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The transient hygrothermal stresses are determined by assuming that heat and moisture are coupled. A system of coupled diffusion equations is solved by a finite element scheme allowing for time-dependent changes in the moisture and/or temperature on the surfaces of the T300/5208 epoxy resin for the graphite/epoxy fiber-reinforced composite. The time dependent portion of the problem was solved by means of Laplace transformation. Particular emphases are given to the evaluation of transient stresses around a mechanical crack.
- imperfection in the form of a narrow ellipse. Numerical results are displayed graphically for three different values of the semi-minor to semi-major axis ratio.

A stress intensity factor parameter commonly used in fracture mechanics is defined for a narrow ellipse and calculated to investigate the influence of stresses induced by hygrothermal and mechanical disturbances. The radius of curvature of the elliptical cavity can significantly affect the combined stress intensity near the cavity ends. The maximum stress intensities occur at different times depending on the cavity geometry and proportion of the hygrothermal and mechanical loading. These results could shed light on composite failure under conditions where heat and moisture play a role.
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The transient hygrothermal stresses are determined by assuming that heat and moisture are coupled. A system of coupled diffusion equations is solved by a finite element scheme allowing for time-dependent changes in the moisture and/or temperature on the surfaces of the T300/5208 epoxy resin for the graphite/epoxy fiber-reinforced composite. The time dependent portion of the problem was solved by means of Laplace transformation. Particular emphases are given to the evaluation of transient stresses around a mechanical imperfection in the form of a narrow ellipse. Numerical results are displayed graphically for three different values of the semi-minor to semi-major axis ratio.

A stress intensity factor parameter commonly used in fracture mechanics is defined for a narrow ellipse and calculated to investigate the influence of stresses induced by hygrothermal and mechanical disturbances. The radius of curvature of the elliptical cavity can significantly affect the combined stress in-
tensity near the cavity ends. The maximum stress intensities occur at different times depending on the cavity geometry and proportion of the hygrothermal and mechanical loading. These results could shed light on composite failure under conditions where heat and moisture play a role.

INTRODUCTION

One of the main concerns of using advanced fiber-reinforced polymeric matrix composites as aerospace structural components is the degradation of the material due to moisture at elevated temperatures. This is of particular concern to the matrix-dominated composite. The deterioration of material properties cannot be easily understood without a sound analytical modeling of the physical problem. A majority of the present workers in this field [1-3] assume that temperature and moisture do not interact and that they each obey the simple (Fickian) diffusion theory. As a consequence, experimental data are available only for changes in the moisture condition [4] while the temperature environment is taken to be constant. In contrast to the common belief, coupling between temperature and moisture can be extremely important [5,6] when the surface temperature undergoes rapid changes. The difference in the hygrothermal stresses with and without coupling can differ anywhere from 20 to 80% depending on the surface temperature gradient. This casts a new light on the subject and suggests a series of new experiments before reliable predictions on the life of structural components due to service environments could be made.

In two recent publications, the transient hygrothermal stresses around a spherical [7] and circular [8] cavity were determined. The stresses were found to oscillate in time and vary in a complicated nature depending on the boundary condition whether moisture and/or temperature are applied to the cavity. An
analysis was also performed in [8] to investigate the possible sites of failure. The hygrothermally and mechanically induced stresses were found to peak at distances away and near the cavity, respectively. Based on the minimum strain energy density criterion, actual locations of possible failure were determined and discussed in detail.

The primary objective of this investigation is to develop an analytical method for determining the redistribution of hygrothermal and mechanical stresses due to narrow elliptically-liked defects such that the initiation of failure in composites exposed to service environments could be better understood. Use is made of the time-dependent finite element method developed in an earlier publication [8]. Different grid patterns are constructed as the aspect ratios of the elliptical flaw are altered. Presented graphically are hygrothermal stresses near the ellipse for different time from which time dependent stress intensity factors can be defined to study the resistance of the T300/5208 epoxy resin to fracture.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The thermodynamic treatment for deriving the coupled diffusion equations is given in [9] and will not be repeated here. If $T$ stands for the temperature and $C$ the mass of moisture per unit volume of void space in the solid, then the governing equations take the forms

$$
\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial t^2} - \frac{3}{\lambda T} (C - \lambda T) = 0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2} - \frac{3}{\nu C} (T - \nu C) = 0
$$

(1)
in which $D$ and $D'$ are the diffusion coefficients with units of area per unit time and $\lambda$ and $v$ are the coupling coefficients with units of mass per unit volume per unit temperature and the reciprocal, respectively. The Laplace operator in equations (1) is in two dimensions given by $\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$. The domain of interest is that of a multiply-connected rectangular region $R$ having the dimensions: 6 units in height and 8 units in width. A narrow elliptical opening with semi-major axis $a$ of one unit and variable semi-major axis $b$ is centered at the origin of a rectangular coordinate system $(x,y)$ and is shown in Figure 1. Initially for $t<0$, the region $R$ possesses the following temperature and moisture fields:

$$T(x,y,t) = T_0(x,y)$$

$$C(x,y,t) = C_0(x,y)$$

For $t>0$, the temperature and/or moisture on the boundary $\Gamma_i$ and/or $\Gamma_{II}$ are changed such that equations (2) become

$$T(x,y,t) = T_0(x,y) + \Delta T(x,y,t)$$

$$C(x,y,t) = C_0(x,y) + \Delta C(x,y,t)$$

Once the boundary conditions at $t = t_0$ are known, equations (1) may be solved numerically for $T(x,y,t)$ and $C(x,y,t)$.

The time dependent two-dimensional finite element method developed in [3] will be applied to evaluate equations (1). The two types of boundary conditions
are sudden moisture change with
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta C &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_I \\
\Delta C_B &= \text{ on } \Gamma_{II} \\
\Delta T &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_I \text{ and } \Gamma_{II}
\end{align*}
\] (4)

and sudden temperature change with
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta C &= 0, \text{ on } \Gamma_I \text{ and } \Gamma_{II} \\
\Delta T &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_I \\
\Delta T_B &= \text{ on } \Gamma_{II}
\end{align*}
\] (5)

Since the problem is one-quarter symmetry, there is only the need to consider
the grid pattern shown in Figure 2 consisting of 100 nodal points. The elements
near the elliptical cavity are smaller in size so as to accommodate the high
gradient of the local moisture and temperature. In fact, special consideration
is given to the sub-region A enclosed by the corner nodes 6, 27, 48 and 47.
Depending on the ratio of b/a or the radius of curvature defined by $\rho = b^2/a$,
special grid patterns are constructed as shown in Figures 3 to 5. Table 1 out-
lines the three different aspect ratios of the elliptical opening that will be
analyzed. The results will be presented subsequently.

**NUMERICAL RESULTS ON DIFFUSION**

The system of equations (1) is solved numerically by subjecting the ellipti-
cal cavity to sudden changes in the surface moisture and/or temperature according
Table 1 - Three different aspect ratios of the elliptical opening with a=1 unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure number</th>
<th>Semi-minor axis b or (b/a)</th>
<th>Radius of curvature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to the conditions described by equations (4) and/or (5). The moisture and temperature distribution are assumed to be constant in the direction normal to the xy-plane. For the T300/5208 epoxy resin material, the coupling constants are [6] given by D/D = 0.1, λ = 0.5 and ν = 0.5. Of particular interest is the variation of C and T in the material ahead of elliptical cavity as a function of time.

Figures 6 to 12 give the results for the case when the surface moisture on the ellipse is suddenly raised to another constant value, while the surface temperature is unchanged. Refer to the conditions in equations (4). The initial and final condition on the outside boundary of R in Figure 1 are maintained constant at all time. Plots of (C-C₀)/(Cₐₜ-C₀) versus x/a for different values of Dt/a² are shown in Figures 6 to 8 with b/a varying from 0.2 to 0.067. The end point of the narrow ellipse is given by x/a = 1.0. Initially, the moisture drops very rapidly in the vicinity of the elliptical cavity and it gradually diffuses into the material as time is increased. In the absence of mechanical tensile loading, moisture diffusion tends to decrease with decreasing b/a ratio or radius of curvature of the ellipse $\rho = b^2/a$. This can be seen from the curves in Figures 6 to 8 although the differences are not appreciable. The transient behavior of the temperature is exhibited in Figures 9 to 11 as b/a is varied. The quantity $(T-T₀)/ν(Cₐₜ-C₀)$ is seen to peak very sharply at first and the vari-
ations become more gradual as time increases. The peaks move into the material with decreasing amplitude and they take lower values as the ellipse becomes more slender.

The disturbances within the solid due to coupling of heat and moisture are considerably more pronounced when the surface temperature on the elliptical cavity undergoes rapid changes, equations (5). Figures 12 to 14 give the variations of \((T-T_0)/(T_f-T_0)\) with \(x/a\) and time. For small time, only the material near the ellipse experiences temperature change. The temperature gradient tends to spread over a larger portion of the material as time goes by. Again, \((T-T_0)/(T_f-T_0)\) increases with the ratio \(b/a\). Numerical results of \((C-C_0)/(T_f-T_0)\) versus \(x/a\) for the case of sudden temperature change are similar to those shown in Figures 9 to 11 except for the factor \(D/D\) which is equal to ten in the present problem, i.e.,

\[
\frac{C-C_0}{(T_f-T_0)} = \frac{D}{D} \frac{T-T_0}{C_f-C_0}; \Delta C = \text{const}
\]

Hence, there is no need to duplicate them separately.

**HYGROTHERMAL STRESSES**

For an isotropic and homogeneous material, the hygrothermal stresses may be obtained as

\[
\sigma_{ij} = E(\varepsilon_{ij} - \alpha \Delta T \delta_{ij} - \beta \Delta C \delta_{ij})
\]  

in which \(E\) is the Young's modulus, \(\alpha\) the coefficient of thermal expansion and \(\beta\) the coefficient of moisture expansion. The stress and strain components are de-
noted respectively by $\sigma_{ij}$ and $\varepsilon_{ij}$. The condition of plane strain with $\varepsilon_z = 0$ will be assumed such that

$$\sigma_z = \nu_p (\sigma_r + \sigma_\theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

with $\nu_p$ being the Poisson's ratio. The material properties pertaining to T300/5208 are given as follows:

$$\alpha = 4.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m/m°C}$$
$$\beta = 2.68 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m/m%/H}_2\text{O}$$
$$E = 3.45 \text{ GN/m}^2 \left(5 \times 10^5 \text{ psi}\right)$$
$$\nu_p = 0.34$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

For clarity sake, the discussion for the cases of moisture change and temperature change will be carried out separately.

**Sudden Application of Moisture.** When the moisture on the elliptical cavity is suddenly raised, there results a nonuniform expansion and contraction of material which, in turn, give rise to stresses and strains. Figures 15 to 17 show that the radial stresses are compressive along the line of symmetry ahead of the ellipse. They are highly influenced by the radius of curvature of the ellipse. Note from Figures 15 to 17 that $\sigma_r$ decreases very sharply as $b/a$ is reduced. This drop increases in magnitude with time and gradually diminishes away from the cavity. The results for the circumferential stresses are given in Figures 18 to 20. Unlike $\sigma_r$, $\sigma_\theta$ starts out in compression and then becomes tensile for small time. Again, the magnitude of $\sigma_\theta$ is increased greatly for the more slender el-
The stresses resulting from a sudden change of the surface temperature in the cavity boundary are qualitatively different from those discussed earlier. The radial stresses in Figures 21 to 23 are no longer always compressive. For $Dt/a^2 = 4.0$, $\sigma_r$ first becomes tensile rising to a peak and then becomes compressive. This peak increases with decreasing $b/a$. For small time, $\sigma_r$ oscillates violently near the cavity end and returns to zero at $x/a = 1$. Similarly, the circumferential stresses displayed graphically in Figures 24 to 26 are also tensile for $Dt/a^2 = 4.0$ attaining their largest values at $x/a = 1.0$ and then decrease in magnitude until they become compressive. The opposite trend is observed for small time, i.e., $\sigma_\theta$ is compressive near the cavity and tensile away from the cavity. The transverse normal stress component $\sigma_z$ may be obtained from equation (8) from the results in Figures 15 to 26 in a straightforward manner and no special treatment is needed.

**TIME DEPENDENT STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR**

It is well-known that mechanical imperfections can interact with the sudden changes in moisture and/or temperature in the material causing it to degrade in strength and/or fracture toughness. A parameter that has been commonly used in fracture mechanics [10] is the stress intensity factor whose critical value for a given material can be related to the energy required to initiate the propagation of a line crack. If the stresses are symmetric with respect to the crack plane, only a single parameter $k_1$ is needed to describe the intensity of the local stresses. Since the hygrothermal stress field is time dependent, the resulting stress intensity factor $k_1$ will also fluctuate with time.
In addition to the hygrothermal stresses, mechanical stresses will also assume to be present owing to a uniform static tensile load $\sigma_0$ applied normal to the crack which is approximated by a narrow ellipse with radius of curvature $\rho$. Since the stresses induced by diffusion are not coupled with the mechanical stresses, the combined $k_1$ factor may be obtained by superposition:

$$k_1 = \sigma_0 \sqrt{a} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0} \right) \sqrt{\frac{a}{a}} \right] \quad (10)$$

In the absence of heat and moisture, $\sigma_\theta = 0$ and equation (10) reduces to the familiar result of $k_1 = \sigma_0 \sqrt{a}$.

Figures 27 to 29 display the variations of the normalized stress intensity factor $k_1/\sigma_0 \sqrt{a}$ with time for three different values of the applied mechanical stress $\sigma_0 = 1.0, 0.1$ and $0.01$ having the units MN/m$^2$. The temperature on the crack surface is raised suddenly introducing additional stresses. When $\sigma_0$ is relatively large, Figure 27 shows that $k_1/\sigma_0 \sqrt{a}$ is not sensitive to changes in $b/a$. All the curves rise slowly to a peak at $Dt/a^2 = 4.5$ and then decrease in magnitude. In the limit as $t \to \infty$, $k_1 = \sigma_0 \sqrt{a}$ is recovered. The hygrothermal effect becomes more pronounced when the applied mechanical stress $\sigma_0$ is reduced in magnitude, Figure 28. For $\sigma_0 = 0.1$ MN/m$^2$, the maximum value of $k_1 = 3.61 \sigma_0 \sqrt{a}$ occurs at $b/a = 0.20$ and occurs at $Dt/a^2 = 4.5$. The peaks for $k_1$ decreases as $b/a$ is decreased and occur at a later time. A similar trend is also observed in Figure 29 when $\sigma_0$ is further reduced to $0.01$ MN/m$^2$.

Depending on the gradient of the applied moisture and/or temperature, the hygrothermal stresses alone could lead to failure. The additional mechanical stresses can further aggravate the state of affairs near the crack and cause the crack to run. The results presented in Figures 27 to 29 offer some insight into
the fracture toughness requirement for the T300/5208 resin material when both hygrothermal and mechanical disturbances are present.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The simultaneous diffusion equations for the coupling of heat and moisture have been solved for a region containing a crack-like imperfection. Studied in detail are the influence of the crack tip radius of curvature modeled by a narrow ellipse on the redistribution of the hygrothermal stresses. The stresses near the crack front are found to vary more sharply as the ellipse becomes more slender. Because of the time dependent nature of the diffusion process, the stresses tend to oscillate and can be either compressive or tensile depending on the elapsed time.

The coupling of heat and moisture is particularly significant when the crack boundary temperature is raised suddenly. The maximum intensification of the local stresses occurs at \( \frac{D_t}{a^2} = 4.5 \). The crack tip radius of curvature \( \rho \) affects the local hygrothermal and mechanical stresses in different ways. The former tends to increase with \( \rho \) while the latter behaves in the opposite fashion. It is a combination of loading and geometry that must be analyzed for determining the critical condition of crack instability.
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Figure 1 - A rectangular region with an elliptical opening
Subregion A For \( a = 1.0, b = 0.2 \) and \( \rho = 0.04 \)

Figure 3 - Subregion ahead of ellipse with \( b/a = 0.2 \)
Subregion A for $a=1.0$, $b=0.1$ and $\rho = 0.01$

Figure 4 – Subregion ahead of ellipse with $b/a = 0.1$
Figure 6 - Normalized moisture content versus distance for $b/a = 0.2$ and sudden moisture change.

Ellipsoid with $b/a = 0.2$

$\Delta C \neq 0; \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

$\Delta t/a^2 = 4.0$

$\frac{(C - C_0)}{(C_f - C_0)}$ vs $x/a$
Figure 7 - Normalized moisture content versus distance for $b/a = 0.1$ and sudden moisture change.

Ellipse with $b/a = 0.1$

$\Delta C \neq 0; \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$
Figure 8 - Normalized moisture content versus distance for $b/a = 0.067$ and sudden moisture change.
Figure 9 - Normalized temperature with distance for $b/a = 0.2$ and sudden moisture change.
Figure 10 - Normalized temperature with distance for $b/a = 0.1$ and sudden moisture change

Ellipse With $b/a = 0.1$
$\Delta C \neq 0; \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

$(T-T_0)/\nu(C_f-C_0)$

$\Delta t/a^2 = 4.0$
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Figure 11 - Normalized temperature with distance for $b/a = 0.067$ and sudden moisture change
Figure 12 - Normalized temperature with distance for $b/a = 0.2$ and sudden temperature change
Figure 13 - Normalized temperature with distance for $b/a = 0.1$ and sudden temperature change

Ellipse With $b/a = 0.1$

$\Delta C = 0; \Delta T \neq 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

$\frac{\Delta t}{a^2} = 4.0$
Figure 14 - Normalized temperature with distance for $b/a = 0.067$ and sudden temperature change.
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.2$

$\Delta C \neq 0; \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_2$

$\sigma_r (\text{MN/m}^2)$

$\frac{\Delta t}{a^2} = 0.01$

$0.10$

$0.40$

$1.00$

$4.00$

Figure 15 - Variations of radial stress with distance for $b/a = 0.2$ due to sudden moisture change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.1$

$\Delta C \neq 0$; $\Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

Figure 16 - Variations of radial stress with distance for $b/a = 0.1$ due to sudden moisture change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.067$

$\Delta C \neq 0; \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

Figure 17 - Variations of radial stress with distance for $b/a = 0.067$ due to sudden moisture change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.2$

$\Delta C \neq 0, \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

Figure 18 - Variations of circumferential stress with distance for $b/a = 0.2$ due to sudden moisture change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.1$

$\Delta C \neq 0; \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

Figure 19 - Variations of circumferential stress with distance for $b/a = 0.1$ due to sudden moisture change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.067$

$\Delta C \neq 0; \Delta T = 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

Figure 20 - Variations of circumferential stress with distance for $b/a = 0.067$ due to sudden moisture change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.2$

$\Delta C = 0; \Delta T \neq 0$ on $\Gamma_n$

Figure 21 - Variations of radial stress with distance for $b/a = 0.2$ due to sudden temperature change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.1$

$\Delta C = 0; \Delta T \neq 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

Figure 22 - Variations of radial stress with distance for $b/a = 0.1$ due to sudden temperature change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.067$

$\Delta C = 0$; $\Delta T \neq 0$ on $\Gamma_T$

Figure 23 - Variations of radial stress with distance for $b/a = 0.067$ due to sudden temperature change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.2$

$\Delta C = 0; \Delta T \neq 0$ on $\Gamma_\Pi$

Figure 24 - Variations of circumferential stress with distance for $b/a = 0.2$ due to sudden temperature change
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.1$

$\Delta C = 0; \Delta T \neq 0$ on $\Gamma_H$

$\Delta t/a^2 = 4.5$

Figure 25 - Variations of circumferential stress with distance for $b/a = 0.1$ due to sudden temperature change

-38-
Elliptical Opening With $b/a = 0.067$

$\Delta C = 0$; $\Delta T \neq 0$ on $\Gamma$.

$\Delta t/a^2 = 4.0$

Figure 26 - Variations of circumferential stress with distance for $b/a = 0.067$ due to sudden temperature change.
Figure 27 - Normalized stress intensity factor as a function of time for $\sigma_0 = 1.0\ \text{MN/m}^2$
Figure 28 - Normalized stress intensity factor as a function of time for $\sigma_0 = 0.1$ MN/m$^2$.
Figure 29 - Normalized stress intensity factor as a function of time for $\sigma_0 = 0.01$ MN/m$^2$.
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