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Highlights of research results from the chemical derivatization of n-type semiconductors with (1,1'-ferrocenediyl)dimethylsilane, I, and its dichloro analogue, II, and from the derivatization of p-type semiconductors with (N,N'-bis[3-trimethoxysilyl]-propyl]-4,4'-bipyridinidium)dibromide, III are presented. Research shows that molecular derivatization with II can be used to suppress photoanodic corrosion of n-type Si; derivatization of p-type Si with III can be used to improve photoreduction kinetics for horseheart ferricytochrome c; derivatization of p-type Si with III followed by incorporation of Pt(0) improves photoelectrochemical H₂ production efficiency. Strongly interacting reagents can alter semiconductor/electrolyte interface energetics and surface state distributions as illustrated by n-type WS₂/I- interactions and by differing etch procedures for n-type CdTe.

Derivatization of the surface of semiconductor photoelectrodes may be useful in suppressing corrosion reactions of the electrode (1-5), accelerating the rate of desired redox processes (6-8), measuring rate constants for reactions of surface-confined redox reagents (9,10), bringing about changes in the energetics of the semiconductor/electrolyte interface(11,12), and altering the distribution of surface states associated with the semiconductors.(13,14) Work in this laboratory has concerned the study of n-type semiconductor photoelectrode materials such as Si, Ge, and GaAs derivatized with reagents based on ferrocene such as those represented by I and II. Work with p-type semiconductor photoelectrode materials such as Si concerns the use of the N,N'-dialkyl-4,4'-bipyridinidium-based derivatizing
reagent represented by III. The results from these studies do

suggest that surface derivatization may be useful in certain practical and fundamental applications. The highlights of the studies to date along with the limitations associated with chemical derivatization will be summarized in this article.

Suppression of Photoanodic Corrosion of N-Type Semiconductors

All n-type semiconductors are thermodynamically unstable when irradiated with supra band gap energy light in the presence of liquid electrolytes. However, it is well known that durable n-type semiconductor/electrolyte/redox couple combinations do exist. For example, it has been found that n-type Si, that can undergo surface photooxidation according to equation (1) can be protected from corrosion. In equation

\[
\text{Si} + 2x\text{h}^+ + x\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SiO}_x + 2x\text{H}^+ \quad (1)
\]

(1) \(\text{h}^+\) represents the photogenerated minority carrier (hole) that comes to the semiconductor surface under depletion conditions as illustrated in Scheme I. If the oxidative decomposition of Si proceeds too far the SiO\(_x\) thickness ultimately blocks the flow of current and useful photoelectrochemical effects cease. The oxidative decomposition can be suppressed if some redox active species A can compete for the \(\text{h}^+\), equation (2). Since the photo-
anodic decomposition of semiconductors is generally a multistep process it would seem that fast, one-electron reductants would be able to completely suppress photoanodic corrosion by neutralizing the $h^+$ before the decomposition process can begin. A priori the species $A$ should have fast heterogeneous electron transfer kinetics, be durable in both the $A$ and $A^+$ oxidation levels, be optically transparent, be present at high effective concentration, and have an $E^\circ'(A^+/A)$ that gives a good efficiency from the point of view of output photovoltage, $E_V$. Referring to Scheme I, $E_V$ for the photoanode is the extent to which the oxidation occurs at a potential more negative than the $E_{\text{redox}}$ of the solution. Concerning n-type Si it was found that EtOH/0.1 M $[n$-Bu$_4$N]ClO$_4$ solutions containing $A$ = ferrocene and $A^+$ = ferricenium result in a constant output of electrical energy from an illuminated photoelectrochemical device configured as in Scheme II.(20) The ferrocene captures the photogenerated $h^+$ at a rate
Scheme II. Representation of an n-type Si photoanode-based cell for the conversion of light to electricity.

that precludes photoanodic corrosion of the n-type Si. The purpose in using EtOH solvent is to remove as much H₂O as possible from the solvent to reduce the importance of the photo-oxidation process (1).

The experiments with the n-type Si/ferrocene in EtOH/0.1 M [n-Bu₄N]ClO₄ prompted the initial work in this laboratory on the surface derivatization of photoelectrodes. The ferrocene-based reagent, II, was anchored to the surface according to equation (3)(1-3). The resulting polymer confronts the n-type Si
surface with a high effective concentration of the reducing agent A. The important fact with respect to suppressing electrode corrosion was the finding that n-type Si functionalized with II is capable of being used in aqueous electrolyte solution under conditions where the naked (non-derivatized) electrode suffers photodecomposition at a rate that precludes any reproducible photoelectrochemistry. When the reagent A is confined to the photoelectrode surface sustained current flow results from the sequence represented by equations (4)-(5) where the photo-

\[
surface-A + h^+ \xrightarrow{k_4} \text{surface-A}^+ \\
surface-A^+ + B \xrightarrow{k_5} \text{surface-A} + B^+ 
\]

generated, surface-confined oxidant, \(A^+\), reacts heterogeneously with a solution species \(B\) to form \(B^+\) and reduce \(A^+\) to \(A\).\(^{(2,3,9,11)}\) For the surfaces resulting from treatment with II the surface oxidant is a ferricenium derivative and anything oxidizable with ferricenium should be oxidizable with a photoanode derivatized with II. A number of aqueous species \(B\) have been photooxidized using n-type Si derivatized with II including \(\text{Ru(NH}_3)_6^{2+}\), \(\text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3-}\), \(\text{Co(2,2'-bipyridine)}_3^{2+}\), and \(\text{I}^-\).\(^{(2,3,9,10)}\) In every case the photocurrent is relatively constant compared to that from a naked n-type Si photoanode. Quite interestingly, no aqueous redox additive has been demonstrated to suppress photooxidation of Si to the extent that can be achieved using the surface derivatization procedure. However, even electrodes functionalized with I do not last indefinitely in aqueous solution. Typically, naked n-type Si photoanodes give a photocurrent that declines by >90% in <5 min under conditions where the derivatized electrode shows <20% in 60 min. In such experimentation it has been demonstrated that each ferrocene center on the surface can be oxidized and reduced >10^5 times without significant loss of electroactive material.\(^{(2,3)}\) The decline in efficiency found for n-type Si photoanodes derivatized with II seems to be attributable to the slow growth of an \(\text{SiO}_x\) layer between the bulk Si and the derivatizing layer.

The ability to observe sustained electrical output from n-type Si-based cells after derivatization of the surface of Si with II indicates that such surface chemistry may prove useful. The maximum value of \(E_y\) is 0.5 - 0.6 V for n-type Si derivatized with II which is not too bad considering that the band gap, \(E_g\), of Si is only 1.1 eV. The ferrocene system is fairly durable in both oxidation states and its heterogeneous electron transfer kinetics are good; \(k_4\) is large. Further, the ferricenium does not appear to be capable of effecting Si oxidation to an extent that a thick, insulating \(\text{SiO}_x\) layer results. Unfortunately, the features that make the ferricenium/ferrocene couple attractive
also detract from its usefulness in the generation of energy-rich compounds using the photoanode-based cells. First, ferricenium is an oxidant, but a weak one; $E^{\circ'}(\text{ferricenium/ferrocene})$ for the surface species derived from II is $\sim +0.5$ V vs. SCE. Some data for $E^{\circ'}$ for various electrodes are given in Table I. Second, and more important, ferricenium is a one-electron, outer-sphere oxidant. Most of the desired photoanodic process for fuel formation involve multi-electron transfer processes: $O_2$ from $H_2O$, $Br_2$ from $Br^-$, etc. Thus, while there are many aqueous reagents that can be oxidized with a large value of $k_5$, equation (5), the generation of useful, powerful oxidants is either thermodynamically forbidden or kinetically sluggish. Electrodes only derivatized with II thus do not provide evidence that useful oxidation processes can be effected. However, it may be possible to introduce oxidation catalysts into the derivatizing layer from II that will accelerate the multi-electron processes of interest, as has been done for $H_2$ evolution, vide infra.(7,8)

Work in other laboratories has demonstrated that n-type Si or GaAs can be protected from photocorrosion using a derivatizing procedure involving the polymerization of pyrrole to coat the surface with an electronically conducting film.(4,5) This procedure is analogous to coating the electrode with a uniform metal overcoat to yield a "buried" photosensitive interface. In such a case, the $h^+$ does not contact the liquid electrolyte at all and thus photocorrosion is only possible if there are pinholes in the polymer overcoat. As for the surfaces derived from II, the polypyrrole-coated electrodes likely suffer from poor kinetics for processes such as $O_2$ generation and surface catalysts are needed. Again, however, considerable improvement in durability is attainable compared to naked photoanodes.

Catalysis of $H_2$ Generation from P-Type Semiconductor Photocathodes

Many p-type semiconductors should be capable of effecting the generation of $H_2$ from $H_2O$ using light as the driving force, since it can be shown that the bottom of the conduction band, $E_{CB}$, can be more negative than $E^{\circ'}(H_2O/H_2)$. Work in this laboratory has focused on the use of p-type Si as a photocathode.(7,8) It was shown that $N,N'$-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium, $MV_2^+$, can be photoreduced to $MV^+$ in aqueous solution at a pH where $E^{\circ'}(MV_2^+/MV^+)$ = $E^{\circ'}(H_2O/H_2)$ establishing the interface energetics to be as represented in Scheme III.(21,22) For $MV_2^+$ reduction to $MV^+$ the maximum $E_Y$ was found to be $-0.5$ V. For p-type photocathodes $E_Y$ is the extent to which the photoreduction can be effected at a more positive potential than $E_{\text{redox}}$ of the redox species. Good photocurrent-voltage curves were found for the p-type Si/$MV_2^+/MV^+$ system.
Scheme III. Representation of the interface energetics for p-type Si in contact with an aqueous electrolyte solution containing the MV$^{2+/+}$ couple at its formal potential. The barrier height $E_B$ approximately equals the maximum photovoltage, $E_V$. The maximum $E_V$ is obtained at high light intensity at open-circuit.

Under the conditions where the MV$^{2+}$ reduction occurs with good output parameters the reduction of H$_2$O does not occur, despite the fact that formation of MV$^+$ is as difficult thermodynamically as the formation of H$_2$ from H$_2$O. Not unexpectedly, the formation of H$_2$ from H$_2$O is kinetically more difficult than the one-electron, outer-sphere reduction of MV$^{2+}$. At this point, work in this laboratory commenced toward the use of reagent III as a derivatizing agent for p-type Si, since the reducing power of the MV$^+$ is sufficiently great to evolve H$_2$ from H$_2$O at pH < 7. Exploiting the reducing power, though, requires the use of a catalyst to equilibrate the (MV$^{2+/+}$) with (H$_2$O/H$_2$). Our work has been involved with the use of polymers derived from III that are confined to the p-type Si surface, [(PQZ$^{2-/2Br^-}$)$_n$]$_{surf}$, that have been further functionalized to include either Pt(0) or Pd(0) to equilibrate the [(PQ$^{2+/+}$)$_n$]$_{surf}$ with the (H$_2$O/H$_2$) couple.

A number of physical techniques have been used to characterize electrode surfaces derivatized with III. In the first study (23), the cyclic voltammetry of Pt and p-type Si electrodes bearing [(PQ$^{2+/2Br^-}$)$_n$]$_{surf}$, was used to confirm the surface attachment of polymeric quantities of PQ$^{2+}$ centers. In CH$_3$CN/electrolyte solution the positions of waves on Pt for the
[(PO²+/+)_n]surf. and [(PO²+/0)_n]surf. systems are very close to those expected from the E° for (MV²+/+) in solution. (23) Some representative data for the N₅N'-dialkyl-bipyridinium systems are given in Table II. Notice that the E° for [(PO²+/+)_n]surf. in H₂O electrolyte is somewhat more positive (-100-150 mV) than the E° for the (MV²+/+) solution species. We attributed (8) this shift to the fact that the radical monocations of such species are known to reversibly dimerize as shown in equation (6) for the MV⁺

\[
2\text{MV}^+ \rightleftharpoons (\text{MV})_2^{2+}
\]

blue violet

\[\lambda_{\text{max}} = 603 \text{ nm} \quad \lambda_{\text{max}} = 550 \text{ nm}\]

MV⁺ case. (24) Optical spectral changes as a function of the concentration of the MV⁺ (or the one-electron reduced form of III) (25) are consistent with the reversible equilibrium represented by equation (6). The [(PO²+/+)n]surf. system is violet in color (25), not blue, consistent with aggregation of the PO⁺ centers due to the high effective concentration. Since the E° data for (MV²+/+) in H₂O solution are for low concentrations, the E° is not directly comparable to that for the surface-confined analogue. These properties (optical spectra and E°) associated with aggregation of the redox center represent one of the ways that the surface-confined species may depart from expectations for measurements for the solution species at low concentration.

In addition to optical spectra and cyclic voltammetry, Auger spectra and Auger spectra while sputtering surfaces modified with III have been crucial to the development of a surface catalyst for improving H₂ kinetics. For example, recording Auger signal intensity for various elements while sputtering the surface of p-type Si derivatized with III gives an analysis of elemental composition as a function of depth from the outer surface. So-called depth profile analyses yielded the essential representation of the interface given in Scheme IV. (7) A key [P-Type Si] SIO₅ [((PO²+. 2Br⁻)_nin_surf.]

Scheme IV. Side view of the interface resulting from functionalization of p-type Si with reagent III. At about 10⁻⁸ mol of PO²⁺ per cm² the thickness of the polymer is in the vicinity of 1000 Å.
feature revealed is the presence of a SiO_x layer between the bulk p-type Si and the polymer. The oxide is likely the air oxide found on Si and is in the range of 20 Å in thickness and non-stoichiometric. The consequence of the non-stoichiometric oxide is that there remains a significant density of surface states at the p-type Si/SiO_x interface such that Fermi level pinning occurs.

There is considerable reservation concerning the use of Auger spectroscopy and sputtering techniques for organic materials owing to problems typically encountered from e^- beam and sputtering beam damage. In our system we have been fortunate to be able to test whether there are problems of this sort by using the fact that ion exchange reactions can occur as in equation (7) that lead to the persistent electrostatic binding

\[
[(PQ^{2+} \cdot 2Br^-)_n]_{surf.} + nK_2IrCl_6 \rightarrow [(PQ^{2+} \cdot IrCl_6^{2-})_n]_{surf.} + 2nKBr
\]  

(7)

of reversibly electroactive anions as has been done earlier by other workers. Analysis of the amount of the electroactive anion present relative to the amount of PQ^{2+} on the surface can be established by cyclic voltammetry. Subsequent analysis of the same surfaces by depth profile analysis reveals excellent consistency with the data from cyclic voltammetry. Table III summarizes Auger and cyclic voltammetry analyses of electrode surfaces bearing PQ^{2+} that were exposed to H_2O/0.1 M K_2SO_4/K_2IrCl_6. Note that under the conditions employed, the Ir complex is ultimately present in the polymer as the IrCl_6^{3-} and that >25 μM IrCl_6^{2-} is sufficient to completely charge compensate the PQ^{2+} system. At low IrCl_6^{2-} concentrations the SO_4^{2-} is competitively bound to the surface and there is an excellent correlation with Cl^- from the IrCl_6^{3-} Auger signal intensity with the cyclic voltammetry data. A number of such competitive ion binding experiments have given us confidence in the depth profile technique for the substrate/[(PQ^{2+} \cdot 2X^-)_n]_{surf.} systems. We regard Auger signal intensities to give relative elemental composition to ±20% for these systems.

The ion exchange reaction represented by equation (7) is directly relevant to our studies of H_2 evolution in that we recognized that the [(PQ^{2+})_n]_{surf.} itself does not react with H_2O to yield H_2 even though such is thermodynamically possible for pH below -5. Thus, we incorporated Pt(0) into the surface-confined polymer according to equations (8) and (9) in order to
\[ [(\text{PQ}^2+2\text{Br}^-)_n]_{\text{surf.}} + n\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_6 \rightarrow [(\text{PQ}^2+\text{PtCl}_6^{2-})_n]_{\text{surf.}} + 2n\text{KBr} \]  
(8)

\[ [(\text{PQ}^2+\text{PtCl}_6^{2-})_n]_{\text{surf.}} \rightarrow [(\text{PQ}^2+2\text{Br}^-\cdot\text{Pt}(0)]_n]_{\text{surf.}} + 6n\text{KCl} \]  
(9)

equilibrated the \([\text{PQ}^2+]_n\)_{surf.} couple with the (H\(_2\)/H\(_2\)) couple. (7,8) Direct evidence that this can be done comes from functionalization of the inside of a Pyrex test tube with III followed by ion exchange with \text{PtCl}_6^{2-} and chemical reduction of the surface-confined system with H\(_2\) at pH = 7. Reduction of \([\text{PQ}^2+]_n\)_{surf. to \([\text{PQ}^+]_n\)_{surf. using H\(_2\) can be monitored spectrophotometrically as a function of pH. (8) In the absence of \text{Pt}(0) no detectable reaction occurs. For \([\text{PQ}^2+/\cdot\text{Pt}(0)]_n\)_{surf. we find that the spectral changes with pH under 1 atm H\(_2\) yield an \(E_v^s\) for the \([\text{PQ}^2+/+\)\]_{surf. couple that is the same, within experimental error, as that found from cyclic voltammetry. (34)

Photocathode material p-type Si/[(\text{PQ}^2+2\text{Cl}^{--}\cdot\text{Pt}(0)]_n\)_{surf. does yield much improved H\(_2\) evolution compared to naked p-type Si. In particular, the naked electrode gives no significant photocurrent at \(E_f\) more positive than \(E_v^s\)(H\(_2\)/H\(_2\)). This means that there is no output photovoltage for the H\(_2\) evolution and light (to create carriers) and electrical energy are needed to reduce H\(_2\). In fact, less total electrical energy would be needed to reduce H\(_2\)O with a good conventional H\(_2\) electrode such as platinized Pt. For the derivatized p-type Si photoelectrode we observe that \([\text{PQ}^2+]_n\)_{surf. can be reduced to \([\text{PQ}^+]_n\)_{surf. under \(>E_f\) illumination at \(E_f\) up to -0.5 V more positive than \(E_v^s\) for \([\text{PQ}^{2+/+}]_n\)_{surf. Thus, at the high light intensity limit we find \(E_v = 0.5\) V. The incorporation of Pt(0) into the surface polymer thus allows realization of an \(E_v = 0.5\) V for the reduction of H\(_2\)O to H\(_2\). Photocurrent for H\(_2\) evolution from the p-type Si/[(\text{PQ}^2+2\text{Cl}^{--}\cdot\text{Pt}(0)]_n\)_{surf. onsets at the potential where the reduction of \([\text{PQ}^2+]_n\)_{surf. occurs. Since the \(E_v^s\) for \([\text{PQ}^{2+/+}]_n\)_{surf. is essentially independent of pH, Table II, and \(E_v^s\)(H\(_2\)/H\(_2\)) varies 59 mV/pH, there is an optimum pH where rate (current) times \(E_v\) is a maximum. Table IV shows some typical sets of power output (photocurrent x \(E_v\)) data vs. pH for the p-type Si/[(\text{PQ}^2+2\text{Cl}^{--}\cdot\text{Pt}(0)]_n\)_{surf. photocathodes. These data are consistent with a mechanism for rate improvement involving first reduction of the \([\text{PQ}^{2+}]_n\)_{surf. followed by equilibration of the \([\text{PQ}^{2+/+}]_n\)_{surf. couple with the (H\(_2\)/H\(_2\)) couple via the dispersed Pt(0).

The elemental Pt(0) is dispersed throughout the surface polymer as determined by depth profile analysis, (7) and a representation of the interface is given in Scheme V. According
to this view there is a certain amount of Pt(O) in contact with the thin SiO_x overlayer on the bulk p-type Si. This is a relevant structural feature, since direct deposition of Pt(O) onto photocathode surfaces is known to improve the efficiency for the reduction of H_2O to H_2. Thus, we expect that, for an interface like that depicted in Scheme V, there will be a certain

amount of the H_2 evolution occurring by direct catalysis of the reaction of the photoexcited electrons with H_2O at the SiO_x/Pt(O) interfaces. In the extreme of a uniform, pinhole-free coverage of Pt(O) on p-type Si/SiO_x one expects that the photocathode would operate as a buried photosensitive interface and in fact would be equivalent to an external solid state photovoltaic device driving a photoelectrolysis cell with a Pt(O) cathode. In such a case the maximum power from the device (photocurrent times E_V) would be independent of the pH of the solution. However, for Pt(O) electrochemically deposited onto p-type Si/SiO_x photocathodes in amounts of 10^{-8} mol/cm^2, we find that the output depends on pH such that a lower efficiency is found at the low pH's, Table IV.(7,8) For the p-type Si/SiO_x/Pt(O) photocathodes the pH-efficiency data demand a different mechanism for improvement of efficiency compared to that for p-type Si/SiO_x/[(PQ^{2+}.2Cl^-).Pt(O)]_n surf.. The key fact is that the efficiency appears to peak at a particular pH for the redox polymer system, consistent with the pH independent reducing power of the redox couple. For the case of Pt(O) on the p-type Si/SiO_x the efficiency rises from low to high pH and does not show a peak. The fact that there is a pH dependence at all indicates that the photosensitive interface is not completely buried. The Pt(O) can be regarded as a catalyst for the reactions of the excited electrons and does not completely dominate the behavior of the interface with respect to photovoltage.
The ambiguity associated with the Pt(O) at the SiOx in Scheme V has prompted us to synthesize interfaces where the catalyst used to equilibrate the [(PQ2+/+)n]surf. couple with the (H2O/H2) couple is not dispersed throughout the polymer.(35) Additionally, to better test the interface structure we have turned to use of Pd(O) instead of Pt(O) as the catalyst. The kinetics for the equilibration of (H2O/H2) with Pd(O) are expected to be as good as for Pt(O),(36) but Pd(O) has the advantage of being much more easily detected (~25x more sensitive)(37) by Auger than is Pt(O). This allows better signal to noise in the depth profile analyses used to establish the distribution of catalyst in the polymer.

The interfaces represented by the sketch in Scheme VI have been prepared and characterized by Auger/depth profile analysis is consistent with the preparation procedure.(35) For example, in determining that Pt(O) is distributed throughout the polymer we had no proof that different distributions would yield different depth profiles. Depth profiles for the interfaces represented by Scheme VI do confirm the viability of the use of the technique to determine interface structure. Figure 1 shows representative data for a substrate/[(PQ2+/2Cl-)n/Pd(O)/-(PQ2+/2Cl-)n]surf. interface prepared by electrodeposition of the first (PQ2+)n layer by holding the metal electrode at -0.6 V vs. SCE in an aqueous KCI solution of 1 mM III at pH = 7 until the coverage of [(PQ2+/2Cl-)n]surf. equaled 2.3 x 10^-8 mol/cm² from integration of the cyclic voltammogram for the surface-confined material. The electrode was then withdrawn, washed and immersed in aqueous 0.1 M KCl and potentiostatted at -0.6 V vs. SCE to reduce the [(PQ2+)]surf. partially to [(PQ+)n]surf.. While the electrode was held at -0.6 V vs. SCE, K2PdCl4 was added to the electrolyte and cathodic current immediately resulted, consistent with reduction of PdCl4²⁻ to Pd(O). At this point, a depth profile analysis is consistent with a substrate/[(PQ2+/2Cl-)n/-Pd(O)]surf. interface. Electrodeposition of an additional 1.6 x 10^-8 mol/cm² of PQ2⁺ from reduction of III in pH = 7 KCl yields the depth profile given in Figure 1 that is consistent with the substrate/[(PQ2+/2Cl-)n/Pd(O)/(PQ2+/2Cl-)n]surf. detailed in Scheme VII.

An electrode such as W/[(PQ2+/2Cl-)n/Pd(O)/-(PQ2+/2Cl-)n]surf. gives improved H2 evolution properties compared to naked W in that the H2 overvoltage is reduced.(35) However, the current-voltage curves for such an electrode indicate that the improvement only occurs for pH's where the [(PQ2+/+)n]surf. has the reducing power to reduce H2O to H2. It would appear that these findings accord well with the conclusion that the dominant mechanism for H2 evolution catalysis requires reduction of the [(PQ2+)]n/surf.. Findings for [(PQ2+/2Cl-)n/-Pd(O)]surf. where the Pd(O) is only on the outermost surface are
Scheme VI. Representation of interfaces prepared from derivatization of substrates with III and Pd(0). From ref. 35. See also Figures 1 and 3 for Auger depth profile analyses supporting structural assignments.
Scheme VII. Representation of the interface characterized by the depth profile analysis of Figure 1. Coverages indicated were determined electrochemically. Data from reference 35. See text for synthetic procedures used to prepare this interface.

also consistent with the mechanism, Figures 2 and 3. These results fully confirm the conclusion drawn from the earlier studies(7,8) of the redox polymer/Pt(0) catalyst systems where Pt(0) is dispersed throughout the polymer.

In our experiments the role of the [(PQ\textsuperscript{2+})\textsubscript{n}]\textsubscript{surf.} is to rapidly capture the photoexcited electrons; the Pt(0) or Pd(0) equilibrates the [(PQ\textsuperscript{2+}+/+)]\textsubscript{n}surf. with the (H\textsubscript{2}O/H\textsubscript{2}) couple. Overall, the result is the catalysis of the process represented by equation (10). All mechanisms for catalysis of this process can give the same ultimate efficiency. For example, the direct platinization can improve H\textsubscript{2} evolution, Table IV. The polymer/Pt(0) system should only work well at pH's where the polymer is a sufficiently good reductant. The directly platinized surfaces do not have such a pH dependence. It is true
that deliberate manipulation of the polymer can effect changes in
the $E^°'$ so that the cells could operate at optimum efficiency at
other pH's. However, it is not clear that a redox polymer is the
procedure of choice to improve $H_2$ evolution. Direct platini-
ization may suffer from the requirement of using a large amount of
Pt in order to achieve the buried junction likely needed to
achieve durability. At this point, the only safe conclusion is
that the redox polymer/Pt(0) or Pd(0) systems do improve $H_2$
evolution kinetics for cathodes such as illuminated p-type Si or
W in the dark. Whether the approach is viable for practical
systems is not presently known.

Improvement of Kinetics for Photoreduction of Horseheart Ferri-
cytochrome c: A Prototype Example of Superior Properties from
Molecular Derivatization

Many biological molecules that can undergo simple,
one-electron transfer processes often have very poor electrode
kinetics owing in some cases to the fact that the redox center is
buried deep inside the macromolecule.(38) However, such reagents
sometimes do undergo rapid bimolecular redox reactions with small
redox reagents called mediators.(39) For example, horseheart
ferricytochrome c, cyt $c(ox)$, is only sluggishly reduced at most
electrode surfaces,(40) but cyt $c(ox)$ reacts with $MV^+$, equation
(11), with a very large bimolecular rate constant.(41) This

$$\text{cyt } c(ox) + MV^+ \rightarrow \text{cyt } c(\text{red}) + MV^{2+} \quad (11)$$

raises the possibility of anchoring known mediators to electrode
surfaces for the purpose of improving electron transfer kinetics.
In our laboratory reagent III was used to functionalize Au, Pt,
or p-type Si surfaces for the purpose of illustrating this
principle.(6)

The reversible systems Au or Pt/[(PQ^{2+}.2Br^-)_n]_{surf.} were
shown to be superior electrodes for cyt $c(ox)$ reduction compared
to the naked electrodes.(6) Reduction of cyt $c(ox)$ was found to
be mass transport limited when the electrode potential was held
sufficiently negative to reduce the [(PQ^{2+})_n]_{surf.} to
[(PQ^+)_{1.2}]_{surf.}. Thus, the results accord well with a mechanism
where the reduction of cyt $c(ox)$ occurs in a mass transport
limited reaction with surface-confined PQ^+ centers.

P-type Si photocathodes functionalized with III also effect
the reduction of cyt $c(ox)$ with superior kinetics compared to the
naked electrode.(6) The naked p-type Si does not effect the
reduction at a significant rate. The illuminated p-Si/-
[(PQ^{2+}.2Br^-)_n]_{surf.} cathode can be used to effect the
reduction of cyt $c(ox)$ at a potential ~0.5 V more positive than
at Au or Pt, consistent with the value of $E_Y$ for the
[(PQ^{2+/+})_n]_{surf.} system, Table II.
It is important to recognize that \( E^{\circ'} \) for \((\text{MV}^2+/+)\) or \([\text{PQ}^2+/+]_n\text{surf.}\) is significantly more negative than \( E^{\circ'}(\text{cyt} \text{c(ox)/cyt c(red)}) = +0.02 \text{ V vs. SCE} \). In terms of practical consequence this means that the reversible electrodes, Au or Pt, do not respond to \((\text{cyt} \text{c(ox)/cyt c(red)})\) at the thermodynamic potential. To do this requires a surface-confined mediator having an \( E^{\circ'} \) in the vicinity of that for the cyt c system while preserving the large rate constants.

The data for illuminated p-type Si indicate that reduction of cyt c(ox) can be effected at more positive potentials, but the objective would be to obtain a good value of \( E_v \) with respect to the biological couple. Again this requires a better match of the \( E^{\circ'} \) of the surface mediator with that of the biological reagent. It is known that the \((\text{MV}^2+/+)\) system is a mediator system for a large number of biological redox systems including enzymes capable of catalyzing important multielectron transfer reactions. Future studies may take advantage of the redox polymer systems to equilibrate the biological catalysts with the oxidizing and reducing carriers created by absorption of light by semiconductor electrodes. However, the practical consequences will remain small unless the photoelectrodes can be shown to have sufficiently good efficiency for the redox reaction of the mediator system. For example, the \( E_v \) of -0.5 V for the p-Si/\([\text{PQ}^2+/+2X^-]_n\text{surf.}\) system (Table II) is too low to give high efficiency. The \( E_v \) for p-InP/\([\text{PQ}^2+/+2Cl^-]_n\text{surf.}\) system is -0.8 V but there appear to be greater problems with interface stability. In any event, surface attachment of mediators would appear to be a rational approach to equilibrating biological redox agents with conductors and is an area where the molecular derivatization procedure is promising. Unlike \( H_2 \) evolution that can be improved by direct platinization or corrosion that can be suppressed by overcoats of electronically conducting material, the equilibration of biological redox substances with surfaces will likely require the molecular approach.

Measurement of Electron Transfer Rate Constants Involving Surface-Confined Redox Reagents

Semiconductor electrodes provide an excellent substrate for the study of redox reactions of surface-confined redox reagents. This follows from the fact that the ratio of oxidized to reduced form of a redox couple on a photoelectrode responds to two stimuli, light and potential, rather than to only potential as is the case for a redox couple confined to a reversible electrode. For example, the generation of surface-confined ferricenium from ferrocene on n-type Si requires \( >E_v \) illumination and an electrode potential that is sufficiently positive. The oxidation of ferrocene does not occur in the dark, but the reduction of
ferricenium will occur provided the electrode potential is moved sufficiently negative because there are plenty of majority charge carriers available. Thus, we have used the two stimulus response to determine rate constants such as $k_5$ of equation (5). The measurement involves the determination of the time dependence of the surface concentration of $A^+ = \text{ferricenium}$ in the presence of B and as a function of the concentration of B. The concentration of the surface oxidant is easily measured in the dark after reaction time $t_i$ by a rapid potential sweep to a potential where the surface ferricenium is reduced, equation (12). Integration

$$\text{surface-ferricenium} + e^- \rightarrow \text{surface-ferrocene} \quad (12)$$

of the current associated with equation (12) gives the remaining surface-ferricenium concentration. The experiment is possible on a semiconductor photoanode and not on a reversible electrode because once the ferricenium is photogenerated and illumination terminated there will be no additional ferricenium generated. By way of contrast, a reversible electrode will always have a ratio of oxidized to reduced material on the surface that is dependent only on the potential. For the photoanode the surface oxidant can be reduced by a solution reductant in the dark and the reaction can be monitored electrochemically. For n-type Si electrodes functionalized with I or II, measurements of $k_5$ have been performed. The data show that equations (4) and (5) can account for 100% of the photocurrent. The data rule out any significant component of electrocatalysis not involving a redox reaction of a surface-ferricenium and a solution reductant. Further, the variation in $k_5$ with B accords well with expectations from self-exchange rates of $(B^+/B)$ couples, the self-exchange rate of (ferricenium/ferrocene), and the driving force of reaction.(9,10)

Similarly, rate constants for reaction of photogenerated surface reductants on p-type semiconductors can be measured. Thus, for the p-type Si electrodes derivatized with III, we are concerned with processes represented by equations (13) and (14).

$$[(PQ^{2+})_n]_{\text{surf.}} + ne^- \xrightarrow{k_{13}} [(PQ^+)_n]_{\text{surf.}} \quad (13)$$

$$[(PQ^+)_n] + nB^+ \xrightarrow{k_{14}} nB + [(PQ^{2+})_n]_{\text{surf.}} \quad (14)$$

For $B^+ = \text{cyt } c(\text{ox})$ we have examined the time dependence of the surface concentration of $[(PQ^+)_n]_{\text{surf.}}$ in the dark. We find that the oxidation of $[(PQ^+)_n]_{\text{surf.}}$ is limited by the rate of mass transport of cyt $c(\text{ox})$ up to the surface, consistent with data for reduction at rotating disk Pt/[(PQ^{2+}/+)n]_{\text{surf.}} electrodes. Again, the direct electrochemical measurement of the time dependence of the surface concentration of PQ$^+$ allows the conclusion that the mechanism for cyt $c(\text{ox})$ reduction only
involves a redox mediation and no other surface catalysis, such as that observed by other workers (46,47) for other systems, need be invoked in the case. It is the ability to directly electrochemically monitor surface concentrations of the redox reagent that makes the semiconductor surface unique compared to reversible electrode surfaces. This allows an assessment of mechanism and predictability of redox reactivity from theory and measurements involving solution species.

At this point, it is worth noting that polymer-coated electrodes may suffer from a problem associated with charge transport through the polymer. For example, the reduction of Ru(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$ according to equation (15) has been studied at rotating disk [(PQ$^+$)$_n$]surf. + nRu(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$ $\xrightarrow{k_{15}}$ [(PQ$^{2+}$)$_n$]surf. + nRu(NH$_3$)$_6^{2+}$ (15)

Rotating disk [(PQ$^{2+}$-2Cl$^-$)$_n$]surf. electrodes. (8) For coverages of $\approx 10^{-8}$ mol/cm$^2$ it appears that linear plots of cathodic current vs. $\omega^{1/2}$ can be obtained only up to certain current densities, $\approx 20$ mA/cm. This limit depends on the concentration of the supporting KCl electrolyte and decreases with decreasing KCl concentration. These data are consistent with the conclusion that current is ultimately limited by charge transport in the polymer. This limitation may be quite important in practical applications and requires additional studies. For example, current densities of $>20$ mA/cm$^2$ could be expected for an efficient solar photoelectrochemical device. Transport of ions and electrons must both be fast in order to overcome this limitation even if the specific rate constants such as $k_5$, $k_{14}$, or $k_{15}$ are sufficiently large.

**Alteration of Interface Energetics and Surface States by Chemical Modification**

Semiconductor electrodes modified with reagents I-III exhibit properties that are fairly well predicted from the properties associated with the naked semiconductors in contact with ferrocene or MV$^2+$. Strongly interacting modifiers may alter the interface energetics and surface state distribution in useful ways. (11-14) A classic example of altering surface state distribution comes from electronic devices based on Si. (48) The semiconducting Si has a large density of surface states situated between the valence band and the conduction band. Oxidation of the surface to produce a Si/SiO$_x$ interface results in a substantial diminution of the states between the valence and conduction band edges of the Si, but the density of surface states depends on the surface chemistry. Another example of surface state alteration may be the example of the improvement of
output parameters for n-type GaAs-based photoelectrochemical devices from surface pretreatment of n-type GaAs with RuCl₃.(14) Recent results in this laboratory have shown that oxidizing etches for pretreating n-type CdTe can yield a Te-rich overlayer on the surface resulting in Fermi level pinning.(49,50) A reducing etch pretreatment can lead to an n-type CdTe photoanode having nearly ideal variation of the barrier height, $E_B$, with changes in $E_{\text{redox}}$ of the solution, Figure 4.(49) These examples illustrate possible consequences of semiconductor surface modification not encountered with molecular reagents. These sorts of modification would appear to be crucial to practical achievements, since interface states will likely control $e^- - h^+$ recombination rates and $E_V$. Thus, controlled modification of semiconductor surfaces will be needed to achieve the high efficiency required in solar energy devices.

Ion adsorption to an electrode surface can also be regarded as a type of surface modification that can have a profound effect on photoelectrochemistry.(11,12) A classic example here is the pH dependence of the band edge positions of metal oxide electrodes.(51) Recently, work in this laboratory has illustrated that ion adsorption can dramatically alter the photoelectrochemical performance of a semiconductor.(11) It was shown that the presence of as little as 1 mM I⁻ in 6 M H₂SO₄/1 M SO₂ can alter the band edge positions of WS₂ as illustrated in Scheme VIII.

![Diagram](attachment:image.png)

**Scheme VIII.** Interface energetics for n-type WS₂ in the absence (a) and presence of I⁻ in H₂SO₄/SO₂ solution. Data are from ref. 11.

The -0.6 V negative shift allows a fairly good $E_V$ to be obtained with respect to $E^\circ'(SO_4^{2-}/SO_2)$. Further, the photooxidation of the I⁻ significantly improves the overall rate of SO₂ oxidation via equations (16) and (17). In the absence of I⁻ the
\[ 3I^- + 2h^+ \rightarrow I_3^- \quad (16) \]

\[ 2H_2O + SO_2 + I_3^- \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 3I^- + 4H^+ \quad (17) \]

\( E^{o'}(SO_4^{2-}/SO_2) \) indicates that SO$_2$ oxidation should occur in the dark, since \( E_{CB} \) is more positive. However, SO$_2$ oxidation has poor kinetics(52), and oxidation of the SO$_2$ is not found either in the dark or upon \( \geq E_g \) illumination. The I\(^-\) thus plays the dual role of favorably altering the interface energetics (to give a good \( E_V \)) and providing a mechanism to give good kinetics. Figure 5 illustrates the effect of I\(^-\) on the photoelectrochemical oxidation of SO$_2$ at illuminated MoS$_2$ that behaves in a manner similar to that for WS$_2$.(11) The n-type WS$_2$ is able to effect the overall process represented by equation (18). The H$_2$ is evolved at the dark cathode and the process can be effected with

\[ 2H_2O + SO_2 \xrightarrow{\geq 1.3 \text{ eV light}} \xrightarrow{I^- \text{ catalyst}} H_2SO_4 + H_2 \quad (18) \]

no energy input other than the light. At -50% H$_2$SO$_4$ the process is -0.3 V uphill(52) and the surprisingly rugged n-type WS$_2$ gives 632.8 nm power conversion efficiencies of up to -13% (-6 mW/cm$^2$ input) with no other energy input.

Ions are not typically persistently bound and their lability may preclude general utility. However, the WS$_2$/I\(^-\) system provides evidence that modification of the proper sort can yield extraordinary consequences. Modification procedures resulting in an irreversible interface change like that from I\(^-\) adsorption would be useful.
Conclusion

Chemical treatment of the surfaces of semiconductor photo-electrode surfaces can result in profound, positive changes in interface properties and overall performance of the photo-electrodes. Illustrations of the use of one-electron surface reagents to suppress photocorrosion and to improve electrode kinetics for large biological molecules establishes a possible role for such species in future studies and possibly in applications. However, the important overall processes in photoelectrochemical energy conversion are multi-electron processes that will likely require reagents that involve inner sphere redox character. Combinations such as the redox polymer/-Pt(0) are prototype electron transfer catalysts that can improve kinetics for multi-electron transfer processes. Surface modification to remove surface states and alter interface energetics requires elaboration in order to achieve high efficiency devices. At this point it appears that chemical pretreatments of photo-electrode surfaces will be the rule rather than the exception. The procedures will range from etches for increasing surface area to molecular derivatization for improvement of the rate of equilibration of large biological redox systems with the semiconductor surface.
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TABLE I. Formal Potentials and Photovoltages for Surface-Confined Ferrocene Reagents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derivatizing Reagent</th>
<th>Electrode Substrate</th>
<th>$E^\circ$, V vs. SCE ± 0.03$^a$</th>
<th>$E_v$, V$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>+0.43</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>+0.43</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-type Si</td>
<td>[+0.43]$^c$</td>
<td>-0.4 - 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>+0.45</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-type Si</td>
<td>[+0.45]$^c$</td>
<td>-0.5 - 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-type GaAs</td>
<td>[+0.45]$^c$</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n-type Ge</td>
<td>[+0.45]$^c$</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


$^b$Ey is the photovoltage obtained for the derivatized n-type semiconductor photoanodes. We assume $E^\circ$' to be the values given in brackets and $E_v$ is the extent to which the peak of the photoanodic current is more negative than $E^\circ$ under >Eg illumination. Data are from references given in (a).

$^c$We assume $E^\circ$' to be the same on the n-type semiconductors as on metallic electrodes but these values have not been measured, since the n-type semiconductors generally are not reversible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$E^0$, V vs. SCE</th>
<th>$E_V$, Vc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(MV^{2+/+})_{\text{soln.}}$</td>
<td>Pt, Au, n-Si</td>
<td>CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-Si</td>
<td>CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>[-0.45]$^d$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-InP</td>
<td>CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>[-0.45]$^d$</td>
<td>-0.8$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hq, n-Si</td>
<td>H$_2$O(pH=1-7)</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pt, Au</td>
<td>H$_2$O(pH=7)</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-Si</td>
<td>H$_2$O(pH=1-7)</td>
<td>[-0.69]$^d$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-InP</td>
<td>H$_2$O(pH=1-7)</td>
<td>[-0.69]$^d$</td>
<td>-0.8$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(III)_{\text{soln.}}$</td>
<td>Pt, Au, n-Si</td>
<td>CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pt, Au, n-Si</td>
<td>H$_2$O(pH=7)</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[(PQ^{2+/+})<em>n]</em>{\text{surf.}}$</td>
<td>Pt, Au, n-Si</td>
<td>CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pt, Au, n-Si</td>
<td>H$_2$O(pH=7)</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W, n-MoS$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-Si</td>
<td>CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>[-0.45]$^d$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-Si</td>
<td>H$_2$O(pH=1-7)</td>
<td>[-0.45]$^d$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$MV$^{2+/+}$ = N,N'-Dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium; (III)$_{\text{soln.}}$ is the species (III) dissolved in solution; in H$_2$O, of course, III hydrolyzes; $[(PQ^{2+/+})_n]_{\text{surf.}}$ is the surface-confined material from functionalization with III.

$^b$Data are from ref. 8 and are from the average position of the reduction and oxidation wave of cyclic voltammetry scans.

$^c$Ey is the photovoltage obtained from the p-type semiconductors for the reduction of the oxidized form of the redox couple. We assume $E^0'$ to be the values in brackets and $E_V$ is the extent to which the cathodic current peak is more positive than $E^0'$ under $\lambda_0$ illumination.

$^d$We assume $E^0'$ to be the same at the p-type semiconductors as on the reversible electrodes, but these values have not been measured because the p-type semiconductors are not reversible.

Table III. Correlation of Auger and Cyclic Voltammetric Analysis of Pt/[(PQ\(^{2+/3}\)IrCl\(_6^{3-}\)\(^-\)(1-x)SO\(_4^{2-}\))\(_n\)]\(_{\text{surf.}}\).\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>[K(_2)IrCl(_6)]</th>
<th>Cyclic Voltammetry</th>
<th>Auger</th>
<th>C/Cl/Ce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Coverage(^C) [(PQ(^{2+/3})](_{\text{surf.}})</td>
<td>Coverage(^C) [IrCl(<em>6^{3-})](</em>{\text{surf.}})</td>
<td>(x_d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.0(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>0.0(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.6(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>0.5(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.6(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>1.1(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>1.8(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>7.3(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>3.9(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>7.3(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>5.1(\times)10(^{-9})</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Data are from ref. 33.

\(^b\)K\(_2\)IrCl\(_6\) is present at various concentrations indicated; K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) is present at 0.1 M in H\(_2\)O.

\(^c\)Coverage determined by integration of cyclic voltammetry waves for [(PQ\(^{2+/3}\)]\(_{\text{surf.}}\) and then for [IrCl\(_6^{3-}\)]\(_{\text{surf.}}\) after equilibration. Units are mol/cm\(^2\) and error is ±5%.

\(^d\)\(x_d\) is the stoichiometric coefficient determined by the ratio of the coverage of [(PQ\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{surf.}}\) and electrostatically bound IrCl\(_6^{3-}\)\(_n\) \(x\) ranges from 0-1 in [(PQ\(^{2+}\)\(_2/3\)IrCl\(_6^{3-}\)\(_n\)]\(_{\text{surf.}}\).

\(^e\)Auger data are from surface analysis after withdrawing the electrode and washing with distilled H\(_2\)O. Data given are the observed relative signal intensity and are not corrected for element sensitivity. C is constant and associated with [(PQ\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{surf.}}\) and Cl is associated with bound IrCl\(_6^{3-}\). Ratios are ±20%.
Table IV. Comparison of pH Dependence on Photoelectrochemical \( \text{H}_2 \) Generation Efficiency from p-Type Si/Pt(O) and from p-Type Si/[(PQ\( ^2+ \cdot 2\text{Cl}^- \cdot \text{Pt(O)})_n]_{\text{surf.}} \) Photocathodes.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode(^b)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Input Pwr at 632.8 nm, mW/cm(^2)</th>
<th>( \eta, % )(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{p-Si/Pt(O)} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{p-Si/[(PQ}^2+\cdot 2\text{Cl}^- \cdot \text{Pt(O)}])_{\text{surf.}} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Data are from ref. \(^8\).

\(^b\)Electrodes \( \text{p-Si/Pt(O)} \) have been prepared by electrodeposition of \( \text{Pt(O)} \) from \( \text{PtCl}_6^{2-} \) directly onto \( \text{p-Si/SiO}_x \); approximate coverage is \( \sim 5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol/cm}^2 \). The \( \text{p-Si/[(PQ}^2+\cdot 2\text{Cl}^- \cdot \text{Pt(O)})_n]_{\text{surf.}} \) electrodes were prepared by first treating with III followed by ion exchange with \( \text{PtCl}_6^{2-} \) and reduction to yield \( \text{Pt(O)} \) dispersed in the polymer. The coverage of \( \text{PQ}^2+ \) is typically \( 10^{-8} \text{ mol/cm}^2 \); the ion exchange incorporates one Pt atom per \( \text{PQ}^2+ \) center.

\(^c\)Power conversion efficiency. The input power is that from a \( \text{He/Ne laser (632.8 nm)} \). The output power is \( \text{E} \times \text{times photocurrent} \). Thus \( \eta \text{ in } \% \) is given by \( \text{(output power/input power) \times 100\%} \). Data given are representative of a number of determinations given in ref. \(^8\).
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Auger depth profile analysis of a W electrode derivatized first with III, then with Pd(O), and again with III to yield W/[(PQ^{2+}-2Cl^-)_nPd(O)/(PQ^{2+}-2Cl^-)_n]surf. after removal from 0.1 M KCl solution as described in the text. The Auger instrument is a Physical Electronics Model 590A employing a 5 KeV e^- beam with a beam current of 0.5 to 1 μA. Sputtering was done using a 2 KeV Ar^+ beam from a Physical Electronics Model 04-303 ion gun. Auger signals monitored were: Pd (330 eV); C (272 eV); and W (1736 eV).

Figure 2. Photocurrent-voltage curves (10 mV/s) for a p-type Si/[(PQ^{2+}-2Cl^-)_nPd(O)]surf. photocathode where Pd(O) is deposited only on the outer surface of the redox polymer. The illumination source is a He-Ne lasaer, 632.8 nm, at -10 mW/cm^2, and the exposed electrode area is -0.1 cm^2. The inset shows the power conversion efficiency peaking at -pH = 4. Steady state photocurrent corresponds to H_2 evolution. Data are from ref. 35.

Figure 3. Comparison of pH dependence on H_2 evolution for two different interfaces one where the derivatization with III is followed by Pd(O) deposition, (a), and one where Pd(O) deposition directly onto W is followed by derivatization with III, (b). In (a), only when [(PQ^{2+})_n]surf. is reduced is current for H_2 observed; in (b) H_2 evolution shows the usual 59 mV/pH shift expected. The insets show Auger depth profile analyses after the electrodes were used. Data from ref. 35.
**Figure 4.** Representation of effect from different pretreatment procedures for n-type CdTe. Data points are the photovoltage, $E_V$, at high light intensity vs. the $E_{\text{redox}}$ of the contacting redox couple. The oxidizing etch was $4 \text{ g } \text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, $10 \text{ ml } \text{conc. HNO}_3$, and $20 \text{ ml } \text{H}_2\text{O}$ into which the n-CdTe was dipped for 30 s at $25^\circ \text{C}$. The reducing etch pretreatment is to first use the oxidizing etch followed by immersing the n-CdTe into boiling $2.5 \text{M } \text{NaOH}/0.6 \text{M } \text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$ for 3 min. Data are from ref. 49.

**Figure 5.** Effect of I$^-$ adsorption onto n-type MoS$_2$ on the photoelectrochemical oxidation of $1 \text{M } \text{SO}_2$ in $6 \text{M } \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$. In the absence of I$^-$, (a), no dark or photooxidation of $\text{SO}_2$ occurs. In the presence of $1 \text{mM } \text{I}^-$ the mediated oxidation of $\text{SO}_2$ occurs at a potential corresponding to the onset for I$^-$ oxidation. The electrode ($0.07 \text{ cm}^2$) was irradiated at $632.8 \text{ nm } (-40 \text{ mW/cm}^2$). Data are from ref. 11.
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