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An S-280B shelter was subjected to MIL-STD-285 radio frequency interference (RFI) tests in the normal configuration and with the curb-side and rear exterior skins removed. Although the shelter had been damaged before testing, it was repaired to bring its performance up to meet the MIL-STD-285 requirements of a new shelter. The measurements after the skin was removed showed a 20-dB reduction of shielding for electric (E-) field at the...
20. ABSTRACT (Cont'd)

higher frequencies and a 14-dB reduction of shielding for magnetic (H-) field at the lower frequencies. Sealing a gap between the interior wall and the door frame restored one-third of the low-frequency H-field shielding and about 10 dB of the high-frequency E-field shielding. The conclusion is that the leakage is dominated by the seams. An 80-dB single skin shelter must have either welded seams all the way around or equivalent or else multiple walls each having RFI shielding.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A hardened Army tactical shelter (HATS) is being developed by the U.S. Army to provide protection from air attacks, to enhance protection from nearby nuclear weapon detonations, and to provide protection to personnel from biological and chemical attacks. The initial design is to be a direct substitute for the basic Army S-280 shelter. It must satisfy the same size and radio frequency interference (RFI) shielding requirements. Because structural details are being forced by more stringent strength requirements on the walls of the HATS, the methods for deriving the minimum requirements for the RFI shielding of the walls must be more firmly defined.

The present S-280 (fig. 1) has two 0.030-in. (0.762-mm)-thick aluminum walls separated by 2 in. (5.08 cm) of insulating core material. The inner wall is carefully bonded electrically around most of the seams for continuous RFI shielding. The outer wall panels are riveted together, but sealed against only water and air. The shelter provides at least 60 dB of RFI shielding in accordance with MIL-STD-285\(^1\) and often provides 80 dB or more.

---


Figure 1. Present S-280 shelter.
This report evaluates how well an RFI shield can be made with a single skin by modifying an S-280 shelter. The test procedure was first to measure the RFI shielding of the shelter in its like-new configuration. Next, the outer skin on one side and the back was removed, and the residual shielding was measured. Then metal tape was applied to an electrically leaky seam around the door jamb to determine how much shielding could be obtained from the single skin. The measurements were much more stringent than required by MIL-STD-285. Normally, the shielding needs to be measured only once for each wall. For the test reported here, the outside antenna remained fixed for each wall (fig. 2) while the inside antenna was moved around the seam and the plane surface of each wall. This procedure permitted weak spots to be localized and provided comparison data in greater detail than the normal measurements did.

The shielding was measured at Gichner Inc., which also provided the shelter. The shelter had been used for other tests before the present one. These earlier tests had slightly damaged the shelter in these ways:

a. In accordance with MIL-S-55286B(EL), paragraph 4.19, Air Transport, the simulated shelter had been suspended for 30 min by the four lifting eyes with 17,500 lb (7875 kg) of water in waterproof bags inside. The bags had leaked. In an effort to blow the residual water out of the shelter, the curb-side wall had bulged and had become delaminated. Three holes had been drilled in an unsuccessful relamination attempt.

b. A destruction test had been applied to the left (road-side) front lifting eye. This test may have disturbed the interior RFI sealing material, although the interior environmental seal showed no breaks or sign of severe stress.

c. At some time in handling or storage, a forklift tong had slit the left lower rear wall in two places.

Otherwise, the shelter appeared to be in perfect order. There were no cutouts in the ceiling, the walls, or the floor.

A continuous metal wall provides the best barrier to electromagnetic radiations such as RFI and electromagnetic pulse (EMP) from nuclear detonations. Continuously welded seams provide the best shielding. The shelter walls require insulation, and metal with insulation bonded to it cannot be welded without destroying the bond and the insulation. Therefore, practical assembly procedures call for overlapping metal surfaces at joints with closely spaced rivets. In some walls, the facing surfaces cannot be reached for riveting, so a conductive plastic material is injected between the surfaces. All joints are then sealed with an environmental (air and water barrier) plastic material such as an elastomer.

From drawings* and discussions with Gichner engineers, certain structural features have been identified that might influence the RFI response of the shelter (table 1).

a. The inner skin serves as the major barrier to RFI except for the door, for which the outer skin is the barrier.

b. There is a design fault in continuity at the juncture of the rear wall inner skin and the outer door jamb (dwg aa, zone 4B, "SEE NOTE 12"). (Drawing Z, note 12, calls out item 24 adhesive or item 25 sealer, neither of which is specified for RFI applications. App A sheet 1 calls out 44 rivets on the jamb side, 24 on the bottom, 16 on the top, and ~24 on the hinge side.)

c. The inner ceiling and floor are joined to the side walls by RFI sealer and rivets every 3 to 4-3/16 in. (7.62 to 10.64 cm) (dwg l and m, item 69, sealer). (Drawing h refers to note 15 for item 69; drawing g describes it as supplied by Chomerics Inc. of Arlington, MA. The rivet spacing is derived from drawing j, sect. G-G.)

d. The interior end walls are joined to the ceiling, walls, and floor by channels with the interior walls angled to come close to each other and form a V-groove channel that almost touches. This channel is filled first with Chomerics RFI sealer and then with environmental sealer (dwg m).

*The S-2808 shelter drawing pile contains selected drawings required to track the RFI shielding of the structure. These drawings (table 1) are designated in this report alphabetically.
e. The RFI sealer is petroleum based. It dries in time and does not adhere to the surfaces, causing a life problem. A silastic (conductive) sealer would give better life and should provide the environmental seal required. Injecting the Chomeric sealer into the V-groove channel is especially poor because the cavity behind the channel is relatively large and, to conserve expensive material, the sealer is injected so that it just touches the edges with no pressure behind it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Drawing</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Sheet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>SM-C-165306</td>
<td>Skin, Inner Floor and Roof</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>SM-C-165308</td>
<td>Skin, Inner Side Panel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>SM-D-165400</td>
<td>Panel Assy--Roof</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>SM-D-165450</td>
<td>Panel Assy--Floor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>SM-D-165455</td>
<td>Assy, Panel Side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>SM-D-165456</td>
<td>Frame-Skin Assembly, Roof</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-m</td>
<td>SC-D-200131</td>
<td>Shelter, Electrical Equipment S-280B/G</td>
<td>1, 2, 8, 9, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11, 13 of 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-p</td>
<td>SC-D-200140</td>
<td>Door Latch Assembly</td>
<td>1, 2, 3 of 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q-s</td>
<td>SM-D-450461</td>
<td>Door Assembly</td>
<td>1, 3, 4 of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t, u</td>
<td>SM-D-450462</td>
<td>Panel Assembly, Emergency Exit</td>
<td>1, 2 of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v, w</td>
<td>SM-D-508738</td>
<td>Panel Assembly, Door</td>
<td>1, 2 of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>SM-D-508739</td>
<td>Frame and Skin Assy Door Panel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>SM-D-555523</td>
<td>Panel Assy, Front End</td>
<td>1 of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z, aa</td>
<td>SM-D-555525</td>
<td>Panel Assembly Door End</td>
<td>1, 2 of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bb, cc</td>
<td>SC-D-595099</td>
<td>Frame and Skin Assy (Door End)</td>
<td>1, 2 of 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. TEST RESULTS

The E-field, H-field, and plane waves were measured from 100 kHz to 10 GHz (fig. 3, 4). The receiver was tuned to the desired frequency, and the gain was adjusted for a noise level of 0 dB. Next, the oscillator was turned on, the antennas were set 25 in. (63.5 cm) apart,
and the reference on the receiver input attenuator was read. Then the door was closed, and the new receiver level attenuation was measured. The shielding is the difference in level between the two attenuation readings.

Preliminary readings were taken with the shelter slightly damaged and with no RFI adaption kit. The measurements showed 24 dB of shielding at 1 GHz and 13 dB at 175 kHz (app A, test sheet 1).

The standard RFI adaption kit for an S-280 shelter consists of a honeycomb door vent knockout panel in place of the louvered vent knockout panel and RFI gasket material around the edge of the knockout panel and the door. The honeycomb vent attenuates incident electromagnetic radiation, and the RFI gasket material provides electrical continuity between mating surfaces of doors and removable panels.

The RFI knockout panel was installed, the door RFI channel was cleaned, the gasket was installed, shims were installed on the door striker plates, and minor holes in the outer skin were cleaned and taped. Preliminary readings again showed 68 dB at 1 GHz and 58 dB at 175 kHz. Some leakage appeared to have come from the front roof seam,
so all inner seams were stripped of paint and taped with 2-in. (5.08-cm)-wide aluminum conducting tape. The addition of the tape improved the 175-kHz reading to 67 dB (92 to 25 dB) (app A, test sheet 3).

A complete series of tests was done (app A, test sheets 2 to 9). The worst case shielding levels were noted (table 2) and plotted (fig. 3, "LIKE NEW"). The front and back panels tended to leak more at the seams than did the side panels, probably because of the poorer construction of the front- and back-panel seams. The front and back inner walls are joined to the side walls and ceiling by the poorer V-groove channel (fig. 5, sect. C-C). The side inner walls are joined to the floor and the ceiling by the better method (fig. 5, sect. Y-Y). The two plane surfaces overlap, are bonded together with RFI sealing compound, and are riveted at 3- to 4-3/16-in. intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>&quot;Like new&quot; references</th>
<th>&quot;Like new&quot;</th>
<th>Single skin</th>
<th>Door seam taped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference (dB)</td>
<td>Noise (dB)</td>
<td>Limit (dB)</td>
<td>Measurement (dB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric field</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&lt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&lt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>&lt;0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plane wave</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The highest leakage, at 400 MHz, occurred around the door latching bolts. The outside handle is a quarter wavelength at about 400 MHz, and the handle penetrates the door and is short-circuited only by bearing surfaces and thruster plates.

After those tests, the next step in the evaluation was to remove the outer skin from the curb side (fig. 6). There was an obvious source of radiation from the curb side, especially at 175 kHz (app A, test sheets 10 and 11).

The rear outer skin was removed next (fig. 7), and a complete run of data was taken (app A, test sheets 12 to 19; table 2 and fig. 3, "SINGLE SKIN"). The attenuation dropped from about >70 to 50 dB. The one weak spot in RFI shielding is the junction of the inner skin and door frame (fig. 8). The paint and some of the environmental sealer were stripped away (fig. 9). The end of the inner skin and the metal of the door frame are apart by about 1/4 in. (0.63 cm). The inner skin is isolated from the vertical aluminum structural member by a thin wood thermal barrier. The 1/4-in. gap is partly filled with bonding cement that joins the inner skin to the foam and wood core. The entire seam is then covered with weatherproof elastomer (fig. 9, seam detail with elastomer partly pulled away). Even if the rivets make good electrical contact with the inner skin and the aluminum structural member, there is a controlled gap between the metal surfaces of about 1/4 in. due to the thin wood thermal barrier.
Figure 6. S-280 shelter with outer skin removed from curb side.

Figure 7. S-280 shelter with outer skin removed from rear and curb side.
After the interface of the door frame and the inner skin was taped (fig. 10), the shielding data were taken again at all frequencies (app A, test sheets 20 to 27; table 2 and fig. 3, "DOOR FRAME TAPED"). The E-field isolation and the plane wave isolation of the shelter were restored enough to marginally pass the MIL-STD-285 limit. However, the H-field isolation failed.
Figure 9. Interface of door frame and inner skin with paint removed.

Figure 10. Interface of door frame and inner skin with RFI tape.
3. DISCUSSION

The MIL-STD-285 E- and H-field measurements are made with the source antenna 12 in. (30.48 cm) from the shelter wall. In agreement with Monroe⁴ and Getson et al.,⁵ such measurements provide higher E-field attenuations and lower H-field attenuations at the lower frequencies than do plane waves. The theoretical attenuation of 0.030-in. aluminum over the frequency range is shown in figure 11. With the source antenna 12 in. from the surface at 0.1 MHz, the E-field attenuation is 178 dB, the H-field attenuation is 50 dB, and the plane wave attenuation is 114 dB. The measurement of 52 to 56 dB (table 2) may be attributed to the antenna's being more than 12 in. from the inner skin and to the additional aluminum vertical support structure's being present on the wall (fig. 6). Even though only 50 dB should be measured for a close H-field source, the shielding of the structure for most incident electromagnetic radiated energy will typically be much higher. Sources of RFI and EMP will normally be much farther than 12 in. and cause the incident energy to be like a plane wave and to experience more than 100-dB attenuation. Based on the attenuation of 0.030-in. aluminum (fig. 11), the E-field and plane wave measurements of MIL-STD-285 should be greater than 100 dB. When a lower attenuation is measured, it indicates imperfections in structural seams or door gaskets or leakage through the honeycomb of the ventilator aperture.

The shielding recorded in figure 3 indicates that the seams and the door gaskets appear to contribute to the lower level of isolation. If it is required that a single skin shelter have 80 dB with no cutouts, then a better construction system is needed such as welding all seams. If welded seams or their equivalent cannot be obtained, then multiple layers with all RFI joints should be used. Even though the outer skin on the shelter was not sealed against RFI, it contributed to the RFI isolation.

---

Figure 11. Theoretical attenuation of 0.030-in.-thick aluminum.

The shelter provides shielding over a broad range of frequencies including those of interest for high-altitude EMP (HEMP). Any HEMP should experience the same 60 dB or more attenuation characteristic of the E-field and plane wave measurements since the source of the HEMP is at a long distance.

4. CONCLUSION

The leakage observed in the measurement of the single skin S-280B shelter is dominated by the seams. An 80-dB shelter must have welded seams or their equivalent or be constructed of multiple layers, each one sealed against RFI by means similar to those for the S-280B shelter.
APPENDIX A.--DATA SHEETS FOR RFI SHIELDING OF S-280 SHELTER
This appendix contains the raw data taken in the MIL-STD-285\(^1\) tests on a single skin S-280B shelter. The data are summarized in the main body of this report. Finer details of the shelter response can be derived from a close study of the data points.

These terms are used in the test sheets:

- Frequency: Frequency at which measurements were made
- KO panel: Knockout panel (inlet louver assembly)
- Like new: Troublesome seams taped up, knockout panel redone, new radio frequency interference (RFI) gasket, and door latching plate shimmed
- Ref: Reference attenuation reading of signal generator when source antenna and receiver antenna are 2 ft apart with nothing between them
- Zero: Attenuation reading of signal generator when transmitter is turned off

---

## APPENDIX A

### TEST SHEET 1. S-280B SHELTER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special conditions</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Ref (dB)</th>
<th>Zero (dB)</th>
<th>Rear (dB)</th>
<th>Curb side (dB)</th>
<th>Front (dB)</th>
<th>Road side (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No filter or gasket</td>
<td>1 GHz</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175 kHz</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With filter and gasket</td>
<td>1 GHz</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18(^a)</td>
<td>10(^b)</td>
<td>16(^c)</td>
<td>16(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175 kHz</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22(^e)</td>
<td>14(^f)</td>
<td>34(^g)</td>
<td>14(^h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Around door, knockout panel, and both vertical seams.
\(^b\)All seams.
\(^c\)Both vertical seams
\(^d\)Front vertical seam.
\(^e\)Top seam.
\(^f\)Front vertical seam.
\(^g\)Road side vertical seam and bottom seam.
\(^h\)Rear vertical seam.
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 2  S-2903 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS  Like new

FREQUENCY  100 kHz  REF.  86 dB  ZERO  0 dB

DATE  12 Sep 1979  TIME  0815

OBSERVERS  Brown, Guise
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 3 S-2009 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

x moving outside to same location

ROAD SIDE

LEFT

0 dB

38 24 dB (30)
× (18)
16 FRONT (36)
8 (38) × 34 × (38) 25 (20) (34)

CURB SIDE

6 dB RIGHT

REAR

14 dB (14)

DOOR

(12) 12 dB

KO PANEL

14 dB (16)

13 dB (13)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Like new

FREQUENCY 175 kHz REF. 92 dB (93 dB) ZERO 0 dB

DATE 11 Sep 1979 (12 Sep 1979) TIME 1455 (0730)

OBSERVERS Guise, Brown, Garver
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TEST SHEET 4 S: 2803 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

>99 dB attenuation all over

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Like new

FREQUENCY 200 kHz  REF. 99 dB  ZERO 0 dB

DATE 12 Sep 1979  TIME 0740

OBSERVERS: Brown, Guise
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TEST SHELTER 5 - C-2600 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

>99 dB attenuation all over

SPECIAL CONDITIONS  Like new

FREQUENCY  1 MHz  REF. 99 dB  ZERO 0 dB

DATE  12 Sep 1979  TIME 0750

OBSERVERS  Brown, Guise
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 6 S-2069 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

>91 dB attenuation all over

SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Like new

FREQUENCY 18 MHz REF 91 dB ZERO 0 dB

DATE 12 Sep 1979 TIME 0800

OBSERVERS Brown, Guise
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 7  R-ZERO SHELTER
(A LL VIEWS HIGH SIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Like new

FREQUENCY: 400 MHz   REL. 90 dB (92 dB)   ZERO 0 dB

DATE: 11 Sep 1979 (12 Sep 1979)   TIME: 1421 (0715)

OBSERVERS: Guise, Brown, Garver
SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Like new

FREQUENCY: 1 GHz
REF. REFERENCE: 93 dB (91 dB)
ZERO: 0 dB

DATE: 11 Sep 1979 (12 Sep 1979)
TIME: 1353 (0720)

OBSERVERS: Guise, Brown, Garver
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TEST SHEET 9  S-2808 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS  Like new

FREQUENCY 10 GHz  REF 108 dB  ZERO 31 dB

DATE 12 Sep 1979  TIME 0916

OBSERVERS  Guise, Brown, Garver
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TEST SHEET 10 S-2802 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Magnetic curb side exterior skin removed

FREQUENCY: 175 kHz  REF.: 93 dB  ZERO: 0 dB

DATE: 12 Sep 1979  TIME: 1417

OBSERVERS: Guise, Brown, Garver
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TEST SHEET 11 S-2800 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

LEFT                      FRONT                      RIGHT
ROAD SIDE

Curb Side
Standing waves

REAR

DOOR

KO PANEL

Midfloor 13

SPECIAL CONDITIONS    Curb side exterior skin removed

FREQUENCY    1 GHz                  REF 89 dB    ZERO 0 dB

DATE    12 Sep 1979          TIME 1402

OBSERVERS    Guise, Brown, Garver
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TEST SHEET 12 S-268P SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY 100 kHz REF. 86 dB ZERO 0 dB

DATE 13 Sep 1979 TIME 1300

OBSERVERS: Guise, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 13 S-2808 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS  Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY 175 kHz  REF. 92 dB (92 dB)  ZER0 dB

DATE 13 Sep 1979 (13 Sep 1979)  TIME 0850 (1245)

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
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TEST SHEET 14 S-2608 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS
Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY 200 kHz
REF. 100 dB
ZERO 0 dB

DATE 13 Sep 1979
TIME 0910

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 15 P-2808 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

0 dB all points
LEFT
ROADSIDE

0 dB all points
FRONT

0 dB all points
RIGHT
CURB SIDE

0 dB all points
DOOR

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY 1.0 MHz REF. 95 dB ZERO 0 dB

DATE 13 Sep 1979 TIME 0920

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 168-2203 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY: 18 MHz  REF. 90 dB  ZERO 0 dB

DATE: 13 Sep 1979  TIME: 0930

OBSERVERS: Guise, Shirey
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TEST SHEET 17 3-26-83 CREIGHT
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY 400 MHz
REF 90 dB (90 dB) ZERO 0 dB

DATE 13 Sep 1979 (13 Sep 1979) TIME 0815 (1315)

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
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TEST SHEET 18 3-2008 SHELTER

(AALL VVIIEEWS FR FRROM M IINNISIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS
Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY 1 GHz

REF 92 dB (89 dB) ZERO 0 dB

DATE 13 Sep 1979 (13 Sep 1979)

TIME 0730 (1345)

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey

37
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 196-2299 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed

FREQUENCY 10 GHz REF. 106 db ZERO 30 db

DATE 13 Sep 1979 TIME 1500

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
**APPENDIX A**

**TEST SHEET 20 S-ZERO SHIELD**  
*(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROAD SIDE</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curb Side</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>23</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**KO PANEL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS:** Curb side and rear exterior skins removed (RFI tape around door frame)

**FREQUENCY** 100 kHz  
**R.F.** 86 db  
**ZERO** 0 db

**DATE** 14 Sep 1979  
**TIME** 1300

**OBSERVERS** Guise, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 21 S-2603 SHSHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROAD SIDE</th>
<th></th>
<th>CURB SIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 0 8</td>
<td>20 31 22</td>
<td>20 32 22 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 LEFT 0</td>
<td>6 FRONT 20</td>
<td>12 RIGHT 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 0 0</td>
<td>4 20 29</td>
<td>24 17 24 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REAR

DOOR

KO PANEL

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed (RFI tape around door frame)

FREQUENCY 175 kHz REF 93 dB ZERO 0 dB

DATE 14 Sep 1979 TIME 1115

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 22 S-2803 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

0 dB all points

LEFT

ROAD SIDE

0 dB all points

FRONT

0 dB all points

RIGHT

CURB SIDE

0 dB all points

REAR

DOOR

KO PANEL

SPECIAL CONDITIONS Curb side and rear exterior skins removed (RFI tape around door frame)

FREQUENCY 200 kHz

REF. 100 dB

ZERO 0 dB

DATE 14 Sep 1979

TIME 1130

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 23S-2002 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

0 dB all points
LEFT
ROAD SIDE

0 dB all points
FRONT

0 dB all points
RIGHT
CURB SIDE

0 dB all points
REAR

0 dB all points
DOOR

0 dB all points
KO PANEL

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed (RFI tape around door frame)

FREQUENCY 1.0 MHz
REF. 95 dB
ZERO 0 dB

DATE 14 Sep 1979
TIME 1140

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 24 S-2809 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed (RFI tape around door frame)

FREQUENCY: 18 MHz
REF. 90 dB
ZERO: 0 dB

DATE: 14 Sep 1979
TIME: 1250

OBSERVERS: Guiree, Shirey
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 25  5-2608 SHELTER
(AWT MEASUREMENTS)

ROADSIDE

CURB SIDE

SPECIAL CONDITION: Curb side and rear exterior skin removed (RFI tape
around door frame)

FREQUENCY  400 MHz  REF.  90 dB  ZERO  0 dB

DATE  14 Sep 1979  TIME  1040

OBSERVERS  Guise, Shirey

44
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 26 S-2803 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

ROAD SIDE

CURB SIDE

SPECIAL CONDITIONS  Curb side and rear exterior skin removed (RFI tape around door frame)
FREQUENCY  1 GHz  REF.  93 dB  ZERO  0 dB
DATE  14 Sep 1979  TIME  1010

OBSERVERS  Guise, Shirey

45
APPENDIX A

TEST SHEET 27 S-2392 SHELTER
(ALL VIEWS FROM INSIDE)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Curb side and rear exterior skins removed (RFI tape around door frame)

FREQUENCY 10 GHz REF. 104 dB ZERO 30 dB

DATE 14 Sep 1979 TIME 1340

OBSERVERS Guise, Shirey
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