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Abstract

Transmission electron diffraction and Auger electron spectroscopy studies of the interfaces of selected graphite/aluminum composite systems revealed that generally titanium diboride, (TiB₂), and aluminum oxide, (Al₂O₃), were present as the interfacial phases. The grain size and the crystallographic structure of these interfacial phases were studied and are discussed in terms of the transverse fracture behavior of the graphite/aluminum composites.
Introduction

The graphite fiber reinforcement/metal matrix composites are of great interest because of their high strength and potential for large-scale production and use. Aluminum alloys appear promising as matrix materials for graphite reinforced metal. In the majority of cases the fiber is pretreated followed by controlled immersion into molten aluminum to make the metal matrix composite\(^1\). Even as this liquid metal infiltration technology advanced, the transverse strength of graphite-aluminum composites remained poor in contrast to the high strength in the longitudinal direction. A recent study\(^2\) indicated that the transverse behavior should be closely related to the interfacial properties. This interface could be the reaction zone between aluminum and fiber or the reaction zone between pretreatment coating and either the fiber or matrix.

Some variations in the treatment of graphite fibers have been developed\(^3\), and the transverse strength has been improved without significant degradation of the longitudinal strength. But the basic understanding of the crystal structure of the interface phases is still lacking.

The aim of the present work was to obtain crystallographic information about the interface reaction zone using electron diffraction in a Transmission Electron Microscope.
(TEM). The corresponding interface chemistry on some specimens was studied using a Scanning Auger Microscope (SAM). Various composite materials with different transverse strengths were employed in order to correlate the structure of interface phase with mechanical properties.

Titanium diboride (TiB₂) was found in the interface layer for every material processed by the standard pretreatment coating. γ-Aluminum oxide (γ-Al₂O₃) phase was also observed in most materials studied here as were other oxides and carbides.

Experimental

The graphite/aluminum composite materials examined in this study are listed in Table 1 along with fiber type, transverse strength and the interface phases observed in TEM. Except for G3842 which is plate consolidated from T133 wire, the materials are all unconsolidated wires. The matrix material is 6061 Al. The single fiber wire in Table 1 is a single graphite fiber "prepreg" with no pretreatment coating on the interface. This composite wire is produced by the ion vapor deposition of an aluminum 4% Mg alloy on the fiber. Basically, two fiber types were examined in this study, each representing currently available commercial forms. One is a low modulus type 11 PAN fiber with the oriented graphite basal planes tending to be parallel to the fiber
surface just below it. The other is a high modulus pitch type fiber with basal planes roughly perpendicular to the fiber surface. All fibers have circular cross sections. See Figure 1 for the relative basal plane orientation.

To make the fiber-matrix interface accessible to observation, a selective etching method was used. The materials were dipped or swabbed in one of three different etchants: a concentrated HCl solution, an HCl solution diluted by 60-70% volume percent methanol, and a 7N KOH solution. The samples were then thoroughly rinsed with acetone, methanol or ultrasonically cleaned in methanol. Thus, the sample fibers were free of the aluminum matrix material and only some interface pieces still attached to the fiber surface were left. Searching along the fiber surfaces in the transmission electron microscope* revealed numerous interface pieces thin enough for transmission in the samples prepared in HCl solution diluted by methanol or in concentrated HCl solution and a few thin interface layers in the sample etched by KOH.

Both the composite wire and plate were fractured in situ in the Scanning Auger Microscope (SAM)** under $10^{-3}\mu$Pa vacuum.

*A JEOL 150 KeV TEM was used in the transmission diffraction studies.

**The SAM instrument applied in these studies was the Physical Electronics model 590 system.
in order to unambiguously analyze the material in the fractured interface region between fiber and matrix. In addition, some of the samples were sputtered using 5kV argon ions and examined to identify the chemical species present. An electron beam spot size of approximately 1 μ or less was used to give good spatial resolution and high signal to noise ratio.

Results and Discussion

The most often observed phase was a TiB₂ hexagonal structure which was found in all the pretreated materials studied here. Some typical electron diffraction patterns of TiB₂ prepared in HCl plus methanol for various composite materials are shown in Figure 2. The observation of the spotty nature of the rings in the TiB₂ diffraction pattern from the T114A composite indicated that the grain size of TiB₂ in the T114A composite was larger than the grain size of TiB₂ in the other composites studied here. This grain size difference was consistently observed in many fibers and also in samples prepared by the other selective etchants: concentrated HCl and KOH. One basic difference between T114A and the rest of the composite materials is that the fiber in T114A has the graphite basal plane parallel to the fiber surface but the other composites have the pitch type fiber with basal plane perpendicular to the surface. This difference in
crystallographic orientation in the substrate may lead to a preferential growth of large grains for a TiB$_2$ reaction product in Ti114A. The grain size effect may play a role in the transverse properties of the composites.

In recent years it has been demonstrated that a high degree of preferred orientation of "fibrils" are formed in the graphite fiber of high tensile modulus and strength[4]. The "fibril" is a structural unit which is composed of "microfibrils" and pore structure[5,6]. Its dimensions have been estimated to be from 250Å to as high as 1000Å[7,8] in the transverse direction. The fibrils have indefinite length and may form a continuous or branched network. The "microfibril" is a stacking of graphite layers and the dimensions can be characterized by the stacking height (or the microfibril thickness) and the microfibril width and length.

A close investigation into the morphology of TiB$_2$ layer in electron micrographs for various composites showed some striated or ripple characteristics of this interface layer in pitch fiber reinforced materials (see Figure 3). These ripples are probably due to the "fibril" structure. The chemical vapor deposited TiB$_2$ covers the fiber surface as a surface replica. A rough estimation of the dimension across these strips gives values ranging from 200Å to 600Å which are in the range of fibril transverse dimension.
The micrographs of TiB₂ layer formed at the interface for low modulus PAN II composites are also shown in Figure 4. The comparison between pitch fiber and low modulus PAN II composites can be seen in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively, where the TiB₂ phase with crenulations or ripples for VSBR 32 pitch type composites and without for PAN II type composites are presented. The diffraction pattern of Figure 3 (c,d) is shown in Figure 2 (b) and that of Figure 4 is shown in Figure 2(c).

An aluminum oxide (γ-Al₂O₃) phase was often observed along the fiber/matrix interface. The origin of the oxide has not been clearly established, but it is most likely the reaction product of oxygen which was contained in the fibers and then segregated to the interface during the aluminum-infiltration processing step. The exact role of this oxide layer is not known at present, but the recent SAM studies[9] indicate that it seems to promote matrix adhesion to the graphite which might be responsible for an increased transverse strength in graphite/aluminum composites. The present TEM examination of these oxide layers on the fiber surfaces indicated that the γ-Al₂O₃ phase has a relatively larger grain size on the average than that of TiB₂. The electron diffraction patterns of γ-Al₂O₃ (Fig. 5) were observed for the composites with an interface coating and the single fiber wire which had no coating on the interface.
It is also interesting to point out that titanium carbide, TiC, was observed in G3842 and G3636 composites. In both materials, the rings of diffraction pattern are continuous, however the diffraction ring is broadened to some extent in G3636 composite. This is believed to be due to the effect of very fine grain size. The diffraction pattern and micrograph for the TiC phase can be seen in Figure 7.

The $\text{Al}_4\text{C}_3$ phase which was determined\[10\] to be present at the interface in other research (Aerospace Corp.) could not be identified by the technique used here, because $\text{Al}_4\text{C}_3$ is decomposed in water and highly soluble in both acid and alkali solution. Recently $\text{Al}_4\text{C}_3$ as well as oxides other than $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ have been observed using an electrochemical thinning technique. Further studies using this approach are now in progress.

The interface reaction zone in the composites studied in the TEM was also investigated by SAM combined with in situ fracture to help identify the interface chemistry. The fracture paths were through the oxide layer or close to either the fiber side or matrix side of the interfaces. The Auger chemical analysis versus the depth into the fracture surface was obtained using inert argon ion sputtering. Using sensitivity factors\[11\] estimation of the atomic concentration ratio of titanium to boron, Ti/B at selected points after
sputtering was consistently about 0.51-0.57 in the G3636 and G3842 materials (see Figures 8 and 9). A standard TiB$_2$ Auger spectra after sputtering of TiB$_2$ powder is shown in Fig. 10. The Ti/B ratio is ~0.55. A lack of chemical shift in peak location and consistent peak to peak height analysis together with the assumptions that the escape depth correction, back scattering factor and surrounding chemical effect are negligible, support the TEM results that TiB$_2$ phase was present in the interface layer. Further studies are being conducted in the TEM and SAM to clarify and extend the results reported in this paper.

Conclusions

Results of this work can be summarized as follows:

1. TiB$_2$ phase is generally present for the aluminum/graphite composites processed by standard pretreatment coating technology.

2. Larger TiB$_2$ phase grain size was observed in PAN II fibers with the graphite basal plane perpendicular to the fiber surface. This could relate to higher transverse strength in composites with this fiber.

3. $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ phase was found in most composites and is relatively larger in grain size than the TiB$_2$ phase.
4. The mixture of $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiB$_2$ was observed in some areas of interface for the composite with pitch fibers. 
5. AES identified the existence of the approximately stoichiometric TiB$_2$ atomic concentration ratio, for many of the composites studied.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite Materials</th>
<th>Graphite Fiber Type</th>
<th>*Transverse Strength MPa</th>
<th>**Phase(s) Observed in TEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T114A</td>
<td>PAN II (Celion 6000)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>TiB₂, Y-Al₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3842 (made from T133)</td>
<td>Pitch (VSB-32)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>TiB₂, Y-Al₂O₃, TiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3036 (made from T105A)</td>
<td>Pitch (VSB-32)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>TiB₂, Y-Al₂O₃, TiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T109B</td>
<td>Pitch (VSB-32)</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>TiB₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM pitch/6061</td>
<td>HM 5000</td>
<td>14-80</td>
<td>TiB₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>depends on consolidation process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Fiber Wire</td>
<td>PAN II (Celion 12000)</td>
<td>not measured</td>
<td>Y-Al₂O₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Transverse Strength was tested in plate forms by Aerospace Corporation.

**Camera constant used here for d-space identification was about 1.37 Ångstrom-cm.
Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of oriented structure in PAN and Pitch type fibers.
Fig. 2. Electron diffraction pattern for a (111) sample after 630° C annealing and exposure to HCl and HBr gas.
Fig. 3 Electron micrographs showing layers in various products obtained at 1191°C, 1240°C, and 0.2μm.}

63842 et al. (1991) and 63842 et al. (1992).
Fig. 4. Electron micrographs of larger grain size TiB$_2$ phase in Ti4Al (a) sample etched by KOH, (b) sample etched by HCl and methanol.
Fig. 5. Electric phase, used by HCl and also present.
Fig. 6. Micrographs of a single fiber from a H11A etched by HCl and washed in distilled water. (Top) A section of whole piece, the dark part representing the H11A. (Bottom) Magnification of center area of the fiber, showing neck. Note: Some larger grain fills can be seen, which is a neck part of the whole piece.
Fig. 7. The effect of a small, high-temperature area diffused electron beam on the surface of the material in the micrograph of Fig. 6.
Fig. 8. AES analysis of the fractured interface after 25 minute sputtering in T105A (plate G3636 was used).
Fig. 9. AES analysis of the fractured interface after 5 minute sputtering in G3842.
Fig. 10. Standard TiB₂ SAM spectra after sputtering.