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NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No.: MA 00245
Name of Dam: Hawkes Pond Outlet
Town: Saugus, Massachusetts
County and State: Essex County, Massachusetts
Stream: Hawkes Brook
Date of Inspection: July 6, 1978

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

The Hawkes Pond Dam is an earthfill structure with a core of rock set in mortar. It was constructed in 1895 and is 1,270 feet long and 30 feet high at maximum section. The granite block spillway, 25 feet wide and 4 feet high, is ungated. The reservoir is used as part of the Lynn water supply system. Water is pumped from Hawkes Pond to nearby Walden Pond. The dam has a drainage area of 1.75 square miles and impounds a reservoir of 950 acre feet.

The dam is heavily overgrown and in fair condition. The spillway is overgrown to the extent that its capability of discharging water is seriously impaired.

Owing to its height and storage, Hawkes Pond Outlet falls within the small size classification. It is in the high hazard potential category and thus hydraulically analyzed using the full probable maximum flood.

Reservoir storage will reduce the maximum probable discharge of 1,400 cfs to a test flood of 1,300 cfs. Although the spillway can discharge only 600 cfs at maximum pool, the test flood would overtop the embankment section by only a few inches. The chance of failure of this dam by overtopping is considered small.

A failure of the dam could produce a flow over 100,000 cfs. A flow of this magnitude, as well as flows of considerably less magnitude, would pose a hazard to life and property in the half mile reach below the dam.
Additional investigations or major modifications are not required. However, remedial measures that should be implemented by the owner within 12 months after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report are described in Section 7. The owner should clear the spillway of all growth, and repair the spillway lining as required. The owner should also implement regular inspection and maintenance procedures, make repairs where necessary, reactivate the outlet works, and develop a flood warning system.

Gustav A. Diezemann, P. E.
New York State Lic. G27062
This Phase I Inspection Report on the Hawkes Pond Outlet Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.
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PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection, along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.
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PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

HAWKES POND OUTLET

SECTION I

PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority. Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Chas. T. Main, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Chas. T. Main, Inc. under a letter of May 3, 1978, from Ralph T. Garver, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-78-D328 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose.

(1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.

(2) Encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.

(3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location. The Hawkes Pond Outlet, on Hawkes Brook, is located in Essex County in the Town of Saugus, Massachusetts. Hawkes Brook flows into the Saugus River about half a mile below the dam.

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. The dam consists of an embankment section 1,270 feet long. The crest width is 20 feet; the maximum height of the dam is 26 feet. The embankment has a core of rock set in mortar. The dam was built in 1895. There is a 25-foot long by 4-foot high ungated spillway. The outlet works are permanently closed and
inoperable. Remote from the dam is a 20 mgd pumping station to Walden Pond.

c. Size Classification. Owing to its height of 30 feet and its storage volume of about 900 acre feet, the dam falls within the small size classification.

d. Hazard Classification. As there are several houses downstream of the dam which may be endangered if the dam failed, the dam is considered to have a high hazard potential.

e. Ownership. The dam is owned by the City of Lynn.

f. Operator. Mr. Patrick McGrath, Superintendent of Water, Department of Public Works, Lynn, Massachusetts, (617) 592-7900, Ext. 242.

g. Purpose of Dam. The reservoir impounded by the dam is part of the City of Lynn's water supply system.

h. Design and Construction History. Nothing is known of the design and construction history of the dam.

i. Normal Operating Procedures. As the outlet works are inoperable, only overflow discharges through the spillway. Water is pumped into Hawkes Pond from the Ipswich River. Hawkes Pond is fed by gravity from the Saugus River. From Hawkes Pond, water is pumped to Walden Pond.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area. The Hawkes Pond dam has a drainage area of 1.75 square miles of semi-wooded, rural land.

b. Discharge at Damsite.

(1) The outlet works are inoperable and abandoned.

(2) The maximum known flood at the damsite is unknown.

(3) The ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool is 600 cfs at El. 76.

(4) There is no gated spillway capacity.

(5) There is no gated spillway capacity.

(6) The total spillway capacity at maximum pool is 600 cfs at El. 76.
## INSPECTION CHECK LIST

**PROJECT**

**DATE**

### PROJECT FEATURE

### AREA EVALUATED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIKE EMBANKMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crest Elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Pool Elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Cracks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement of Settlement of Crest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trespassing on Slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping or Boils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Drainage Features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe Drains</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONDITION

- **Crest Elevation**: 76
- **Current Pool Elevation**: 72
- **Surface Cracks**: none
- **Pavement Condition**: no pavement
- **Movement of Settlement of Crest**: none
- **Lateral Movement**: none
- **Vertical Alignment**: O.K.
- **Horizontal Alignment**: Fair
- **Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures**: None
- **Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes**: None
- **Trespassing on Slopes**: None
- **Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments**: None
- **Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures**: None
- **Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes**: Excessive trees and vegetation on east of dam and slopes
- **Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage**: None
- **Piping or Boils**: None
- **Foundation Drainage Features**: None
- **Toe Drains**: None
- **Instrumentation System**: None
VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST
PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT: HAWKES POND

DATE: JULY 6, 1978
TIME: 9:00 AM
WEATHER: WARM & SUNNY
W.S. ELEV.: 72

PARTY:
1. J. Goodrich
2. D. Fischer
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.

PROJECT FEATURE

INSPECTED BY

REMARKS
(4) The watercourse below the spillway should be cleared of major growth for not less than 250 feet below the dam. A width of 50 feet would be suitable.

(5) The outlet works should be reactivated so that the reservoir can be drained without breaching the dam or its abutments. This would include repair and painting of the access bridge and the rehabilitation of the gate house and gates.

(6) Around the clock surveillance should be provided by the owner during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

(7) The owner should develop a formal warning system with local officials for alerting downstream residents in case of emergency.
SECTION 7
ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition. Owing mainly to the fact that the spillway is very overgrown and the outlet works are inoperable, this dam must be classed in only fair condition.

b. Adequacy of Information. The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and engineering judgment.

c. Urgency. The required repair and maintenance work should be accomplished within one to two years of the receipt of this report by the owner.

d. Need for Additional Investigation. There is no need for additional investigation.

7.2 Recommendations

Additional engineering investigations or major modifications to the dam are not required.

7.3 Remedial Measures

a. Alternatives. Not applicable.

b. Operating and Maintenance Procedures.

(1) The owner of the dam should develop and implement procedures which would include annual inspection of the dam and the initiation of repairs, as required.

(2) The spillway should be cleared completely of all growth.

(3) The sides and especially the bottom of the spillway will undoubtedly require repairs. The exact nature of the repairs to the bottom is not known as the bottom is completely overgrown and no drawings of the spillway exist.
SECTION 6

STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations. Nothing was noted which would indicate that the dam was unstable.

b. Design and Construction Data. No design nor construction data are available.

c. Operating Records. Not applicable.

d. Post Construction Changes. No data concerning any post construction changes are available.

e. Seismic Stability. This dam is located in Seismic Zone 3. Because of its configuration and condition and the low head of water retained, a seismic analysis is not considered warranted.
in the first few thousand feet below the dam would be inundated and several industrial buildings would be affected before the flow dissipated in the marshy stretch of the Saugus River into which it would discharge.

The areas of impact below the dam are shown on the location map.
SECTION 5
HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features


U.S.G.S. Quadrangle maps were used to determine reservoir and drainage areas. Where practicable, spillway dimensions were obtained by direct measurement. Hydraulic coefficients were assigned on the basis of experience and engineering judgment.

b. Experience Data. No specific experience data with respect to the hydraulic/hydrological characteristics of the project are known to exist.

c. Visual Observations. The spillway is heavily overgrown, as is downstream channel. Any major spill must have taken place years ago, if at all.

A Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) of 1,400 cfs was determined. Although this dam is in the small size classification and owing to the fact that there are several dwellings and other structures in the watercourse below the dam, the full PMF was used in the determination of the Peak Outflow (or test flood) of 1,300 cfs. This would cause an overtopping of the embankment section of only a few inches. Such an overtopping should have no noticeable effect on the safety of dam.

The application of "rule of thumb" procedures for the estimation of the downstream dam failure hydrograph - with the assumption of a maximum breach width of 30 percent of the dam - results in a Peak Failure Outflow in excess of 107,000 cfs. While the reservoir storage could support such a flow for something less than 10 minutes, or the flow could be mitigated by assuming a lesser breach width, inspection of calculations and the location map shows that any flow exceeding 5,000 cfs or so could greatly endanger human life as well as property. Several homes
SECTION 4
OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

Hawkes Pond receives water from the Ipswich River by means of pumping, and from the Saugus River by means of gravity flow. Water level is maintained by pumping to Walden Pond.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

There appear to be no definite maintenance procedures of the dam in effect.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The gates controlling the pumped outflows to Walden Pond are maintained on a yearly basis, according to the owner. The gates at the outlet works are inoperable.

4.4 Warning System

There is no warning system.

4.5 Evaluation

Apart from the daily operation to meet the water supply demands, the operational procedures are minimal. Maintenance of the dam and spillway could be improved. Recommendations for improving this situation are given in Section 7.3.
3.2 Evaluation

The visual inspection during site examination indicates that the Hawkes Pond Dam and appurtenances, except for the pump house have been neglected with respect to maintenance. The dam itself, while overgrown, can be considered in fair condition. The abandoned outlet works and the neglected spillway, however, must be considered in poor condition. The reservoir itself is not a factor in evaluating the dam. The watercourse below the dam is inhabited to the extent that property and life could be in jeopardy if the dam failed.
SECTION 3

VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. General. The Phase I visual inspection of the Hawkes Pond Dam was conducted on July 6, 1978. The dam is located in a broad, low valley to the west of U.S. Route 1, in Saugus, Massachusetts. Although constructed 83 years ago, the dam can be considered in fair condition. Maintenance is poor and deficiencies requiring attention were noted.

b. Dam. The earthfill dam lies to the right of the spillway section. There is dense vegetation on the crest and upstream and downstream slope of the dam. The vegetation made close inspection impossible, however there appear to be no serious horizontal or vertical misalignments of the dam nor is there evidence of significant seepage through the dam. The dam can be considered to be in fair condition.

c. Appurtenant Structures. The spillway is almost entirely blocked with trees and vegetation. The masonry block walls of the spillway are in fair condition but require some pointing up and general maintenance. The growth is so dense that the nature of the spillway bottom cannot be determined.

The outlet works are inoperable and in generally poor condition. The stone-faced gate house structure appears to be sound, although much of the roof is missing. The door is missing and there is no decking on the service bridge. It was not possible to observe the gates.

The structure which houses the pumps which transfer water to Walden Pond appears to be in good condition. According to the owner, the pumps are maintained regularly.

d. Reservoir Area. The banks surrounding Hawkes Pond are generally hilly and heavily wooded. There are no houses on the periphery. There appears to be little or no possibility of landslides into the reservoir or conditions which might result in a sudden increase of sediment load in the reservoir.

e. Downstream Channel. The spillway, itself, is almost completely overgrown. The watercourse immediately below the dam is heavily wooded. Below that the watercourse passes through residential and semi-industrialized areas before discharging into a broad swamp. Flow ultimately reaches the Saugus River.
SECTION 2
ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

There is a drawing showing the dam cross section which is included in this report. The original of this drawing is available at the Town of Lynn City Hall - Room 401. Other than this drawing, there are no design data or records available.

2.2 Construction

The Hawkes Pond dam was built in 1895. There are no detailed construction records available.

2.3 Operation

Some flow data are kept but are not relevant to this investigation.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability. Other than the drawing mentioned above, there are no engineering data available.

b. Adequacy. The lack of in-depth engineering data does not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam, structurally and hydraulically, cannot be assessed from the standpoint of review of design calculations, but must be based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance history, and sound hydrologic and hydraulic engineering judgment.

c. Validity. N/A
### Dam

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Type</td>
<td>Earthfill with rock core</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Length</td>
<td>1,270 ± feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Height</td>
<td>3. ± feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Top Width</td>
<td>20 ± feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Side slope</td>
<td>2:1 upstream and downstream</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Zoning</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Impervious core</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Cutoff</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Grout curtain</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) Other</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Spillway

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Type</td>
<td>Broadcrested weir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Length of weir</td>
<td>25 feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Crest elevation</td>
<td>El. 72 ±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Gates</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) U/S Channel</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) D/S Channel</td>
<td>Streambed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) General</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Regulating Outlets

The outlet works at the dam are inoperable. There is a 20 m.g.d. pumping station which pumps water from Hawkes Pond to Walden Pond through a 520-foot long, 30-inch diameter pipe.
c. Elevation (Feet Above MSL)

(1) Top of dam
   El. 76 ±
(2) Maximum design surcharge
   El. 76 ±
(3) Full flood control pool
   N/A
(4) Recreation pool
   N/A
(5) Spillway crest (gated)
   El. 72 ± (ungated)
(6) Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel
   N/A
(7) Streambed at centerline of dam
   El. 46 ±
(8) Maximum tailwater
   Unable to ascertain accurately

d. Reservoir (Feet)

(1) Length of maximum pool
   5,500 ±
(2) Length of recreation pool
   N/A
(3) Length of flood control pool
   N/A

e. Storage (Acre-Feet)

(1) Recreation pool
   950 ± (at spillway crest)
(2) Flood control pool
   N/A
(3) Design surcharge
   1250 ±
(4) Top of dam
   1250 ±

f. Reservoir Surface (Acres)

(1) Top of dam
   79
(2) Maximum pool
   79
(3) Flood control pool
   N/A
(4) Recreation pool
   N/A
(5) Spillway crest
   72 ±
**INSPECTION CHECK LIST**

**PROJECT**: Hawkes Pond  
**DATE**: July 6, 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA EVALUATED</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONCRETE DAM</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement -- Horizontal &amp; Vertical Alignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junctions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drains -- Foundation, Joint, Face</td>
<td><strong>NOT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Passages</td>
<td><strong>APPLICABLE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seepage or Leakage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monolith Joints -- Construction Joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREA EVALUATED</td>
<td>CONDITION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Approach Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Slides or Falls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Boom</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Concrete Lining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drains or Weep Holes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Intake Structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop Logs and Slots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## INSPECTION CHECK LIST

**PROJECT** HAWKES POND  
**DATE** JULY 6, 1978

**PROJECT FEATURE**  
**NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA EVALUATED</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition of Concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust or Staining on Concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion or Cavitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of Monoliths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of Joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbering of Monoliths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Inspection Check List

**Project:** Hawkes Pond  
**Date:** July 6, 1978

### Project Feature Name___________  
**Area Evaluated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Evaluated</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Works - Spillway Weir, Approach and Discharge Channels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Approach Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose Rock Overhanging Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees Overhanging Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor of Approach Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Weir and Training Walls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition of Concrete</td>
<td>Some Spalling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust or Staining</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Visible Reinforcing</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Seepage or Efflorescence</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Holes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Discharge Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose Rock Overhanging Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees Overhanging Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor of Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Obstructions</td>
<td>Heavily overgrown with trees and vegetation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Name**
## INSPECTION CHECK LIST

**PROJECT** Hawkes Pond  
**DATE** July 6, 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA EVALUATED</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Concrete and Structural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible Reinforcing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusting or Staining of Concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Seepage or Efflorescence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Alignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusting or Corrosion of Steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Mechanical and Electrical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Vents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Float Wells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane Hoist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Gates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Gates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning Protection System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Power System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiring and Lighting System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Inspection Check List

**Project:** Hawkes Pond  
**Date:** July 6, 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Evaluated</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outlet Works - Outlet Structure and Outlet Channel (Gate House)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition of Concrete</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust or Staining</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion or Cavitation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible Reinforcing</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Seepage or Efflorescence</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition at Joints</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain holes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Discharge Channel</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA EVALUATED</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a. Super Structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearings</td>
<td>O.K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor Bolts</td>
<td>O.K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Seat</td>
<td>O.K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Members</td>
<td>O.K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Side of Deck</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Bracing</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deck</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage System</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railings</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion Joints</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint</td>
<td>IN NEED OF PAINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b. Abutment &amp; Piers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Condition of Concrete</td>
<td>POOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of Abutment</td>
<td>O.K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach to Bridge</td>
<td>O.K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of Seat &amp; Backwall</td>
<td>O.K.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Only a few drawings were available.

Excerpts from these drawings follow.
APPENDIX C
PLAN

HAWKES POND

Note: Nos. denote direction of Photos.
Abandoned Valve House

Overgrown Spillway Channel

HAWKES POND
Client: C of C  
Job No.: 1365-065  
Sheet: 1 of 6

Subject: HAUKEE POND -  

PMF - PREVIOUS CALC.: $806.4 \text{ ft}^2$ using $1.75 \text{ mi}^2$ drainage basin, by $Q = 258.52 - 92.786 \ln x$, $x = \text{DA}$, taken from PMF estimation curves. $1.75(806.4) = 1411 \text{ cfs} = \text{PMF}$.

HAZARD CLASS: HIGH HAZARD, SMALL: USE $\frac{1}{8} - 1.0 \text{ PMF}$

USE TOTAL PMF, CONSERVATIVELY COVER SLIGHT DISCREPANCY IN DA

Res. Area: 72 ac
Drainage Area: 1.75 mi$^2 \times 1120$ ac.

Spillway: TOTAL DAM LENGTH = 1300', HEAVILY OVERFLOW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H</th>
<th>Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Client**: C of E  
**Job No.**: 1345-045  
**Sheet**: 2 of 6  
**Subject**: HAWKES FORD  
**By**: J. VEITCN  
**Date**: 11 Aug 1978

---

**Discharge (cfs)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Head on Spillway Crest (ft)**

\[
Q_0 = 1411 \text{ cfs.}
\]

\[
S = 4.3'
\]

\[
\text{Stor}_1 = \frac{(4.3)(12)(72)}{1120} = 3.32''
\]

\[
Q_{p1} = 1411 \left(1 - \frac{3.32}{72}\right) = 1164 \text{ cfs.} \quad S = 4.2' \quad \text{Stor}_2 = \frac{4.2(12)(72)}{1120} = 3.24''
\]

**Spear Ave**: 3.28''  
**Spear**: \[
\frac{(3.28)(1120)}{12(72)} = 4.25' \quad Q_{p3} = 1300 \text{ cfs.}
\]
The text on the image appears to be a technical report related to hydrology, specifically dealing with the analysis of water flow. The top section of the page contains a calculation for Zick failure outflow:

\[ Q = \frac{5}{2} \left( \frac{250}{322} \right) \]

\[ \frac{5}{2} \left( \frac{250}{322} \right) = 107.745 \text{ cfs} + \text{Solitary cap}
\]

\[ = 108.395 \text{ cfs}.
\]

The critical condition for Zick failure is mentioned, and there is a diagram illustrating the flow with scale markers indicating 1"=100'.

A table is also present, labeled 'Section I' and 'Section II', detailing various parameters such as elevation (E1) and water pressure (WP).

The table includes:

- **Section I**
  - E1: 50, 60, 70, 80
  - WP: 550, 670, 770

- **Section II**
  - E1: 50
  - WP: 350, 1140, 1930
Subject: HAWKES POND

Client: C of E

Job No.: 1345-065 Sheet 5 of 6

Date: 1978

Quantities:

\[ Q_{1} = 108345 \quad \text{CF} = 610 \]

\[ V_{1} = \frac{16}{14}(6025)(800) = 182 \quad \text{AC FT} \]

\[ Q_{2} = 108345(1- \frac{182}{1088}) = 90,087 \quad \text{CF} \]

\[ V_{2} = \frac{6025(800)}{43560} = 166 \quad \text{AC FT} \]

\[ V_{ave} = 174 \quad \text{AC FT} \]

\[ Q_{ave} = 108345(1- \frac{128}{1088}) = 90,890 \quad \text{CF} \quad \text{EL 60.1} \]

Through reach #2 are many homes below dam. In the case of failure the hazard to life is great with a large potential for property damage just with reach #1, hence the need for further study in phase II. Downstream of reach #1 the channel widen, lessening the possible hazard to life but the potential for property damage increases. Rte 1 would also be suffering flooding.

Test Flood: \[ Q_{3} = 1300 \quad \text{CF} \]

Test flood indicates a 12% of PFO would create little harm to life or property. Minor flooding to some low-lying residences.
Client: C of E
Job No.: 1345-065
Sheet: 6 of 6

Subject: HAWKES POND

CAPACITY CURVE

By: J. Veiten
Date: 25 Aug. 1978

Ckd.: Rev.

ELEVATION (ft)

66
64
62
60
58
56
54
52
50
48
46

5
10
15
20
25

CAPACITY (ACFT x 100)
APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN

THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS
### Inventory of Dams in the United States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Identity Number</th>
<th>Division</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>CONUS Date</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Latitude North</th>
<th>Longitude West</th>
<th>Report Date Day</th>
<th>Report Date Month</th>
<th>Report Date Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>HAWKES POND OUTLET DAM</td>
<td>4230.0</td>
<td>7101.0</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Popular Name</th>
<th>Name of Impoundment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAWKES POND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region Basin</th>
<th>River or Stream</th>
<th>Nearest Downstream City-Town-Village</th>
<th>Dist from Dam (Miles)</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 06</td>
<td>HAWKES BROOK</td>
<td>SAUGUS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Dam</th>
<th>Year Completed</th>
<th>Purposes</th>
<th>Static Height</th>
<th>Static Egypt</th>
<th>Impoundment Capacities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAWKES</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Remarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Engineering By</th>
<th>Construction By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF LYNN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulatory Agency</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection By</th>
<th>Inspection Date Day</th>
<th>Authority for Inspection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAS. T. MAIN, INC.</td>
<td>06 JUL 78</td>
<td>PsL 92 = 307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Remarks