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This report describes past, current, and proposed recreation information systems developed to assist Corps personnel in solving daily management and planning problems.

The systems designed to collect and store trend data are still in their infancy and are being managed by the Recreation Research Program at the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES). The trend data described in this report include:

1. Outdoor recreation data collection systems
2. Recreation data retrieval
3. Data storage
20. ABSTRACT (Continued).

This report were collected as part of a pilot study at three Corps campgrounds during the summer of 1979.

This report also describes the system of Recreation Research and Demonstration Units (RDU) and other agencies that collect, store, and utilize recreation user information.

The need to develop additional means of collecting trend data is based, in part, on the weaknesses of past Corps of Engineers recreation information systems. These problems, as well as the steps the Corps is taking to gather more reliable visitation and other trend data, are a cornerstone of this report.

A major product of the proposed recreation user system will be the forecasting of national and regional trends in terms of recreation participation, sales of recreational equipment, and other factors that affect recreation use. Part of this system, the collection of more reliable visitation data, has already been implemented. The mechanics of the proposed recreation user system, potential uses, and relationship to existing systems are herein described.
Preface

This report describes the functional relationships among all the current and proposed data systems affecting the management of national, regional, and local recreation trend data for the Corps of Engineers. The Recreational Research Program (RRP) at the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) plays a pivotal role in the collection and dissemination of recreation trend data, all of which have important planning and management implications for Corps field elements.
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A METHODOLOGY FOR THE SYSTEMATIC COLLECTION,
STORAGE, AND RETRIEVAL OF TREND DATA FOR THE
U.S. ARMY ENGINEERS RECREATION PROGRAM

Introduction

1. In 1979, over 450 million recreation days* of use were reported at 419 Corps of Engineers lakes and other project areas. This figure represents a 2.7 percent increase in use over 1978 (438 million recreation days) and a 5.8 percent increase over 1977 (424 million recreation days). The Corps and other agencies (quasi-public, state, local, and other federal agencies) manage 3175 recreation areas on a total of 11.2 million acres (45.3 million m$^2$) of land and water. The Corps manages 2229 (70 percent) of the recreation areas and has the responsibility for managing more than 44,000 developed campsites. Other agencies, excluding concessionaires, manage 946 recreation areas with 28,000 developed campsites.

2. The tremendous use of such a large and diverse recreation resource has led to resource deterioration, social conflicts, and inadequate manpower in some areas. As a result, Corps managers and planners need information concerning resource carrying capacities and user preferences for various facilities and activities. Recreation behavior, however, is not a static phenomenon. Thus, managers and planners also need to be able to observe trends in recreation preferences and behavior patterns over time. This report describes current and proposed information systems developed to assist Corps personnel in monitoring such trends.

* A standard unit of use consisting of a visit by one individual to a recreation development or area for recreation purposes during any reasonable portion or all of a 24-hour period.
Corps of Engineers Information Systems

3. Figure 1 represents the functional relationships among the various information sources and systems that influence the Corps-wide recreation programs. The arrows denote directions of information flow. Figure 1 represents the conceptualization upon which this report was based. Thus, further discussion will focus on each of the elements of this figure. Actual data will be presented where appropriate to exemplify the types of recreation trend information being monitored.

Corps-Wide Recreation Program

4. The Corps-wide recreation program includes all elements ranging from the individual projects to the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), in Washington, D.C. Between the projects and OCE are two more administrative layers, the districts and the divisions. There are 10 divisions in the contiguous 48 states with several districts in each division.* For this discussion, the pertinent line function running through OCE to the divisions, to the districts, and finally to the projects is the Recreation Resource Management Branch (RRMB). In OCE, personnel in this branch are primarily involved with developing field guidelines, storing and retrieving data, and disseminating information. Personnel in the RRMB's of the districts and divisions are involved with developing field guidelines, but also have duties in recreation planning and operations. Personnel at the project level have the responsibility for recreation site management and handling daily operations and maintenance functions, which may vary from project to project.

5. To help solve recreation design and management problems and to formulate policy, the Corps-wide recreation program receives information from a wide variety of sources. Three of the existing (solid line) sources are illustrated in Figure 1. First, the System of Information

* The one exception to this generalization is the New England Division, which has no districts.
Retrieval and Analysis for Planners (SIRAP) was developed by OCE to assist district and division planners. The SIRAP is a computerized system containing such census and population data as income and employment, demographics, city and county information, and economics.

6. Second, the Recreation Resource Management System (RRMS) is an automated system for processing recreation data for each project having an annual visitation of 5000 recreation days of use or more. The RRMS provides for the entry, annual update, scheduled reporting, and manipulation of standardized data fields. The RRMS contains over 450 project variables ranging from monthly visitation counts to the number of unpaved road miles. The data presented in the introductory paragraph of this report are examples of the types of information found in the current RRMS data files. OCE manages this system, using its contents for nationwide reports and for providing data to other agencies, organizations, and individuals. Field elements (i.e., project, district, and division personnel) not only enter data into the RRMS but also use such data to help make planning and management decisions. This two-way flow of information is represented by the double-pointed solid line in Figure 1.

7. Third, the Corps-wide recreation program receives information from the Recreation Research Program (RRP), an element administered by the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station in Vicksburg, Miss. The RRP is composed of personnel with expertise in recreation planning and design, resource management, park administration, economics, research design, and social sciences.

Recreation Research Program

8. An important mission of the RRP is to conduct research, the results of which are responsive to the needs of field personnel. To ensure that this goal is achieved, OCE implemented a Research Needs System for all Corps research elements, including the RRP. According to the Research Needs System, anyone in the Corps can submit for review a problem which he or she feels needs to be researched. Once each year
these problems are reviewed by the field and are arranged by priority. Generally, those problems receiving the highest ratings are funded for research. Thus, the RRP usually conducts research only on high-priority field problems.

9. To carry out its research and information transfer functions, the RRP receives information from a wide variety of sources that includes OCE, Corps field elements, the RRMS data base, other agencies, universities, and private organizations. Once research on a given topic is completed, the results are disseminated to the field in a form suitable for implementation. Success of the RRP is measured primarily by the use of research findings rather than by the production of reports and publications. However, the RRP also produces technical reports and publications for distribution to OCE, Corps field elements, other agencies, and universities.

10. The RRP does not directly input data into the RRMS but may, through research, influence the methods of data collection at the project level and the types of information which the RRMS contains. For instance, Mischon and Wyatt* of the Midwest Research Institute produced a handbook for conducting recreation surveys and calculating attendance for the RRP. The need for such a handbook grew out of the recognition that each Corps District and project had essentially developed its own procedures for collecting visitation data for the RRMS. Other major problems included using outdated load factors, double-counting recreation vehicles within a given project, and disregarding proper sampling procedures. Their handbook offers a standardized methodology for counteracting these and other problems. Errors in collecting visitation data have not been entirely eliminated because numerous projects and districts have not yet implemented the new procedures (dotted line in Figure 1). However, as the handbook becomes more widely used, visitation figures entered into the RRMS should become much more reliable than in the past.

Recreation Research and Demonstration System

11. As stated previously, the RRP conducts research or field-related problems. Those problems of highest priority are funded for research by OCE and administered by the RRP as work units to be completed by a specific date. Examples of such work units include "Cost Efficiency of Methods of Operating and Maintaining Corps Recreation Areas" and "Planning and Design Standards for Recreational Roads and Sanitary Facilities." Another work unit, of primary concern to this report, is the Recreation Research and Demonstration System (RRDS).

12. The RRDS was initiated in October 1978 with some of the following goals:
   a. To monitor national and regional trends in the quantity and nature of use of Corps recreation resources and the biological, physical, economic, and social impacts associated with such use.
   b. To serve as a focus for research and testing in all recreation and natural resource subject areas for which the Corps has responsibility.
   c. To provide outdoor laboratories where new methods, structures, designs, and management techniques can be tested and results demonstrated.
   d. To meet the requirements of as many of the RRP work units as possible.
   e. To attract research interest on the part of other federal agencies, state agencies, universities, and other research organizations.
   f. To draw the understanding and support of those Corps operating elements which the RRDS is designed to serve.

13. To meet these goals, the RRDS is composed of 25 Recreation Research and Demonstration Units (RRDU's) and 12 Recreation Use Monitoring Stations (RUMS's). With only one exception, all components of the RRDS are administered by the Corps. The exception is Lake Amistad National Recreation Area, which is under National Park Service (NPS) jurisdiction. The locations of the RRDU's and RUMS's are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Each RRDU and RUMS is either an entire Water Resources Development Project or an officially designated portion of such a project.
14. Projects included in the RRDS were selected to be representative of a wide range of geographic, biological, physical, social, administrative, and operational conditions found at Corps Projects nationwide. A primary rationale for the establishment of the RRDS is that individual research projects will be able to utilize and in turn contribute to a common data base, thereby realizing savings both in time and money.

15. The RUMS's include such Corps projects as ocean jetties, waterways, and harbors. One reason for including the RUMS's as part of the RRDS is that they are not included in the RRMS. Thus, annual Corps of Engineers visitation data do not include the tremendous use incurred at some jetties, waterways, harbors, and other such developments. One proposed task of the RRP is to develop a methodology for monitoring visitation trends at areas such as these RUMS's where access is not tightly controlled.

16. The RRDU's include the conventional multipurpose reservoirs, navigational reservoirs with locks, dry reservoirs, modified natural lakes, and local flood-protection reservoirs. Detailed recreation data on each of the RRDU's are contained within the RRMS data base. However, such data are not available on a trend basis. That is, the RRMS contains the current year's data only. Each year the data from the previous year are erased from the computer files, thus making the chronological comparison of trend data difficult and inefficient.* It is for this reason that the RRP staff is currently attempting to develop special forms, identify key variables, and implement an automated system for monitoring national and regional trend information.

Recreation Research and Demonstration Information Program

17. As Figure 1 indicates, the RRP administers the RRDS, and in turn the RRDS is supported by the Recreation Research and Demonstration Information Program (RRDIP). Briefly, RRDIP is a program for the

* However, it is expected that from this year forward, historical data will be retained in the RRMS.
systematic collection, storage, manipulation, retrieval, and display of
detailed information concerning the 25 RRDU's and 12 RUMS's.

18. For purposes of classification, the data contained in the
RRDIP are divided into five components: natural, man-made, economic,
social, and institutional environments. To stratify the data even
farther, four geographic divisions were chosen: within project bound-
ary, physical impact zone, economic impact zone, and recreation market
zone. The combination of five components and four geographic divisions
results in a matrix of 20 primary cells, the basic conceptual framework
for RRDIP. Of course, there are substantial differences in the types
and quantity of data that will occupy each cell. Some of the cells will
contain information which already exists; the filling of other cells
awaits the results of ongoing and future research.

19. The following steps are necessary for making the RRDIP
operational:
   a. Develop RRDU catalogs.
   b. Analyze district file information.
   c. Fill in gaps in the RRDIP data cells.
   d. Routine management of the RRDIP.

20. The first step, development of RRDU catalogs, has already
been completed. The initial catalogs were limited to existing informa-
tion primarily from maps, master plans, environmental impact statements
(EIS's), SIRAP, other agencies, universities, and a recreation facili-
ties inventory conducted by the RRP in the summer of 1979. The data are
cataloged according to the 20 data cells previously described and are
bound in a large looseleaf notebook for ease of addition and revision.
These catalogs of information are available for loan to researchers as
they are needed. If funds become available, some of the data in these
catalogs may be computerized, thereby increasing the ease of access.
Similar catalogs for the RUMS's are not yet available.

21. Once key variables are identified and final methodologies are
chosen, both resource and user trend data will be continual additions to
the catalogs. Preliminary methodologies now being tested along with
some user trend data are presented below.
22. The second step, analysis of district file information, has also been completed. During this step, the RRP obtained from each RRDU additional information such as aerial photography and reproducible drawings of recreation-area developments. Some of this information has also been incorporated into the RRDU catalog data cells. Another product of this analysis was the identification of those cells with significant gaps in data.

23. The third step will consist of filling in gaps in the RRDIP data base with the results of ongoing or future research. A major source of such results will be the RRP work units. Results generated by other Corps elements, other agencies, and universities will also be incorporated into the RRDIP catalogs.

24. The final step is routine management of the RRDIP data base. Included in this step will be such tasks as shifting from manual to computer processing of some of the data elements, redefining some of the data cells if necessary, and adding new information to the data cells.

25. The primary objective of the RRDIP is the support of research and demonstration; the primary users are intended to be researchers. However, the RRDIP is obviously not oblivious to field needs. The RRDIP catalogs are made available to district and project personnel as aids in administering the RRDU's. Moreover, many of the RRP research work units will use the RRDIP data to find better ways to plan and manage Corps recreation and other natural resources. Third, the trend information to be gathered will be of value to Corps planners, managers, and policy makers at all levels.

Monitoring of Trend Data

26. One of the objectives of the RRDIP is to "accept regularly generated measurements of key factors of the recreation and related natural resources environment and to report these data in timely fashion as trend information." To meet this objective, the RRP began a pilot recreation monitoring program during the summer of 1979 at selected campgrounds within the Lake Ouachita (Arkansas), West Point
(Georgia/Alabama), and Shenango (Pennsylvania) RRDU's. The monitoring program was designed to select sample areas and to test a proposed recreation use-impact monitoring methodology.

**Vegetation and soil**

27. One field test consisted of sampling various parameters of soil and vegetation in order to establish a data base for subsequent sampling. These parameters included vegetative species composition, growth habits, percent coverage, and erosion. In addition, permanent photo ,lots were established, and litter (trash, paper, etc.) counts taken at each sample location. Since this first effort resulted in the establishment of a data base, it will require additional testing before any meaningful conclusions can be drawn. Additional methodological tests are planned for the summer of 1980.

**Campsite user impact**

28. Another concern of this pilot monitoring program was how to monitor effectively the number of recreation days of use per campsite, type of equipment brought to the site by users, and occupancy preference. Accurate information to assess the effects of recreation visitors on the environment is extremely important to the success of the monitoring program.

29. Although the Corps of Engineers already uses a standardized campground receipt form at each of its fee camping areas, the information derived from the form was not detailed enough to be of substantial value. The RRP concluded that a supplementary campsite registration form (Figure 4), completed by the campground gate attendant, would be the most effective method of recording needed data and should be tried on an experimental basis. Most of the requested information would be obtained from observation, with the exception of zip codes and time of departure.

30. **Initial findings.** The initial phase of the user impact study has been completed, and the data obtained from the supplementary registration forms have been compiled (Table 1). One major finding which emerges from Table 1 is the preponderance of the use of tents over other types of camping equipment in two of the three RRDU's. This finding is
Table 1
Recreation Variables Monitored*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables Monitored</th>
<th>Amity No.</th>
<th>Denby Point No.</th>
<th>Shenango No.</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Camping Equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tent</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop-up Camper</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pick-up Camper</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping Trailer</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV†</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Vehicle</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. camping groups</td>
<td>1,003.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>309.00</td>
<td>1,461.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. camping visitors</td>
<td>3,397.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,293.00</td>
<td>5,274.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg No. persons/group</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. rec. days spent/group†</td>
<td>3,983.00</td>
<td>594.00</td>
<td>872.00</td>
<td>5,449.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg length of stay/group (in rec days)</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total rec days spent‡‡</td>
<td>13,846.00</td>
<td>2,330.00</td>
<td>3,646.00</td>
<td>19,462.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The three campgrounds and dates of monitoring were: Amity Campground (West Point Reservoir), 14 May-3 September; Denby Point Campground (Lake Ouachita), 20 June-3 September; and Shenango Camping Area-Phase IV (Shenango Reservoir) 24-30 May, 1-14 July, and 20-22 July.

** Percentages represent the number of groups utilizing a particular type of camping equipment. Column totals exceed 100 percent because, in many cases, each group had more than one type of camping equipment (e.g., a tent and a trailer).

† Vans were recorded in the RV category.

‡‡ A recreation day is a visit by one person during any portion or all of a 24-hour period. These figures were determined by summing across all groups the number of entire and partial days each group stayed.

For this table, total recreation days spent were determined by multiplying the number of camping visitors by the average length of stay per group.
somewhat clouded by the fact that some camping groups possessed more than one type of equipment (e.g., a pickup camper and a tent). This is why the column percentages adjacent to "camping equipment" add up to more than 100. Future recording forms (to be discussed later) will distinguish between groups with more than one and groups with only one type of camping equipment. Other notable results include the large percentage of second vehicles at all three campgrounds and the relatively high percentage of bicycles. All these findings have direct planning and management implications, especially when the same data are collected over a period of several recreation seasons and significant trends are identified.

31. The advantages of such a monitoring program are numerous. The additional information generated by the use form will be beneficial to both RRUU planners and resource managers. For instance, some of the
data could be used for economic demand modeling and predicting visitor use without the added burden of having to fund and administer a special survey.

32. Comparison of several years' data with such secondary data as nationwide sales of recreational vehicles will provide a reliable basis for identifying both national and local trends in recreation use patterns, information that is lacking in most Corps District Offices.

33. Revised registration forms. One disadvantage of the form shown in Figure 4, the inability to separate groups with more than one type of equipment from those with only one, has already been discussed. Another disadvantage was that there were not enough categories for all the different types of equipment being used. For these reasons, this special-use form has been revised (Figure 5) and will be further tested in other Corps-operated fee campgrounds during the summer of 1980.

34. The amount of extra paperwork to be performed by the gate attendants, often retired couples working on a contract basis, was considered in the derivation of the forms. The collection of the information for the original form (Figure 4) did not prove to be overly burdensome during the recent pilot test; thus the expanded form (Figure 5) is not expected to pose any serious problems, especially as gate attendants become accustomed to its use. In addition, a detailed set of instructions and definitions will accompany the new form, thereby eliminating some of the uncertainties that the gate attendants face in categorizing types of equipment and other information.

35. Another form for recording use data besides the form shown in Figure 5 will also be tested during the summer of 1980. This form is simply a standard computer card that has perforations for each number in each column. Instead of someone keypunching the data onto computer cards, gate attendants will use a stylus to punch out the perforated sections in the card. For ease of location, the names of all the variables shown in Figure 5 will be printed above the appropriate columns. If gate attendants find this procedure simple enough it will have the added advantage of saving one step in the data coding process.
### Trend Monitoring and the Energy Situation

36. The RRDIP will be of obvious value to planners and resource managers. However, as previously stated, a primary purpose of the RRDIP is to support research and demonstration. The user-impact monitoring program now being tested provides one good example of how the RRDIP will benefit researchers.

37. At the beginning of the current fiscal year, the RRP began research on a new work unit concerning the effects of the energy crisis on the Corps recreation program. The objectives of this work unit were to determine (a) changes in visitation patterns resulting from the
increased cost and decreased availability of motor fuel and (b) the regional and local impacts of such changes on facility and personnel requirements.

38. To meet the work unit objectives, the RRP planned to establish trends for several visitation parameters including origin, destination, frequency, duration, type of equipment used, and group size. However, it was soon apparent that either these data were of poor or unknown quality or, in most cases, simply did not exist and would be too expensive or time-consuming to collect. Therefore, a recommendation has been made to stop progress on this work unit, prepare a report summarizing secondary data sources (e.g., U. S. Trend Data Center) indicative of trends, and redefine the goals of the work unit so that it may be successfully completed at a later date. The point is that implementation of the RRPDIP, with its inherent capabilities for collecting, storing, and monitoring trend data, will enable a study of this nature to be conducted in only several months and will produce more reliable data at a considerable savings in cost.

Development of an Integrated Recreation User Information System

39. By now the reader should be impressed with the fact that, within the Corps of Engineers recreation program, there are a wide variety of information systems that contain information from diverse sources and serve many different clients. By themselves, these systems cannot, nor were they intended to, serve the needs of every possible user. For example, the RRPD contains only a portion of the recreation information required by Corps planners and managers. This is because the RRPD was originally designed to support appropriations requests. Once fully operationalized, the RRPDIP will contain much detailed information from many different sources besides the RRPD. However, the RRPDIP will be based entirely upon a small but fairly representative sample of Corps projects, not the entire system. The need to integrate all the available sources of data into one supplemental user information system and the means of implementing such a system are found in a report
40. The relationship of this integrated user information system to existing systems and administrative elements is shown in Figure 1. As indicated by Figure 1, the integrated user information system would be a large, computerized data-management program that interfaces data from the RRMS, RRDS, SIRAP, other agencies, universities, and research organizations. The system would be interactive and capable of responding to the needs of field personnel, the RRP, researchers, and other agencies. One important aspect of the system would be the continual input of annual data regarding recreation use patterns. Such information would enable Corps personnel to spot changing leisure patterns and forecast the effects of these trends. Once implemented, this system would simplify the monitoring of such phenomena as the effects of the energy crisis on visitation.

Summary

41. Most of this report was devoted to a discussion of the functional elements in Figure 1. However, a total picture of all Corps recreation information sources and systems was required to indicate where recreation trend data will be collected and utilized by various Corps elements. Such a discussion was also considered necessary because of the relative newness of the Corps' Recreation Research Program and its associated work units.

42. The Corps-wide recreation program is composed of OCE's Recreation Resource Management Branch and corresponding line elements in the field (i.e., divisions, districts, and water resources development projects). The RRP has no line authority over the field but exists in a different chain-of-command as an element whose primary function is to conduct field-applied research and disseminate results in a useable form. To ensure that Corps research elements, such as the RRP, conduct

only field-applied research, OCE developed a Research Needs System. Through this system, the RRP receives funding for only those research work units given high priority ratings by the field.

43. One of the first work units for which the RRP was given responsibility was the Recreation Research and Demonstration System (RRDS). The backbone of this system consists of 25 Recreation and Research Demonstration Units (RRDU's) and 12 Recreation Use Monitoring Stations (RUMS's) selected to be representative of Corps' projects nationwide. The data system supporting the RRDS is the Recreation Research and Demonstration Information Program (RRDIP). A major purpose of the RRDS is to identify key variables indicative of recreation trends and then to develop appropriate methodologies for monitoring such trends. One method of monitoring developed campground use, the supplemental form shown in Figure 4, has received limited field testing. Problems that were identified during initial testing led to the development of a new form (Figure 5) to be tested at a number of campsites in the summer of 1980. Major advantages of these forms include a heavy reliance on observation for filling out the forms and the generation of reliable data that can be used to document national, regional, and local recreation trends needed for planning and management purposes.

44. Another work unit, the effects of fuel shortages and prices on visitation, was used as an example of the need to collect reliable trend data. It was concluded that development and implementation of methodologies for monitoring trends at the 25 RRDU's will make the completion of this and other work units more efficient in terms of reliability, time, and dollars spent.

45. The need for a central location for the interfacing of recreation use data from a wide variety of sources led to the recommendation and plan for an integrated user information system. This is the only element of Figure 1 not yet implemented. Again, a primary function of this system will be to monitor trends in recreation use. However, the integrated user information system will contain data from the entire Corps recreation program, not just the 25 RRDU's, and from various
secondary sources not currently being used by the RRDS. Such a system will be of obvious benefit to both researchers and field personnel.
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