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Analysis of the Electromagnetic Modes Propagated on an Electron Beam

The relationship of electron density, current density, and electric field, for an electron beam is derived by using Maxwell's equations and the Lorentz force equation. The resulting wave equations are solved for a ribbon electron beam and a cylindrical beam. It is shown that there exist modes propagating along the beam much the same as waves in dielectric waveguides. However, unlike dielectric waveguides, the number of
modes of an electron beam is doubly infinite. One set of waves propagates along the beam with phase velocity greater than the speed of the electrons in the beam. The other set has the phase velocity less than the electrons. The phase velocity of both sets of waves approaches the electron velocity for very large mode number. The total energy propagated down the electron beam is given, and the fraction of energy outside the beam is shown to be larger for a cylindrical beam than for a ribbon beam.
CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION ................................................................. 5

2. ELECTRON BEAM WAVE EQUATIONS ........................................ 6

3. RESTRICTED BEAM ............................................................... 10
   3.1 Ribbon Beam .............................................................. 12
   3.2 Cylindrical Beam ....................................................... 16

4. ANALYSIS OF BEAMS ............................................................ 19
   4.1 Analysis of Ribbon Beam .............................................. 19
   4.2 Analysis of Cylindrical Beam ....................................... 29

5. CONCLUSIONS ................................................................. 39

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ............................................................. 40

LITERATURE CITED ............................................................. 41

DISTRIBUTION ................................................................. 43

FIGURES

1 Behavior of Z tan Z as function of Z demonstrating periodicity of
values of Z that satisfy equation (73) ................................. 23
2 Behavior of propagation constant, α, with increasing mode number . 25
3 Efficiency as function of increasing mode number for both fast
and slow modes .............................................................. 28
4 Behavior of Z[J₁(Z)/J₀(Z)] as function of Z showing periodicity
of values of Z that satisfy equation (92) ............................ 30
5 Propagation constant, α, as function of increasing mode number ... 33
6 Efficiency as function of mode number for both fast and slow
modes ............................................................... 39

TABLES

1 Analysis of Ribbon Beam, Approximate Roots ...................... 23
2 Analysis of Ribbon Beam, Exact Roots .............................. 24
3 Analysis of Ribbon Beam, Efficiency ............................... 28
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Analysis of Cylindrical Beam, Approximate Roots</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Analysis of Cylindrical Beam, Exact Roots</td>
<td>33'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Analysis of Cylindrical Beam, Efficiency</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. INTRODUCTION

In an earlier examination of the Cerenkov radiation of charged particles passing over a dielectric plate, it was noted that, under certain conditions, a beam of these particles could support a number of intrinsic electromagnetic modes. This was a surprising result, as we had not before encountered a discussion of these modes, and a search of the available literature turned up only one study of these modes, with a brief analysis, for slab geometries only, of the results. In view of the relevance of this topic and our findings to the expanding field of near-millimeter waves, we decided to continue our analysis.

This report discusses the derivation of the interaction between the electromagnetic field and the electron beam. Although this derivation may be found in many books containing sections on Cerenkov radiation or monographs on travelling-wave devices, the derivation appears here to familiarize the reader with our particular notation and assumptions. This derivation generates the wave equations satisfied by components of the electromagnetic fields within a suitably restricted electron beam. The theory applies to the case of a ribbon type of electron beam in free space. It is demonstrated that the form of the wave equation for this situation suggests a superficial resemblance between the propagation constants of an electron beam and those of a dielectric of identical geometry. By selecting some realistic parameters (such as current density and beam size), we find a few modes of ribbon beam.

1Istvan Palocz, A Leaky Wave Approach to Cerenkov and Smith-Purcell Radiation, Ph.D. Dissertation, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, University Microfilms, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI, #62-5658 (1962).
*Clyde A. Morrison and Richard P. Leavitt, Cerenkov Radiation from an Electron Beam Passing over a Dielectric Slab Backed by a Metal Surface, Harry Diamond Laboratories (draft).
This same procedure is then used to find the modes of a cylindrical beam in free space. Because no available literature deals with this case, we examine these modes in considerable detail and discuss the amount of energy coupled out of these modes.

2. ELECTRON BEAM WAVE EQUATIONS

These are Maxwell's equations listed in the form used in this report:

\[ \nabla \times \hat{H} = -i \hat{k} \hat{E} + (4\pi/c) \hat{J}_t, \quad (1) \]

\[ \nabla \times \hat{E} = i \hat{k} \hat{H}, \quad (2) \]

\[ \nabla \cdot \hat{H} = 0, \quad (3) \]

\[ \nabla \cdot \hat{E} = 4\pi \rho_t, \quad (4) \]

where \( \hat{H} \) and \( \hat{E} \) vary as \( e^{-i\omega t} \) and \( k = \omega/c \). In equations (1) to (4), \( \hat{H} \) and \( \hat{E} \) are the magnetic and electric fields, \( \hat{J}_t \) is the current density, and \( \rho_t \) is the charge density. Unrationalized cgs units are used throughout this report. We make the following linearizing approximations:

\[ \rho_t = \rho_o + \rho, \quad (5) \]
\[ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_t = v_0 \hat{e}_z + \dot{\mathbf{v}} \quad , \]

\[ \dot{\mathbf{j}}_t = J_0 \hat{e}_z + \dot{\mathbf{j}} \quad , \]

where \( \rho_0, V_0, \) and \( J_0 \) are constant in time and space and \( \rho, \dot{\mathbf{v}}, \) and \( \dot{\mathbf{j}} \) vary as \( e^{-i\omega t} \). We assume that \( \rho, \dot{\mathbf{v}}, \) and \( \dot{\mathbf{j}} \) are small in comparison with \( \rho_0, V_0, \) and \( J_0 \). Also,

\[ \dot{\mathbf{j}}_t = \rho \dot{\mathbf{v}}_t \quad . \]

The Lorentz force equation is used here in the form

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( m \gamma \dot{\mathbf{v}}_t \right) = - \frac{e}{m} \left( \hat{e}_z + \frac{\dot{\mathbf{v}}_t}{c} \times \mathbf{H} \right) \quad . \]

Here,

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v_t^2/c^2}} \]

and

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{\mathbf{v}}_t \cdot \nabla \quad . \]

From equations (1) and (4) comes the conservation condition

\[ \dot{\rho}_t + \dot{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{j}}_t = 0 \quad . \]
Inserting equations (5), (6), and (7) into equation (8) and keeping only first order terms, we obtain

\[ \dot{J}_0 = \rho_0 V_o \hat{e}_z \]  

\[ \dot{J} = \rho \hat{e}_z V_o + \rho_0 \hat{v} \]  

Note that all variables in equation (11) are constant with respect to time and position.

The next step is to search for travelling-wave solutions of the fundamental equations. We assume that, for all functions of \( z \),

\[ F(x, y, z) = F(x, y) e^{iaz} \]

Using equation (9) and the above assumptions, the following expressions are found:

\[ V_x = -\frac{ie(E_x - \beta H_y)}{\gamma^3 (w - \alpha V)} \]  

\[ V_y = -\frac{ie(E_y + \beta H_x)}{\gamma^3 (w - \alpha V)} \]  

\[ V_z = -\frac{ieE_z}{\gamma^3 (w - \alpha V)} \]  

where \( \beta = \frac{V}{c} \).
By substituting equations (13), (14), and (15) into equation (12), we get these results for the components of $\mathbf{J}$:

$$J_x = \frac{i\omega^2 (E - \beta H)}{4\pi \gamma^3 (\omega - \alpha V)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

$$J_y = \frac{i\omega^2 (E + \beta H)}{4\pi \gamma^3 (\omega - \alpha V)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

$$J_z = \frac{i\omega^2 E}{4\pi \gamma^3 (\omega - \alpha V)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

where $\omega^2 = -4\pi n_0 e^2 / m$. To derive equation (18), it is necessary to use equations (16) and (17) in equations (10) and (4). Doing so gives also

$$\rho = \frac{i\beta \omega^2 E}{4\pi \gamma c (\omega - \alpha V)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

We can now get the wave equation for our beam by taking the curl of equation (1) and using equations (2), (16), (17), and (18):

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} + \left( k^2 - \alpha^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2 \gamma^3} \right) \mathbf{H} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

and similarly for $\mathbf{E}$,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} + \left( k^2 - \alpha^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2 \gamma^3} \right) \mathbf{E} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)$$
where

\[ \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}. \]

Except for a change in propagation, these equations are the same as one would find for an ordinary medium. There are two sets of solutions corresponding to transverse magnetic (TM) and transverse electric (TE) modes.

3. RESTRICTED BEAM

Consider now an electron beam restricted such that \( V_x = 0, V_y = 0 \), and as before (eq 15) we have

\[ V_z = \frac{-ieE}{\gamma^3(\omega - aV)}. \]  

(22)

The assumption that \( V_x = V_y = 0 \) is physically realizable with the application of a strong magnetic field in the z-direction.

Also, \( J_x = 0, J_y = 0 \), and from continuity (\( \omega \sigma = \omega J_z \)) we obtain

\[ J_z = \frac{i\omega^2 \omega E}{\rho z \gamma^3(\omega - aV)^2}. \]  

(23)
and

\[ \rho = \frac{\text{i} \omega^2 E}{4 \pi \gamma^3 (\omega - \alpha V)^2} \]  

(24)

The restriction on the beam has a considerable effect, as can be noted by comparing equation (23) with equation (18) and equation (24) with equation (19). Using equations (1) to (4), we can find the wave equation

\[ \hat{V}(\hat{V} \cdot \hat{E}) - \hat{V}^2 \hat{E} = k^2 \hat{E} + ik \frac{4 \pi}{c} \hat{J} \]  

(25)

which can be written in component form as

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (4 \pi \rho) - \hat{V}^2 E_x = k^2 E_x \]  

(26)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (4 \pi \rho) - \hat{V}^2 E_y = k^2 E_y \]  

(27)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (4 \pi \rho) - \hat{V}^2 E_z = k^2 E_z + ik \frac{4 \pi}{c} J_z \]  

(28)

Substituting equations (23) and (24) into equation (28) yields

\[ \hat{V}^2 E_x + k^2 E_x = \frac{k}{c} \left[ \frac{\omega^2 \omega E_x}{P_z} \right] - \frac{\alpha^2 \omega^2 E_x}{\gamma^3 (\omega - \alpha V)^2} \]  

(29)
\[
\n\n\n\]

where \( k^2 = \omega^2/c^2 \). This equation is frequently encountered in discussions of travelling-wave devices.\(^2\) The factor \( k^2/\gamma^2(k - \alpha \beta)^2 \) gives rise to solutions for \( \alpha \) near \( \alpha \beta = k \), in which the phase velocity of the wave is nearly equal to the velocity of the electrons in the beam. The beam must be restricted in order to generate these additional solutions. No such behavior is possible from the wave equations for the unrestricted beam given in equations (20) and (21).

### 3.1 Ribbon Beam

Consider beam geometry

\[
\text{Consider beam geometry}
\]

where the \( y \)-axis is perpendicular to the paper. Assume the beam to be infinite in the \( y \)- and \( z \)-directions and \( \partial/\partial y = 0 \). Then, in component form, Maxwell's equations become

\[
\begin{align*}
-\alpha E_y &= i \kappa H_x, \\
-\alpha H_y &= -i \kappa E_x,
\end{align*}
\]

\( (31a,b) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha E_x &= i \kappa H_y + \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x}, \\
\alpha H_x &= -i \kappa E_y,
\end{align*}
\]

\( (32a,b) \)

Further, considering TM modes only, $H_z = 0$, which from equations (31) to (34) immediately gives $E_y = 0$ and $H_x = 0$. From equations (31b) and (32a),

$$H_y = \frac{ik}{k^2 - \alpha^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z$$

for all values of $x$.

Inside the beam, equation (30) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} E_z + (k^2 - \alpha^2) \left[ 1 - \frac{k^2}{\gamma^2 (k - \alpha \beta)^2} \right] E_z = 0$$

and outside the beam $k_p = 0$ so that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} E_z + (k^2 - \alpha^2) E_z = 0$$

The symmetry of this geometry allows one to choose either even or odd solutions to these equations; thus, we can restrict ourselves to $x > 0$. Looking at the even solutions, then, we can write

$$E_z = A \cos (k_1 x) e^{iaz}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq b/2$$
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and

\[ E_z = Be^{ik_2x}e^{iaz} \quad , \quad b/2 \leq x \]  \hspace{2cm} (39)

where

\[ k_1^2 = (k^2 - \alpha^2) \left[ 1 - \frac{k^2}{\gamma^3(k - \alpha \beta)^2} \right] \]  \hspace{2cm} (40)

and

\[ k_2^2 = (k^2 - \alpha^2) \]  \hspace{2cm} (41)

and \( A \) and \( B \) are arbitrary constants.

Also, from equation (35) we can write

\[ H_y = \frac{ik}{k^2 - \alpha^2} \left[ -k_1 A \sin(k_1 x)e^{iaz} \right] \quad , \quad 0 \leq x \leq b/2 \]  \hspace{2cm} (42)

\[ H_y = \frac{ik}{k^2 - \alpha^2} \left( ik_2 Be^{ik_2x}e^{iaz} \right) \quad , \quad b/2 \leq x \]  \hspace{2cm} (43)

Continuity of \( E_z \) and \( H_y \) at \( x = b/2 \) yields

\[ A \cos \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right) = Be^{ik_2 b/2} \]  \hspace{2cm} (44)
and

\[-k_1 a \sin \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right) = i k_2 e^{ik_2 b/2} \quad (45)\]

Dividing equation (45) by equation (44) gives the following transcendental equation:

\[-k_1 \tan \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right) = i k_2 \quad (46)\]

This expression has no solutions if both \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are real. Assume that \( k_1 \) is real and \( k_2 = ik_2' \), where \( k_2' \) is real. Thus, \( k_2' \) must be positive if \( e^{ik_2' x} \) is to decay and produce bound modes outside the beam. Also, from equation (41),

\[k_2^2 = a^2 - k^2 \quad (47)\]

so

\[k_1^2 = -k_2^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{k^2}{\gamma^3(k - a\beta)^2} \right] \quad (48)\]

Now equation (48) satisfies the assumption that \( k_1 \) is real only when

\[\frac{k^2}{\gamma^3(k - a\beta)^2} > 1 \quad (49)\]
One can rewrite equation (46) as

\[
\tan \left( \frac{b}{2} (\alpha^2 - k^2)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{k_p^2}{\gamma^3(k - \alpha \beta)^2} - 1 \right]^{1/2} \right)
\]

(50)

For a given set of parameters such as electron density, velocity, and beam width, there are successive values of \( \alpha \) that satisfy equation (50). Each of these values corresponds to a mode whose phase velocity is \( \omega/\alpha \). Further analysis of these modes, including the calculation of these modes for a certain set of conditions, follows in section 4.1.

3.2 Cylindrical Beam

The discussion in section 3.1 (up to eq 30) applies to any restricted beam where \( V_x = V_y = 0 \). Thus, the examination of the cylindrical beam begins with equation (30). This time, in order to impose cylindrical symmetry on the problem, we will let \( \beta = 0 \). In this case, the component forms of equations (1) and (2) become, recalling that \( F(r, \theta, z) = F(r, \theta)e^{i\alpha z} \),

\[
\begin{align*}
1kH_r &= -i\alpha E_\theta, \\
-i\kappa E_r &= i\alpha H_\theta
\end{align*}
\]

(51a,b)
\[ \text{ikH}_\theta = \text{i} \alpha E_r - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_z , \quad -\text{ikE}_\theta = \text{i} \alpha H_r , \quad (52a,b) \]

\[ \text{ikH}_z = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rE_\theta) , \quad -\text{ikE}_z + \frac{4\pi}{c} J_z = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (kH_\theta) \quad (53a,b) \]

Here again, to get TM modes, \( H_z \) is set to 0. Solving for \( E_r \) in equation (51b) and substituting the solution into equation (52a), we have

\[ H_\theta = \frac{-\text{ik}}{k^2 - \alpha^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_z , \quad (54) \]

and equation (30) can be written as

\[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + k_1^2 \right) E_z = 0 , \quad r \leq a , \quad (55) \]

\[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - k_2^2 \right) E_z = 0 , \quad r \geq a , \quad (56) \]

where \( k_1^2 \) and \( k_2^2 \) are defined as before and \( a \) is the radius of the beam. These are Bessel's equations and have solutions,

\[ E_z = \begin{cases} A J_0(k_1 r) , & r \leq a , \\ B K_0(k_2 r) , & r \geq a , \end{cases} \quad (57) \]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are constants.
Using equations (51b) and (54) and the Bessel recursion formula,⁴ we find for \( r < a \)

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left[ x^{-n} J_n(x) \right] = -x^{-n} J_{n+1}(x)
\]

\[ E_z = AJ_0(k_1 r) \quad , \quad (58) \]

\[ E_r = -\frac{iak_1}{k_2^2} J_1(k_1 r) \quad , \quad (59) \]

\[ H_\theta = -\frac{ikk_1}{k_2^2} J_1(k_1 r) \quad , \quad (60) \]

and we find for \( r \geq a \)

\[ E_z = BK_0(k_2' r) \quad , \quad (61) \]

\[ E_r = -\frac{iak_2'}{k_2^2} BK_1(k_2' r) \quad , \quad (62) \]

\[ H_\theta = -\frac{ikk_2'}{k_2^2} BK_1(k_2' r) \quad . \quad (63) \]

Both sets of solutions must agree at \( r = a \) so that

\[ A J_0(k_1a) = B K_0(k_2'a) \]  \hspace{2cm} (64)

and \( K_0 \) must be continuous, giving

\[ A k_1 J_1(k_1a) = B k_2' K_1(k_2'a) \]  \hspace{2cm} (65)

Dividing equation (65) by equation (64) yields

\[
\frac{k_1 J_1(k_1a)}{k_2' J_0(k_1a)} = \frac{K_1(k_2'a)}{K_0(k_2'a)} \]

Once more, the values of \( a \) that satisfy this relation represent modes that can propagate down the beam. Section 4 contains analyses of equations (50) and (66), including the determination of some modes for both cases.

4. ANALYSIS OF BEAMS

4.1 Analysis of Ribbon Beam

For a ribbon beam of thickness \( b \), the modes that can propagate are determined by solving equation (50). So in terms of \( k_1 \) and \( k_2' \),

\[
\tan \left( \frac{k_1 t}{2} \right) = \frac{k_2'}{k_1} \]  \hspace{2cm} (67)
Let us begin by defining $g$ and $\eta$ such that

$$g = \frac{\gamma^2 \beta}{\gamma^3 k^2}, \quad \eta = 1 - \frac{a}{k \beta}.$$  \hfill (68a,b)

Equation (50) becomes

$$\tan \left\{ \frac{kb}{2\beta} \left[ (1 - \eta)^2 - \beta^2 \right]^{1/2} \left( \frac{g^2}{\eta^2} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \right\} = \left( \frac{g^2}{\eta^2} - 1 \right)^{-1/2}. \hfill (69)$$

To justify some of the following approximations, it is appropriate to define what we consider realistic values for the parameters of equation (69). The current state of electron beam devices makes relativistic beams with currents of several amperes obtainable, depending on the size of the beam. The values used here are designed to describe a system with a relativistic beam, $\beta = 0.7$ typically, and a current of about 200 mA for a cylindrical beam of 0.5-cm radius. This current yields an electron density, $n_o$, of about $7.5 \times 10^7$ electrons/cm$^3$. These same numbers are used for the ribbon beam. Because of the interest of producing power sources with operating frequencies between 200 and 300 GHz, we consider an output wavelength of 1 mm. In summary, the calculations are carried out with the following values:

$$\lambda = 0.1 \text{ cm},$$

$$b, a = 0.5 \text{ cm}.$$
\[ n_0 = 7.5 \times 10^7 / cm^3 \]

\[ \beta = 0.7 \]

which correspond to 198 mA for the cylindrical beam or 252 mA/cm² for the sheet beam (recall that we did not specify a boundary in the y-direction).

To continue with the analysis, to get real arguments for equation (69), \( \eta \) must be between \( +g \) and \( -g \). For the values of \( k_p, k, \) and \( \beta \), \( g \) is fairly small (about \( 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \)), so we first let

\[ z \left( \frac{g^2}{\eta^2} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \]

and then make the approximation \( \eta = 0 \) in the expression

\[ \left[ (1 - \eta)^2 - \beta^2 \right]^{1/2} \sim 1/\eta \]

Now equation (69) can be written as

\[ z \tan \left( \frac{kb}{2\beta \gamma} \right) = 1 \]

Finally, we further simplify the argument of \( \tan \) by letting

\[ z = \frac{kb}{2\beta \gamma} z \]
so that

\[ Z \tan Z = \frac{kb}{2\delta y} \quad (73) \]

The roots, \( Z_m \), of equation (73) are not difficult to find. A brief glance at the function \( Z \tan Z \) shows that there must be values of \( Z \) in every \( \pi \) interval that satisfy equation (73). Figure 1 illustrates this result for the case where \( g \), \( b \), and \( \beta \) have the values chosen earlier. Only the positive portion of \( Z \tan Z \) has been plotted. Table 1 contains the calculated values of \( Z \) corresponding to the first 11 modes.

The third column, \( Z \tan Z - kb/2\delta y \), gives some indication of the accuracy of the roots.

Combining equations (70) and (72) gives

\[ \eta = \pm \left[ \frac{g^2}{1 + \left(\frac{2\delta y}{kb}\right)^2 Z^2} \right]^{1/2} \quad (74) \]

Thus, for every value of \( Z \) there are two values of \( \eta \) to be considered in the exact case, that is, when \( \eta \) is no longer approximated as zero in \( [(1 - \eta)^2 - \beta^2]^{1/2} \). In this way, two values of \( \alpha \) are generated corresponding to "fast" and "slow" solutions of equation (50). Table 2 contains the fast and slow propagation constants for the first 11 modes.

The fast solutions, corresponding to smaller \( \alpha \)'s, are found by taking the positive case in equation (74). Slow solutions are generated by using the negative case.
Figure 1. Behavior of $Z \tan Z$ as function of $Z$ demonstrating periodicity of values of $Z$ that satisfy equation (73): $\lambda = 0.1$ cm, $b = 0.5$ cm, and $\beta = 0.7$.

**TABLE 1. ANALYSIS OF RIBBON BEAM.** APPROXIMATE ROOTS: $\lambda = 0.1$ cm, $b = 0.5$ cm, $n_0 = 7.5 \times 10^7$/cm$^3$, AND $\beta = 0.7$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode number, $m$</th>
<th>$Z$</th>
<th>$Z \tan Z - kb/28\lambda$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.47878</td>
<td>$1.144 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.44199</td>
<td>$9.5367 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.42034</td>
<td>$6.485 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.4191</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.4393</td>
<td>$9.5367 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.4794</td>
<td>$-2.0981 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.5365</td>
<td>$2.4795 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.6078</td>
<td>$5.722 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.0905</td>
<td>$3.6239 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28.7824</td>
<td>$3.2424 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.8817</td>
<td>$2.6703 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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One can see from figure 2 that, as the mode number increases, the difference between a fast and a slow decreases. In fact, a fast and a slow converge to the value of a that would yield a phase velocity equal to the particle velocity of the beam; that is, $a = k/\beta$.

It is possible to investigate the ratio of energy flowing outside the beam to that flowing inside for various modes by integrating the average Poynting vector over a cross section of the beam. Thus, we want to evaluate

$$\int_{S} S_{av} \cdot da = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S} \text{Re}(E \times H^{*}) \cdot da $$ \hspace{1cm} (75)

Since we are interested in energy flowing in the $z$-direction and $H_{x} = H_{z} = E_{y} = 0$,

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S} \text{Re}(E_{x} H_{y}^{*}) \, dx \, dy $$ \hspace{1cm} (76)

$$H_{y}^{*} = \frac{-i k}{k^{2} - \alpha^{2}} \left[ -k_{1} \alpha \sin (k_{1} x) e^{-i \alpha z} \right] $$ \hspace{1cm} (77)

$$E_{x} = \frac{i \alpha}{k^{2} - \alpha^{2}} \left[ -k_{1} \alpha \sin (k_{1} x) e^{i \alpha z} \right] $$ \hspace{1cm} (78)
thus, for $-b/2 < x < b/2$,

$$W_I = \int_0^b \int_0^{b/2} \frac{ak}{(k^2 - a^2)^2} k_1^2 k_2^2 \sin^2 (k_1 x) \, dx \, dy,$$  \hspace{1cm} (79)

where $W_I$ is the energy per centimeter length in the $y$-direction. Integrating equation (79), we obtain

$$W_I = \frac{ak^2 k_2^2}{(k^2 - a^2)^2} \left( b - \frac{1}{k} \sin k_1 b \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (80)

![Figure 2. Behavior of propagation constant, $\alpha$, with increasing mode number; values above $\alpha = k/\beta$ are propagation constants for fast modes and those below correspond to slow modes: $\lambda = 0.1$ cm, $b = 0.5$ cm, $n_o = 7.5 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$, and $\beta = 0.7$.](image-url)
Outside the beam,

\[ H^* = \frac{k}{k^2 - a^2} (i k_1^b e^{-k_2 x} e^{-ia z}) \quad , \tag{81} \]

\[ E_x = \frac{-a}{k^2 - a^2} (i k_1^b e^{-k_2 x} e^{ia z}) \quad ; \tag{82} \]

thus, the energy flowing outside the beam, \( W_0 \), is

\[ W_0 = \int_0^b \int_{b/2}^\infty \frac{ak}{(k^2 - a^2)^2} k_1^2 B^2 e^{-2k_2 x} dx \, dy \tag{83} \]

\[ = \frac{akk_1 B^2}{2(k^2 - a^2)^2} e^{-k_2 b} \quad . \tag{84} \]

From equation (45), we have

\[ B = \frac{k_1 A}{k_2} \sin \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right) e^{k_2 b/2} \quad , \tag{85} \]

so equation (84) becomes

\[ W_0 = \frac{ak_1^2 k_2^2}{2k_2^2 (k^2 - a^2)^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right) \quad . \tag{86} \]
In equations (80) and (86), A is determined by the manner in which the beam is perturbed from its ideal steady-state condition. Such perturbations are nearly inevitable, but very difficult to predict. We can nevertheless consider the ratio of these energies independent of A. Thus, after cancellation of the common constants,

\[
\frac{W_0}{W_0 + W_I} = \frac{\frac{1}{2k_2^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right)}{\frac{1}{2k_2^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right) + b - \frac{1}{k_1} \sin k_1 b}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sin^2 \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right)}{\sin^2 \left( \frac{k_1 b}{2} \right) + 2k_2^2 b - 2 \frac{k_2^2}{k_1} \sin k_1 b}
\]

Hereafter, \( W_0/(W_0 + W_I) \) is referred to as Q. Table 3 contains values for Q for the first 10 modes.

Figure 3 compares the energy ratios for these modes. For the first mode, at least, the slow waves are more efficient, coupling a larger percentage of the energy outside of the beam. Even with the first mode, however, Q is less than 1.7 percent. For both fast and slow waves, the efficiency is monotonically decreasing with increasing mode number.
 TABLE 3. ANALYSIS OF RIBBON BEAM, EFFICIENCY: 
\( \lambda = 0.1 \text{ cm}, b = 0.5 \text{ cm}, n_o = 7.5 \times 10^7/\text{cm}^3, \) 
AND \( \beta = 0.7 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode number, m</th>
<th>Q fast</th>
<th>Q slow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.57364 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.69584 \times 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.51604 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.50324 \times 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.32180 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.32568 \times 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.12045 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.17251 \times 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.31548 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>9.15241 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.69732 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>7.80541 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.61363 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>6.10717 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.55854 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.67797 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.62158 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>4.74057 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.46832 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.58016 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Efficiency as function of increasing mode number for both fast and slow modes: \( \lambda = 0.1 \text{ cm}, b = 0.5 \text{ cm}, n_o = 7.5 \times 10^7/\text{cm}^3, \) and \( \beta = 0.7 \).
4.2 Analysis of Cylindrical Beam

For a cylindrical beam of radius $a$, we derived the relations

$$\frac{k_1 J_1(k_1 a)}{k_2 J_0(k_2 a)} = \frac{J_1(k_1 a)}{J_0(k_2 a)} \quad (89)$$

where $k_1$ and $k_2$ are defined as before.

If we again define $g$ and $n$ as in equations (68a) and (68b), then

$$\left(\frac{g^2}{n^2} - 1\right)^{1/2} \frac{J_1\left\{\frac{ka}{\beta} \left[\left(1 - n\right)^2 - \beta^2\right]^{1/2} \left(\frac{g^2}{n^2} - 1\right)^{1/2}\right\}}{J_0\left\{\frac{ka}{\beta} \left[\left(1 - n\right)^2 - \beta^2\right]^{1/2} \left(\frac{g^2}{n^2} - 1\right)^{1/2}\right\}}$$

$$= \frac{K\left\{\frac{ka}{\beta} \left[\left(1 - n\right)^2 - \beta^2\right]^{1/2}\right\}}{K_0\left\{\frac{ka}{\beta} \left[\left(1 - n\right)^2 - \beta^2\right]^{1/2}\right\}} \quad (90)$$

As with the ribbon beam, we let $z = (g^2/n^2 - 1)^{1/2}$ and make the approximation $n \sim 0$ in $\left[\left(1 - n\right)^2 - \beta^2\right]^{1/2}$. Then equation (90) becomes

$$z \frac{J_1\left(\frac{ka}{\beta z}\right)}{J_0\left(\frac{ka}{\beta z}\right)} = \frac{K\left(\frac{ka}{\beta z}\right)}{K_0\left(\frac{ka}{\beta z}\right)} \quad (91)$$

From the previous procedure and equation (72),

$$z = \frac{ka}{\beta z}$$
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so that we have

\[
\frac{J_1(Z)}{J_0(Z)} = \frac{ka}{\beta y} \frac{K_1(\frac{ka}{\beta y})}{K_0(\frac{ka}{\beta y})}.
\]

The evaluation of this expression is not too difficult, especially when one recognizes that the right-hand side of equation (92) is constant. Now, \(J_0(Z)\) and \(J_1(Z)\) have zeros occurring with the same frequency in \(Z\). Thus, we would expect \(J_1(Z)/J_0(Z)\) to reach any value between \(-\infty\) and \(+\infty\) once between each zero of, say, \(J_0(Z)\). This range is illustrated in figure 4.

Figure 4. Behavior of \(Z[J_1(Z)/J_0(Z)]\) as function of \(Z\) showing periodicity of values of \(Z\) that satisfy equation (92): \(\lambda = 0.1\) cm, \(a = 0.5\) cm, and different values of \(\beta\).
By Hankel's asymptotic expansion\(^4\) for large \( Z \),

\[
J_\nu(Z) = 4 \pi Z P(\nu, Z) \cos x - Q(\nu, Z) \sin x,
\]

\[x = Z - \left(\frac{1}{2} \nu + \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi,
\]

where

\[
P(\nu, Z) \sim 1 - \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)}{2! (8Z)^2} \]
\[
+ \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)(4\nu^2 - 25)(4\nu^2 - 49)}{2! (8Z)^4} \ldots
\]

and

\[
Q(\nu, Z) \sim \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1)}{8Z} - \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)(4\nu^2 - 25)}{3! (8Z)^2} + \ldots
\]

we can write, keeping only the first order terms,

\[
\frac{J_1(Z)}{J_0(Z)} = \tan \left( Z - \frac{\pi}{4} \right), \quad Z \text{ large}
\]

which explains the tangent-like behavior in figure 3.

In the actual calculation of equation (92), a short power-series routine was used for small values of Z. Machine limitations reduced accuracy for $Z > 10$, so we resorted to Hankel's expansion for larger values. It was found, however, that the second order terms were necessary to achieve the desired accuracy for Z near 10. Table 4 contains some results for realistic parameters.

**Table 4. Analysis of Cylindrical Beam, Approximate Roots: $\lambda = 0.1$ cm, $a = 0.5$ cm, $\beta = 0.7$, and $X = ka/\beta$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode number, m</th>
<th>$Zm$</th>
<th>$ZJ_1(Z)/J_0(Z)$</th>
<th>$XX_1(X)/K_0(X)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.33219</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.35454</td>
<td>32.5470</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.39744</td>
<td>32.5460</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4489</td>
<td>32.5469</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.5062</td>
<td>32.5476</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.5699</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.6397</td>
<td>32.5472</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.7156</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7972</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.8843</td>
<td>32.5469</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>32.9763</td>
<td>32.5474</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>36.0728</td>
<td>32.5467</td>
<td>32.5468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As with the ribbon beam, we used equation (74) and plugged back into the exact expression, equation (90). Table 5 lists the fast and slow roots. These results are plotted in figure 5. Once again, the fast and slow propagation constants are converging to the phase velocity of a wave travelling at the particle velocity of the beam. This time, however, they are approaching this value at a slower rate than with the ribbon beam.
### Table 5. Analysis of Cylindrical Beam, Exact Roots:

- $\lambda = 0.1 \text{ cm}$, $a = 0.5 \text{ cm}$, $n_o = 7.5 \times 10^7/\text{cm}^3$, and $\beta = 0.7$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode number, $m$</th>
<th>$Z$ (approx)</th>
<th>$\alpha$ fast</th>
<th>$\alpha$ slow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.33219</td>
<td>89.74579622</td>
<td>89.77378403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.35454</td>
<td>89.74595104</td>
<td>89.77362938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.39744</td>
<td>89.74621742</td>
<td>89.77336329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4409</td>
<td>89.74657698</td>
<td>89.77300407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.5062</td>
<td>89.74700762</td>
<td>89.77257377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.5699</td>
<td>89.74748676</td>
<td>89.77209494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.6397</td>
<td>89.74799375</td>
<td>89.77158820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.7156</td>
<td>89.74851130</td>
<td>89.77107083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7972</td>
<td>89.74902600</td>
<td>89.77055625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.8843</td>
<td>89.74952809</td>
<td>89.77005422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Propagation constant, $\alpha$, as function of increasing mode number; values of $\alpha$ above and below $\alpha = k/\beta$ correspond to fast and slow modes, respectively; $\lambda = 0.1 \text{ cm}$, $a = 0.5 \text{ cm}$, $n_o = 7.5 \times 10^7/\text{cm}^3$, and $\beta = 0.7$. 
The calculation using the average Poynting vector is a little more complicated for this geometry, but it is still relatively straightforward. As before, the expression to evaluate is equation (75),

\[ \int_S \mathbf{S}_{av} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2} \int_S \text{Re}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*) \cdot d\mathbf{a} , \]

and again we are concerned with energy travelling in the z-direction and with TM modes, so

\[ W = \frac{1}{2} \int_S \text{Re}(E_r H_\theta^*) r \, dr \, d\theta \quad . \quad (94) \]

For \( r < a \),

\[ H_\theta^* = \frac{\text{ikr}}{k_2^2} AJ_1(k_1 r) \quad , \quad (95) \]

\[ E_r = \frac{\text{-iak}_1}{k_2^2} AJ_1(k_1 r) \quad , \quad (96) \]

which, when substituted into equation (94), gives

\[ W_1 = \frac{\text{akk}_1^2}{2k_2^4} A^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a J_1^2(k_1 r) r \, dr \, d\theta \quad . \quad (97) \]
Now,

\[ \int_0^\infty tJ_1^2(ut) \, dt = \frac{x^2}{2} \left[ J_1^2(ux) - J_0(ux)J_2(ux) \right], \]  

(98)

which holds also for \( k_1^2 \) in the integrand,\(^5\) so

\[ W_I = \frac{\pi a^2 k_1^2}{(k^2 - \alpha^2)^2} \frac{2}{a^2} \left[ J_1^2(k_1\alpha) - J_0(k_1\alpha)J_2(k_1\alpha) \right], \]  

(99)

or since

\[ J_2(k_1\alpha) = \frac{2}{k_1\alpha} J_1(k_1\alpha) - J_0(k_1\alpha) \]

\[ W_I = \frac{\pi a^2 k_1^2}{(k^2 - \alpha^2)^2} \frac{2}{a^2} \left[ J_0^2(k,\alpha) + J_1^2(k,\alpha) \right. \]

\[ \left. - \frac{2}{k_1\alpha} J_0(k_1\alpha)J_1(k_1\alpha) \right]. \]

(100)

Similarly, for \( r > a \),

\[
H^*_0 = \frac{\text{Im} k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \left( k^{-1}_0 + 2 a \right) \ , \\
F_x = -\frac{\text{Im} k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \left( k^{-1}_0 + 2 a \right) \ ,
\]

which yields

\[
W_0 = -\frac{\text{Im} k^2}{2(k^2 - a^2)^2} B^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_a^\infty k^{-1}_0(k^{-1}_0 + 2 a) r \, dr \, d\theta \ .
\]

and from equation (97),

\[
W_0 = -\frac{\pi \text{Im} k^2}{(k^2 - a^2)^2} B \left( \frac{a^2}{2} \left[ k^{-1}_0(k^{-1}_0 + 2 a) - k_0(k^{-1}_0 + 2 a) k_0(k^{-1}_0 + 2 a) \right] \right) \ .
\]

Here,

\[
k_2(k^{-1}_0 a) = \frac{2}{k^{-1}_0 a} k_1(k^{-1}_0 a) + k_0(k^{-1}_0 a) ,
\]

so
\[
W_0 = \frac{\pi \lambda k a^2}{(k^2 - \alpha^2)^2} \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left[ k_0^2(k_2^*a) - k_1^2(k_2^*a) \right] \\
+ \frac{2}{k_2^*a} k_0^2(k_2^*a) k_1(k_2^*a)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (105)

We can use equation (64) to solve for \( B \):

\[
B = \frac{\lambda J_0(k a)}{k_0(k_2^*a)} \hspace{1cm} (106)
\]

Utilizing equation (106),

\[
W_0 = \frac{\pi \lambda k a^2}{(k^2 - \alpha^2)^2} \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left[ k_0^2(k_2^*a) - k_1^2(k_2^*a) \right]
\times \left[ k_0^2(k_2^*a) - k_1^2(k_2^*a) + \frac{2}{k_2^*a} k_0(k_2^*a) k_1(k_2^*a) \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{\pi \lambda k a^2}{(k^2 - \alpha^2)^2} \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{k_0^2(k_2^*a)}{k_0^2(k_2^*a)} \right] \\
\times \left[ 1 - \frac{k_1^2(k_2^*a)}{k_0^2(k_2^*a)} + \frac{2}{k_2^*a} \frac{k_1^2(k_2^*a)}{k_0(k_2^*a)} \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (107)
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As with the sheet beam, these expressions for the energy are not useful without some way to specify $A$, but the ratio of $W_0/(W_0 + W_1)$ is independent of $A$. We have, then,

$$\frac{W_0}{W_0 + W_1} = \frac{k^2 J_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{k_0^2} + \frac{2}{k_1^2 a} K_0^2 \right)}{k^2 J_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{k_0^2} + \frac{2}{k_2^2 a} K_1^2 \right) + k^2 \left(J_0^2 + J_1^2 - \frac{2}{k_2^2 a} J_0 J_1^1 \right)}$$

(109)

where the argument of $J_0$ and $J_1$ is $k_1 a$ and that of $K_0$ and $K_1$ is $k_2 a$.

Table 6 contains some calculated values for $W_0/(W_0 + W_1)$ (which is called $Q$). These values are plotted in figure 6. These efficiencies are roughly twice as large as those of the ribbon beam and have a smoother behavior as the mode number increases. Consistently for any mode number, slow waves couple out more energy.

**TABLE 6. ANALYSIS OF CYLINDRICAL BEAM, EFFICIENCY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode number, $m$</th>
<th>$Q_{fast}$</th>
<th>$Q_{slow}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3.20058 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.22486 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3.12990 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.25074 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3.01073 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.12456 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2.85307 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.95827 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$2.67009 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.76532 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.47362 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.55864 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$2.27388 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.34890 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2.07866 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.14423 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$1.89319 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.95021 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$1.72068 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.77009 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Efficiency as function of mode number for both fast and slow modes: $\lambda = 0.1$ cm, $a = 0.5$ cm, $n_o = 7.5 \times 10^7$/cm$^3$, and $\beta = 0.7$.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Except in the ideal case of a perfectly unperturbed electron beam, bound electromagnetic modes will be propagating down a beam of charged particles in free space. The behavior of these modes is quite similar for both the beam geometries considered. In fact, for both types, the dispersion relations produce similar values for the propagation constant. This similarity is not surprising since the dispersion relation for the cylindrical beam can be characterized by a tangent function for larger arguments and the ribbon beam dispersion relation is in fact a tangent function.

It was interesting to examine the percentage of energy flowing outside the beam because if an attempt to use these modes were made, one
would almost certainly try to couple to them outside the beam. For our parameters, the maximum efficiency for a single mode was little more than 3 percent. Although the numbers were very similar, the slow modes couple out slightly more of the total electromagnetic energy.

A more difficult problem is to be able to predict and characterize perturbations on the beam in the laboratory. In addition to increasing our understanding of the beam's behavior, it might enable us to excite the beam for some desired result.

The problems considered here should not be taken as a result, but as a step toward the solution of more complicated problems. Of immediate technological interest is the inducement of Cerenkov radiation in the millimeter wave range. The regions outside the beam considered here could be occupied by dielectric or partially filled with dielectric. The ribbon beam case considered here could be applied to the dielectric configuration considered previously.* The calculation of the fraction of the total energy propagated outside the electron beam could be repeated for the Cerenkov radiation induced in the dielectric slab. Such calculations could be used to optimize parameters of the system for the production of Cerenkov radiation of a particular, predetermined frequency.
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