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PREFACE

The areas of Chester County and Delaware County, Pennsylvania, covered by this report are subject to flooding from Crum Creek. The properties on the flood plain of Crum Creek include residential, commercial, and industrial structures, and have been damaged by floods of 1843, 1955, 1968, and 1971. The open spaces in the flood plain which may come under pressure for future development are extensive. Although large floods have occurred in the past, studies indicate that even larger floods are possible.

This report has been prepared because a knowledge of flood potential and flood hazards is important in land use planning and for management decisions concerning flood plain utilization. It includes a history of flooding along Crum Creek and identifies those areas that are subject to possible future floods. Special emphasis is given to these possible future floods through maps, photographs, profiles and cross sections. The report does not provide solutions to flood problems; however, it does furnish a suitable basis for the adoption of land use controls to guide flood plain development and thereby prevent intensification of the loss problems. It will also aid in the identification of other flood damage reduction techniques such as works to modify flooding and adjustments including flood proofing which might be embodied in an overall flood plain management (FPM) program. Other FPM program studies -- those of environmental attributes and the current and future land use role of the flood plain as part of its surroundings -- would also profit from this information.

At the request of the Delaware County Planning Commission and endorsement of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources this report was prepared by the Philadelphia District Office of the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers under the continuing authority provided in Section 206 of the 1960 Flood Control Act, as amended.

Assistance and cooperation of the U. S. Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.), Delaware County Planning Commission, and private citizens in supplying useful data and photographs for the preparation of this report are appreciated.

Additional copies of this report can be obtained from the Delaware County Planning Commission. The Philadelphia District Office, upon request, will provide technical assistance to planning agencies in the interpretation and use of the data presented as well as planning guidance and further assistance, including the development of additional technical information.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Settlement

The original inhabitants of the Crum Creek Watershed were the Lenni-Lenape Indians of the Algonquin Nation that inhabited much of what is now Southeastern Pennsylvania. The first Europeans to arrive in the area were the Dutch, but they were primarily explorers and traders, and their contribution to developing the area was minimal.

The first permanent colony in the area was established by the Swedes in 1643 on Tinicum Island (Essington), when John Printz was commissioned Governor of New Sweden, the area known today as the Delaware Valley. The Swedish colonists were sent to the New World to seek minerals, investigate commercial fishing and trade with the Indians for pelts. They were also instructed to cultivate grain, tobacco and grapes, and raise large numbers of sheep to provide Sweden with ample supplies of wool. For many years the Dutch and Swedes fought for control of the area and its rich abundance of natural resources.

These early settlers established a few trading posts along the Delaware River and made a few land purchases from the Indians. Then William Penn and a group of Englishmen arrived in the area in 1682. Penn had originally intended to realize his “Holy Experiment” and “settle a great towne” in the vicinity of Upland (Chester). However, he eventually decided on a site further up the Delaware near the Schuylkill River, a site which became the City of Philadelphia.

The area around Crum Creek never really flourished as the Swedes had hoped, and it generally remained agricultural land. Today the area retains a rural, suburban setting, with the only concentrated development located near the confluence of Crum Creek and Delaware River. However, in the future the Crum Creek Watershed will come under increasing pressure for development as the intense development in the City of Philadelphia spreads to surrounding suburban areas.

The Stream and Its Valley

Crum Creek drains a total of 38.4 square miles of Chester and Delaware Counties in Southeastern Pennsylvania. Crum Creek flows in a southeasterly direction from its headwaters near Malvern, Pennsylvania, to Springton (Geist) Reservoir near Marple Township and then generally in a southerly direction to Crum Reservoir south of U. S. Route 1 and on to its confluence with the Delaware River at Eddystone-Ridley, Pennsylvania. The 18.2 mile
portion of Crum Creek included in this study -- that portion of Crum Creek within or adjacent to Delaware County -- is shown on the general map. Drainage areas contributing to runoff at locations in the study area are listed in Table 1.

From its origin near Malvern, Crum Creek follows a winding course through a relatively narrow flood plain bordered by steep slopes. Downstream of Pennsylvania Route 320 (Chester Road), the flood plain becomes increasingly wider and flatter as the creek flows to its confluence with the Delaware River. Over the 18.2 mile study reach, Crum Creek falls approximately 300 feet in elevation, for an average slope of 16.5 feet per mile. The channel of Crum Creek is well defined and overbank areas are covered with vegetation in the more rural, upper reaches. In the lower reaches, the channel is sometimes lined with concrete or mortared stone, while overbank areas are paved and occupied by numerous buildings.

The climate of the area is characterized by warm summers, when temperatures may rise above 85°F, and cool winters, when temperatures may fall below 20°F. Annual precipitation in the area averages 42 inches and is fairly evenly distributed throughout the year, with a major portion occurring in the late summer and spring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Mileage Above</th>
<th>Drainage Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confluence with Delaware River</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream of Confluence with Dick's Run</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream of U. S. Rte. 1 Bridge (State Rd.)</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream of Springton (Geist) Reservoir</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream of West Chester Pike Bridge</td>
<td>14.99</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream of Study Limit (Grubb Mill Rd.)</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1**
DRAINAGE AREAS ALONG CRUM CREEK

Developments in the Flood Plain
Upstream of Baltimore Pike to the study limit at Grubb Mill Road, the flood plain of Crum Creek is rural and largely undeveloped. In this reach of Crum Creek, two large dams have been constructed, forming Crum and Springton (Geist) Reservoirs for water supply. Some residential structures are located on flood plain land, and the Philadelphia Suburban Water Works is located on the flood plain just downstream of Crum Reservoir. Downstream of Baltimore Pike to MacDade Boulevard, development of the flood plain becomes more evident as additional residential structures and several industries can be found on the flood plain. Downstream of MacDade Boulevard to the mouth of Crum Creek, development of the
flood plain is intense, with numerous commercial and industrial buildings located on flood plain land. Upstream of Pennsylvania Route 291, approximately 1,300 feet of Crum Creek has been placed in a culvert and flows underneath an industrial complex. One industrial building is built directly over Crum Creek, while numerous other buildings and railroad sidings occupy the flood plain nearby. In addition to buildings, numerous streets, roads, bridges, railroads, parking lots, and public utilities can be found on flood plain land in the lower study reach of Crum Creek.

Four high level bridges for Interstate Route 95, having little or no expected effect on floodflows, are currently under construction in the flood plain of Crum Creek upstream of Chester Pike, U. S. Route 13. Approximately 16 additional bridges are presently being planned in the Crum Creek Valley for the proposed Interstate Route 476. Realignment of the channel of Crum Creek is also planned in several locations in conjunction with these two projects.
FLOOD SITUATION

Sources of Data and Records

The U. S. Geological Survey maintained a stream gaging station on Crum Creek at Woodlyn, Pennsylvania, from October 1931 to September 1937. However, due to the short period of record and inaccuracy introduced by Crum Reservoir upstream of the gage, the data provided was not considered truly representative for flow analysis. Therefore, reliance was placed on field investigations and synthetic methods for deriving discharge data. A U. S. Geological Survey stream gaging station on nearby Ridley Creek at Moylan, Pennsylvania, also provided some general information on the occurrence of floodflows in the area. Estimated peak discharges for floods of record on Crum Creek and Ridley Creek are given in Table 2. Flooding on Crum Creek can also be affected by backwater from high tides on the Delaware River. Table 3 gives elevations for record high tides and possible future tides on the Delaware River near the mouth of Crum Creek. To supplement these tide records and the records of the gaging station, residents were interviewed, and newspaper files, historical documents and records were searched for information concerning past floods.

Topographic data and maps prepared for this report were based on aerial photographs of the Crum Creek watershed furnished by contract, to the Army Corps of Engineers by the U. S. Army Topographic Command, Washington, D. C. Supplementary information was provided by U. S. Geological Survey quadrangle sheets entitled: “Bridgeport, New Jersey-Pennsylvania, 1967;” “Lansdowne, Pennsylvania, 1967;” and “Media, Pennsylvania, 1966;” Stream and valley cross sections and structural data on bridges and culverts were obtained by field surveys performed by Corps of Engineers, Philadelphia District personnel.
### TABLE 2
STREAM GAGE RECORDS
Crum Creek and Ridley Creek

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Crest</th>
<th>Crum Creek U.S.G.S. Gage at Moylan, Pa. (a)</th>
<th>Ridley Creek U.S.G.S. Gage at Moylan, Pa. (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimated Peak Discharge</td>
<td>Estimated Peak Discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 25, 1950</td>
<td>5,720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 18, 1955</td>
<td>4,390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 23, 1938</td>
<td>3,320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 9, 1935</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 23, 1933</td>
<td>1,420</td>
<td>2,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 15, 1940</td>
<td>1,770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 3, 1936</td>
<td>1,590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 3, 1950</td>
<td>1,590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 11, 1952</td>
<td>1,490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 4, 1935</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Drainage area is 33.3 square miles. Period of Record is October 1931 to September 1937.

(b) Drainage area is 31.9 square miles. Period of Record is March 1932 to August 1955.

### TABLE 3
TIDE HEIGHTS
Delaware River near Crum Creek

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal Description</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Project Tide</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Regional Tide (100-Yr.)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-year Tide</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 25, 1950</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1933</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year Tide</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 19, 1955</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Flood Tide</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean High Tide</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Low Tide</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Flood Season and Flood Characteristics

Accounts of high flows on Crum Creek and nearby Ridley Creek indicate that flooding has occurred in the area during all seasons of the year. Stages can rise from normal flow to flood peaks in relatively short periods of time with high velocities in the main stream channel. In addition to flooding caused by runoff from general rainfall, Crum Creek is also susceptible to hurricane activity and floods from snowmelt in combination with rainfall. The lower reach of Crum Creek near the mouth is also subject to tidal flooding from the Delaware River for approximately 0.5 miles. High stages of the Delaware can create a “backwater” effect which literally backs-up floodwater on Crum Creek, causing greater depths and wider extent of flooding upstream.

Factors Affecting Flooding and Its Impact

Obstructions to floodflows - Natural obstructions to floodflows include trees, brush and other vegetation growing along the creek banks in floodway areas. Man-made encroachments on or over the creek such as dams, bridges and culverts can also create more extensive flooding than would otherwise occur.

During floods, trees, brush and other vegetation growing in floodways impede floodflows, thus creating backwater and increased flood heights. Trees and other debris may be washed away and carried downstream to collect on bridges and other obstructions to flow. As floodflows increase, masses of debris break loose and a wall of water and debris surges downstream until another obstruction is encountered. Debris may collect against a bridge until the load exceeds its structural capacity and the bridge is destroyed. The limited capacity of obstructive bridges or culverts, debris plugs at the culvert mouth or a combination of these factors retard floodflows and result in flooding upstream, erosion around the culvert entrance and bridge approach embankments and possible damage to the overlying roadbed.

In general, obstructions restrict floodflows and result in overbank flows and unpredictable areas of flooding; destruction of or damage to bridges and culverts; and, an increased velocity of flow immediately downstream. It is impossible to predict the degree or location of the accumulation of debris; therefore, for the purposes of this report, it was necessary to assume that there would be no accumulation of debris to clog any of the bridge or culvert openings in the development of the flood profiles. Representative photographs of obstructions to floodflows may be seen in Figures 1 through 3.

There are five dams located on Crum Creek. Three are small dams and they will have no effect on floodflows. The remaining two dams are large by comparison, and form Crum and Springton (Geist) water supply reservoirs. While these two dams will have no effect on the large, infrequent floods such as a 100-year flood or greater, they may retard peak
flows from the more frequent thunderstorm induced floods. The effects of all five dams on the floodwaters of Crum Creek can be seen on the high water profiles, Plates 9 through 11.

Crum Creek is spanned by one building, one 1,300 foot long culvert, and 42 bridges, many of which are obstructive to floodflows. Pertinent information on all bridges and culverts on Crum Creek may be found in Table 5 on Page 16.

Flood damage reduction measures - There are no existing or authorized flood control projects on Crum Creek. As previously stated, the two large water supply reservoirs on Crum Creek may retard peak flows from the more frequent, short duration storms, but will have no effect on the large infrequent flood events. However, flood plain zoning ordinances and regulations are being adopted by communities located on the flood plain.

The Borough of Swarthmore has passed a model resolution governing the use of flood plain land in order to qualify for inclusion in the National Flood Insurance Program. Marple Township has a Grading, Drainage and Erosion Control Ordinance and Springfield Township has building code stipulations which help to control development of flood plain land. Upper Providence Township has recently approved flood plain regulations, and other communities are expected to pass similar ordinances and regulations in the future.

Other factors and their impacts - The impact of flooding along Crum Creek can be affected by the ability of local residents to anticipate and effectively react to a flood emergency. Efficient flood warning and forecasting systems can give homeowners, businesses, and industries valuable time to remove damageable materials from low-lying areas. Increased damages to downstream areas can also be reduced if floatable materials stored on the flood plain can be removed before being carried downstream to block bridge and culvert openings. Implementation of effective flood fighting and emergency evacuation plans can further reduce flood damages and the incidence of personal injury and death once the creek has reached flood stage.

Flood warning and forecasting - Inhabitants of the Crum Creek watershed depend on the usual warnings issued through radio, television, and local newspapers for information concerning possible flooding conditions. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) maintains year-round surveillance of weather conditions in the study area with stations located at the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and Wilmington, Delaware, airports. Flood warnings and predicted flood peaks are issued by the NOAA Flood Forecasting Centers located at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, and Trenton, New Jersey.

Flood fighting and emergency evacuation plans - Provisions for implementing flood emergency operations are coordinated by the Delaware County Civil Defense Office. This office maintains communications with the State Civil Defense Headquarters and the National Weather Service and establishes a "flood watch" during the earliest stages of a flood.
threat. Flood fighting, evacuation, and rescue activities are coordinated on a county-wide basis with local agencies.

Material storage on the flood plain - The rural, undeveloped areas along Crum Creek have very little material stored on the flood plain. However, due to the intense industrial development in the flood plain from MacDade Boulevard to the mouth of Crum Creek, there are large quantities of materials stored in the flood plain of this reach. Some of the material is floatable such as lumber, crates, and large volume lightweight containers. In addition, storage tanks and other containers may be unrestrained and buoyant. Supplies and materials stored in warehouses may be damaged by floodwaters entering the building and might be swept from damaged or destroyed structures. These floatable materials may be carried away by floodflows causing serious damage to structures downstream and clogging bridge openings, creating more hazardous flooding problems.
FIGURE 1 - Accumulated debris at a pipe line crossing Crum Creek upstream of MacDade Boulevard.

FIGURE 2 - Boulders and rock outcrops can become a natural obstruction to Crum Creek's flow.
FIGURE 3 - Chester Pike, U. S. Route 13, bridge is obstructive to the flow of Crum Creek.
PAST FLOODS

Summary of Historical Floods

In general, published accounts of flooding on Crum Creek have been overshadowed by more extensive and damaging floods on larger streams nearby. However, Crum Creek has experienced periodic flooding in the past. The August 5, 1843 flood, generally acknowledged to be the greatest known flood on nearby Ridley Creek, might also be the greatest flood of record on Crum Creek. Other documented flood events include June 1968 and September 1971.

Flood Records

Because of the limited period of record of the U.S.G.S. Gage on Crum Creek at Woodlyn, Pennsylvania (October 1931 - September 1937) there is little information available concerning the occurrence and severity of past floods. A U.S.G.S. Stream Gage on nearby Ridley Creek provided some insight into the flood history of the area. High water marks were obtained, residents along the stream were interviewed and newspaper files and historical documents were searched for information concerning past floods.

Flood Descriptions

The following are descriptions of known large floods that have occurred on Crum Creek:

August 5, 1843 - A general heavy rain started to fall early in the morning, with the rainfront extending well beyond the boundaries of Delaware County. This rain saturated the ground to a depth of several inches. Late the same day, heavy clouds concentrated over Delaware County accompanied in some areas by violent winds. The heavy rain turned into an intense downpour that lasted several hours. Because of the already-saturated ground, the water in the streams rose rapidly and before long all of the creeks within the county had overflowed their banks.

A permanent high water mark at elevation 105.7 feet, mean sea level datum, was established at the Philadelphia Suburban Water Authority Building, downstream of Crum Reservoir and upstream of Beatty Road bridge.
It was cool and overcast as dawn broke in Delaware County on Saturday, August 5, 1843. The sun was barely visible. Dark clouds quickly moved into the area and residents had hopes for a much-needed rain.

At about 7 a.m. the rain began to fall. It was a moderate intermittent rainfall for about eight hours. It was a welcome sight for lawns and fields parched from the late July heat. Up until noon, only a half inch or so of rain had fallen on any part of the county, according to Dr. J. W. Ash of Upper Darby. It had little more than a soaking effect. Then, at about 3 p.m. a meteorological phenomenon struck the area. A violent wind and rainstorm of dreadful magnitude developed.

**Black Clouds**

Black, menacing clouds appeared to come from different directions, and hung low in the sky. There were loud peals of thunder and incessant flashes of lightning. In some parts of the county there appeared to be no wind at all. In others, it was violent. Some even referred to it as a hurricane. The rain fell in torrents resembling a waterspout, according to one resident. The clouds seemed to dump everything they carried. The storm, which extended into parts of Chester and Montgomery Counties, dumped 12 or more inches of rain on many parts of Delaware County. Within a very short time, Chester, Crum, Ridley and Darby Creeks were swirling beyond their banks. They rose more than a foot an hour. Floodwaters reached a height of 20 feet or more in several areas.

The greatest height of the flood in Darby Creek was 17½ feet; in Crum Creek, 20 feet; in Ridley Creek, 21 feet; and in Chester Creek, 33 feet. A survey of the hard hit Crum Creek showed these examples of damages and destruction:

**Crum Creek**

The mills of T. Chalkley Palmer suffered heavy damage. A large stone wagon house 50 feet in length was carried off. Many bridges were carried away. John C. Beatty said one bridge “seemed to fall over as if there was no strength in it.” His edge-tool factory went down with a tremendous crash. He also lost his plaster and finishing mills.

At Avondale where Thomas Leiper, a host to presidents, built one of America’s first railroads, the cotton factory owned by William J. Leiper suffered heavy damages. The historic Leiper Canal was breached. George C. Leiper also sustained considerable damage to his mill dam. Leiper’s Sleeper Bridge was carried away.

---

June 12, 1968 - Heavy rainfall over the upper Crum Creek Watershed caused local flooding on Boot Road near Goshen Road. Debris in the channel caused the stream to back up covering Boot Road with water to a depth of several feet. There is no specific flood information from Crum Creek on this date but a high water mark was recorded on Boot Road near Goshen Road. (See Figure 6, Page 20).

September 13, 1971 - Rain began falling late Friday night and continued through the weekend before ending late on Monday. Rainfall readings ranged from 4.7 inches in Philadelphia to 12.3 inches in West Chester. Although the storm was believed to be one of the most severe storms in the history of Delaware County, with 450 persons left homeless and 4 fatalities reported throughout the County, there was less severe flooding along Crum Creek. According to a local resident, high water on Crum Creek, combined with high water from a minor tributary, flooded the lower level of a home on Boot Road to a depth of 22 inches.
FUTURE FLOODS

Floods of the same or larger magnitude as those that have occurred in the past could occur in the future. Larger floods have been experienced in the past on streams with similar geographical and physiographical characteristics as those found in the study area. Similar combinations of rainfall and runoff which caused these floods could occur in the Crum Creek Watershed. Therefore, to determine the flooding potential of the study area, it was necessary to consider storms and floods that have occurred in regions of like topography, watershed cover, and physical characteristics. Discussion of the future floods in this report is limited to those that have been designated as the Intermediate Regional Flood and the Standard Project Flood. The estimates of the Intermediate Regional Flood and the Standard Project Flood as presented in this report are based on the existing development of the watershed since future changes within the basin cannot be accurately predicted. The Standard Project Flood represents a reasonable upper limit of expected flooding in the study area. The Intermediate Regional Flood may reasonably be expected to occur more frequently although it will not be as severe as the infrequent Standard Project Flood.

Intermediate Regional Flood
The Intermediate Regional Flood is defined as one that occurs once in 100 years on the average, although it could occur in any year. The peak flow of this flood was developed from regional synthetic analysis, since adequate streamflow records were not available. Peak flows thus developed for the Intermediate Regional Flood at selected locations in the study area are listed in Table 4.

Standard Project Flood
The Standard Project Flood is defined as a major flood that can be expected to occur from a severe combination of meteorological and hydrological conditions that is considered reasonably characteristic of the geographical area in which the study area is located, excluding extremely rare combinations. The Corps of Engineers, in cooperation with the NOAA Weather Service, has made comprehensive studies and investigations based on the past records of experienced storms and floods and has developed generalized procedures for estimating the flood potential of streams. Peak discharges for the Standard Project Flood at selected locations in the study area are listed in Table 4.

A discharge hydrograph for the Standard Project Flood at the mouth of Crum
Creek is shown on Plate 14. The relative water surface elevations for the Intermediate Regional Flood and the Standard Project Flood are shown on Plates 9 through 11.

### TABLE 4
**PEAK FLOWS**
Intermediate Regional Flood and Standard Project Flood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>River Mile</th>
<th>Drainage Area Sq. Mi.</th>
<th>Intermediate Regional Flood cfs</th>
<th>Standard Project Flood cfs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confluence with Delaware River</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>7,800 (a)</td>
<td>15,550 (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream of U. S. Rte. 1 Bridge (State Rd.)</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>13,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream of Springfield (Geist) Reservoir</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grubb Mill Rd. (Study Limit)</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>4,150</td>
<td>7,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Nontidal, fluvial flow only.

### Frequency
A frequency curve of peak flows on Crum Creek was developed from a synthetic analysis because sufficient records of annual peak flows were not available. The curve presents the frequency of floodflows up to the magnitude of once in 100 years (Intermediate Regional Flood). Frequencies of floods equivalent to the Standard Project Flood and larger can be obtained through extrapolation of the curve, but it is not practical to assign a frequency to such large flows as their occurrence is so extremely rare. The curve, which is available upon request, reflects the judgment of engineers who have studied the area and are familiar with the region; however, it must be regarded as approximate and should be used with caution in connection with any planning of flood plain use.

### Hazards of Large Floods
The extent of damage caused by any flood depends on the topography of the area flooded, depth and duration of flooding, velocity of flow, rate of rise, and developments in the flood plain. An Intermediate Regional or Standard Project Flood on Crum Creek would result in the inundation of residential, commercial and industrial properties in the study area. Deep floodwater flowing at high velocity and carrying floating debris would create conditions hazardous to persons and vehicles attempting to cross flooded areas. In general, floodwater 3 or more feet deep and flowing at a velocity of 3 or more feet per second could easily sweep an adult person off his feet, thus creating definite danger of injury or drowning. Rapidly rising and swiftly flowing floodwater may trap persons in homes that are ultimately
destroyed, or in vehicles that are ultimately submerged or floated. Waterlines can be ruptured by deposits of debris and the force of floodwaters, thus creating the possibility of contaminated domestic water supplies. Damaged sanitary sewer lines and sewage treatment plants could result in the pollution of floodwaters creating health hazards. Isolation of areas by floodwater could create hazards in terms of medical, fire or law enforcement emergencies.

**Flooded areas and flood damages** - The areas along the study reach of Crum Creek that would be flooded by the Standard Project Flood are shown on Plate 2 which is also an index map to Plates 3 through 8. Areas that would be flooded by the Intermediate Regional Flood and the Standard Project Flood are shown in detail on Plates 3 through 8. The actual limits of these overflow areas may vary somewhat from those shown on the maps because the scale of the maps does not permit precise plotting of the flooded area boundaries. As may be seen from these plates, floodflows from Crum Creek would inundate portions of many communities adjacent to the stream.

The areas that would be flooded by the Intermediate Regional and Standard Project Floods include residential, commercial and industrial properties, along with associated streets and roads. Considerable damage to these improvements would occur during an Intermediate Regional Flood. However, due to the wider extent, greater depths of flooding, higher velocity flow and longer duration of flooding during a Standard Project Flood, damage would be more severe than during an Intermediate Regional Flood. Plates 9 through 11 show the water surface profiles for the Intermediate Regional and Standard Project Floods. Depth of flow in the channel can be estimated from these illustrations. Cross sections of the flood plain at selected locations, together with the water surface elevation and lateral extent of the Intermediate Regional and the Standard Project Floods are shown on Plates 12 and 13.

**Obstructions** - During floods, debris collecting on bridges and culverts could decrease their carrying capacity and cause greater water depths (backwater effect) upstream of these structures. Since the occurrence and amount of debris are indeterminate factors, only the physical characteristics of the structures were considered in preparing profiles of the Intermediate Regional and Standard Project Floods. Similarly, the maps of flooded areas show the backwater effect of obstructive bridges and culverts, but do not reflect increased water surface elevation that could be caused by debris collecting against the structures, or by deposition of silt in the stream channel under structures. As previously indicated there are five dams on Crum Creek, only two of which will significantly alter the flow of floodwaters. Of the 42 bridges crossing Crum Creek in the study area, many are obstructive to both the Intermediate Regional and Standard Project Floods. Table 5 lists water surface elevations at these bridges.
### TABLE 5

**ELEVATION DATA**

**Bridges Across Crum Creek**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Mileage Above Mouth</th>
<th>Underclearance Elevation Feet - Mean Sea Level Datum</th>
<th>Water Surface Elevation (a) Intermediate Regional Flood Feet - Mean Sea Level Datum</th>
<th>Standard Project Flood Feet - Mean Sea Level Datum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading R. R.</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading R. R.</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa. Rte. 291</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeing Co. - Footbridge</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Road-Boeing Co.</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Downstream end of culvert)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Road-United Engr. Co.</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Upstream end of culvert)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. R. Spur</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Central R. R.</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. R. Spur</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>5.3 (c)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Co. - Footbridge</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Co. Building</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester Pike U.S. Rte. 13</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate Rte. 95</td>
<td>1.50-1.59 (b)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4 bridges)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B &amp; O R. R.</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Ave.</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacDade Blvd.</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullens Lane</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester Rd., Pa Rte. 320</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Road</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale Ave.</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Central R. R.</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>142.4 (c)</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallingford Rd.</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Pike</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>105.4 (c)</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTA-Trolley</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>100.9 (c)</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footbridge-Smedley Park</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papco Mill Road</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>84.1 (c)</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatty Road</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>97.8 (d)</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Road, U. S. Rte. 1</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>144.9 (c)</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>120.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old State Road</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>127.2 (c)</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>121.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crum Creek Road</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>124.2</td>
<td>126.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paxton Hollow Road</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>126.7 (c)</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>132.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown Rd. - Pa. Rte. 252</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>207.9</td>
<td>233.6</td>
<td>206.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop Hollow Rd.</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>203.7</td>
<td>206.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradysville Road</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>207.7</td>
<td>204.2</td>
<td>207.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Chester Pike, Pa. Rte. 3</td>
<td>14.99</td>
<td>221.8</td>
<td>219.0</td>
<td>224.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Bridge</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>224.5</td>
<td>226.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Bridge</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>226.0</td>
<td>227.2</td>
<td>229.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered Bridge</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>243.4</td>
<td>245.4</td>
<td>246.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goshen Road</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>243.6</td>
<td>245.5</td>
<td>246.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grubb Mill Road-Study Limit</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>302.7</td>
<td>300.5 (e)</td>
<td>301.5 (e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Flood elevations are reported for the upstream side of the bridge.
(b) Four high level bridges under construction in this area.
(c) Elevation at centerline of stream.
(d) Approximate elevation - bridge under construction.
(e) Flood elevation at downstream side of bridge.
Velocities of flow - Water velocities during floods depend largely on the size and shape of the cross sections, conditions of the stream, and the bed slope, all of which vary on different streams and at different locations on the same stream. During an Intermediate Regional Flood, velocities of main channel flow for selected cross sections in the study area would vary from 0.7 to 14.0 feet per second. It is expected that the velocity of main channel flow during a Standard Project Flood would be slightly higher than during an Intermediate Regional Flood; however, in some cases backwater from restrictive cross sections may result in lower velocities. Overbank flow in the study area for the same selected cross sections would vary from 0.4 to 4.8 feet per second.

In general, water flowing at 2 feet per second or less would deposit debris and silt, while floodwater 3 or more feet deep and flowing at a velocity of 3 or more feet per second could easily sweep an adult person off his feet, causing injury or drowning. Floodwater flowing at greater velocities is capable of causing severe erosion to streambanks and fill around bridge abutments and transporting large objects. Table 6 lists velocities that would occur in the main channel and overbank areas of selected cross sections of Crum Creek during the Intermediate Regional and Standard Project Floods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location (a)</th>
<th>Mileage Above Mouth</th>
<th>Intermediate Regional Flood</th>
<th>Standard Project Flood</th>
<th>Overbank (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section Number</td>
<td></td>
<td>Channel Ft/Sec</td>
<td>Overbank Ft/Sec</td>
<td>Channel Ft/Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.5 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.3 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>16.93</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) See Plates 3 through 11 for locations of cross sections.
(b) Value given is maximum of left or right overbank velocity.
(c) Velocities reduced by the backwater effect of restrictive cross sections and/or bridge approach embankments.
Rates of rise and duration of flooding - Intense rainfalls that accompany severe storm fronts usually produce the floods occurring in the Crum Creek Basin. There is a time lag of several hours before overbank flooding occurs along the main stream. Floods generally rise slowly and remain out of banks for long periods of time. Table 8 gives the maximum rate of rise, height of rise (from critical stage level to maximum floodflow level), time of rise (time period corresponding to height of rise), and duration of critical stage (period of time flooding is above critical stage level) for the Standard Project Flood at two representative locations on Crum Creek. Plate 14 shows a discharge hydrograph for the Standard Project Flood at the mouth of Crum Creek.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Maximum Rate of Rise</th>
<th>Height of Rise</th>
<th>Time of Rise</th>
<th>Duration of Critical Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section No. 3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Section No. 24</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photographs, future flood heights - The levels that the Intermediate Regional and Standard Project Floods are expected to reach, and heights reached by some past floods at various locations in the Crum Creek study area are indicated on the following photographs.
FIGURE 5 - Flood heights at the Philadelphia Suburban Water Works.

FIGURE 6 - Flood heights near the intersection of Boot and Goshen Roads.
GLOSSARY

Backwater. The resulting high water surface in a given stream due to a downstream obstruction or high stages in an intersecting stream.

Flood. An overflow of lands not normally covered by water and that are used or usable by man. Floods have two essential characteristics: The inundation of land is temporary; and the land is adjacent to and inundated by overflow from a river, stream, ocean, lake, or other body of standing water.

Normally a “flood” is considered as any temporary rise in streamflow or stage, but not the ponding of surface water, that results in significant adverse effects in the vicinity. Adverse effects may include damages from overflow of land areas, temporary backwater effects in sewers and local drainage channels, creation of unsanitary conditions or other unfavorable situations by deposition of materials in stream channels during flood recessions, rise of ground water coincident with increased stream flow, and other problems.

Flood Crest. The maximum stage or elevation reached by the waters of a flood at a given location.

Flood Plain. The areas adjoining a river, stream, watercourse, ocean, lake, or other body of standing water that have been or may be covered by floodwater.

Flood Profile. A graph showing the relationship of water surface elevation to location, the latter generally expressed as distance above mouth for a stream of water flowing in an open channel. It is generally drawn to show surface elevation for the crest of a specific flood, but may be prepared for conditions at a given time or stage.

Flood Stage. The stage or elevation at which overflow of the natural banks of a stream or body of water begins in the reach or area in which the elevation is measured.

Hurricane. An intense cyclonic windstorm of tropical origin in which winds tend to spiral inward in a counterclockwise direction toward a core of low pressure, with maximum surface wind velocities that equal or exceed 75 miles per hour (65 knots) for several minutes or longer at some points. Tropical storm is the term applied if maximum winds are less than 75 miles per hour.
Hydrograph. A graph showing flow values against time at a given point, usually measured in cubic feet per second. The area under the curve indicates total volume of flow.

Intermediate Regional Flood. A flood having an average frequency of occurrence in the order of once in 100 years although the flood may occur in any year. It is based on statistical analyses of streamflow records available for the watershed and analyses of rainfall and runoff characteristics in the general region of the watershed.

Left Bank. The bank on the left side of a river, stream, or watercourse, looking downstream.

Right Bank. The bank on the right side of a river, stream, or watercourse, looking downstream.

Standard Project Flood. The flood that may be expected from the most severe combination of meteorological and hydrological conditions that are considered reasonably characteristic of the geographical area in which the drainage basin is located, excluding extremely rare combinations. Peak discharges for these floods are generally about 40-60 percent of the Probable Maximum Floods for the same basins. As used by the Corps of Engineers, Standard Project Floods are intended as practicable expressions of the degree of protection that should be sought in the design of flood control works, the failure of which might be disastrous.

Underclearance Elevation. The elevation at the top of the opening of a culvert, or other structure through which water may flow along a watercourse.
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