

AD-A084 139

COLORADO STATE UNIV FORT COLLINS DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/1
CONFIDENCE INTERVALS ON A RATIO OF VARIANCES IN THE TWO-FACTOR --ETC(U)
MAY 80 F A GRAYBILL, C WANG N00014-78-C-0463

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-3

NL

1 OF 1
AD
ADR4152



END
DATE
FILMED
6-80
DTIC



ADA 084139

LEVEL II

CONFIDENCE INTERVALS ON A RATIO OF VARIANCES
IN THE TWO-FACTOR NESTED COMPONENTS OF VARIANCE MODEL

by

Franklin A. Graybill and Chih-Ming Wang
Department of Statistics
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

DTIC
SELECTED
MAY 12 1980
C

Technical Report Number 3
May 1, 1980

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT
N00014-78-C-0463 (NR 042-402)
FOR THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
FRANKLIN A. GRAYBILL, PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

DUG FILE COPY

80 5 12 054

ABSTRACT

Consider the two-factor nested components of variance model

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + B_{ij} + C_{ijk}, \text{ where } \text{Var}[A_i] = \sigma_A^2, \text{Var}[B_{ij}] = \sigma_B^2,$$

$$\text{Var}[C_{ijk}] = \sigma_C^2.$$

Confidence intervals are derived for σ_A^2/σ_C^2 , $\sigma_A^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$ and $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

KEY WORDS: Confidence intervals on ratios of variances.

1. Introduction

Consider the two-factor nested components-of-variance model given by

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + B_{ij} + C_{ijk} \quad \text{for}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, I > 1$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, J > 1$; and $k = 1, 2, \dots, K > 1$;

where $E[A_i] = 0$; $\text{Var}[A_i] = \sigma_A^2$; $E[B_{ij}] = 0$; $\text{Var}[B_{ij}] = \sigma_B^2$; $E[C_{ijk}] = 0$;

and $\text{Var}[C_{ijk}] = \sigma_C^2$. The random variables Y_{ijk} are observable; the

random variables A_1, \dots, A_I ; B_{11}, \dots, B_{IJ} ; C_{111}, \dots, C_{IJK} are

pairwise uncorrelated and unobservable and are jointly normally distributed;

μ , σ_A^2 , σ_B^2 , and σ_C^2 are unobservable parameters. The parameter

space Ω is defined by

$$\Omega = \{(\mu, \sigma_A^2, \sigma_B^2, \sigma_C^2) : -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma_A^2 \geq 0, \sigma_B^2 \geq 0, \sigma_C^2 \geq 0\}.$$

These specifications define a two-factor nested components-of-variance model with equal numbers in the subclasses and the ANOVA table is displayed in Table 1.

Table 1.

ANOVA table for two-factor nested components-of-variance model
with equal numbers in the subclasses

Source	d.f.	S.S.	M.S.	E.M.S.
Total	IJK	$\sum \sum \sum Y_{ijk}^2$		
Mean	1	$IJK\bar{Y}^2$		
Factor A	$n_1 = I-1$	$\sum \sum (\bar{Y}_{i..} - \bar{Y}_{...})^2$	S_1^2	$\theta_1 = \sigma_C^2 + K\sigma_B^2 + JK\sigma_A^2$
B within A	$n_2 = I(J-1)$	$\sum \sum (\bar{Y}_{ij.} - \bar{Y}_{i..})^2$	S_2^2	$\theta_2 = \sigma_C^2 + K\sigma_B^2$
Error	$n_3 = IJ(K-1)$	$\sum \sum (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.})^2$	S_3^2	$\theta_3 = \sigma_C^2$

In this model there are several functions of the variance components that may be of interest in applied problems. These include σ_A^2 , σ_B^2 , σ_C^2 , $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_C^2 + \sigma_B^2)$, $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_C^2 + \sigma_A^2)$, $\sigma_A^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2)$, $\sigma_B^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2)$, and $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2)$. The only functions of σ_A^2 , σ_B^2 , σ_C^2 given above for which an exact size confidence interval exists is σ_C^2 and $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_C^2 + \sigma_B^2)$. Approximate size confidence intervals for σ_A^2 and σ_B^2 have been given by Moriguti (1954), Bulmer (1956) and Howe (1974). Approximate size confidence intervals for $\sigma_A^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2)$, $\sigma_B^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2)$ and $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2)$ have been given by Graybill and Wang (1979). In this paper we give approximate size confidence intervals for $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$, $\sigma_A^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$, σ_A^2/σ_C^2 and σ_C^2/σ_A^2 .

Actually we obtain approximate size confidence intervals for σ_A^2/σ_C^2 only since σ_C^2/σ_A^2 , $\sigma_A^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$, and $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$ can be obtained from these.

In Section 2 the lower limit of the upper confidence interval is derived, in Section 3 the upper limit of the lower confidence interval is given, and in Section 4 is a short discussion of other methods that could possibly be used for confidence intervals on σ_A^2/σ_C^2 .

2. Lower Limit of the Upper Confidence Interval on σ_A^2/σ_C^2

Since $Y \dots, S_1^2, S_2^2, \text{ and } S_3^2$ are complete sufficient statistics for this problem, we will require the upper confidence interval to be a function of them. Write

$$g(\bar{Y} \dots, S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2) < \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3} < \infty$$

for the $1 - \alpha$ upper confidence interval where the function $g(\bar{Y} \dots, S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2)$, the lower confidence point, is to be determined.

Using the notation in Table 1 observe that $\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3} = \frac{JK\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_C^2}$, so an upper confidence interval on $\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}$ is equivalent to an upper confidence interval on σ_A^2/σ_C^2 .

Since $\sigma_A^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$ is a function of $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ only, this is unchanged if any constant c is added to Y_{ijk} in the model given in Section 1. Thus the lower confidence point $g(\bar{Y} \dots, S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2)$ should also be unchanged if c is added to Y_{ijk} . Let $c = -\bar{Y} \dots$; thus $\bar{Y} \dots + c$ is zero and S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2 are unchanged when Y_{ijk} is replaced by $Y_{ijk} + c$ (or specifically by $Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y} \dots$). Hence $g(\bar{Y} \dots, S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2)$ becomes $g(0, S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2)$ and the lower confidence point is a function of $S_1^2, S_2^2, \text{ and } S_3^2$ only. So the objective is to find a function of S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2 , say $f(S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2)$ such that

$$P[f(S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2) \leq (\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3]$$

is approximately (and very close to) equal to a specified number $1 - \alpha$.

If Y_{ijk} is replaced by cY_{ijk} for $c \neq 0$, then $(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3$ is unchanged. Thus we require $f(c^2S_1^2, c^2S_2^2, c^2S_3^2) = f(S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2)$. Let $c^2 = 1/S_2^2$, then $f(S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2) = f(S_1^2/S_2^2, 1, S_3^2/S_2^2) = h(S_1^2/S_2^2, S_3^2/S_2^2)$, so the lower confidence point of $(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3$ is a function of S_1^2/S_2^2 and S_3^2/S_2^2 only.

Since the maximum likelihood estimator of $\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3} = \frac{\theta_1/\theta_2 - 1}{\theta_3/\theta_2}$ is of the

form $\frac{s_1^2 - s_2^2}{s_3^2} = \frac{s_1^2/s_2^2 - 1}{s_3^2/s_2^2}$, we require $h(s_1^2/s_2^2, s_3^2/s_2^2)$ to be

(a) monotonic increasing in s_1^2/s_2^2 ; (b) monotonic decreasing in s_3^2/s_2^2 .

Let $\hat{\theta} = \frac{s_1^2 - s_2^2}{s_3^2}$, then from Mood et al. (1974, p. 180).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{s_1^2 - s_2^2}{s_3^2}\right) = \frac{2n_3^2}{n_1(n_3 - 2)^2} \frac{\theta_1^2}{\theta_3^2} + \frac{2n_3^2}{n_2(n_3 - 2)^2} \frac{\theta_2^2}{\theta_3^2} \\ &+ \frac{2n_3^2}{(n_3 - 4)(n_3 - 2)^2} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_3} - \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_3}\right)^2 + \frac{4n_3^2}{n_1(n_3 - 4)(n_3 - 2)^2} \frac{\theta_1^2}{\theta_3^2} \\ &+ \frac{4n_3^2}{n_2(n_3 - 4)(n_3 - 2)^2} \frac{\theta_2^2}{\theta_3^2} \end{aligned}$$

If we replace the θ_i by UMVU estimators and denote the resulting $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$ by $\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\theta})$, then $\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\theta}) = c_1 s_1^4/s_3^4 + c_2 s_2^4/s_3^4 + (c_3 s_1^2/s_3^2 - c_4 s_2^2/s_3^2)^2$ where c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 are appropriate constants which are functions of n_1, n_2 , and n_3 .

So a large sample lower confidence point for $\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} - N_\alpha \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\theta})} &= \frac{S_1^2 S_2^2}{S_3^2} - N_\alpha \{c_1 S_1^4/S_3^4 + c_2 S_2^4/S_3^4 + (c_3 S_1^2/S_3^2 - c_4 S_2^2/S_3^2)^2\}^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} \left[\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} - 1 - N_\alpha \{c_1 (S_1^2/S_2^2)^2 + c_2 + (c_3 S_1^2/S_2^2 - c_4)^2\}^{1/2} \right] \\ &= \frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} q(S_1^2/S_2^2) \end{aligned}$$

where N_α is the upper α probability point of a standard normal p.d.f.

Therefore, in general we require the lower confidence point, $h(S_1^2/S_2^2, S_3^2/S_2^2)$,

of $\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}$ to be of the form $\frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} q(S_1^2/S_2^2)$, and we determine the function

$q(S_1^2/S_2^2)$ such that

$$P\left[\frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} q(S_1^2/S_2^2) \leq \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}\right] \quad (2.1)$$

is close to $1 - \alpha$. We require $q(S_1^2/S_2^2)$ to satisfy (1), (2), (3) below.

(1) When the hypothesis $H_0: \sigma_A^2 = 0$ vs. $H_a: \sigma_A^2 > 0$ is accepted for a size

α test the confidence interval should include zero, and when H_0 is

rejected, $h(S_1^2/S_2^2, S_3^2/S_2^2)$ should be an increasing function of S_1^2/S_2^2 .

To test $H_0: \sigma_A^2 = 0$ vs. $H_a: \sigma_A^2 > 0$ the hypothesis H_0 is accepted if

and only if $S_1^2/S_2^2 < F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}$ (This test is uniformly most powerful

unbiased). Thus

$$h(S_1^2/S_2^2, S_3^2/S_2^2) = 0 \quad \text{when } S_1^2/S_2^2 \leq F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}$$

$$h(S_1^2/S_2^2, S_3^2/S_2^2) > 0 \quad \text{and increasing in } S_1^2/S_2^2 \quad \text{when } S_1^2/S_2^2 > F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}$$

Since $h(S_1^2/S_2^2, S_3^2/S_2^2) = \frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} q(S_1^2/S_2^2)$ we obtain

$$q(S_1^2/S_2^2) = 0 \quad \text{when } S_1^2/S_2^2 \leq F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}$$

$$q(S_1^2/S_2^2) > 0 \text{ and increasing in } S_1^2/S_2^2 \quad \text{when } S_1^2/S_2^2 > F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}$$

(2) When $J \rightarrow \infty$ (hence $n_2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $n_3 \rightarrow \infty$) the confidence interval will be required to have an "exact" confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$. When $J \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $n_2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $n_3 \rightarrow \infty$ and from this it follows that $S_2^2 \rightarrow \theta_2$ in probability and $S_3^2 \rightarrow \theta_3$ in probability. Start with

$$P\left[\frac{S_1^2}{F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty}} \leq \theta_1\right] = 1 - \alpha$$

and use the result of $J \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. replace S_2^2 and S_3^2 by their "equivalent" values θ_2 and θ_3 respectively, to obtain

$$P\left[\frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} \left(\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2 F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty}} - 1\right) \leq \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}\right] = 1 - \alpha$$

Hence when $J \rightarrow \infty$

$$q(S_1^2/S_2^2) = 0 \quad \text{when } S_1^2/S_2^2 \leq F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty}$$

$$q(S_1^2/S_2^2) = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2 F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty}} - 1 \quad \text{when } S_1^2/S_2^2 > F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty}$$

(3) If $\sigma_A^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the quantity $\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}$ is dominated by θ_1/θ_3 , and we want

$$P\left[\frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2 F_{\alpha: n_1, n_3}} \leq \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_3}\right]$$

to be equal to $1 - \alpha$. This requires $q(S_1^2/S_2^2)$ to behave like $\frac{S_1^2/S_2^2 F_{\alpha: n_1, n_3}}{S_2^2/S_3^2}$ for large S_1^2/S_2^2 in the sense that

$$q(S_1^2/S_2^2) = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2 F_{\alpha: n_1, n_3}} \{1 + \epsilon(S_1^2/S_2^2)\} \text{ where}$$

$$P(S_1^2/S_2^2) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } S_1^2/S_2^2 \rightarrow \infty$$

Any function $q(S_1^2/S_2^2)$ satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3) will give an exact confidence coefficient in the three limiting cases $\theta_1/\theta_2 = 1$, $\theta_1/\theta_2 = \infty$ and $J \rightarrow \infty$.

The simplest function satisfying those conditions is the linear function $q_1(S_1^2/S_2^2) = a_1 S_1^2/S_2^2 + b_1$ where a_1 and b_1 are functions of n_1, n_2, n_3 , and α and are determined by the conditions (1), (2), and (3). However, this did not give results as good as desired so a more general function was used, namely

$$q(S_1^2/S_2^2) = [a_1 S_1^2/S_2^2 + b_1 + c_1 (S_1^2/S_2^2)^{-1}] / F_{\alpha: n_1, n_3} \quad (2.2)$$

From condition (3) $a_1 = 1$.

From condition (2) $b_1(n_1, \infty, \infty) = -F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty}$; $c_1(n_1, \infty, \infty) = 0$

From condition (1) $F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} + b_1 + c_1 / F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} = 0$ or

$$c_1 = -F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} (F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} + b_1).$$

Let $b_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) = -F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty}$ for all n_2 and n_3 , then

$$c_1 = F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} (F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty} - F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}), \text{ and}$$

$$q(S_1^2/S_2^2) = [S_1^2/S_2^2 - F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty} + F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} (F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty} - F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}) S_2^2/S_1^2] / F_{\alpha: n_1, n_3}$$

Thus a $1 - \alpha$ upper confidence interval on $(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3$ is $L_2 \leq (\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3 < \infty$

where L_2 is defined by

$$L_2 = 0 \quad \text{if } S_1^2/S_2^2 \leq F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} \quad (2.3)$$

$$L_2 = \frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2 F_{\alpha: n_1, n_3}} [S_1^2/S_2^2 - F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty} + F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2} (F_{\alpha: n_1, \infty} - F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}) S_2^2/S_1^2]$$

$$\text{if } S_1^2/S_2^2 > F_{\alpha: n_1, n_2}$$

Note that $L_2 = 0$ if and only if the α level test of $H_0: \sigma_A^2 = 0$ is accepted, so $P[L_2 = 0] = P[S_1^2/S_2^2 \leq F_{\alpha; n_1, n_2}] \leq 1 - \alpha$ and $P[L_2 = 0] = 1 - \alpha$ if and only if $\sigma_A^2 = 0$. The probability associated with Equation (2.3) is a function of the unknown parameter $\rho = \theta_1/\theta_2$ and is exactly equal to $1 - \alpha$ when ρ is one or infinity or when J is infinite.

The excellence of this approximation is indicated by Table 2, calculated by simulation. Columns 7, 8, and 9 of Table 2 contain the range of probabilities of $L_2 \leq (\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3$ as the unknown parameter θ_1/θ_2 varies from 1 to ∞ . The approximation appears to be quite satisfactory even for small sample sizes.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the study of the behavior of $P = P\left[\frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} q(S_1^2/S_2^2) \leq \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}\right]$ for all values of n_1, n_2 and n_3 . From Table 2

P appears to get closer to $1 - \alpha$ as the value of K (hence n_3) increases. In fact as $K \rightarrow \infty$ (hence $n_3 \rightarrow \infty$) the problem is reduced to the interval estimation of σ_A^2 in the one-factor model and the method discussed in this section is equivalent to Moriguti's method (1954). From this one knows that the error in P is of the order n_2^{-2} , i.e. $P = 1 - \alpha + O(n_2^{-2})$. Another way to examine the behavior of P is to expand P in powers of n_2^{-1} and n_3^{-1} . The algebraic details of this work are heavy (see Bulmer (1957)). The resulting expansion is

$$P = 1 - \alpha + \alpha_0 + \alpha_{12}/n_2 + \alpha_{13}/n_3 + \alpha_{22}/n_2^2 + \alpha_{33}/n_3^2 + \alpha_{23}/n_2 n_3 + O(n_2^{-2}, n_3^{-3}).$$

This assures that as the values of J and K (hence n_2 and n_3) increase the accuracy of the approximation gets better.

In Table 2, P is between 0.9500 and 0.9597 when $I = 3, J = 3, K = 3$, and $1 - \alpha = 0.95$ and when $I = 7, J = 3, K = 3$, P is between 0.9500 and 0.9581. A study of the values of P when I is large (n_1, n_2 , and n_3 are large, but $R_1 = n_1/n_2, R_2 = n_1/n_3$ remain constant) is in Wang (1979).

3. Upper Limit of the Lower Confidence Interval on σ_A^2/σ_C^2

Since $P\left[\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3} \leq f(S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2)\right] = 1 - P\left[f(S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2) \leq \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}\right]$, we use

the confidence coefficient α in the lower limit of the upper confidence interval in Equation (2.3) to obtain a lower $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval on $(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3$ given by $0 \leq (\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3 \leq U$ where

$$U = \frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2 F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_3}} \left[S_1^2/S_2^2 - F_{1-\alpha:n_1, \infty} + F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2} (F_{1-\alpha:n_1, \infty} - F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2}) S_2^2/S_1^2 \right]$$

if $S_1^2/S_2^2 > F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2}$ (3.1)

$$U = 0 \quad \text{if } S_1^2/S_2^2 \leq F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2}$$

We could determine how close the confidence coefficient of this confidence interval is to the nominal $1 - \alpha$ by simulation. However, due to the expense of computer simulation we chose a different route. We used $q_1(S_1^2/S_2^2) = a_1 S_1^2/S_2^2 + b_1$ and conditions similar to (1), (2), (3), of Section 2 to obtain the confidence interval $0 \leq (\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3 \leq U_1$, where U_1 is given by

$$U_1 = 0 \quad \text{if } S_1^2/S_2^2 \leq F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2} \quad (3.2)$$

$$U_1 = \frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} \left(S_1^2/S_2^2 F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_3} - F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2} / F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_3} \right) \quad \text{if } S_1^2/S_2^2 > F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2}$$

Note that $U_1 = 0$ and $U = 0$ if and only if the $1 - \alpha$ level test of $H_0: \sigma_A^2 = 0$ is accepted. Also note that conditions (2) and (3) of Section 2 are satisfied by the confidence intervals given in Equations (3.1) and (3.2).

The probability associated with Equation (3.2) depends on the value of $\rho = \theta_1/\theta_2$, n_1, n_2, n_3 and can be easily calculated if n_1 is even; we get

$$P\left[\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3} \leq \frac{S_2^2}{S_3^2} (S_1^2/S_2^2 F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_3}^{-F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2}/F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_3}})\right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{c+1}\right)^{n_2/2} \left(\frac{1}{d+1}\right)^{n_3/2} \sum_{y=0}^{n_1/2-1} \frac{1}{y! 2^y} E\left[\left(\frac{c}{c+1}\right)U_2 + \left(\frac{d}{d+1}\right)U_3\right]^y$$

where $c = R_1 F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_2}/\rho$, $d = (\rho-1)R_1 R_2 F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_3}/\rho$ (see Wang (1979)).

The results of the probabilities of $(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3 \leq U_1$ are given in Table 3 for various values of I, J, K and for $1-\alpha = 0.09, 0.95, 0.99$. The actual probabilities are quite close to the specified probabilities even for small sample sizes. We expect the results to be even better if the more general confidence interval $0 \leq (\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3 \leq U$ is used where U is given in Equation (3.1).

4. Comparison with Other Methods.

The literature does not contain any references that have been evaluated and directly relate to confidence intervals on σ_A^2/σ_C^2 . Perhaps Satterthwaite's (1946) method could be used but this procedure is extremely poor when used to place confidence intervals on the difference of expected mean squares (i.e. on $(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/\theta_3 = J K \sigma_A^2/\sigma_C^2$). Broemeling (1969) presents a method for placing simultaneous confidence intervals on σ_A^2/σ_C^2 and σ_B^2/σ_C^2 . This method can be used to place confidence intervals on σ_A^2/σ_C^2 .

We use Equation (15) in Broemeling (1969) to obtain

$$P[0 \leq J K \sigma_A^2/\sigma_C^2 \leq S_1^2/S_2^2 F_{1-\alpha:n_1, n_3}] \geq (1-\alpha)^2 \quad (4.1)$$

which can be used for a lower confidence interval on $KJ\sigma_A^2/\sigma_C^2$ with confidence coefficient greater than or equal to $(1 - \alpha)^2$. Clearly the $1 - \alpha$ lower confidence interval in Equation (3.2) above is shorter than the $(1 - \alpha)^2$ confidence interval in Equation (4.1). Thus the confidence interval on σ_A^2/σ_C^2 derived from the procedure by Broemeling is not as good as the method presented in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Broemeling, L. D. (1969) Confidence regions for variance ratios of random models. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 64, 660-664.
- Bulmer, M. G. (1957). Approximate confidence limits for components of variance. Biometrika, 44, 159-167.
- Howe, W. G. (1974). Approximate confidence limits on the mean of $X + Y$ where X and Y are two tabled independent random variables. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 69, 789-794.
- Mood, A. M., Graybill, F. A., and Boes, D. C. (1974). Introduction to the Theory of Statistics. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Moriguti, Sigeiti (1954). Confidence limits for a variance component. Rep. Statist. Appl. Res. JUSE, 3, 7-19.
- Wang, Chih-Ming (1978). Confidence intervals on functions of variance components. Ph.D. Dissertation, Colorado State University.

Table 2
 Confidence Coefficients for an Upper Confidence Interval on $\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_3}$ (on σ_A^2 / σ_C^2) Using Equation (2.3)

I	J	K	n_1	n_2	n_3	$1-\alpha = .90$	$1-\alpha = .95$	$1-\alpha = .99$
3	3	3	2	6	18	.90 ~ .9109	.95 ~ .9597	.99 ~ .9955
3	3	10	2	6	81	.90 ~ .9092	.95 ~ .9580	.99 ~ .9937
3	5	3	2	12	30	.90 ~ .9082	.95 ~ .9550	.99 ~ .9927
3	10	3	2	27	60	.90 ~ .9044	.95 ~ .9534	.99 ~ .9908
5	3	3	4	10	30	.90 ~ .9115	.95 ~ .9591	.99 ~ .9947
5	5	3	4	20	50	.90 ~ .9063	.95 ~ .9549	.99 ~ .9927
5	10	3	4	45	100	.90 ~ .9033	.95 ~ .9534	.99 ~ .9918
7	3	3	6	14	42	.90 ~ .9108	.95 ~ .9581	.99 ~ .9945
7	5	3	6	28	70	.90 ~ .9063	.95 ~ .9549	.99 ~ .9922
7	7	3	6	42	98	.90 ~ .9034	.95 ~ .9539	.99 ~ .9918

Table 3
 Confidence Coefficients for a Lower Confidence Interval on $\frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\theta} \frac{1 - \theta}{3} \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_C^2}$ (on $\frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_C^2}$) Using Equation (3.2)

I	J	K	n_1	n_2	n_3	$1-\alpha = .90$	$1-\alpha = .95$	$1-\alpha = .99$
3	3	3	2	6	18	.90	.95	.99
3	3	10	2	6	81	.90	.95	.99
3	5	3	2	12	30	.90	.95	.99
3	10	3	2	27	60	.90	.95	.99
3	100	3	2	297	600	.90	.95	.99
3	3	100	2	6	891	.90	.95	.99
3	3	10001	2	.6	90000	.90	.95	.99
5	3	3	4	10	30	.90	.9516	.9904
5	5	3	4	20	50	.90	.9509	.9902
5	10	3	4	45	100	.90	.9504	.9901
7	3	3	6	14	42	.90	.9528	.9908
7	5	3	6	28	70	.90	.9516	.9904
7	7	3	6	42	98	.90	.9511	.9903

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 3	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A084139	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
6 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Confidence Intervals on a Ratio of Variances in the Two-Factor Nested Components of Variance Model .	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
10 7. AUTHOR(s) Franklin A. Graybill Chih-Ming Wang	15	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-78-C-0463
		9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Statistics & Probability Program Code 436 Arlington, VA 22217	12. REPORT DATE May 1980	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS (NR 042-402)
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	12 17	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 13
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 14 TR-3		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9 Technical rept.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Confidence intervals on ratios of variances		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) see back		

405824 YJM

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

ABSTRACT

Consider the two-factor nested components of variance

model $Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_j + B_{ij} + C_{ijk}$, where $\text{Var}(A_j) = \sigma_A^2$,
sub j sub i sub k sub i sub k sub i sub k

$\text{Var}(B_{ij}) = \sigma_B^2$, $\text{Var}(C_{ijk}) = \sigma_C^2$.

sigma-squared sub A

Confidence intervals are derived for σ_A^2/σ_C^2 , $\sigma_A^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$

sub i

and $\sigma_C^2/(\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2)$.

sigma-squared sub C