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In this report we deal with new concepts for determining the astro-geodetic deflection of the vertical, in the sense that we determine the $\xi$ and $\eta$ deflection components completely independently of the knowledge of the astronomic coordinates, latitude and longitude, hence reducing the time and cost of field work. The first part of this paper is devoted to finding a relationship between the deflection components with respect to a star or stars positions. We have found that the $\xi$ and $\eta$ components are related to either the difference between the astronomic and geodetic star zenith distances; azimuths; parallactic angles or are...
based on a star rate of change in azimuth and zenith distance with respect to time. Except for the last one, star pairs must be considered. In the second part of this paper, we must consider a method that deals with the solution for the deflection components \( f \) and \( f' \) from observation of star pairs, as function of the astronomic and geodetic zenith distances. The effect of errors in evaluating the deflection components \( f \) and \( f' \) as well as the polar migration, are considered.
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ABSTRACT

In this report we deal with new concepts for determining the astro-geodetic deflection of the vertical, in the sense that we determine the $\xi$ and $\eta$ deflection components completely independently of the knowledge of the astronomic coordinates, latitude and longitude, hence reducing the time and cost of field work. The first part of this paper is devoted to finding a relationship between the deflection components with respect to a star or stars positions. We have found that the $\xi$ and $\eta$ components are related to either the difference between the astronomic and geodetic star zenith distances; azimuths; parallactic angles, or are based on a star rate of change in azimuth and zenith distance with respect to time. Except for the last one, star pairs must be considered. In the second part of this paper, we consider a method that deals with the solution for the deflection components $\xi$ and $\eta$ from observation of star pairs, as a function of the astronomic and geodetic zenith distances. The effect of errors in evaluating the deflection components $\xi$ and $\eta$ as well as the polar migration, are considered.

INTRODUCTION

The deflection of the vertical at a point is the angle which the physical plumbline at this point makes with the corresponding normal to the ellipsoid.

Consider, figure 1, a sphere of a unit radius with its center at the observation station. Let $Z^a$ be the astronomic zenith and $Z^g$ be the geodetic zenith. Let $S$ be the point on the sphere where the line of sight to a star intersects the unit sphere at a certain instant of time.
Let \( Z \) and \( Z_t \) be the zenith distance of the star with respect to \( Z_g \) and \( Z_s \) respectively. The point of correspondence to the direction of the north pole, which has the zenith distance \( (90^\circ - 0) \) and \( (90^\circ - \delta) \), with respect to \( Z_s \) and \( Z_t \); \( t \) and \( \theta \) are the star’s hour angles with respect to the astrogranic and geodetic meridians respectively. \( P \) and \( P_t \) are the star’s parallactic angles with respect to \( Z_s \) and \( Z_t \) respectively, so that according figure 1:

\[
Z_s - Z_t = P_t - P_s
\]

Let \( Z \) and \( T \) be an arc of a small circle at which \( S \) is the pole, then

\[ ST = S Z_s \]. Since \( Z_s Z \) is a small circle of arc, we may assume that

\[ Z_s T T \] is a plain triangle, with a right angle at \( T \). Let

\[
\begin{align*}
 y &= Z - H \\
 m &= Z_m T
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1. The unit sphere illustrating the deflection of the vertical with respect to a star position.

Let \( \theta \) be the angle between the astrogranic and geodetic verticals. Because \( \theta \) is small, we have:

\[
\theta = \sqrt{\psi^2 + w^2}
\]

The angle \( Z_s Z_t \) is also small, so we have:

\[
\theta = \frac{(Z_s - Z_t)^2 + (s - q)^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1}
\]

(1)

Let us draw the arc \( Z_s A \) from \( Z_s \) perpendicular to the astrogranic meridian. We then have

\[
\begin{align*}
 A Z_s &= \xi - \text{the component of the total deflection of the vertical along the meridian.} \\
 A Z_s &= \eta - \text{the component of the total deflection of the vertical along the prime vertical.}
\end{align*}
\]

In the rectangular spherical triangle \( APZ_s \), we have for the \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) deflection components:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sin \eta &= \cos \delta \sin (t - \pi) \\
\sin \eta \cos 90 &= \cos (\phi - \delta) \sin \theta - \sin (\phi - \delta) \cos \theta \cos (t - \pi)
\end{align*}
\]

(2)
For the small angles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) we get
\[
\alpha = (\beta - \gamma) \cos \delta
\]
\[
\phi + \Delta = \delta
\]
Let us see how the deflection components \( \Delta \) and \( \phi \), with respect to a star position, can be defined independently of astronomic coordinates.

\( \alpha \) is the star's astronomic azimuth, and \( \phi \) is the geodetic azimuth. The astronomic azimuth is represented by (Fig. 2)
\[
A = \gamma + \phi
\]

**Figure 2. Relationship between Astronomic and Geodetic Azimuth.**

and the geodetic azimuth by
\[
\alpha = W_1 + W_2
\]

The difference in azimuth consists of two parts
\[
A - \alpha = (\gamma_1 - W_1) + (\phi_2 - W_2)
\]
of these two parts the second \( \phi_2 - W_2 \) is always very small. Using the equation
\[
\sin B \cos C = \cos A \cos c \cos \alpha + \sin A \sin c \cos \beta
\]
on the spherical triangle \( Z \phi Z \) figure 1, we obtain:
\[
\sin (\beta - \gamma) \cos K = \cos \alpha \sin W_1 - \sin \gamma \sin \gamma \cos W_1 \cos \theta
\]
By treating \( \alpha \) as small, we obtain
\[
\sin (\beta - \gamma) \cos K = \sin (W_1 - \gamma)
\]

hence:
\[
W_1 = \gamma + (\beta - \gamma) \cos K
\]

For the second part \( \phi_2 - W_2 \) we make a quite similar development, with regard to the spherical triangle \( Z \phi Z \) figure 1. Taking again equation (7), we have
\[
\sin (\beta - \gamma) \sin B = \cos (\alpha - \gamma) \sin W_2 + \sin (\alpha - \gamma) \cos W_2 \cos \theta
\]
\[
\sin (\beta - \gamma) \sin B = \sin [\gamma - (\gamma + W_2)]
\]
and hence:
\[(\xi - \zeta) \sin \beta = A - (U_1 + W_2)\]  \hspace{1cm} (12)
\[-\eta \tan \beta = A - (U_1 + W_2)\]

From equation (4) we have:
\[A - U_1 = U_2\]

hence
\[U_2 - W_2 = (\xi - \zeta) \sin \beta,\]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Replacing in equation (6) the values of \((W_1 - U_1)\) from equation (10) and \((U_2 - W_2)\) from equation (13), we obtain:
\[A - \alpha = (\beta - \beta_0) \cos \varphi + (\xi - \zeta) \sin \beta.\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

With respect to the Greenwich meridian we have from figure 2:
\[\xi + \lambda = \zeta + L.\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Where \(\lambda, L\) are the astronomic and geodetic longitudes respectively taken positive to the west.

It follows then that:
\[\xi - \zeta = -(\lambda - L).\]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

In the triangle AZP, we have:
\[n = A \cos \beta = (\xi - \zeta) \cos \beta = -(\lambda - L) \cos \beta.\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Substituting in equation (14), the value of \((\xi - \zeta)\) shown in equation (17), we obtain
\[A - \alpha = (\beta - \beta_0) \cos \varphi - \eta \tan \beta.\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

This equation links the deflection of the prime vertical component, to the astronomic and geodetic azimuth and parallactic angle of a star position.

**Deflections of the Vertical as a Function of the Absolute Deflection of the Vertical \(0^\circ\) and its Astronomic Azimuth \(U_2\).**

We determine the two components \(\xi\) and \(n\) of the deflection of the vertical to the north and east, from
\[\xi = \theta \cos U_2\]
\[n = \theta \sin U_2\] \hspace{1cm} (17)

For the triangle \(S\ Z_a\ Z_g\) applying the trigonometrical equation
\[\sin \alpha \cos B = \sin C \cos B - \cos C \sin \alpha \cos A\] \hspace{1cm} (18)
We have:

\[ A = \delta P \]
\[ \theta = \mu, \]

hence, it follows

\[ \sin \theta \cos \mu = \sin Z \cos \theta - \cos Z \sin \theta \cos \delta P \]  \( \text{(19)} \)

By treating \( \delta P \) as small, we obtain

\[ \theta \cos \mu = Z - \mu \]
\[ \cos \mu = 1 - \frac{Z}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\mu}{2}, \]  \( \text{(20)} \)

hence

\[ \sin \frac{\mu}{2} \cos \mu = \sqrt{\frac{Z}{2} - (Z - \mu)} \]  \( \text{(21)} \)

From equation (4) we obtain

\[ \mu e = A - \mu \]  \( \text{(22)} \)

from which equations (17) become

\[ e = \theta \cos (A - \mu) \]
\[ n = \theta \sin (A - \mu) \]  \( \text{(23)} \)

and the absolute deflection of the vertical

\[ \delta = \varepsilon + \eta \]  \( \text{(24)} \)

\( n \) is derived by equation (15)

\[ n = (Z - Z) \cos \theta \]

and \( \theta \) from equation (3)

\[ \theta = \sqrt{(Z - Z)^2 + (\vartheta - \varphi)^2 \sin^2 Z} \]

and hence

\[ \varepsilon = \sqrt{(Z - Z)^2 + (\vartheta - \varphi)^2 \sin^2 Z - (Z - Z)^2 \cos \theta} \]  \( \text{(25)} \)

The sign to be considered is derived from equation (23).

If the deflection component \( n \) is derived from equation (16), we may obtain the deflection component \( \varepsilon \) from

\[ \varepsilon = \sqrt{(Z - Z)^2 + (\vartheta - \varphi)^2 \sin^2 Z - (Z - Z)^2 \cos \theta} \]  \( \text{(26)} \)
Deflections of the Vertical as Function Either the Astro and Geodetic
Zenith Distances or Parallactic Angles.

From equation (23) we have for evaluating:

\[ \zeta = \theta \cos A - \phi \sin A \cos \delta \]

From figure (2) we get:

\[ \theta \cos A = \sin Z \cos \delta - \cos Z \sin \delta \]

Because \((P_\theta - P_a)\) is small, we assume

\[ \cos (P_\theta - P_a) = 1 \]

hence:

\[ \theta \cos A = Z - \delta \]

and

\[ \theta \sin A = (P_\theta - P_a) \sin Z \]

Inserting the values shown in equations (29) and (30) into equation (27), we finally obtain:

\[ \zeta = -(Z - \delta) \cos A \pm (P_\theta - P_a) \sin Z \sin A \]

For the deflection component in the prime vertical we have:

\[ \eta = \theta \sin (A - \delta) = \theta \sin A \cos \delta - \theta \cos A \sin \delta \]

Substituting in this equation the values shown in equations (29) and (30), we get

\[ \eta = (Z - \delta) \sin A \pm (P_\theta - P_a) \sin Z \cos A \]

This equation is deduced from figure (2). Let us see if the sign of \(\eta\) is correct. From figure (2) we have

\[ \theta = (t - \pi) \cos B \]

and

\[ t - \pi = \lambda - \delta \]

hence equation (33) must be rewritten as follows:

\[ \eta = (Z - \delta) \sin A \pm (P_\theta - P_a) \sin Z \cos A \]

Collecting now the basic equations that express the components \(\zeta\) and \(\eta\) of the deflections of the vertical in terms of the astronomic and geodetic coordinates of a star position, we have

\[ \eta \tan B = \alpha - A + (P_\theta - P_a) \cos Z \]

\[ \zeta = -(Z - \delta) \cos A + (P_\theta - P_a) \sin Z \sin A \]

\[ \eta = (Z - \delta) \sin A + (P_\theta - P_a) \sin Z \cos A \]
Equation (18) makes possible the calculation of the amount of the deflection component in the prime vertical on the basis of the derived astronomic azimuth and parallactic angle (independent of the station astronomic coordinates) and the calculation of the corresponding values in reference of the geodetic system. Equations (31) and (34) evaluate $\xi$ and $\eta$ as function of zenith distances and parallactic angles. We can combine equations (18), (31) and (34) involving the knowledge of only one term, either ($\xi - Z$), ($\xi - \zeta$) or ($\eta - A$). Eliminating ($\xi - Z$) between equations (31) and (34), we obtain:

$$\xi \sin \alpha + \eta \cos \alpha = (\xi - \zeta) \sin Z$$

(35)

and by eliminating ($P_\xi - P_\zeta$), we get:

$$-\xi \cos \alpha + \eta \sin \alpha = \xi - Z$$

(36)

Because of the small values of $\xi$ and $\eta$, it makes no difference whether the astronomic or geodetic azimuth is used. To obtain $\xi$ and $\eta$ as a function of azimuth, we eliminate ($P_\xi - P_\zeta$) between equation (35) and equation (18), thus we obtain:

$$\xi \sin \alpha + \eta (\tan \beta \tan \kappa + \cos A) = (\xi - A) \tan \kappa$$

(37)

**FINDING THE ASTROGEODETIC DEFLECTION OF THE VERTICAL**

We shall treat exclusively the methods of determining the astrogeodetic deflection of the vertical; for this depends only upon the actual selection of which equation among those shown in (18), (31), (34), (35), (36), or (37) is to be solved. We consider in this report a method for the solution that deals with equation (36):

$$\xi - Z = -\xi \cos \alpha + \eta \sin \alpha$$

The other methods for the other equations shall not be considered in this report. It shall be published in sequel reports.

**FIRST METHOD - DEFLECTION OF THE VERTICAL BY EQUAL ALTITUDES OF STAR PAIRS.**

Let the altitude or zenith distance of two stars of known coordinates be observed at the instant when they cross the intersection of the center vertical and horizontal lines. Let $Z$ be the constant zenith distance of observation of an individual star’s pair; $L_1$ and $L_2$, be the horizontal scale readings and let $T_1$ and $T_2$ be the respective time of observation. The time system is synchronized from radio signals transmitted by a well known time service station. Stellar positions must be taken from the Four Fundamental Catalogue (FK4).

In the triangle $S_1P_1P_2$ applying the formula of cosine, we have:

$$\cos S = \sin L_1 \sin L_2 + \cos L_1 \cos L_2 \cos \alpha$$

(38)
where
\[ f = (T_2 - T_1) (1 + C) - (\xi_2 - \xi_1) \] (39)

\( c \) is a constant the value of which is:
\[ C = 0 \]

if the observation time is regulated to sidereal time, and
\[ c = 1.002737909 \]

when mean time is used.

In the triangle \( S_1 S_2 \) using the formula of cosine in the form:
\[ 2 \cos^2 C = \cos (a+b) (1 - \cos C) + \cos (a-b) (1 + \cos C) \] (40)

and since
\[ Z = Z_1 = Z_2 \]

we have
\[ 2 \cos S = \cos 2Z (1 - \cos \Delta) + 1 + \cos \Delta \] (41)

hence
\[ \frac{\cos 2Z}{\cos \Delta} = \frac{2 \cos S - (1 + \cos \Delta)}{1 - \cos \Delta} \] (42)

This formula can be simplified. Observing that we can put:
\[ \cos 2Z = 1 - 2 \sin^2\frac{Z}{2} \] (43)

\[ 2 \cos S = 2 - 4 \sin^2\frac{S}{2} \]
\[ \cos \Delta = 1 - 2 \sin^2\frac{\Delta}{2} \]

we get
\[ \sin Z = \frac{\sin \frac{S}{2}}{\sin \frac{\Delta}{2}} \] (44)

which is the required formula for computing the zenith distance.

**EFFECT OF ERRORS IN EVALUATING** \( Z \)

To discuss the effect of errors in the data upon the zenith distance by observation of a star pair, and hence also the conditions most favorable to accuracy, we have by differentiating equation (53)
\[ dZ = \frac{1}{2} \tan \frac{Z}{\tan \frac{1}{2} \Delta} dS - \frac{1}{2} \tan \frac{Z}{\tan \frac{1}{2} \Delta} (dL_2 - dL_1) \] (45)
From equations (47) and (48), we obtain by differentiation,

\[
ds = \frac{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_2 \sin \sigma}{\sin \delta} (dT_2 - dT_1) \tag{46}
\]

from which equation (54) becomes

\[
dZ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tan Z}{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_2 \sin \delta} \sin \delta \cos \frac{1}{2} \tan Z (dT_2 - dT_1) - \frac{1}{2} \tan Z (dL_2 - dL_1) \tag{47}
\]

This equation shows that, in order to reduce the effect of errors on \(T_2, T_1, L_1,\) and \(L_2\) as much as possible, we must make \(\tan \frac{1}{2} (L_2 - L_1)\) as great as possible, and hence \(\alpha = L_2 - L_1,\) the difference of the azimuth, should be as close to 180°, and with not large zenith distance. Furthermore, the first term in the right side of equation (56) can be reduced by choosing one star to the north with large declination and azimuth less than 30°, with respect to the meridian plane, and the other star satisfying the condition that,

\[
(L_2 - L_1) = (\alpha - L_1) > 150° \leq 180°
\]

In that condition we have that the great circle \(S\) is greater than 0°, so \(\sin \delta / \sin \delta \) is less than unity.

Equation (52) allows us to compute the zenith distance \(Z,\) which is to be used in equation (36). The geodetic zenith distance must be computed. Considering its evaluation. Let the time be related to the Greenwich mean time or universal time (U-T). Let

\[
\begin{align*}
L & = \text{geodetic longitude, positive toward west} \\
B & = \text{geodetic latitude} \\
\theta & = \text{sidereal time at } 0^h \text{ U-T} \\
\alpha & = \text{star geodetic hour angle} \\
\delta & = \text{right ascension and declination of a star} \\
\phi & = \text{star geodetic zenith distance}
\end{align*}
\]

The hour angle \(\tau\) is computed from

\[
\tau = 1.002737909 \theta + \phi - (\alpha + L) \tag{48}
\]

and the zenith distance from:

\[
\cos \phi = \sin B \sin \delta + \cos B \cos \delta \cos \tau \tag{49}
\]

To satisfy equation (36) we must know the geodetic azimuth, which is computed from

\[
\tan \alpha = \frac{\sin \tau}{\sin B \cos \tau - \cos B \tan \delta} \tag{50}
\]
INFLUENCE OF THE POLAR MOTION

The astronomic zenith distance $Z$, as computed from equation (52), refers to the instantaneous vertical position while the geodetic vertical refers to the mean position at the date of the observation. Hence we proceed as more convenient to reduce the geodetic coordinates to the instantaneous pole position. The International Latitude Service continuously observes the variation of latitude at several stations and thus determines the motion of the pole, and publishes the coordinates of the instantaneous pole and date for correcting observed values. The geodetic coordinates reduced to the instantaneous pole position at the date of field observations is accomplished by means of the equations

$$ B_0 = B + x \cos L + y \sin L $$
$$ L_0 = L + (y \cos L - x \sin L) \tan \delta - y \sin \phi $$

Here we take longitude positive to the west. Hence $B_0$ and $L_0$, are the values to be used in equations (57), 58 and (59), to compute $Z$ and $\alpha$. The term containing (latitude of Greenwich) is usually omitted.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A great need exists for the determination of the deflection of the vertical. The equations presented and illustrated in this paper can be advantageously employed. The conclusions to be drawn are the following:

The classical method of determining deflection of the vertical components are in terms of the geographic coordinates:

$$ E = \phi - B $$
$$ \eta = (\lambda - L) \cos B $$

Therefore for the determination of the deflection of the vertical there is only one means, that is the comparison of astronomic and geodetic latitudes and longitudes.

The equations we have derived for the computation of the deflection of the vertical components do not require knowledge of the astronomic coordinates latitude or longitude, hence reducing the time and cost of field work. Three different procedures have been found to compute the deflection components. Equation (35) gives the components as function of astronomic and geodetic parallactic angles. These angles can be obtained by observing star-pairs on different, arbitrarily chosen, fixed vertical planes. The star image transit times over several vertical reticle lines are to be reduced to the central vertical line. For further details see reference 2.
Equation (36) gives the deflection components as function of the astronomic and geodetic star zenith distance. A method for the computation of the absolute zenith distance is included in this report. It can also be derived by observing a set of stars in a fixed almanac. A method of independent equations to obtain the almanac star zenith distance is shown in reference 3. The determination of zenith distance by this method is less prone to observational errors than it is with the determination of latitude or longitude. Equation (37) gives the deflection components as function of astronomic and geodetic azimuth. Absolute astronomic azimuth can be computed as indicated in reference 4.
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