 cfs for this drainage area and one half the probable maximum flood is 1,390 cfs.

When this test flood is routed through the reservoir, the resultant outflow from the combined spillways is 960 cfs. The spillways of both the Twining Pond Dam and the Northern Dam would be available to discharge the test flood. The Northern Dam spillway will discharge approximately 160 cfs, and the Twining Pond dam spillway will discharge approximately 800 cfs of the routed test flood. The depth of flow at the control sections of the spillways at the test flood conditions would be approximately 1.3 feet. Therefore, the existing spillway capacity can accommodate one half the Probable Maximum Flood with a freeboard of 3.2 feet remaining to the top of the dam.

5.5 Dam Failure Analysis

A dam failure analysis using the procedures in the Corps of Engineers, "Rule of Thumb Guidance for Estimating Downstream Failure Hydrographs" dated April 1978, was performed for the Northern Dam of Lost Wilderness Lake.

For an assumed breach equal to 40% of the dam's length computed at half height, the breached length is 48 feet. The resulting dam failure flow using a water depth of 19.8 feet is 6,800 CFS. 19.8 feet represents the depth of water upstream of the dam calculated at the test flood pond elevation. The test flood spillway outflow is 160 CFS. The Southern dam (Twining Pond Dam) will simultaneously discharge approximately 800 cfs for a combined spillway outflow of 960 cfs.

The first damage area impacted by dam failure flow is directly downstream of the dam. Prior to dam breach, the test flood flow is 160 CFS resulting in a river stage of about 1.0 foot. The dam failure flow is 6,800 CFS resulting in a river stage of about 7.6 feet. There are no structures or developments directly downstream of the dam, therefore, the damage incurred will not be significant.

The second damage area impacted by dam failure flow is the crossing of East Otis Road which is approximately 300 feet downstream of the dam. Prior to dam breach, the test flood flow is 160 CFS which exceeds the capacity of the culvert and results in overtopping the road by about 0.3 foot. The dam failure attenuated flow is 6790 CFS which results in overtopping the roadway by about 4.7 feet. Pre-failure flooding is minor, however, post-failure flooding has a high potential for severe damage to the roadway crossing. East Otis Road is a secondary gravel surfaced roadway.

The third damage area impacted by dam failure flow is the confluence of the discharge stream with the West Branch of the Farmington
River approximately 6,800 feet downstream of the dam. At this location, Route 8 parallels the West Branch of the Farmington River on the west side opposite from the confluence area. There are 3 houses on the west side of Route 8 which are about 12 feet above the River channel.

Prior to dam breach the test flood outflow from the dam is 160 CFS. 50% of a PMF test flood for the West Branch of the Farmington River at this location is about 34,000 CFS. 160 CFS results in a river stage of less than 1.0 foot while 34,000 CFS results in a river stage of about 10.8 feet. The West Branch of the Farmington River 1/2 PMF test flood flow will cause some minor flooding of Route 8, but does not threaten the houses. The dam failure attenuated flow is 6,700 CFS which by itself results in a river stage of about 4.1 feet, and in combination with the 1/2 PMF river test flood flow about 11.8 feet. By itself, the dam failure flow does not constitute a hazard to the Route 8 roadway or the houses. In combination with a significant flood occurrence, the dam failure flow does not add significantly to the potential for damage.

Downstream of the confluence, the dam failure flow will be quickly attenuated and will not constitute a hazard to lives or property.

In summary, the only significant damage attributable to a Lost Wilderness Northern Dam failure is the culvert crossing of East Otis Road. No structures are damaged and no lives are threatened by the dam failure flows.

The following chart summarizes the downstream impacts of the failure of the Northern Dam No. MA 01059.
1: NORTHERN DAM
2: 300' D.S. EAST OTIS ROAD
3: 6,800' D.S. CONFLUENCE WITH THE WEST BRANCH OF THE FARMINGTON RIVER
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LOCATION AND DOWNSTREAM HAZARD MAP
NORTHERN DAM (MA01059)
LOST WILDERNESS LAKE
HAMPDEN COUNTY
TOLLAND
MASSACHUSETTS

SCALE: AS NOTED
DATE: DECEMBER 1979
# Probable Downstream Impact Before and After Dam Failure

Lost Wilderness Northern Dam  MA  01059

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. of Houses</th>
<th>Other Damage</th>
<th>Flow Rates Before Failure CFS</th>
<th>Flow Rates After Failure CFS</th>
<th>River Stage Before Failure FT.</th>
<th>River Stage After Failure FT.</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Downstream of Dam.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>No Significant Damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 300' D.S. East Otis Rd.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Culvert</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6,790</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Minor flooding of road before failure; after failure road overlapped 4.1 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 6,800' D.S. Confluence with West Branch of Farmington River</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6,790</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Dam failure flow not significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34,000*</td>
<td>41,790*</td>
<td>10.8*</td>
<td>11.8*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 50% of PMF for West Branch of Farmington River at confluence.
SECTION 6 - EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Visual Observations

There has been no significant displacement or distress which would warrant the preparation of structural stability calculations.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

The design material made available for this review was insufficient to determine the structural stability of the embankment.

Some field testing was carried out during the construction phase including a few sieve analyses and compaction tests.

A review of the structural calculations for the design of the drop inlet principal spillway structure indicate that this structure has been designed on the basis of sound engineering practice.

6.3 Post Construction Changes

There have been no known modifications since the work was completed in 1976.

6.4 Seismic Stability

The Northern Dam is located in seismic zone 1. According to the recommended Corps. of Engineers' guidelines, a seismic analysis is not warranted.
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

(a) Condition

The dam and its appurtenances are generally in good condition at the present time with the exception of the emergency spillway which is in fair condition.

(b) Adequacy of information

There is insufficient design and construction data to permit an assessment of dam safety.

(c) Urgency

The recommendations and remedial measures described herein should be implemented by the owner within one year of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

7.2 Recommendations

The recommendations of this Phase I investigation are that the following studies and actions be carried out under the supervision of a qualified, registered professional engineer:

(a) Remove the ledge outcrops from the emergency spillway to comply with the design plans.

(b) Determine the cause of the wet area along the downstream toe of the dike approximately 120 feet from its easterly abutment and what corrective measures, if any, are required.

(c) Determine the cause of the wet areas along the left toe of the dam embankment and what corrective measures, if any, are required.

(d) Determine the need for a low level drain at this dam, since the drain at the Twining Pond Dam may not completely drain the area behind the Northern Dam.

7.3 Remedial Measures

The recommendation of this Phase I investigation is that the following remedial and/or maintenance items be carried out:

(a) Implement and intensify a program of diligent and periodic maintenance including, but not limited to: mowing embankment slopes; backfilling drainage gullies and tire ruts with suitable, well tamped soil; and clearing debris from the trash racks and the entrance to the emergency spillway.
(b) Fill low areas on the top of the dike.
(c) Institute a program of annual technical inspections.

7.4 Alternatives

There are no meaningful alternatives to the above recommendations.
APPENDIX A
INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NORTHERN DAM  1
NORTHERN DIKE  9
INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT: Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 00321
Northern Dam
Tolland, Massachusetts

DATE: 10/31/79
TIME: 11:30 A.M.
WEATHER: Sunny & Clear

W.S. ELEV. U.S. DRG.S.

PARTY:

1. J.W. Powers T & B/SCI
2. G.H. McDonnell T & B/SCI
3. E.A. Moe T & B/SCI
4. H.A. Koski T & B/SCI
5. O.H. Dumais T & B/SCI

PROJECT FEATURE INSPECTED BY REMARKS

1. All project features were inspected by all party members.
2. ________________________________
3. ________________________________
4. ________________________________
5. ________________________________
6. ________________________________
7. ________________________________
8. ________________________________
9. ________________________________
10. ________________________________
### INSPECTION CHECK LIST

**PROJECT** Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 00321  
**DATE** 10/31/79  
**PROJECT FEATURE** Northern Dam  
**DISCIPLINE**  

**AREA EVALUATED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crest Elevation</td>
<td>1355.5 ft. MSL (from Design Plans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Pool Elevation</td>
<td>1349 ft. MSL (from Design Plans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Impoundment to Date</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Cracks</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement or Settlement of Crest</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Movement</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Alignment</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Alignment</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes</td>
<td>No apparent movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trespassing on Slopes</td>
<td>Tire ruts on downstream face of embankment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation on Slopes</td>
<td>Grass on all slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments</td>
<td>Some erosion on downstream face of embankment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures</td>
<td>Rip rap on upstream slope for erosion protection—see plans for detail. No apparent rip rap failures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage</td>
<td>A minor wet spot along left toe of dam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping or Boils</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Drainage Features</td>
<td>Toe Drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe Drains</td>
<td>One 4&quot; pipe steady clear flow 2+ gpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumentation System</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 00321  DATE 10/31/79
PROJECT FEATURE Northern Dam  NAME
DISCIPLINE NAME

AREA EVALUATED

OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE

a. Approach Channel
   - Slope Conditions
   - Bottom Conditions
   - Rock Slides or Falls
   - Log Boom
   - Debris
   - Condition of Concrete Lining
   - Drains or Weep Holes

b. Intake Structure
   - Condition of Concrete
   - Stop Logs and Slots

CONDITION

Reservoir
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Debris floating in Reservoir
Not Applicable
Not Applicable
Good - no spalling or discoloration
No stop logs
No slots
# INSPECTION CHECK LIST

**PROJECT**  Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 00321  
**DATE**  10/31/79  
**PROJECT FEATURE**  Northern Dam  
**DISCIPLINE**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA EVALUATED</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Concrete and Structural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Joints</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible Reinforcing</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusting or Staining of Concrete</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Seepage or Efflorescence</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Alignment</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber</td>
<td>No gate chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracks</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusting or Corrosion of Steel</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Mechanical and Electrical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Vents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Float Wells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane Hoist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Gates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Gates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning Protection System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Power System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiring and Lighting System in Gate Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**INSPECTION CHECK LIST**

**PROJECT** Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 00321

**DATE** 10/31/79

**PROJECT FEATURE** Northern Dam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA EVALUATED</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Condition of Concrete</td>
<td>Not accessible; could not inspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust or Staining on Concrete</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion or Cavitation</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of Monoliths</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of Joints</td>
<td>Good -10&quot; A.C. pipe can see daylight at inlet from headwall. First 2 joints dry, no cracking or misalignment. Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbering of Monoliths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

OUTLINE OF DRAINAGE AREA AND HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

Drainage Area Map
Location & Downstream Hazard Map

D-1
D-2
Photo 6 - Reinwall for 10-inch principal spillway pipe and toe drain, looking easterly from downstream channel.

Photo 7 - Overview of discharge channel and downstream conditions. Looking westerly from downstream slope of embankment.
Photo 4 - Dam overview looking easterly from downstream slope of emergency spillway. Note tracks on downstream face of embankment.

Photo 5 - Close-up of tracks on downstream face of embankment. Note additional erosion.
Photo 1 - Dam overview looking westerly from dike. Note debris at entrance to the emergency spillway.

Photo 2 - Dike overview looking northerly from left abutment of dam. Note drop inlet principal spillway structure.

Photo 3 - Entrance to emergency spillway looking easterly from right side of embankment. Note debris and rock outcrop.
APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA
## Inspection Check List

**Project**: Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 01059  
**Date**: 10/31/79

**Project Feature**: Northern Dike at Northern Dam

### Area Evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crest Elevation</td>
<td>1355.5 ft. MSL (from design plans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Pool Elevation</td>
<td>1349 ft. MSL (from design plans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Impoundment</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Cracks</td>
<td>None apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement or Settlement</td>
<td>None apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Movement</td>
<td>None apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Alignment</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Alignment</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition at Abutment</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications of Movement</td>
<td>No apparent movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items on Slopes</td>
<td>Grass on all slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trespassing on Slopes</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation on Slopes</td>
<td>None apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughing or Erosion of</td>
<td>One wet spot at downstream toe of dike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slopes or Abutments</td>
<td>approx. 120 ft from easterly abutment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Slope Protection</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Riprap Failures</td>
<td>None apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Movement</td>
<td>None apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Cracking at or near</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Embankment</td>
<td>One wet spot at downstream toe of dike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Downstream Seepage</td>
<td>approx. 120 ft from easterly abutment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping or Boils</td>
<td>None apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Drainage</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe Drains</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumentation System</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## INSPECTION CHECK LIST

### PARTY ORGANIZATION

**PROJECT**  
Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA01059  
Northern Dike  
(at Northern Dam)  
Tolland Massachusetts

**DATE**  
10/31/79

**TIME**  
11:30 a.m.

**WEATHER**  
sunny and clear

**W.S. ELEV.**  
U.S. D.N.S.

### PARTY:

1. J.W. Powers, Tighe & Bond/SCI
2. G.H. McDonnell, Tighe & Bond/SCI
3. E.A. Moe, Tighe & Bond/SCI
4. H.A. Koski, Tighe & Bond/SCI
5. O.H. Dumais, Tighe & Bond/SCI

### PROJECT FEATURE

1. All project features were inspected by all party members.

### REMARKS

---

---
## Inspection Check List

**Project:** Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 00321  
**Date:** 10/31/79  
**Project Feature:** Northern Dam  
**Discipline:**  

### Area Evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutout Works - Service Bridge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Super Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bearings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Anchor Bolts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bridge Seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Longitudinal Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Under Side of Deck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Secondary Bracing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Drainage System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Railings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Expansion Joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Paint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Abutment &amp; Piers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- General Condition of Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Alignment of Abutment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Approach to Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Condition of Seat &amp; Backwall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Condition:** Not Applicable
## Inspection Check List

**Project:** Lost Wilderness Lake Dam No. MA 00321  
**Date:** 10/31/79

**Project Feature:** Northern Dam

**Discipline:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Evaluated</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outlet Works - Spillway Weir, Approach and Discharge Channels</strong></td>
<td>Emergency Spillway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| a. Approach Channel | Filled with debris - logs, stumps, etc.  
Rock outcrops in entrance and extending out from the northerly sidewall.  
There are no trees in this area  
Grass floor covered with debris and rock outcrops constrict the channel—does not conform to design plans |
| General Condition | Not Applicable  
Grass covered earthen dike becomes the northerly sideslope of the emergency spillway |
| Loose Rock Overhanging Channel | Not Applicable |
| Trees Overhanging Channel | Not Applicable  
Dike becomes the northerly sideslope of the emergency spillway |
| Floor of Approach Channel | Not Applicable |
| b. Weir and Training Walls | Not Applicable  
Grass covered earthen dike becomes the northerly sideslope of the emergency spillway |
<p>| General Condition of Concrete | Not Applicable |
| Rust or Staining | Not Applicable |
| Spalling | Not Applicable |
| Any Visible Reinforcing | Not Applicable |
| Any Seepage or Efflorescence | Not Applicable |
| Drain Holes | Not Applicable |
| c. Discharge Channel | Good |
| General Condition | None |
| Loose Rock Overhanging Channel | Grass |
| Trees Overhanging Channel | Roadway downstream with 30&quot; culvert |
| Floor of Channel | |
| Other Obstructions | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA EVALUATED</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND CHANNEL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition of Concrete</td>
<td>Headwall is in good condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust or Staining</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion or Cavitation</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible Reinforcing</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Seepage or Efflorescence</td>
<td>None Apparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition at Joints</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain holes</td>
<td>Toe drain in good condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Discharge Channel</td>
<td>Channel is a small stream approximately 3 feet wide. The stream flows through a gently sloping area of tall grass and brush. About 300 feet from headwall is East Otis Road and beyond that the area is heavily wooded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1: NORTHERN DAM
2: 300' D.S. EAST OTIS ROAD
3: 6,800' D.S. CONFLUENCE WITH THE WEST BRANCH OF THE FARMINGTON RIVER

SCALE:
1000' 2000' 3000'

FROM: U.S.G.S. TOLLAND CENTER, MASS.-CONN. QUADRANGLE MAP

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED.DAMS
LOCATION AND DOWNSTREAM HAZARD MAP
NORTHERN DAM (MA01059)
LOST WILDERNESS LAKE
HAMPDEN COUNTY
TOLLAND
MASSACHUSETTS

SCALE: AS NOTED
DATE: DECEMBER 1979
TWINING POND DAM
1,500' D.S. EAST OTIS ROAD
3,700' D.S. ROUTE 57
6,400' D.S. HOUSE
7,300' D.S. NEW ROUTE 8
7,800' D.S. OLD ROUTE 8
Calculations based on information from U.S.G.S. Map - Toll and Center Quad.

scale 1" = 2000'

1 sq. in. = 91.83 Acres or 0.143 sq. miles.

Drainage Area

By planimeter = 1.18 sq. mi. - from Construction Plans = 1.22 sq. mi.
Use 1.22 sq. miles = 78.1 Acres

Surface Area of Lake

1. @ Elevation 1349 (Normal Pool Elev.)
   By planimeter = 105 Acres - from Construction Plans = 100 Acres
   Use 100 Acres

2. @ Elevation 1351 (Emergency Spillway Crest)
   Since topo is fairly uniform between 1349 to 1360 - assume straight interpolation
   \[
   \frac{11\text{'}8}{65} = \frac{2\text{'}}{x} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 11x = 170 \quad x = 15.5\text{ say 16 Acres} \quad \text{Elev 1351 \Rightarrow 100 + 16 = 116 Acres}
   \]

3. @ Elevation 1355.5 (Top of Dams)
   Same as No. 2 above
   \[
   \frac{11\text{'}8}{65} = \frac{6\text{'}.5}{x} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 11x = 552.5 \quad x = 50.2\text{ say 50 Acres} \quad \text{Elev 1355.5 \Rightarrow 100 + 50 = 150 Acres}
   \]

4. @ Elevation 1360
   2.0 sq. in. x 91.83 Acres/sq. in. = 184\text{'}.8 Acres say 185 Acres

\[\text{Diagram showing relationship between Elevation and Drainage Area.}\]
**Storage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elev</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Height (Above Normal)</th>
<th>Storage (Approx.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1349</td>
<td>100 Ac.</td>
<td>27' (0)</td>
<td>1200 Ac.-Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>108 Ac.</td>
<td>28' (1)</td>
<td>1300 Ac.-Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1351</td>
<td>114 Ac.</td>
<td>29' (2)</td>
<td>1400 Ac.-Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355.5</td>
<td>150 Ac.</td>
<td>33.5' (C.5)</td>
<td>2000 Ac.-Ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume Avg. depth of Pond = 12' & 1349

---

**Diagram**

- **Elevation** vs **Storage**
  - Elevation values: 1345, 1350, 1355
  - Storage values: 0, 1000, 2000, 2500 Acre-Ft.
Size Classification

Height: Southern Dam (Twining Pond) 27' - Small
      Northern Dam 23' - Intermediate

Storage = 1,200^2 Acre-Feet - between 1000 & 5000: Intermediate
      @ Normal Pool
      2,000^2 A-F @ Top of Dam

Classification: Intermediate

Hazard Potential

Southern Dam (Twining Pond) - Significant
Northern Dam - Low

See Text For Failure Analysis Description.

Test Flood

Recommended Spillway Design Flood - 1/2 PMF to PMF

Use 1/2 PMF

Classification of Terrain in Drainage Area

The area is primarily rolling terrain with a few sections of freshwater marsh. Rolling terrain will be used in determining the peak flow rates.

Spillway Rating

1. Use 1/2 P.M.F.
2. Assume Rolling Terrain
3. Drainage Area = 1.22 sq. miles
4. Use the "Maximum Probable Flood Peak Flow Rates" curves and extrapolate for a drainage area of 1.22 sq. miles.
   (See next sheet)
MAXIMUM PROBABLE FLOOD
PEAK FLOW RATES

x5 - NED DAM IDENTIFICATION
Ω7' - TWICE SPF AT INDICATED SITES
DEC. 1977

DRAINAGE AREA IN SQ. MILES
Spillway Rating (cont.)

From curve on pg. 4 Max Probable Flood for D.A. of 1.22 sq. mi. = 2,280 c.f.s.  
\
\[ \frac{1}{2} \text{ PMF} = \frac{2,280}{2} = 1,140 \text{ c.f.s/ sq. mi} \]
\
\[ 1,140 \times 1.22 = 1,390 \text{ c.f.s} \]

There are two dams on Lost Wilderness Lake (formerly Twining Pond). The Twining Pond Dam is located at the southwest corner of the lake and is comprised of the dam (27' high), emergency spillway (griss 170' wide), small dikes and a riser type principal spillway (2' x 10' opening). The Northern Dam is located at the northwest corner of the lake and is approx. 23 feet high with an emergency spillway (griss 30' wide), a dike (approx. 400' long, 8' high) and a riser type principal spillway (3' x 1' opening).  

For these calculations we are assuming that the test flood will flow through both the Twining Pond spillway and the Northern spillway.

1. Twining Pond Spillway Cross Section

2. Northern Spillway Cross Section
### Spillway Rating (Cont.)

#### Stage-Discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elev</th>
<th>Spillway Q&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Emergency Spillway Q&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1349</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>72 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>72 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1351</td>
<td>165 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>165 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352</td>
<td>165 c.f.s.</td>
<td>442 c.f.s.</td>
<td>607 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1353</td>
<td>165 c.f.s.</td>
<td>1,250 c.f.s.</td>
<td>1,415 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1354</td>
<td>165 c.f.s.</td>
<td>2,896 c.f.s.</td>
<td>2,461 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355</td>
<td>165 c.f.s.</td>
<td>3,536 c.f.s.</td>
<td>3,701 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355.5</td>
<td>165 c.f.s.</td>
<td>4,219 c.f.s.</td>
<td>4,384 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### @ Northern Dam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elev</th>
<th>Spillway Q&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Emergency Spillway Q&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1349</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>9 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>9 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1351</td>
<td>11 c.f.s.</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
<td>11 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352</td>
<td>11 c.f.s.</td>
<td>78 c.f.s.</td>
<td>89 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1353</td>
<td>11 c.f.s.</td>
<td>220 c.f.s.</td>
<td>231 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1354</td>
<td>11 c.f.s.</td>
<td>405 c.f.s.</td>
<td>416 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355</td>
<td>11 c.f.s.</td>
<td>624 c.f.s.</td>
<td>635 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355.5</td>
<td>11 c.f.s.</td>
<td>745 c.f.s.</td>
<td>756 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spillway Rating (Cont.)

Combined Stage - Discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elev</th>
<th>Combined Discharge (Twining Pond &amp; Northerly)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1349</td>
<td>0 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>81 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1351</td>
<td>176 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352</td>
<td>696 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1353</td>
<td>1,646 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1354</td>
<td>2,877 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355</td>
<td>4,336 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355.5 (Top of Dam)</td>
<td>5,140 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spillway Rating (Cont)

Sample Calculations

1) Compute Flow thru Primary Spillways. 

- **Rectangular Orifice** 
  \[ Q = 3.33 (L - 0.2H)H^{1.5} \]
  \[ Q = CA \sqrt{2gH} \quad C = 0.65 \]

- **Twining Pond** - 2 - 2' x 10' rectangular openings (one each side)

- **Northeast** - 1 - 1' x 3' rectangular opening at upstream face of structure

Assume Rectangular Weirs to top of opening then compute as an Orifice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( H )</th>
<th>( Q \times )</th>
<th>( \text{Openings} \times )</th>
<th>Total ( Q )</th>
<th>Northeastly ( Q )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72 c.f.s.</td>
<td>93 c.f.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Compute Flow thru Pipes

- **Twining Pond** - Normal Pond Elev. 1349, 9" Pipe 1345.5, 36" @ Pipe @ S = 2.9/100 L = 136'
- **Northeast** - Normal Pond Elev. 1349, 6" Pipe 1344.6, 10" @ Pipe @ S = 10/100 L = 122'

- **Darcy Equation**: \( h_L = f \frac{L}{d} \frac{V^2}{2g} \) where \( f = 0.02 \) (from Moody Diagram)

- **Head Losses**: Intner Losses = 0.5 \( \frac{V^2}{2g} \) Outer Losses = 1.0 \( \frac{V^2}{2g} \)

- @ Twining Pond: \( H = 0.5 \frac{V^2}{2g} + 1.0 \frac{V^2}{2g} + 0.9 \frac{V^2}{2g} = 2.4 \frac{V^2}{2g} \) (H varies from 14.5 to 21')

- @ Northeast: \( H = 0.5 \frac{V^2}{2g} + 1.0 \frac{V^2}{2g} + 2.9 \frac{V^2}{2g} = 4.4 \frac{V^2}{2g} \) (H varies from 2.4 to 8.3')

3) Compute Flow thru Spillways

Assume Broad Crested Weirs for each Emergency Spillway

\[ Q = CLH^{0.5} \]

- \( C = 2.6 \)
- \( L = 170' \)
- \( L = 50' \)
Reservoir Routing

Normal Pool Elev. = 1349

Height to pass 1,390 c.f.s (1/2 PMF) = 1352.8 feet
(from graph on p. 7)

This is 3.8 ft over Normal Pool Elevation

Surface Area at Elevation 1352.8
From graph on p. 1 - Area is 130 Acres
Surface Area at Elevation 1349 is 100 Acres

Volume of Surchage Storage = \( \frac{(130+100)}{2} \cdot 3.8 \) = 437 Acre-ft.

Drainage Area = 1.22 sq. mi. = 781 Acres

\[
Runoff = \frac{Storage}{DRAINAGE\ Area} = \frac{437 \text{ Acre-ft}}{781 \text{ Acre}} = 0.56 = 6.7 \text{ inches}
\]

\[
Q_{P_2} = Q_{P_1} \left(1 - \frac{STOR_1}{19}\right) = 1,390 \left(1 - \frac{4.7}{19}\right) = 900 \text{ c.f.s.}
\]

Surchage height for \( Q_{P_2} \) is Elev. 1352.2
(from graph on p. 7)

Surface Area @ Elev. 1352.2 = 123 Acres

\[
Runoff = \frac{Storage}{D.A.} = \frac{\left(\frac{100+123}{2}\right) \cdot 3.2}{781} = 0.46 \text{ ft} = 5.5 \text{ inches}
\]

\[
STOR_2 = 5.5 \text{ inches}
\]

Avg. STOR = \( \frac{STOR_1 + STOR_2}{2} = \frac{6.7 + 5.5}{2} = 6.1 \text{ inches} \)
\[ Q_{p3} = Q_p (1 - \frac{\text{Stor.}}{19}) = 1390 (1 - \frac{6.1}{19}) = 944 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

Surcharge height for \( Q_{p3} \) is Elev. 1352.3  
(from graph on P. 7)

Surface Area @ Elev. 1352.3 = 124 Acres

Volume of Surcharge Storage = \( \frac{100 + 124}{2} \) (3.3) = 370 Ac-ft

Runoff = \( \frac{370}{781} \) = 0.47 ft = 5.7 inches

Avg. Stor. = \( \frac{6.1 + 5.7}{2} \) = 5.9 inches

\[ Q_{p4} = Q_p (1 - \frac{\text{Stor.}}{19}) = 1390 (1 - \frac{5.9}{19}) = 958 \text{ Ac-ft} \]

Surcharge height for \( Q_{p4} \) is Elev. 1352.3  
(from graph on P. 7)

Surface Area @ Elev. 1352.3 = 124 Acres

Volume of Surcharge = \( \frac{100 + 124}{2} \) (3.3) = 370 Ac-ft

Runoff = \( \frac{370}{781} \) = 0.47 ft = 5.7 inches

Avg. Stor. = \( \frac{5.9 + 5.7}{2} \) = 5.8 inches

\[ H = 3.3 \text{ ft above normal pool elevation or Elev. 1352.3} \]

\[ Q = 960 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

The spillways can handle the Test Flood of \( \frac{1}{2} \) PMF with a depth of approximately 1.8 ft at the control section (assuming the Test Flood was not routed) or a depth of approximately 1.3 ft at the control section (assuming the Test Flood was routed)

This would be the elevation at both the Twining Pond spillway and the Northern Spillway because we assumed both would operate simultaneously.
**Dam Failure Analysis - Twining Pond Dam**

**Equation:**
\[ Q_p = \frac{8}{27} \cdot \omega_b \cdot \sqrt{g} \cdot y_0^{\frac{3}{2}} \]

where:
- \( \omega_b \): Breast Width (40% of dam length at mid height)
- \( y_0 \): Total height from River Bed to Pool Level at failure
- \( Q_p \): Peak Failure Outflow
- \( g = 32.2 \text{ ft/sec} \)

**Calculation:**
\[ \omega_b = 140.6 \times 40\% = 64 \text{ ft} \]
\[ y_0 = 23.8 \text{ ft} \quad (27 \text{ ft} - 3.2 \text{ ft} \text{ freeboard}) \]

\[ Q_p = \frac{8}{27} \times 64 \times \sqrt{32.2} \times 23.8^{\frac{3}{2}} \]
\[ = \frac{8}{27} \times 64 \times 5.675 \times 23.8^{\frac{3}{2}} \]
\[ Q_p = 12,500 \text{ cfs} \]

**Note:** See page D-25 for analysis prior to dam failure.

**Task:** Compute effect at first section - Intersection of discharge stream and East Otis Road - (48" culvert - boiler plate) Section taken just upstream of East Otis Road.

Reach: 1500', Culvert: 48" 360' long, Freeboard = 1 ft.

**Area:**
\[ A = \frac{15y^2}{2} + \frac{12y^2}{2} = 13.5y^2 \]

**W.P.:**
\[ W.P. = 15.03y + 12.04y = 27.1y \]

**S:**
\[ S = 2\% \]

**R:**
\[ R = \frac{A}{W.P.} = \frac{13.5y^2}{27.1y} = 0.498y \]

**n:**
\[ n = 0.03 \]
\[ H = \left( \frac{Q}{3.0 \ L} \right)^{2/3} \]

\[ H = \left( \frac{6747}{(3.0)(123)} \right)^{2/3} \]

\[ H = 70 \text{ ft.} \quad \text{Depth over road} \approx \frac{2}{3} \times 70 = 47 \text{ ft.} \]

\[ \therefore \text{The road will be overtopped by approximately 4.7 ft.} \]

3) Compute effect at a point 5,000 ft downstream.

Reach = 4,700 ft

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Area} &= \frac{5y^2}{2} + \frac{5y^2}{4} = 5y^2 \\
\text{W.P.} &= 10.1y \\
S &= 8\% \\
R &= A/\text{W.P.} = \frac{5y^2}{10.1y} = 0.495y \\
n &= 0.03 \\
Q &= \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \\
\text{Assume } y &= 5' \\
A &= 5y^2 = 125 \text{ s.f.} \\
R &= 0.495y = 2.475 \\
Q &= \frac{1.486}{0.03} \times 125 \times (2.475)^{2/3} \times (0.08)^{1/2} \\
Q &= 3,214 \text{ c.f.s.}
\end{align*}
\]
Channel Vol. = Reach \times Area

for Q_{p_1} = 6,800 c.f.s.

from graph on pp.23 \: y = 7.6 \: ft.

Vol = (300) \left( \frac{7.5(7.6)^2}{43,560} \right) = 3 \: Acre-ft. \quad (Prefailure storage negligible)

S = 2,000 \: Acre-ft.

Q_{p_2} (trial) = 6,800 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2,000} \right)

Q_{p_2} (trial) = 6,790 c.f.s.

Using Q_{p_2} (trial) = 6,790 c.f.s.

from graph on pp.23 \: y = 7.6 \: ft.

Since height remains the same, V_{neq} = 3 \: Acre-ft.

and Q_{p_2} = 6,790 c.f.s.

Flow thru Culvert

Inter loss = 0.9 \: \frac{v^2}{2g}

Outer loss = 1.0 \: \frac{v^2}{2g}

Pipe loss = 0.2 \: \frac{v^2}{2g} \quad (h_L = f \frac{L}{d} \frac{v^2}{2g}) \quad where f = 0.02, L = 30', d = 2.5'

H = 2.1 \: \frac{v^2}{2g}

For H = 2.5', v = 8.8 \: fps \: Q = 43 \: c.f.s. \quad (Assume no surcharge)

Flow over East Otis Road

Q = 6,790 - 43 = 6,747 \: cfs

Broad crested weir flow over road:
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \]

Assume \( y = 5' \)
\[ A = 7.5 y^2 = 7.5(5)^2 = 187.5 \text{ s.f.} \]
\[ R = 0.497 y = 0.497(5) = 2.485 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} (187.5)(2.485)^{2/3}(0.02)^{1/2} \]
\[ Q = 2,417 \text{ c.f.s} \]

Assume \( y = 10' \)
\[ A = 7.5 y^2 = 7.5(10)^2 = 750 \text{ s.f.} \]
\[ R = 0.497 y = 0.497(10) = 4.97 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} (750)(4.97)^{2/3}(0.02)^{1/2} \]
\[ Q = 15,383 \text{ c.f.s.} \]
Dam Failure Analysis - Northern Dam

\[ Q_{p1} = \frac{8}{27} \left( W_b \sqrt{3} \right) y_0^{3/2} \]

where, \( W_b \) = Breach Width (40% of dam length @ mid-height)
\( y_0 \) = Total height from River Bed to Pool levee failure

\( Q_{p1} \) = Peak Failure Outflow
\( q = 32.2 \text{ ft./sec.} \)
\( W_b = 115 \text{ ft.} \times 40\% = 46 \text{ ft.} \)
\( y_0 = 19.8 \text{ ft.} \) (23' - 3.2' freeboard)

\[ Q_{p1} = \frac{8}{27} \times 46 \times (32.2)^{3/2} \times (19.8)^{3/2} \]
\[ = \frac{8}{27} \times 46 \times 5.675 \times 88.1 \]
\[ Q_{p1} = 6,800 \text{ cfs} \]

**Note:** See page D-36 for analysis prior to dam failure

2) Compute effect at First Section - Intersection of discharge stream and East Otto Road - 30" culvert - bolder plate.

Reach = 300 ft. Culvert = 30" φ, 30 ft. long Freeboard = 2 ft.

\[ \text{Area} = \frac{5y^2}{2} + \frac{10y^2}{2} = 7.5y^2 \]
\[ \text{W.P.} = 15.1y \]
\[ S = 2\% \]
\[ \text{R} = \frac{A}{\text{W.P.}} = \frac{7.5y^2}{15.1y} = 0.497y \]
\[ n = 0.03 \]
Flow over Route 8.

\[ Q = 11,800 - 4110 = 7690 \text{ cfs}. \]

Broad crested weir flow over weir:

\[ H = \left( \frac{7690}{352^2 \times 3} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} = 3.8 \text{ ft}. \]

Depth over road = \( \frac{2}{3} \times 3.8 \) = 2.5 ft.

Route 8 will be overtopped by approximately 2.5 ft.

6) Effect at confluence of the West Branch of the Farmington River

The West Branch of the Farmington River downstream of the confluence with the Turning Pond Dam failure flow is a broad floodplain area which will quickly attenuate the dam failure flow.

In addition, the Colebrook Reservoir flood protection dam is about 28,000 ft downstream. No additional structures or roadway crossings are threatened by dam failure.
Channel Vol = Reach x Area

for $Q_p = 11,900$ cfs from above graph $y = 4.6 \text{ ft}

Vol = (900') (\frac{40 (4.6)^2}{43,560}) - 0.8 = 17 \text{ ac. ft}.

S = 2,000 Acre-FT

$Q_{p2} \text{ (trial)} = Q_p (1 - \frac{1}{5})$

$= 11,900 (1 - \frac{17}{2000}) = 11,800 \text{ cfs.}$

$Q_{p2} = 11,800 \text{ cfs.}$

Flow thru box culvert @ Route 8

$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{0.65} S^{0.5}$

$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} (124)(3.9)^{0.65} (0.07)^{0.5}$

$Q = 4,110 \text{ cfs} \text{ (assuming no surcharge)}$

Box culvert cannot handle the flow from the failure of Tuning Pad Dam, therefore Route 8 will be overtopped.
5) Section taken just upstream of Route B

Reach = 900'

Bridge: 60' x 37' 14' 9'

Area = \( \frac{60y^2 + 20y^2}{2} = 40y^2 \)

W.P. = 60.8y + 20.02y = 80.1y

S = 7%

\( R = \frac{\text{Area} \times \text{W.P.}}{80.1y} = 0.499y \)

\( Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} \times A R^{3/2} S^{1/2} \)

Assume \( y = 2 \)

A = 40y^2 = 160 s.f.

R = 0.499y = 0.998

Q = \( \frac{1.486}{0.03} \times (160)(0.998)^{3/2}(0.07)^{1/2} \)

Q = 2094 c.f.s.

Assume \( y = 5 \)

A = 40y^2 = 1000 s.f.

R = 0.499y = 2.495

Q = \( \frac{1.486}{0.03} \times (1000)(2.495)^{3/2}(0.07) \)

Q = 24,182 c.f.s.
Assume \( y = 8' \)

\[
A = 10y^2 = 640 \text{ s.f.} \quad \text{W.P.} = 20.1y = 160.8' \\
R = 0.498y = 3.98 \\
Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} (640)(3.98)^{3/2}(0.15)^{1/2} \\
Q = 30,977 \text{ c.f.s.}
\]

Discharge (c.f.s.)

Channel Vol = Reach \times Area

for \( Q_p = 12,000 \text{ c.f.s.} \) from above graph \( y = 5.9' \)

\[
V_o = (2700)(\frac{10(5.9)^2}{43,560}) - 0.40 = 21 \text{ ac. ft.} \\
S = 2,000 \text{ Acre-feet} \quad \text{(See Page D-35)}
\]

\[
Q_{p2} (\text{trial}) = Q_{p1} (1 - \frac{V_o}{s}) \\
= 12,000 \left( 1 - \frac{21}{2000} \right) \\
Q_{p2} (\text{trial}) = 11,900 \text{ c.f.s.}
\]

from above graph \( y = 5.9' \)

\[
Q_{p2} = Q_{p2} (\text{trial}) = 11,900 \text{ c.f.s.}
\]
Flow over State Route 57

\[ Q = 12,000 - 280 = 11,720 \text{ cfs} \]

Breast wall mean flow over road:

\[ H = \left( \frac{11,720}{249(3)} \right)^{2/3} \]

\[ H = 6.3 \text{ ft} \quad \text{Depth over Road} = \frac{2}{3}(6.3) = 4.2 \text{ ft}. \]

\[ \therefore \text{The road will be overtopped by approximately 4.2 ft.} \]

4) Compute Effect at Route B. The section is taken 900' upstream of Route B.

Reach = 2700'

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Area} &= 10y^2 \\
\text{W.P.} &= 10.04y + 10.04y = 20.1y \\
S &= 15\% \\
R &= A/\text{W.P.} = \frac{10y^2}{20.1y} = 0.498y \\
Q &= \frac{1.486}{n} \frac{AR^{3/2}}{S^{1/2}} \\
\text{Assume} \ y &= 5' \\
\text{A} &= 10y^2 = 250 \text{ s.f.} \\
\text{W.P.} &= 20.1y = 100.5 \text{ ft} \\
R &= 0.498y = 2.49 \\
Q &= \frac{1.486}{0.03} \left(250\right)\left(2.49\right)^{3/2} \left(0.15\right)^{1/2} \\
Q &= 8,838 \text{ cfs.}
\end{align*}
\]
Channel Vol = Reach x Area

For Qp₁ = 12,300 cfs,
from graph on pp. 15 y = 7.8 ft.
Vol = (2,200) \( (15(7.8)^{2}) \times 1.3 = 45 \text{ ac-ft} \)
\( \frac{43,560}{4} \) (See page D-39)
S = 2,000 Acre-Feet

\( Qp₂ \text{ (trial)} = Qp₁ (1 - \frac{V₁}{S}) \)
\( = 12,300 \left(1 - \frac{45}{2,000}\right) = 12,000 \text{ cfs} \)

\( Qp₂ \text{ (trial)} = 12,000 \text{ cfs} \)

Using \( Qp₂ \text{ (trial)} = 12,000 \text{ cfs} \)
from graph on pp. 15 y = 7.7 ft.
Vol = (2,200) \( (15(7.7)^{2}) \times 1.3 = 44 \text{ ac-ft} \)
\( \frac{45 + 49}{2} = 44.5 \text{ ac-ft} \)
\( Qp₂ = 12,300 \left(1 - \frac{44.5}{2,000}\right) = 12,000 \text{ ac-ft} \).

Flow thru culvert

Inlet loss = 0.9 \( v^{2/3}g \)
Outlet loss = 1.0 \( v^{2/3}g \)
Pipe loss = 0.2 \( v^{2/3}g \) (\( h_L = f \frac{L}{d} \frac{v^2}{2g} \))
\( H = 2.1 v^{2/3}g \)

For \( h = 5.3' \) \( v = 12.7 \text{ fps} \) \( Q = 280 \text{ cfs} \) (Assume no surcharge)
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \]

Assume \( y = 5' \)

\[ A = 15y^2 = 375 \text{ s.f.} \]
\[ R = 0.498y = 2.49 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} \times 375 \times 2.49 \times 0.02^{1/2} \]
\[ Q = 4840 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

Assume \( y = 10' \)

\[ A = 15y^2 = 1500 \text{ s.f.} \]
\[ R = 0.498y = 4.98 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} \times 1500 \times 4.98^{2/3} \times 0.02^{1/2} \]
\[ Q = 30806 \text{ c.f.s.} \]
3) Converge smaller to Route 57. Section is taken just upstream.

Flow over East Onis Road

\[ Q = \frac{12}{100} \times 10 = 12 \text{ cfs} \]

\[ H = \left( \frac{Q}{2g} \right)^\frac{2}{3} = 6.8 \text{ ft} \]

Depth of water at approach = \( \frac{3}{4} \text{ (c.e.)} = 4.25 \text{ ft} \)

Pipe loss = \( 0.2 \times \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \)

Order loss = \( 0.2 \times \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \)

\[ H = \left( \frac{Q}{2g} \right)^\frac{2}{3} \]

\[ \text{loss} = 0.2 \times \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \]

Revised by: [Name]
Channel Vol. = Reach x Area

for \( Q_p = 12,500 \text{ c.f.s.} \)

from graph on pp. 12 \( y = 8.1 \text{ ft} \)

\[
\text{Vol} = \left( 1500' \right) \left( \frac{13.5 (60)^2}{43,560} \right) \left( 1.5 \right) = 29 \text{ ac. ft}
\]

\( S = 2,000 \text{ Acre Feet} \)  

(See page D-33)

\[ Q_{P_2} \text{ (trial)} = Q_p \left( 1 - \frac{V_l}{S} \right) \]

\[ = 12,500 \left( 1 - \frac{29}{2,000} \right) \]

\[ Q_{P_2} \text{ (trial)} = 12,300 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

Using \( Q_{P_2} \text{ (trial)} = 12,300 \text{ c.f.s.} \)

from graph on pp. 12 \( y = 8.0 \text{ ft} \)

\[ V_l = \left( 1500' \right) \left( \frac{13.5 (80)^2}{43,560} \right) \left( 1.5 \right) = 28 \text{ ac. ft} \]

\[ V_l = 28 \text{ Acre-ft} \]

\[ V_{AVG} = \frac{V_l + V_i}{2} = \frac{29 + 28}{2} = 28.5 \]

\[ \therefore Q_{P_2} = Q_p \left( 1 - \frac{V_{AVG}}{S} \right) \]

\[ = 12,500 \left( 1 - \frac{28.5}{2,000} \right) = 12,300 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

\[ Q_{P_2} = 12,300 \text{ c.f.s.} \]
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\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{3/4} S^{1/2} \]

Assume \( y = 10' \)

\[ A = 13.5 y^2 = 1,350 \text{ sf} \]
\[ R = 0.498 y = 4.98 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} (1,350)(4.98)^{3/2} (0.02)^{1/2} \]
\[ Q = 27,624 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

Assume \( y = 15' \)

\[ A = 13.5 y^2 = 3,037.5 \text{ sf} \]
\[ R = 0.498 y = 7.47 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} (3,037.5)(7.47)^{3/2} (0.02)^{1/2} \]
\[ Q = 81,670 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

Assume \( y = 5' \)

\[ A = 13.5 y^2 = 337.5 \text{ sf} \]
\[ R = 0.498 y = 2.49 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} (337.5)(2.49)^{3/2} (0.02)^{1/2} \]
\[ Q = 4,337 \text{ c.f.s.} \]
Assume $y = 10'$

\[ A = 5y^2 = 500 \text{ s.f.} \]
\[ R = 0.495y = 4.95 \]
\[ Q = \frac{1.484}{0.03} \times 500 \times (4.95)^{3/2} \times (0.08)^{1/2} \]

\[ Q = 20,455 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

**Discharge (c.f.s.)**

Channel Vol = Reach x Area

For $Q_p$, 6700 c.f.s.

From above graph, \( y = 6 \text{ ft} \)

\[ \text{Vol} = (4,700) \left( \frac{5(6)^{3/2}}{43,560} \right) - 0.5 = 25 \text{ ac. ft.} \]

\[ S = 2,000 \text{ Acre-ft.} \]

(See page D-76)
\[ Q_{p2} \text{(trial)} = Q_p \left(1 - \frac{V_t}{S}\right) \]
\[ = 6700 \left(1 - \frac{25}{2000}\right) \]
\[ Q_{p2} \text{(trial)} = 6700 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

Using \( Q_{p2} \text{(trial)} = 6700 \text{ c.f.s} \)
from graph on pp. 26 \( y = 7.5 \text{ ft} \)
Since height remains the same, \( V_{AVG} = 25 \text{ Acre-ft} \)
and \( Q_{p2} = 6700 \text{ c.f.s} \).

4) Compute effect at Confluence of the West Branch of the Farmington River

Reach = 1,800 ft.

\[
\text{Area} = \frac{100y^2 + 10y^2}{2} = 55y^2
\]
\( W.P. = 110.1y \)
\( S = 3\% \)
\( R = A/W.P. = \frac{55y^2}{110.1y} = 0.5y \)
\( n = 0.03 \)
\( Q = \frac{1.486}{n} \cdot A R^{0.6} S^{0.2} \)

Assume \( y = 5' \)
\( A = 55y^2 = 1,375 \text{ sf} \)
\( R = 0.5y = 2.5 \)
\[ Q = \frac{1.486}{0.03} \times 1,375 (2.5)^{0.6} (0.03)^{0.2} \]
\[ Q = 21,796 \text{ c.f.s.} \]
Assume $y = 2''$

\[ A = 55y^2 = 220 \text{ sq. ft.} \]

\[ R = 0.5y = 1 \]

\[ Q = \frac{1484}{0.03} \times 220 \times (1)^{1/2} \times (0.03)^{1/2} \]

\[ Q = 1,887 \text{ c.f.s.} \]

---

Discharge (c.f.s.)

Channel Vol. = Reach x Area

for $Q_p$ = 4,700 c.f.s. from above graph $y$ = 4.1 ft.

\[ \text{Vol.} = (1,800)\left(\frac{55(4.1)^2}{43,560}\right) = 38 \text{ Acre-feet} \]

\[ S = 2,000 \text{ Acre-feet} \quad \text{(prefailure storage is negligible)} \]

\[ Q_{V2} \text{ (trial)} = Q_p (1 - \frac{V_1}{S}) \]

\[ = 6,700 \left(1 - \frac{38}{2,000}\right) \]

\[ Q_{V2} \text{ (trial)} = 6,570 \text{ c.f.s.} \]
Using \( Q_{p2} \) (trial) = 6570 c.f.s

from graph on page 28, \( y = 4.1 \) ft.

Since height remains the same, \( V_{Ave} = 38 \) ac.ft.

and \( Q_{p2} = 6570 \) c.f.s.

Downstream of the confluence with the West Branch of the Farmington River the dam failure flow will be quickly attenuated. No structures, road crossings or other development is threatened by a dam failure.
Analysis of Flow Rate to Dam Failure - Twining Road Dam

1. Flow rate from Twining Road Dam:
   - Flow rate from Twining Road Dam 800 cfs.
   - Flow rate from Northen Dam 180 cfs.
   - Total flow from Twining Road Dam 980 cfs.

2. Compute effect on the interaction of discharge stream and East Otis Road:
   - Flow over East Otis Road: 500 cfs.
   - Flow over Otis Road: 300 cfs.

Storage Volume = 1500

The road will be overtopped by approximately 1.9 feet.

- H = \left(\frac{460}{30}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} = 2.84 ft
- Depth over road = \frac{2}{3}(2.8) = 1.9 ft

\[ \begin{align*}
  y &= 17 \\
  z &= 459 \\
  a &= 11.5 \\
  b &= 0.9
\end{align*} \]
3) Compute effect at Route 57
   Flow prior to dam failure = 800 c.f.s.
   From graph on page 15, stage = 1.8 feet
   Flow over State Road 57 (see page 17)
   \( Q = 800 \text{ c.f.s.} - 280 \text{ c.f.s.} = 520 \text{ c.f.s.} \)
   From graph on page 15, \( y = 1.3 \text{ feet} \)
   \( \theta y = 1.3 \quad L = 30 \theta y = 39 \text{ ft.} \)
   \[ H = \left( \frac{520}{(39)(3.0)} \right)^{2/3} \]
   \[ H = 2.7 \text{ ft.} \]
   Depth over Road = \( \frac{2.8}{2.7} (2.7) = 1.8 \text{ feet} \)

... The road will be overtopped by approximately 1.8 feet

Storage Vol = \( 2200 \left( \frac{15 \times (1.3)^3}{43,560} \right) = 1.3 \text{ ac. ft.} \)
4) Compute effect approximately 900 ft. upstream of Route B

Flow prior to dam failure = 800 cfs.

From graph on page 18, stage = 1.0 ft.

Storage Vol = \(2700 \left( \frac{10(1.0)^2}{43,560} \right) = 0.6 \text{ ac. ft.} \)

5) Compute effect just upstream of Route B

Flow prior to dam failure = 800 cfs.

From graph page 20 (D.23), stage = 1.0 ft

Storage Vol = \(200 \left( \frac{40(1.0)^2}{43,560} \right) = 0.8 \text{ ac. ft.} \)
Analysis of Flow Prior to Dam Failure:

Northern Dam

Routed flow from Northern Dam = 160 cfs

2) Compute effect at intersection of discharge stream and East Otis Road:

Flow prior to failure = 160 cfs
from graph page 23 (D-26), stage = 1.0 ft

Flow over East Otis Road:

\[ Q = 160 \text{ cfs} - 43 \text{ cfs} = 117 \text{ cfs}. \]

\[ H = \left( \frac{Q}{(3/4)} \right)^{2/3} = \left( \frac{117}{(3/400)} \right)^{2/3} = 0.5 \text{ ft} \]

Depth over road = \( \frac{2}{5} \times 0.5 = 0.3 \text{ ft} \)

Storage Volume is negligible

3) Compute effect at a point 5,000 ft downstream.

Flow prior to failure = 160 cfs.
from graph page 26 (D-29), stage = 1.0 ft

Storage Volume = \( 4,700 \left( \frac{(5)(1.0)^2}{43,520} \right) = 0.5 \text{ ac. ft.} \)
4) Compute effect at confluence with West Branch of the Farmington River:

Flow prior to failure = 160 cfs
from graph page 28 (D-31), stage = 0.3 ft

Storage Volume is negligible
APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULAR NAME</th>
<th>NAME OF IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>RIVER OR STREAM</th>
<th>NEAREST DOWNSTREAM CITY-TOWN-VILLAGE</th>
<th>ГИСТ ПРОИЗВОДСТВА</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOST WILDERNESS</td>
<td>LOST WILDERNESS LAKE</td>
<td>ATKINSON</td>
<td>BANBURY, AL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF DAM</th>
<th>YEAR COMPLETED</th>
<th>PURPOSES</th>
<th>TOTAL HEIGHT</th>
<th>HYDRAULIC HEIGHT</th>
<th>INUNDATING CAPACITIES</th>
<th>DIST QM N FED R PRIV/FED QM A VER/DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOLUME OF DAM (CFY)</th>
<th>POWER CAPACITY</th>
<th>NAVIGATION LOCKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>ENGINEERING BY</th>
<th>CONSTRUCTION BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOST WILDERNESS INC</td>
<td>RG BROWN ASSOC INC</td>
<td>MEBERT CONST CO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESIGN</th>
<th>REGULATORY AGENCY</th>
<th>OPERATION</th>
<th>MAINTENANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSPECTION BY</th>
<th>INSPECTION DATE</th>
<th>AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30-240 FT DAM &lt; 400 FT DIKE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>