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ABSTRACT

On the basis of some approximate equations derived for nonlinear water waves in a channel of variable cross section, several problems of interest, which have attracted much attention recently, will be taken up in this report. First we shall consider the breaking of an acceleration wave moving toward a shoreline in a general channel. Next the so-called infinite mass dilemma, which arises in the study of the development of a solitary wave in a channel of variable cross section, will be resolved. Finally we shall use an approximation method to study the fission of solitons in a general channel and justify it.
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SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Consider a wave in an open channel moving toward a shoreline. Under physically reasonable assumptions, we shall determine whether the wave will break, and the location of the break if it does. In recent years, there has also been growing interest in the development of a solitary wave in an open channel of variable cross section. It is found that a shelf is generally formed behind the solitary wave. In some studies, the shelf is found to extend to infinity. This is the so-called infinite mass dilemma. In other words, infinite mass would be created or annulled by a perturbation on the solitary wave. We shall resolve this dilemma by showing that the shelf can only be finite. On the other hand, if a solitary wave propagates from one uniform cross section of a channel to another, the solitary wave may split into several solitons. We shall use an approximation method to find a criterion for the fission of \( n \) solitons in a general channel and show that the approximation method indeed yields an approximate solution to the exact solution of the governing equation.
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SOME NONLINEAR PROBLEMS OF WATER WAVES IN A CHANNEL

M. C. Shen

1. INTRODUCTION

In this report we shall study several interesting problems of nonlinear water waves in a channel of variable cross section by means of some approximate equations derived from the hydrodynamical equations. For finite amplitude waves, a system of shallow water equations will be given. For small amplitude waves, we shall use a K-dV equation with variable coefficients derived in a previous report (Zhong and Shen, 1982).

One of the fascinating phenomena of finite amplitude water waves in a channel concerns the breaking of a wave moving toward a shoreline, the development of a bore and the movement of the shoreline after the bore reaches it. For the two-dimensional case corresponding to a rectangular channel of variable depth, the bore run-up problem was studied by Keller, Levine and Whitham (1960), Ho and Meyer (1962), and Shen and Meyer (1963a,b) on the basis of shallow water equations (Stoker, 1957). Later Gurtin (1975) derived a criterion for the breaking of an acceleration wave in a two-dimensional channel, and his result was extended by Jeffrey and Myung (1980) to the case of a rectangular channel of variable width and depth. We shall make use of the shallow water equations for a general channel and generalize Gurtin's result to predict the breaking point of an acceleration wave. Needless to say, the use of shallow-water equations for the study of bore propagation may be open to criticism. The issue would be settled if we knew the precise conditions for the validity of these approximate equations. Up to date, the shallow water equations for a two-dimensional channel with analytical initial data have been justified by Kano and Nishida (1979), and for a nearly uniform channel with a priori assumptions on the free surface by Berger (1976). At present we may accept shallow water equations as model equations, and the bore run-up problem for a general channel certainly deserves further investigation.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041 and the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MCS800-1960.
Recently the problem of the development of a solitary wave in a channel of variable cross section has attracted much attention, and there have been discussions on the so-called infinite mass dilemma, which arises from the formation of a shelf behind the solitary wave. If the shelf were extended to infinity, then infinite mass would be created or annulled by a perturbation on the solitary wave. A study of this problem may be found in Miles (1979) and Knickbocker and Newell (1980), based upon the K-dV equation for a rectangular channel of variable depth or width (Kakutani, 1971; Johnson, 1973; Shuto, 1974). As an extension of the existence results due to Kato (1975, 1980), a global existence theorem will be established here for the solution of the K-dV equation with variable coefficients. It follows that the shelf can only be finite if it is formed behind the solitary wave.

To study the fine structure of the shelf behind a solitary wave, we shall consider the solitary wave moving from one uniform cross section of a channel to another through a transition section. This problem, in fact, has a long history. Using a system of Boussinesq equations, Madsen and Mei (1969) studied numerically the problem of a solitary wave propagating from a channel of constant depth to a shoal of constant but smaller depth through a transition region with mild slope. They found that the solitary wave is disintegrated into a train of solitons of decreasing amplitudes, in qualitative agreement with experiments. An analytical investigation of the shoal-induced fission of solitons was made by Tappert and Zabusky (1971). They used the WKB approximation to describe the transition of the solitary wave and the inverse scattering method for the K-dV equation with constant coefficients by Miura et al. (1974), and obtained a criterion for the fission of n solitons. Johnson (1973) derived a K-dV equation with variable coefficients for a channel of variable depth and found the same criterion by a similar method. Recently Djordjevic and Redekopp (1978) considered the disintegration of internal solitary waves and Zhong and Shen (1982) extended the previous results to the case of a symmetric triangular channel. As a simple consequence of the existence results just obtained, the approximation method used is shown to be valid if the distance to cover the transition section is sufficiently small.
In Section 2, we derive the shallow water equations, and study the breaking of a wave in a general channel. In Section 3 we establish the global existence theorem for the K-dV equation with variable coefficients and resolve the infinite mass dilemma. Finally the approximation method is used and justified for the study of fission of solitons in a general channel in Section 4.
2. SHALLOW WATER EQUATIONS AND THE BREAKING OF A WAVE

We consider the irrotational motion of an inviscid, incompressible fluid of constant density under gravity in a channel with a boundary defined by \( h^*(x^*,y^*,z^*) = 0 \), where \( z^* \) is positive upward and \( x^* \) is in the longitudinal direction (Fig. 1). The governing equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{q}^* &= 0, \quad (2.1) \\
\nabla \cdot \vec{p}^* &= 0, \quad (2.2) \\
\rho (\vec{q}^*_x + \vec{q}^*_y + \vec{q}_z) &= -\nabla p^* + \vec{g}, \quad (2.3)
\end{align*}
\]

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta^*_t + \vec{q}^*_x \cdot \nabla \eta^* &= 0, \quad \text{at } z^* = -z^* + \eta^*(t^*,x^*,y^*) = 0, \quad (2.4) \\
p^* &= 0, \quad (2.5) \\
\vec{q}^*_x \cdot \nabla h^* &= 0, \quad \text{at } h^* = 0. \quad (2.6)
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \vec{v}^* = (3/2x^*, 3/2y^*, 3/2z^*) \), \( \vec{q}^* = (u^*, v^*, w^*) \) is the velocity, \( t^* \) is the time, \( \vec{g} = (0,0,-g) \) is the constant gravitational acceleration, \( \rho \) is the constant density, \( p^* \) is the pressure, and \( z^* = \eta^* \) is the equation of the free surface. To derive the shallow water equations, we make the following assumptions. The channel boundary is convex, sufficiently smooth, and varies slowly in the longitudinal direction; the magnitude of the transverse velocities is much smaller than that of the longitudinal velocity. In a manner as suggested by Friedrichs (1948), we introduce nondimensional variables

\[
\begin{align*}
t &= \beta^{-1/2} x^*/(H/g)^{1/2}, \quad (x,y,z) = (\beta^{-1/2} x^*/H, y^*/H, z^*/H), \\
\eta &= \eta^*/H, \quad h = h^*/H, \quad (u,v,w) = (u^*/(gH)^{1/2}, \beta^{1/2} v^*/(gH)^{1/2}, \beta^{1/2} w^*/(gH)^{1/2})
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta^{1/2} = L/H \) and \( L \) and \( H \) are respectively the horizontal and transverse length scales. In terms of them, (2.1) to (2.6) become

\[
\begin{align*}
u_x + v_y + w_z &= 0, \quad (2.7) \\
\beta u_x &= v_x, \quad \beta u_z = w_x, \quad v_z = w_y, \quad (2.8) \\
u_t + uu_x + vv_y + wu_z + p_x &= 0, \quad (2.9) \\
v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z + \beta p_y &= 0, \quad (2.10) \\
w_t + uw_x + vw_y + \beta p_z + \beta & \equiv 0, \quad (2.11)
\end{align*}
\]
Fig. 1. A CROSS SECTION OF THE CHANNEL
\[ \begin{align*}
\eta_t + u\partial_x + v\partial_y + w\partial_z &= 0 \quad \text{at } z = n, \\
p &= 0, \\
u \partial_x + v \partial_y + w \partial_z &= 0 \quad \text{at } h = 0.
\end{align*} \tag{2.12} \tag{2.13} \tag{2.14}
\]

Assume that \( u, v, w, p \) and \( n \) possess an asymptotic expansion of the form

\[ \phi = \phi_0 + \beta^{-1}\phi_1 + \beta^{-2}\phi_2 + \ldots, \tag{2.15} \]

and substitute (2.15) in (2.7) to (2.14). The equations for the zeroth approximation are

\[ \begin{align*}
u_{0x} + v_{0y} + w_{0z} &= 0, \\
u_{0y} &= u_{0z} = 0, \\
u_{0t} + u_{0u_{0x}} + p_{0x} + v_{0}u_{0y} + w_{0}u_{0z} &= 0, \\
p_{0y} &= 0, \quad p_{0x} = -1, \\
_{0t} + u_{0n_{0x}} + v_{0n_{0y}} - w_{0} &= 0 \quad \text{at } z = n, \\
p_0 &= 0 \\
u_0h_{0x} + v_0h_{0y} + w_0h_{0z} &= 0 \quad \text{at } h = 0. \tag{2.16} \tag{2.17} \tag{2.18} \tag{2.19} \tag{2.20} \tag{2.21} \tag{2.22}
\]

As seen from (2.17), (2.19) and (2.21), \( u_0 \) is a function of \( t, x \) only, and

\[ p_0 = -z + n, \tag{2.23} \]

which implies \( n_0 \) is also a function of \( t, x \) only. It follows from (2.17), (2.18) and (2.23) that

\[ u_{0t} + u_{0u_{0x}} + n_{0x} = 0. \tag{2.24} \]

Now we integrate (2.16) over a cross section \( D \) of the channel, apply the divergence theorem and make use of (2.20) and (2.22) to obtain

\[ \int \int_D (v_{0y} + w_{0z})dydz = -u_{0x}A(t,x) = -u_0 \int_L h_{x}(h_y^2 + h_z^2)^{-1/2}ds + (n_{0t} + u_{0n_{0x}})B(t,x). \]

By rearranging the terms, we have

\[ n_{0t} + u_{0n_{0x}} + u_{0x}A(t,x)/B(t,x) - [u_0/B(t,x)] \int_L h_{x}(h_y^2 + h_z^2)^{-1/2}ds = 0, \tag{2.25} \]

where \( A(t,x) \) is the area, \( B(t,x) \) is the width and \( L \) is the wetted boundary, of the cross section \( D \) (Fig. 1). (2.24) and (2.25) form a system of nonlinear equations, which
may be used to model bore formation and its subsequent development in a channel of variable cross section.

In the following we extend Gurtin's method to the case of a general channel. The assumptions made are the following:

(1) \( u_0, \eta_0 \) are continuous,

(2) the first and second derivatives of \( u_0 \) and \( \eta_0 \) possess at most jump discontinuities,

(3) \( u_0 = \eta_0 = 0 \) ahead of the wave.

Denote the value of a function \( f \) immediately behind the wave front by \( f^- \). Hereafter we also drop the subscript \( 0 \). From assumptions (1), (2), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  u^- &= \eta^- = 0 .
\end{align*}
\]

By total differentiation,

\[
\begin{align*}
  u^- &= -u^-_x, \\
  \eta^- &= -\eta^-_x,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( C \) is the speed of the wave front. From (2.24), (2.25) and (2.26), it follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
  u^- + \eta^- &= 0, \\
  \eta^- - u^- A^-/B^- &= 0 .
\end{align*}
\]

Comparing (2.27) and (2.28), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  u^- &= c^{-1} \eta^- , \\
  C &= (A^-/B^-)^{1/2} .
\end{align*}
\]

Now we differentiate (2.24) with respect to \( t \) and (2.25) with respect to \( x \), and evaluate the equations behind the wave front. When we eliminate \( \eta^-_{xx} \) and make use of the expression

\[
\begin{align*}
  c^2 \frac{u^-_{xx}}{x} - u^-_{tt} = c^2 \frac{d(u^-_x)}{dx} - c \frac{d^2 u^-}{dx^2}
\end{align*}
\]

to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
  -2c d(\eta^-_x) x^{-1} + (\eta^-_x)^{-1} (C^- - \Gamma^- (B^- C^0)) + 3C^{-1} = 0 .
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
  \Gamma = \int L \frac{x}{h^2 + h^2_x}^{-1/2} ds .
\end{align*}
\]

Hence,

\[
\begin{align*}
  \eta^-_x &= \frac{a_0 c^{-1/2}[ (\frac{3}{2}) a_0 \int s^{-5/2} \exp \int s^1 x} x \Gamma^- (2x^-)^{-1} dx' + 1]^{-1} \frac{x}{x} \exp \int x^1 x \Gamma^- (2x^-)^{-1} dx ,
\end{align*}
\]
where $a_0$ is the initial value of $\eta_x$ at $x = x_0$. We call $x = \ell$ a shoreline if $A^-(\ell) = 0$ but $B^-(\ell) \neq 0$, and let

$$I(x) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{x} e^{5/2} \exp \int_{0}^{x} A^- dx^-.$$  

Suppose $a_0 < 0$. If $I(\ell) = \infty$, then $\eta_x^- = \infty$ and the wave breaks before it reaches the shoreline. If $I(\ell) \neq \infty$, then either the wave breaks before it reaches the shoreline or it breaks at the shoreline. Next suppose $a_0 > 0$. If $I(\ell) = \infty$, then the wave breaks at the shoreline. Otherwise if $I(\ell) \neq \infty$, we evaluate the limit of $\eta_x^-$ given by (2.30) as $x \to \ell$ and obtain

$$\lim_{x \to \ell} \eta_x^- = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \frac{-(d^-)^+/4 + \Gamma^-/(2\beta^-)}{x = \ell},$$  

(2.31)

where $d^- = A^-/B^-$. Hence the wave will never break if $(d^-)^+$ is finite at $x = \ell$.

However for channels of variable cross section the equilibrium water surface may converge to a point and this case is also of interest. Assume again $a_0 > 0$, $I(\ell) = \infty$. If $B^{-}(\ell) = d^{-}(\ell) = 0$, $(d^-)^+$ is finite at $x = \ell$, and $h(x,y,z) = -z + g(x,y) = 0$, we have

$$\Gamma^- = \int_{-b_1}^{b_2} h_x(h_y^2 + h_z^2)^{-1/2} ds = \int_{-b_1}^{b_2} g_x dy,$$

where $y = -b_1, b_2$ are the endpoints of the width $B^-(x)$. It follows from (2.31) that

$$\lim_{x \to \ell} \eta_x^- = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \frac{-(\eta_x^-)^+/4 + \eta_x^2/2}{x = \ell},$$

and the wave will never break.

We only sketch the derivation of the K-dV equations for a channel of variable cross section and the details may be found in Zhong and Shen (1982). We first introduce the non-dimensional variables

\[ t = \beta^{-3/2} \tilde{t}^{*}/(H/\bar{g})^{1/2}, \quad (x,y,z) = (\beta^{-3/2} x^*/H, y^*/H, z^*/H); \]

\( \eta, h, p \) and \((u,v,w)\) are the same as before. The method used here is a specialization of the procedure developed by Shen and Keller (1973). Assume that \( u,v,w,p \) and \( \eta \) as functions of \( t,x,y \text{ and } z \) also depend explicitly upon a new variable

\[ \xi = \delta \theta(t,x), \]

where \( \delta \), a function of \( t \) and \( x \) only, will be a phase function, and that they possess an asymptotic expansion of the form

\[ \phi(\xi,t,x,y,z,\beta) = \phi_0 + \beta^{-1} \phi_1 + \beta^{-2} \phi_2 + \ldots. \]

The zeroth approximation is assumed to be given by

\[ (u_0^*, v_0^*, w_0^*) = 0, \quad p_0 = -x, \quad \eta_0 = 0. \]

The equations for the first approximation determine a Hamilton-Jacobi equation for \( \delta \). Let

\[ k = s_x^* \quad \omega = -\beta \xi. \]

Then

\[ \omega = kG(x), \quad G(x) = \pm[a(x)/b(x)]^{1/2}, \quad (3.1) \]

where \( a(x) \) is the area of a cross section \( D_0 \), and \( b(x) \) is the width of \( D_0 \), of water at rest (Fig. 1). (3.1) may be solved by the method of characteristics and the corresponding characteristics equations (Courant and Hilbert, 1962) are

\[ \frac{dt}{ds} = \mu, \quad \frac{dx}{ds} = \mu G(x), \quad \frac{dx}{ds} = -x\mu \theta^*(x), \quad \frac{dw}{ds} = \Delta s/\Delta s = 0. \quad (3.2) \]

Where \( \mu \) is a proportionality factor. We choose \( \mu = 1 \) so that \( \sigma = t \). The solutions of (3.2) determine a one-parameter family of bicharacteristics called rays,

\[ x = x(t,\sigma_1) \]

where \( \sigma_1 \) is constant along a ray. The equations for the second approximation determine a K-dV equation with variable coefficients

\[ m_0 \eta_{1t} + m_1 \eta_{1x} + m_2 \eta_{11x} + m_3 \eta_{111x} + m_4 \eta_{1111x} = 0. \quad (3.3) \]

Here
\[ m_0 = 2b(x), \quad (3.4) \]
\[ m_1 = 2a(x)/G(x), \quad (3.5) \]
\[ m_2 = -[G(x)]^{-1} \int_{L_0} \left( h_y^2 + k_x^2 \right)^{-1/2} ds - G^{-2}(x)G'(x)a(x), \quad (3.6) \]
\[ m_3 = 3k[G(x)]^{-1}b(x) - \omega^{-1} \left( \phi_y(t,x,y_2,0) - \phi_y(t,x,y_1,0) \right), \quad (3.7) \]
\[ m_4 = \omega^{-1} \int_{D_0} (\nabla \phi)^2 \, dy, \quad (3.8) \]

\( L_0 \) is the wetted boundary of \( D_0 \), \( y = y_1, y_2 \) are the endpoints of the width of \( D_0 \), and \( \phi \) is the solution of the following Neumann problem

\[ \nabla^2 \phi = k^2 \text{ in } D_0, \]
\[ \phi_x = \omega^2 \text{ at } z = 0, \]
\[ \phi_y + \phi_z = 0 \text{ at } L_0. \]

Since from (3.2)

\[ \frac{d}{dc} = \frac{2\zeta + G(x)}{3\xi}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial c} = G(x), \]

along a ray, we may express (3.3) in terms of \( \sigma \) and \( \xi \)

\[ m_0 \xi_1 + m_1 \xi_2 + m_2 \xi_3 + m_3 \xi_4 + m_4 \xi_5 = 0, \]

or in terms of \( x \) and \( \xi \)

\[ m_0 \xi_1 + m_2 \xi_2 + m_3 \xi_3 + m_4 \xi_4 + m_5 \xi_5 = 0. \quad (3.9) \]

To be definite, we choose

\[ G(x) = +\left[ a(x)/b(x) \right]^{1/2}, \]
\[ S = -t + \int_{0}^{y} [G(x)]^{-1} \, dz, \]

which is a solution of (3.2) and it follows that

\[ \omega = 1, \quad k = G^{-1}(x). \]

We note that other choices of \( S \) are also possible. For rectangular and triangular channels, the coefficients given in (3.4) to (3.8) can be explicitly evaluated (Zhong and Shen, 1982).
1) Rectangular channel
Let \( d(x) \) and \( b(x) \) be the variable depth and width respectively
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{m}_0 &= 2b(x), \quad \mathfrak{m}_1 = 2b(x)d_{1/2}(x), \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 = b'(x)d_{1/2}(x) + d'(x)b(x)d_{-1/2}(x)/2, \\
\mathfrak{m}_3 &= 3d^{-1}(x)b(x), \quad \mathfrak{m}_4 = (1/3)b(x)d(x).
\end{align*}
\]

2) Triangular channel
Let the two sides of a cross section \( D_0 \) be defined by \( s = \nu_1(x)y - d(x) \),
\( s = -\nu_2(x)y - d(x) \), where \( \nu_1(x) = d(x)/b_1(x) \), \( \nu_2(x) = d(x)/b_2(x) \), \( \nu_1 \), \( \nu_2 \).
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{m}_0 &= 2[b_1(x) + b_2(x)] = 2b(x), \quad \mathfrak{m}_1 = \sqrt{2}d_{1/2}(x)b(x), \\
\mathfrak{m}_2 &= \sqrt{2}d^{-1/2}(x)[b'(x)d(x) + d'(x)b(x)/2], \quad \mathfrak{m}_3 = 5d^{-1}(x)b(x), \\
\mathfrak{m}_4 &= [d^{-1}(x)/4][b(x)d^2(x) + (b_1^3(x) + b_2^3(x))/3].
\end{align*}
\]
In both cases, \( \mathfrak{m}_1 > 0, \mathfrak{m}_3 > 0, \mathfrak{m}_4 > 0 \) if \( d(x) > 0, b(x) > 0 \). For general cases, as seen from (3.5), (3.8) \( \mathfrak{m}_1 > 0, \mathfrak{m}_4 > 0 \) where we choose \( G(x) > 0 \), but the sign of \( \mathfrak{m}_3 \) given by (3.7) is not obvious. We shall assume that \( \mathfrak{m}_3 \neq 0 \) is the case.

Let
\[
\tau = \int_0^x m_4(x')m_4^{-1}(x')dx',
\]
\[
A = m_3(x)m_4^{-1}(x)n_1.
\]
In terms of \( \tau \) and \( A \), (3.9) becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
A_0 + A_1 &+ A_2 + A_3 &+ A_4 &+ H(\tau)A, \quad \tau > 0, \quad -\infty < \xi < +
\end{align*}
\]
subject to
\[
A(0, \xi) = A_0(\xi), \quad -\infty < \xi < +
\]
where
\[
K(\tau) = -m_2(x)m_4^{-1}(x) - m_1(x)m_4^{-2}(x)m_3(x)[m_4(x)/m_3(x)]'.
\]
A global existence theorem for (3.12) and (3.13) can be easily obtained by extending the existence results for the \( E-\delta V \) equation with constant coefficients due to Kato (1975, 1980). Assume \( H(\tau) \) is continuous and let \( H^k(-m) \) denote the Sobolev space of order
s of the $L^2$-type. Since $H^s(A) \text{satisfies the conditions } (f1), (f2) \text{ in Kato (1975), we have the following local existence result (Kato, 1980).}

Theorem 1.

(3.12) subject to (3.13) with $A_0 \in H^s$, $s > 2$ has a unique solution

$$A \in C[0,T^*;H^s] \cap C^1[0,T^*;H^{s-3}]$$

for some $T^* > 0$ and $A(t)$ depends upon $A_0$ continuously in the $H^s$-norm.

Hereafter we shall denote an $H^s$-norm by $\| \cdot \|_s$ and an $L^2$-norm by $\| \cdot \|_2$. To show that there exists a global solution in $[0,T]$ for any $T > 0$, we need a regularity result and an a priori estimate for $\|A\|_2$, which may be obtained by means of the first three conservation laws for the K-dV equation with constant coefficients (Lions, 1969).

Theorem 2.

If $A \in C[0,T;H^s]$ is a solution to (3.12) with $s > 2$ and if $A(0) = A_0 \in H^{s'}$ with $s' > s$, then $A \in C[0,T;H^{s'}]$ with the same $T$.

This theorem is a simple extension of Kato's result (1980) and we omit the proof.

Lemma 1.

Suppose for any $T > 0$ and $\tau$ in $[0,T]$, a solution of (3.12) $A \in C[0,T;H^2]$ exists and $A(0) = A_0 \in H^2$. Then

$$\|A(t)\|_2 \leq \phi_{2,T}(\|A(0)\|_2)$$

where $\phi_{2,T}(\cdot)$ depending upon $T$ is a monotone increasing function with $\phi_{2,T}(0) = 0$.

Proof: Assume first $A, A_0 \in H^4$. We multiply (3.12) by $A$ and integrate with respect to $\xi$ from $-\infty$ to $\infty$ to obtain, for $\tau$ in $[0,T]$,

$$\frac{d\|A\|_2^2}{d\tau} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\tau)A^2 d\xi .$$

It follows that

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \|A(0)\|_2^2 \exp \int_{0}^{\tau} H(\tau')d\tau' ,$$

and

$$\|A\|_2 \leq \phi_{2,T}(\|A(0)\|_2) ,$$

where
\[ \Phi_{0,T}(IA_0) = IA_0 \exp(NT) , \]

\[ M = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |H(t)| . \]

Next we multiply (3.12) by \( A^2 + 2A\xi \) and integrate again to obtain

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (A^2/3 - \xi^2) d\xi = H(t) \int_0^t (A^3 - 2A^2) d\xi . \]

Then we integrate the above equation with respect to \( t \) from 0 to \( T \) to obtain

\[ IA_2 < IA(0) + \int_0^t A^2 d\xi + \int_0^T |H(t)| \int_0^t |A|^2 d\xi dt' . \]

Since

\[ IA_1 < 2IA_\xi^{1/2} I A_2^{1/2} < IA_\xi^2 + C_0 \]

where \( C_0 = 2^{1/2} \), we have

\[ (\frac{2}{3}) IA_\xi^2 < (\frac{2}{3}) IA(0) + (\frac{2}{3}) IA(0) + \frac{1}{3} + IC_0 + 3H \int_0^T IA_\xi^2 dt' . \]

It follows that

\[ IA_1^2 < C_{1,T}(IA_0) + \left( \frac{2}{3} \right) M \int_0^T I A_1^2 dt' , \]

where \( C_{1,T}(\cdot) \) is a monotone increasing function with \( C_{1,T}(0) = 0 \). By Gronwall's inequality,

\[ IA_1 < C_{1,T}(IA_0) \exp(9NT/4) = \Phi_{1,T}(IA_0) . \]

Similarly, we multiply (3.12) by \( A^3 + 3A\xi + 6AA_\xi + (10/5)A\xi \) and integrate the resulting equation and make use of

\[ IA_1 A_\xi < 2IA_\xi I A_1^{3/2} , \]

and (3.14), (3.15) to obtain

\[ IA_2 < \Phi_{2,T}(IA(0)) . \]
For $A, A_0 \in H^2$, we may approximate $A_0, A$ by sequences of smoother functions, make use of the result of local continuous dependence, and theorem 4 to complete the proof. By Lemma 1, Theorems 1 and 2 we have the global existence theorem.

**Theorem 3.** For any $T > 0$, (3.12) possesses a unique solution

$$A \in C(0,T; H^s) \cap C^1(0,T; H^{s-3})$$

satisfying $A(0, \xi) = A_0 \in H^s$, $s > 2$. $A$ depends upon $A_0$ continuously in $H^s$ norm.

It is evident from the above theorem that if we prescribe $A_0 = a \text{sech}^2 \beta \xi$ at $x = 0$, then

$$\lim_{\xi \to \pm \infty} A = 0$$

for any $T > 0$. If there is a shelf created behind the solitary wave, it can never be extended to infinity in finite $T$. 

-14-
4. Fission of Solitons

We consider an open channel with two uniform sections \( S_0 \) for \( x < 0 \) and \( S_1 \) for \( x > x_1 \) connected by a transition section for \( 0 < x < x_1 \), and assume that the transitions at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = x_1 \) are sufficiently smooth so that Theorem 3 holds, and that \( x_1 > 0 \) is sufficiently small. Let

\[
\eta_i = m(x)B, \quad m(x) = \exp - \int_0^x m_2(x')m_1^{-1}(x')dx'.
\]

(4.1)

In terms of \( B \), (3.9) becomes

\[
B_x + P(x)BB_x + (1/6)Q(x)B_{\xi \xi \xi} = 0,
\]

(4.2)

where

\[
P(x) = m_3(x)m(x)m_1^{-1}(x), \quad (1/6)Q(x) = m_4(x)m_1^{-1}(x).
\]

(4.3)

In \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \), \( P \) and \( Q \) are constants and we may transform (4.2) to the standard form of the KdV equation with constant coefficients except the change of a sign (Huira et al., 1974). Let

\[
U = PB/\xi, \quad \zeta = Qx/\xi,
\]

(4.4)

and (4.2), in terms of \( U \) and \( \zeta \), becomes

\[
U_\zeta + 6UU_\zeta + U_{\xi \xi \xi} = 0.
\]

(4.5)

Suppose we envisage a solitary wave moving from \( x = -\infty \) toward \( x = +\infty \) as a progressive solution of (4.2) in \( S_1 \), and would like to find the condition under which fission of solitons will take place after the solitary wave moves into \( S_2 \).

A progressive wave solution of (4.5) is given by

\[
U = (c/2)\operatorname{sech}^2[c^{1/2}(\xi - c\zeta)/2].
\]

(4.6)

In other words, if we prescribe \( U \) at \( \zeta = 0 \) in the form of (4.6), \( U \) will be a solution of (4.5) for all \( \zeta \). Assume

\[
\eta = B_0 \operatorname{sech}^2[c\zeta] \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0.
\]

(4.7)

From (4.1), we have

\[
\eta_i = B_0 m(0)\operatorname{sech}^2[c\zeta] \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0,
\]

where \( m(x) = m(0) \) for \( x < 0 \). Then (4.4) implies
\[ U = \left[ P(0) B_0 / Q(0) \right] \text{sech}^2 \alpha \zeta \text{ at } \zeta = 0, \quad (4.8) \]

where \( P(x) = P(0) \), \( Q(x) = Q(0) \) for \( x < 0 \). In comparing (4.8) with (4.6), \( a \) and \( \alpha \) must satisfy the following conditions:

\[ P(0) B_0 / Q_0 = c/2, \quad c^{1/2}/2 = \alpha, \]

so that (4.7) is the initial condition for a progressive solution of (4.5). Hence it follows that

\[ P(0) B_0 / [2Q(0) a^2] = 1. \quad (4.9) \]

After the solitary wave moves into \( S_2 \), we neglect the effect of the transition section. With (4.4) given by

\[ U = P(x_1) B_0 / Q(x_1), \quad \zeta = Q(x_1) x/6, \quad (4.10) \]

where \( P(x) = P(x_1) \), \( Q(x) = Q(x_1) \) for \( x > x_1 \), (4.5) may be solved by the inverse scattering method, and the corresponding eigenvalue problem is posed by the equation

\[ d^2 \psi / d\zeta^2 + \left[ U(0, \zeta) + \lambda \right] \psi = 0, \quad -\infty < \zeta < \infty, \quad (4.11) \]

where by (4.4) and (4.7),

\[ U(0, \zeta) = \left[ P(x_1) B_0 / Q(x_1) \right] \text{sech}^2 \alpha \zeta. \quad (4.12) \]

From the known results (Tappert and Babusky 1971; Johnson 1973; Muiæ et al. 1974), \( U \) consists of \( n \) solitons for large \( \zeta \) if

\[ P(x_1) B_0 / [Q(x_1) a^2] = n(n + 1), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (4.13) \]

\[ \lambda m / a^2 = -\alpha^2, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

and the amplitude of the \( m \)th soliton is given by

\[ U_m = -2\lambda_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

We may eliminate \( B_0 / a^2 \) from (4.9) and (4.13) to obtain

\[ \frac{P(x_1) Q(0)}{P(0) Q(x_1)} = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (4.14) \]

which yields a relationship among \( P(0), P(x_1), Q(0), Q(x_1) \) for the fission of \( n \) solitons to take place. For rectangular and triangular channels, \( P(x) \) and \( Q(x) \) can be explicitly expressed in terms of channel width \( b(x) \) and depth \( d(x) \), and (4.15) assumes rather simple forms.
Rectangular channel.

Let \( d(x), b(x) \) assume constant values \( d_0, b_0 \) in \( S_0 \) and \( d_1, b_1 \) in \( S_1 \). It is found that
\[
m(x) = b^{-1/2}(x)d^{-1/4}(x), \quad \Psi(x) = \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) d^{-1/4}(x)b^{-1/2}(x), \quad \left( \frac{1}{b} \right) q(x) = \left( \frac{1}{b_0} \right) d^{-1/2} .
\]
(4.14) now becomes
\[
(d_1/q_0)^{-3/4}(b_1/d_0)^{-1/2} = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots .
\]
(4.15)

If \( b_1 = b_0 \), (4.15) reduces to the criterion obtained by Tappert and Zabusky (1971), and Johnson (1973).

Symmetric triangular channel.

Let the two sides of the channel be defined by \( z = \pm u(x) \mp d(x) \), where \( u(x) = 2d(x)/b(x) \) and let \( d(x), b(x) \) assume constant values \( d_0, b_0 \) and \( d_1, b_1 \) in \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) respectively. Then (4.14) reduces to (Chung and Shen, 1982)
\[
\left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0^2 + 12}{\gamma_1^2 + 12} \right) (\gamma_0/\gamma_1)^{-1/2}(d_1/q_0)^{-11/4} = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]
where \( \gamma_i = b_i/d_i \), \( i = 0, 1 \).

In solving the K-dV equation (4.5) with constant coefficients by the inverse scattering method, we tacitly assumed that the initial condition used in (4.11) was given by (4.12) and the effect of the transition section upon the evolution of the solitary wave was completely neglected. However, if \( x_1 \) is sufficiently small, then by the continuity of \( A \) and its continuous dependence on \( A_0 \) as obtained in Theorem 3, the approximation made here is justified. Let \( \eta_i(x_1, \xi) \) be the solution of (3.9) at \( x = x_1 \) with the initial condition \( \eta_{1} = \eta_{1}(0, \xi) \in H_{s} \), \( s > 2 \) and \( \eta_{1}(x, \xi) \) are respectively the solutions of (3.9) for \( x > x_1 \) with initial conditions \( \eta_{1}(x_1, \xi) = \eta_{1}(0, \xi) \).

Assume that the coefficients in (3.3) are sufficiently smooth. We state the result as

Theorem 4.

For any given \( X > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that
\[
\text{In}_{1}(x, \xi) = \eta_{1}(x, \xi) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 < \delta, \quad x \in [0, X], \quad \text{and} \quad s > 2.
\]
We note that the theorem cannot tell what happens as \( x \to \infty \). This concerns the global stability of a solution of the K-dV equation, which is an interesting problem for further study.
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On the basis of some approximate equations derived for nonlinear water waves in a channel of variable cross section, several problems of interest, which have attracted much attention recently, will be taken up in this report. First we shall consider the breaking of an acceleration wave moving toward a shoreline in a general channel. Next the so-called infinite mass dilemma, which arises in the study of the development of a solitary wave in a channel of variable cross section, will be resolved. Finally we shall use an approximation method to study the fission of solitons in a general channel and justify it.