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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric to U.S. Customary</th>
<th>Multiply</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>To Obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>millimeters (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03937</td>
<td>inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centimeters (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3937</td>
<td>inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meters (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.281</td>
<td>feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilometers (km)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6214</td>
<td>miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square meters (m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square kilometers (km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3861</td>
<td>square miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hectares (ha)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.471</td>
<td>acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liters (l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2642</td>
<td>gallons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic meters (m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>cubic feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic meters</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0008110</td>
<td>acre-feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>milligrams (mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00003527</td>
<td>ounces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grams (gm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03527</td>
<td>ounces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilograms (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.205</td>
<td>pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metric tons (mt)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2205.0</td>
<td>pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metric tons (mt)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.102</td>
<td>short tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilocalories (kcal)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.968</td>
<td>BTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celsius degrees</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8(C°) + 32</td>
<td>Fahrenheit degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Customary to Metric</th>
<th>Multiply</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>To Obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inches</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>millimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inches</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>centimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feet (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3048</td>
<td>meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fathoms</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.829</td>
<td>meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miles (mi)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.609</td>
<td>kilometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nautical miles (nmi)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.852</td>
<td>kilometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square feet (ft²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0929</td>
<td>square meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acres</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4047</td>
<td>hectares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square miles (mi²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.590</td>
<td>square kilometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallons (gal)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.785</td>
<td>liters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic feet (ft³)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02831</td>
<td>cubic meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acre-feet</td>
<td></td>
<td>1233.0</td>
<td>cubic meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ounces (oz)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>grams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pounds (lb)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4536</td>
<td>kilograms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>short tons (ton)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9072</td>
<td>metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTU</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2520</td>
<td>kilocalories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrenheit degrees</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5556(F° - 32)</td>
<td>Celsius degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PREFACE

This species profile is one of a series on coastal aquatic organisms, principally fish, of sport, commercial, or ecological importance. The profiles are designed to provide coastal managers, engineers, and biologists with a brief comprehensive sketch of the biological characteristics and environmental requirements of the species and to describe how populations of the species may be expected to react to environmental changes caused by coastal development. Each profile has sections on taxonomy, life history, ecological role, environmental requirements, and economic importance, if applicable. A three-ring binder is used for this series so that new profiles can be added as they are prepared. This project is jointly planned and financed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Suggestions or questions regarding this report should be directed to:

Information Transfer Specialist
National Coastal Ecosystems Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NASA-Slidell Computer Complex
1010 Gause Boulevard
Slidell, LA 70458

or

U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station
Attention: WESER
Post Office Box 631
Vicksburg, MS 39180

This series should be referenced as follows:


This profile should be cited as follows:

CHINOOK SALMON

NOMENCLATURE/TAXONOMY/RANGE

Scientific name...Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Walbaum) (Figure 1)
Preferred common name...Chinook salmon
Other common names.......King salmon, tyee, spring, blackmouth (Haw and Buckley 1973)
Class....................Osteichthyes
Order....................Salmoniformes
Family.................Salmonidae

Geographic range: Anadromous in larger rivers from San Francisco Bay north to Arctic waters of Alaska, Canada, and the Soviet Union. Populations occur in Asia as far south as the islands of Japan. Freshwater populations have been introduced into the Great Lakes. Major rivers supporting chinook salmon runs in the Northwest Pacific biogeographical region are shown in Figure 2. Migration patterns are shown in Figure 3.

MORPHOLOGY/IDENTIFICATION AIDS


Identification aids: Tail moderately forked with stiff outer rays. Moderately large irregular black spots on back, upper sides, dorsal, adipose, and both lobes of the caudal fin. Black lower gumline. Juveniles: Parr marks appear as long vertical dark bars extending equally above and below the lateral line. Parr marks are wider than or equal to the width of spaces between marks.

1Extracted from Hart (1973).
Figure 2. Major rivers and coastal areas supporting chinook salmon in the Pacific Northwest.
Figure 3. Migration patterns of chinook salmon from southern British Columbia to northern California (from Washington State Department of Fisheries 1959).
LIFE HISTORY

Spawning

Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) exhibit at least three distinct life history patterns. Spring chinook salmon populations occur in large river systems where enough flow is available over the summer to hold these fish. Spring-run fish may enter freshwater as early as February or March, but they usually spawn between August and November. These fish travel upstream slowly and remain for protracted periods in pools near the spawning grounds (Hodges and Gharrett 1949; Briggs 1953) and typically spawn in the upper reaches of rivers. Summer chinook salmon enter the rivers from late spring through midsummer and hold in the river until they spawn in the fall. Fall-run chinook salmon enter both large rivers and small coastal streams in autumn. They generally move rapidly during high water periods to the spawning areas and commence spawning activities (Briggs 1953). Complete spawning normally occurs within 7 days after the initial breeding activity. Males are attracted by females digging and testing the gravel. When the female is satisfied with her excavation site, spawning proceeds. The female deposits a portion of her ova in the gravel depression while one primary male and possibly several subdominant males move alongside simultaneously to fertilize the eggs. Following each of these spawning acts, the female moves directly upstream to dig a new depression, which also covers the eggs fertilized in the preceding act. Briggs (1953) reported that the average depth of productive redds was 203 mm (8 inches) to 356 mm (14 inches) beneath the surface of the stream bed. Columbia River chinook constructed redds from 1.2 m (4 ft) to 10.7 m (35 ft) in diameter (Chapman 1943). Nest building commences earliest in the uppermost reaches of the river and progresses sequentially downstream as river temperatures drop to the levels encountered by the upstream spawners (Mattson 1948; Cramer and Hammack 1952). When females have expended their ova, the males desert them and apparently search for additional matings until they are spawned out or die. Chinook salmon live 2 to 4 weeks after spawning. During this time, females will defend their redds and an area as wide as 6.1 m (20 ft) beyond the margin of the redd against other females, but they will normally ignore male chinook salmon (Briggs 1953).

Fecundity, Eggs, and Alevins

Female chinook salmon produce 3,000 to 6,000 eggs. Fecundity is size related, and higher in southern populations. Chinook salmon eggs are the largest of the Salmonidae (Roundsefell 1957). The eggs require an average of 882 to 991 temperature units for hatching (1 temperature unit = 1 degree Fahrenheit above freezing for a period of 24 hours), with fewer temperature units required for eggs incubated at lower temperatures (McKee 1950). During the incubation period, substantial mortality may be incurred by redd disturbances from overspawning, fluctuating flows, dewatering, freezing, isolation, suffocation, and microbial infestation. Depending upon the temperature regime of the natal stream, eggs hatch in the late fall or early winter. The alevins remain in the gravel for 4 to 6 weeks until the yolk sac is absorbed (Mottley 1929; Dill 1968). The alevins are initially negatively phototactic and migrate downward into the gravel. High CO₂ levels may elicit a dispersal response within the gravel. When the yolk sac is nearly absorbed, the alevins begin to express positive rheotaxis (Dill 1968). After yolk absorption, young chinook salmon generally emerge after dark as free-swimming fry.

Fry and Smolts

Fry spend 1 to 18 months in freshwater. Some fry migrate seaward immediately after emergence while others live in the stream for about a year before migrating downstream (Mattley 1929). Ninety percent of the juvenile chinook from the Sacramento River
migrate downstream from the middle of January to the middle of March, and most enter saltwater by June of their first year (6 to 10 months following spawning) at an average length of 41 mm (1.6 inches). Downstream fall migrants, including both fall- and spring-run juveniles, reach saltwater at an average length of 100 mm (3.9 inches) according to Mottley (1929). In British Columbia, 78% of the chinook salmon migrate to sea as fry while the remaining 22% enter saltwater as yearlings (Pritchard 1940). Some chinook populations, particularly from coastal streams, leisurely feed and migrate downstream rather than living in distinct reaches of the river for extended periods of time. Spring chinook salmon from the upper reaches of large rivers, such as the Columbia, exhibit the more familiar year-long freshwater rearing stage. Chapman and Bjornn (1968) reported that in warmer months, young chinook are associated with velocities and depths in proportion to body size, shifting to faster, deeper waters as they grow. Chinook are primarily drift and benthic feeders. During the day the fish remain in a small home area. At night they settle to the bottom, usually after moving inshore. In early autumn, juvenile chinook salmon emigrate downstream from the tributaries to overwinter in larger streams, often living in the substrate. Stream temperatures of 4.4°C (39.9°F) to 5.5°C (41.9°F) function as cues for this hiding behavior in the substrate. Winter cover, especially large rocks, is important in holding overwintering fish. Juvenile chinook salmon prefer deeper water with smaller substrate particles than do steelhead (Chapman and Bjornn 1968).

Chinook salmon migrations into estuaries are correlated with periods of high discharge and turbidity, and migration is normally heaviest at night (Reimers 1968; Davis 1981). These migrations occur primarily during spring and early summer, but continue at lower levels through fall. Fish entering the estuary range from 35 mm (1.4 inches) to 160 mm (6.3 inches) according to several authors (Rich 1920; Gharrett and Hodges 1950; Mains and Smith 1964; Barraclough 1967; Davis 1981). The larger juveniles tend to migrate earlier and growth increases in brackish estuarine waters (Rich 1920). Spatial distribution of juvenile chinook within an estuary may be size dependent, while schooling in an estuary may be influenced by fright elicited in the fish due to tidal cycles and wave action (Reimers 1968).

Estuarine residence times may be influenced by the occurrence of fall freshets, population abundance, and various estuarine characteristics; duration and timing of estuarine residence vary geographically with seasonal differences (Miller et al. 1967; Reimers 1968; Sims 1970; Sibert 1975). Chinook salmon in the Skagit River Estuary occupied the inner estuarine salt marshes for 2 to 3 days before emigrating farther out in the estuary. The larger smolts, greater than 46 mm (1.8 inches), spent approximately a day less in the salt marsh than did the smaller fish. Smolts congregated in tidal streams at low tide, with the majority of fish observed in deep, slow water over soft substrates. The highest chinook salmon densities occurred in tidal streams without any freshwater influence (Shepard 1981).

Preference for soft, packed substrate was also documented by Miyamoto et al. (1980). These authors suggested that the abundance of the epibenthic prey fauna in that type of habitat attracted juvenile chinook. In the Nanaimo River Estuary, Healey (1980) found chinook salmon in water a few centimeters to over a meter deep over gravel, sand, and mud substrates. Where an extensive estuarine environment exists, juvenile chinook will reside there for up to 2 months (Salo 1969; Sims 1970; Healey 1980). Within estuaries and bays, juvenile chinook salmon utilize shoreline areas extensively. Meyer et al. (1980) presented data suggesting that smaller fish utilize the inshore areas while larger ones occupy deeper waters. Juvenile
chinook salmon in Similk Bay primarily occupy the surface waters (93.8%) while a few (1.8%) extend down to 18.3 m (60.0 ft) according to Stober et al. (1973). In river systems with high flushing rates relative to the amount of existing estuarine habitat, juveniles may move quickly through the mouth of the river and into the receiving marine waters. From work on the Snohomish River, Tyler (1963) hypothesized that fish carried in midstream have little chance to contact the shoreline and are carried offshore by strong river and tidal currents during ebb tide. Miller et al. (1967) observed juvenile chinook salmon in several nearshore habitats, inshore from the 20-m (65.6-ft) depth level, between mid-May and September in Puget Sound.

During estuarine rearing, chinook salmon exhibit significant growth. Salo (1969) calculated a minimum growth estimate of 2.6 mm (0.1 inch) per week for juveniles in the Duwamish River Estuary. Shepard (1981) indicated minimum growth estimates of 1.5% of fork length per day for juvenile chinook salmon in the Skagit River Estuary. This spurt of growth before entering the marine environment may be vital to the subsequent early marine survival of juvenile chinook salmon. For a more extensive review of estuarine requirements and utilization by juvenile chinook salmon, refer to Shepard (1981).

Marine Stages

Upon leaving the rivers of Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia, juvenile chinook salmon move up the coast in a northwesterly direction (Pritchard 1940). This migration is a relatively slow feeding and dispersal movement with distance from the natal stream increasing with age. Sacramento River chinook are caught off the Washington and Oregon coast while Columbia River chinook are collected as far north as Alaska and as far south as San Francisco, California (Hallock et al. 1952; Washington State Department of Fisheries 1959). For chinook salmon migration patterns between British Columbia and California, see Figure 3. Pritchard (1940) found that Columbia River fish dominate the catch along the west coast of the Queen Charlotte Islands, and that the Fraser River fish replace the Columbia River stocks north of the Queen Charlotte Islands. They remain in the marine environment between 1 and 6 years with the average being 3 or 4 years. Pritchard (1940) obtained age and distribution data from various coastal waters from the mouth of the Columbia River to the Queen Charlotte Islands. Certain races of chinook salmon, such as the Puget Sound blackmouth, tend to remain in local marine areas (Junge and Bayliff 1955). Two- to five-year-old chinook salmon comprised the bulk of the troll catch in the nearshore areas, while the offshore catches were dominated by 5- and 6-year-old fish. Yone (1957) reported that chinook salmon captured in the outer waters of British Columbia were on long spawning migrations, traveling southeast along the Continental Shelf. Pritchard (1940) estimated that the return migration was fairly rapid in comparison to the feeding or dispersal migration.

One- and two-year-old chinook salmon in the Straits of Georgia were caught from the surface down to 30 m (98 ft) with the majority occupying the deeper water (Mottley 1929). In southeast Alaska, chinook salmon reside in marine waters throughout the year, feeding at relatively shallow depths in the spring and summer and occupying deeper waters 60-80 m (197-262 ft) in the winter (Cobb 1910).

Salmon spawning migrations are elicited by environmental cues, such as temperature or salinity, olfaction, celestial navigation, and magnetic orientation (Brannon, in press). The timing of this migration is innate, while the location or destination of the migration is learned through imprinting. The numerous theories which have been advanced to explain salmon homing are discussed in detail in Brannon (in press).
Growth Characteristics

Chinook fry emerge from the gravel during the winter, and some will migrate to sea after the first month when about 30 mm (1.2 inches) long. Some spring chinook populations enter saltwater as yearlings at lengths exceeding 100 mm (3.9 inches). O'Connor (1977) obtained growth data from numerous authors and presented the data in a table of lengths and weights for ages 1 through 5 (Table 1).

The Fishery

Chinook salmon represent an extremely important component of both the commercial and sport fisheries of the Pacific Northwest. Charter boat fisheries exist along the Pacific coast from San Francisco to Alaska. Chinook and coho salmon support extensive troll fisheries over the same latitudes, but trollers additionally fish well out into the Fishery Conservation Zone (3-200 mi offshore). Nearshore and terminal area fisheries are conducted with purse seines and gill nets, and in-river set net fisheries are allowed by treaty-Indian fishermen in most river drainages.

For river fisheries, Chapman (1940) offered this evaluation of the various races of Columbia River chinook salmon: spring fish entered the river in April and May with a small average size but with high quality flesh; summer fish were large and high quality fish that entered the river in June and July; and fall fish were large, poor quality fish that entered the system between August and October. From 1876 to 1886, the Columbia River April-July fishery produced an average of 3.1 million kilograms (6.8 million pounds) of salmon per year (Chapman 1940), but since then has continually declined to where only treaty-Indian fishermen currently harvest fish in the river.

Total United States commercial catches of chinook salmon from 1930 to 1980 have been summarized by total annual catch and total catch value (Table 2). These catch statistics clearly indicate a decline in the commercial catch of chinook salmon over time. Despite this decline, inflation more than tripled the value of the catch between 1970 and 1980. Although chinook salmon represent only 9% to 13% of the total commercial salmon catch, they are the most important in terms of market value and preference. Troll-caught fresh or fresh-frozen chinook salmon in the 11-18 lb (4.9-8.2 kg) size range are the most highly preferred salmon by market buyers. Among the Pacific States and Provinces, British Columbia contributed the largest percentage (27%) of the chinook commercial and sport catch for the years 1953-1957 (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Percentage contribution of each Pacific Coast State and British Columbia to the chinook salmon fishery for all types of commercial and sport gear combined, 1953-1957 (Washington State Department of Fisheries 1959).

Chinook salmon are highly prized sportfish due to their trophy size, tremendous fighting qualities, and excellent table fare. Various attempts at quantifying the value of a recreationally caught fish often include cost of transportation, lodging, other work or recreational opportunities foregone, and equipment. Persons purchasing a charterboat reservation may
Table 1. Size (with maturity) for various fall chinook salmon stocks from California to Alaska at the end of each growing season (from O’Connor 1977).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Fork length&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (cm) at end of year</th>
<th>Maturity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraser (1920)</td>
<td>27.3 42.2 60.4 77.0 93.0</td>
<td>Years 2-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snyder (1923)</td>
<td>---- 32.0 55.0 73.0 ----</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker and Kirkness (1956)</td>
<td>25.4 48.3 68.6 87.6 104.1</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker and Larkin (1959)</td>
<td>18.1 52.8 70.4 84.6 95.5</td>
<td>Years 2-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junge and Phinney (1963)</td>
<td>---- 49.5 80.0 95.2 ----</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Hyning (1973)</td>
<td>30.5 53.3 71.1 83.8 ----</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks (1975)</td>
<td>25.4 53.3 72.4 88.9 99.1</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Round weight (kg) at end of year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Round weight (kg) at end of year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newton (1972)</td>
<td>---- 4.54 5.90 9.07 12.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Hyning (1973)</td>
<td>0.54 2.27 5.22 8.44 ----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks (1975)</td>
<td>0.18 2.04 5.53 10.79 15.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Frazer (1920) used total length, by scale method.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual catch&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Annual value&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>$7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>$8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>$11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>$9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>$12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>$14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>$28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>$47.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Catch in millions of pounds.
<sup>b</sup>Value in millions of dollars.
spend $40-$60 in expectation of landing a fish. Most biologists and economists agree that sport-caught salmon represent a much higher value per pound than do commercially landed fish.

Salmon fisheries management is an extremely complex problem due to user-group allocations and mixed-stock and mixed-age fisheries. Optimum yield is the desired management goal for this fishery. Wright (1981) offers an excellent overview of current Pacific salmon fisheries management approaches. Ocean fisheries are managed by a catch quota, while terminal area fisheries are managed by subtracting escapement goals from pre-season run forecasts, which are updated throughout the season. This yields the total allowable harvest, which must be allocated among the user groups involved. Individual chinook salmon stocks can be identified by studying the fine structure of the scales (Rogers and Myers 1981a). Stocks are artificially identified by extensive coded wire tagging programs. Chinook salmon of Canadian and United States origin often are intercepted on the high seas by Japanese motherships. These fish are primarily taken as immature fish in the western Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea. Estimated incidental catch of chinook salmon by foreign trawl vessels was about 113,000 fish in 1981 (Rogers and Myers 1981b).

ECOLOGICAL ROLE

Juvenile chinook are characterized as opportunistic drift and benthic feeders, primarily eating insects in the stream-rearing phase of life. During this time, chinook salmon are most closely associated with juvenile steelhead and resident trout. Chapman and Bjornn (1968) indicated that interaction for space between species is minimized by differing spawning and emergence times. Distribution close to high-velocity water is largely food related. Age 0 chinook salmon distribute themselves both vertically and horizontally to adjust to food supply. Density within suitable habitat is socially controlled, with the greatest distributional role of social behavior being played among fish of near-equal size. During the day the fish remain in a small home area, and at night settle to the bottom, usually after moving inshore. The juveniles apparently subordinate minimal space requirements to exploit periods of short-term food abundance. Juvenile chinook salmon prefer deeper water with smaller substrate particles than do steelhead.

Upon entering the estuary, chinook utilize a wide range of invertebrate prey while retaining their insectivorous feeding habits. Gammarid amphipods, insects, mysids, isopods, copepods, and fish larvae comprise the bulk of the estuarine juvenile chinook salmon diet (Dunford 1975; Lipovsky 1977; Meyer et al. 1980). According to Lipovsky (1977), prey preference may be related to size, time of year, temperature, salinity, and location in the river.

As the young chinook salmon grow and move farther into the marine environment, their diet includes crab zoa, Pacific sand lance (Ammodytes hexapterus), eulachon (Thaieichthys pacificus), copepods, euphausids, cephalopods, isopods, and amphipods (Barraclough 1967; Robinson et al. 1968). In late winter and early spring off San Francisco, chinook salmon feed on herring, rockfish, other fish, crab megalops, and squid. Euphausids and squid, and later, herring, crab megalops, and rockfish comprise the spring diet. In late spring through summer, rockfish dominate the diet, distantly followed by other fishes and some invertebrates. Anchovies are the dominant diet item for the remainder of the year. Merkel (1957) summarized this by saying chinook salmon primarily eat fish, except during the spring when invertebrates (especially euphausids) are extremely abundant. Chinook salmon frequent the waters of southeast Alaska throughout the year and feed heavily on herring, smelt, and eulachon. During the winter they move deeper and feed on halibut, rockfish, cod, and C. topi (Cobb 1910).
Predators of juvenile chinook salmon include osprey (Pardus haliaetus), kingfishers (Megaceryle alcyn), mergansers (Mergus sp.), terns (Sterna sp.), skua fish (Ptychocheilus oregonensis), larger salmon, trout, char, walleye (Stizostedion vitreum), largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides), and smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieu). Estuarine and marine predators include fish-eating birds, pelagic fishes, killer whales (Orcinus orca), seals (Phoca sp. and Callorhinus sp.), sea lions (Zalophus californianus and Eumetopius jubata), and humans. Adult chinook salmon returning to their streams of origin encounter bears, seals, and other large carnivorous mammals and birds which prey on them to some degree. Predation on young salmon by fish in freshwater has been reviewed (Bennett 1979; Brown and Moyle 1981; Pauley 1982).

Ames (1981) has observed a number of negative correlations of abundance shifts among the five species of salmon in Puget Sound and concluded that the bulk of this interaction occurred in the early marine stages.

ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Temperature

According to Reiser and Bjornn (1979), the recommended temperatures for spawning of chinook salmon ranges between 5.6°C (42.1°F) and 13.9°C (57.0°F). Chinook salmon eggs can incubate successfully at temperatures from just above freezing to 10.0°C (50.0°F) without significant mortality (Olson and Foster 1955). The recommended incubation temperatures range between 5.0°C (41.0°F) and 14.4°C (57.9°F), according to Reiser and Bjornn (1979). Seymour (1956) concluded that the optimum temperature for chinook eggs and fry is 11.0°C (51.8°F) and for fingerlings 17.0°C (62.6°F). Brett (1957) determined that small chinook salmon were more vulnerable to high temperatures than large fish. Adult spring chinook can survive in deep pools in the summer with the surface temperature 23.0°C (73.4°F), but cannot spawn above 22.0°C (71.6°F) (Mattson 1948; Hodges and Gharrett 1949). Brett (1957) indicated that the upper lethal temperature for chinook salmon was 25.1°C (77.2°F).

Salinity

Juvenile chinook salmon encounter a wide range in salinity when moving from freshwater through an estuary and into the marine environment. Estuaries normally maintain a freshwater lens above the area of saltwater intrusion that smolts tend to occupy during the initial stages of their estuarine and marine residence. Robinson et al. (1968) found chinook salmon associated with salinities from 6.75 to 25.73 ppt in the Straits of Georgia off the Fraser River plume.

Dissolved Oxygen

Chinook eggs require dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations of 5.0 mg/l (Leitritz and Lewis 1980). Whitmore et al. (1960) described a marked avoidance of oxygen concentrations at or below 4.5 mg/l by juvenile chinook salmon in the summer at 20.0°C (68.0°F). Decreased avoidance occurred in the fall as temperatures declined or as DO concentrations rose above 4.5 mg/l, with no avoidance noted at 6.0 mg/l. Although migrating adult chinook salmon encountered DO levels of 3.0 to 4.0 ppm in the Duwamish River Estuary, it could not be demonstrated that this impeded the spawning migration of chinook salmon (Fujioka 1970). Katy et al. (1959) found that chinook salmon could survive when resting with DO levels as low as 2.0 mg/l and could swim against an 0.8 ft/s current for a day when DO concentrations were 3.0 mg/l.

Substrate

Adult chinook salmon spawn in gravel ranging from 6 cm (2.4 inches) to 14 cm (5.5 inches) in diameter (Briggs 1953). Reiser and Bjornn (1979) list gravel substrates from 1.3 cm (0.5 inches) to 10.2 cm (4.0 inches) in diameter as acceptable for spawning.
Spring chinook juveniles that overwinter in freshwater require large boulder habitat for winter refuge areas (Chapman and Bjornn 1968). However, they prefer different habitats than do steelhead. In the estuaries, juvenile chinook salmon show a wide range of substrate associations including mud, sand, gravel, and eelgrass (Healey 1980). No substrate preference has been documented for adults in the marine environment.

**Depth**

Chinook salmon will spawn in rivers with depths of 0.10 m (0.3 ft) to 10 m (32.8 ft) (Chapman 1943; Briggs 1953). The preferred depth for spawning is >0.24 m (>0.79 ft) for spring and fall chinook salmon and >0.30 m (>0.98 ft) for summer chinook (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Juvenile chinook salmon prefer deeper water (>0.5 m or >1.6 ft) than steelhead in the same streams, according to Chapman and Bjornn (1968). Juvenile chinook salmon occupy the water near the surface during their initial marine stages and then utilize water down to 60 m (197 ft), according to several authors (Merkel 1957; Barraclough 1967; Robinson et al. 1968). Upstream migrations are generally triggered by rains, which raise the river levels and change the water temperature.

**Water Movement**

Chinook salmon require enough current on the spawning beds to ventilate the eggs during incubation. Juvenile chinook can detect and orient in water velocities of 0.005 m/s (0.016 ft/s) (Gregory 1962). A 70-mm (2.8-inch) chinook can maintain a home station facing velocities of 0.23 m/s (0.76 ft/s) but lie under a layer of 0.45 m/s (1.48 ft/s) water and be surrounded by velocities of 0.6 m/s (1.97 ft/s) (Chapman and Bjornn 1968).

**Turbidity**

According to Reiser and Bjornn (1979), salmonid fishes will cease movement or migration in streams with high silt loads (>4000 mg/l). Study has shown that exposure to low levels of volcanic ash in a Y-test chamber caused chinook salmon to exhibit significant avoidance reactions (Whitman et al. 1982). Because turbid water absorbs more radiation than clear water, a thermal barrier to movement and migration may also develop (Reiser and Bjornn 1979).

Problems may result if turbidity is great enough to cause the deposition of excessive amounts of sand and silt in the gravel, such as after a landslide. Fry emergence from the gravel may be hindered by excessive amounts of sand and silt (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Those conditions also may limit production of benthic invertebrates necessary for optimum rearing of juvenile fish (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Chinook salmon smolts may be quite tolerant of high concentrations of volcanic ash and mudflow sediments according to Ross (1982), who determined 96-hr LC50 values for these fish to be 11,000 mg/l. Sublethal sediment concentrations did not produce consistent effects on swimming performance or fatigue velocity. Chinook smolts were much less tolerant of seawater after exposure to high concentrations of volcanic ash and mudflow sediments, but low level exposure did not affect them. Gill tissues revealed only minor effects even at the highest exposure concentrations, but death at high concentrations of these materials was caused by hypoxia (Ross 1982). Wallen (1951) observed that behavioral reactions to high suspended solids concentrations were identical to responses to low DO: the fish stayed near the surface.
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Species profiles are literature summaries of the taxonomy, morphology, range, life history, and environmental requirements of coastal aquatic species. They are prepared to assist in environmental impact assessment. The chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), which make up 9% to 13% of the commercial salmon catch, are the most highly prized and represent the most dollar value of the Pacific salmon. They are also a highly prized sportfish, reaching weights of 8.2 to 9.1 kg (18 to 20 lb) or more during their fourth year. They are anadromous fish that spend 1 year to 18 months in freshwater and then migrate to saltwater. They remain in the marine environment 1 to 6 years, averaging 3 to 4 years before returning to their river of origin to spawn. Three major races of chinook salmon exist (spring, summer, fall) and have different spawning cycles. All chinook salmon die after they spawn.
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