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Abstract

This paper deals with a simple computational approach to the analysis of dynamical systems involving intermittent motion in which the velocities involved can be discontinuous due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture, and mass release. The sequence of these events may not be known ahead of time, and may in fact be one of the things we wish the computer to determine.

The dynamical equations are formulated using a logical function method due to P. Ehle. The resulting system of ordinary differential equations with discontinuous coefficients is integrated using a standard computer code in regions where the coefficients are continuous. When discontinuities occur, jump conditions across the discontinuity are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old, and the ordinary differential equation solver is simply restarted with new initial conditions.

To illustrate the simplicity of the approach, the method is applied to a dynamical system of ten masses considered by Ehle. The computer code and numerical results are included.
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SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Apart from the work by Ed Haug and his students at the University of Iowa, surprisingly few references seem to exist on the computer calculation of complicated mechanical systems involving intermittent motion, particularly when the sequence of events is not known beforehand. P. Ehle has formulated such problems using a "logical function" approach involving Heaviside step functions and their derivatives. He then smooths out the discontinuities so that the resulting ordinary differential equations can be integrated directly by a standard computer code. We avoid the somewhat arbitrary choice of smoothing parameters, the calculation of the smoothing functions in the transition regions, and the step-size adjustment through the transition regions, by dealing with the discontinuities directly by using jump conditions across the discontinuities. A computer code is included for an example considered by Ehle, to illustrate the simplicity of the method.

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the authors of this report.
1. Introduction.

There seem to be rather few published articles dealing with computational methods for the analysis of dynamical systems involving intermittent motion in which the velocities involved can be discontinuous due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture, and mass release. Bickford [1] uses analytic and graphical methods to design such mechanisms, but he does not use computer simulation. The book by Levy and Wilkinson [7] deals with the computer analysis of dynamical systems, including situations in which masses come into contact with elastic 'stops'.

During the last few years extensive work has been carried out by Professor Ed Haug and his students at the University of Iowa in connection with the computer calculation of complicated mechanical systems with intermittent motion. In the earlier work (see, for instance, [4], [5] and [6]) it is assumed that the order of the sequence of events is known a priori. In a complex mechanism, the sequence of events may be highly design dependent, and it may be one of the things that we wish the computer program to discover. P. Ehle [2] has introduced a "logical function" method consisting of two distinct steps to deal with this latter type of situation:

Step 1. The discontinuities are represented in the equations of motion by Heaviside step functions and their derivatives. The arguments of these logical functions can involve space, velocity, or time, whichever is physically appropriate. The motion is represented by one single set of equations over the entire interval of time under consideration.

Step 2. The discontinuities are smoothed out by an ingenious but somewhat arbitrary procedure. The resulting system of ordinary differential equations involves continuous coefficients so that it can be integrated directly by standard computer codes.
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The present paper adopts the logical function approach in Step 1, but, instead of Step 2, deals directly with the resulting system of ordinary differential equations involving discontinuous functions. In regions where the coefficients are smooth, the equations are integrated using a standard computer software code for solving systems of ordinary differential equations. When discontinuities occur, the jump conditions across the discontinuities are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old, and the ordinary differential equation solver is simply started with new initial conditions. The method is easy to implement and avoids the somewhat arbitrary choice of smoothing parameters, the calculation of the smoothing functions in the transition regions, and the step-size adjustment through the transition regions that are required in Ehle's approach.

A simple example is discussed in Section 2 to illustrate the essential points of our approach.

In Section 3 we apply our method to the complicated example considered by Ehle in Chapter 4 of his thesis [2]. The results confirm that our method is easily implemented. In order to facilitate a comparison with Ehle's treatment, we use his notation, and the computer runs are carried out using his numerical parameters, with minor changes noted later. In order to make the present paper self-contained (and also to save the reader the labor of extracting the relevant information from Ehle's thesis), we define in complete detail in Appendices B and C the symbols used in Section 3 below. Our computer code is given in Appendix D.

Most of Ehle's thesis is devoted to sensitivity analysis for the complicated mechanism in Section 3 below. A sensitivity analysis using the method in this paper would be the next natural step in the present work.
2. A Simple Example.

Consider the idealized situation in Figure 1 which will illustrate most of the points required for the analysis of the complicated mechanism in Section 3. Motion is in the x-direction only. The mass A, position \( x = x(t) \), is attached to a massless spring with spring constant \( k_A \). The unstressed length of the spring is \( x_0 \). When the mass A reaches position \( x = x_1 \) (for the first time only), an impulsive force of magnitude \( F \) acts on it. The mass B is initially at rest. When mass A reaches mass B (at \( x = x_2 \)), the two masses lock together, and move as one. The equations of motion are as follows.

\[
m_A \ddot{x} = k_A(x - x_0) + F \delta(t - t_1), \quad 0 < x < x_2,
\]

where \( t_1 \) denotes the (unknown) instant when mass A reaches \( x_1 \).

![Figure 1. A Simple System](image_url)
The effect of the impulsive force \( F\delta(t - t_1) \) is to produce a jump \( F/m_A \) in velocity at \( t = t_1 \), the position \( x(t) \) changing continuously in \( t \) at \( t = t_1 \). This can be seen by integrating (2.1) between \( t_1 - \delta \) and \( t_1 + \delta \), then letting \( \delta \to 0 \), which gives

\[
m_A \ddot{x}(t_1 + 0) - m_A \ddot{x}(t_1 - 0) = F
\]

(2.2)

where we use an obvious notation. A double integration shows similarly that

\[
x(t_1 + 0) = x(t_1 - 0).
\]

(2.3)

For \( x_2 < x < x_3 \), we have

\[
(m_A + m_B) \ddot{x} = k_A (x - x_0).
\]

(2.4)

From conservation of momentum as the mass \( m_A \) reaches \( x = x_2 \) and picks up \( m_B \), we see that

\[
m_A \ddot{x}(t_2 - 0) = (m_A + m_B) \ddot{x}(t_2 + 0),
\]

(2.5)

and again the displacement is continuous, \( x(t_2 - 0) = x(t_2 + 0) \).

For \( x \geq x_3 \), we have

\[
(m_A + m_B) \ddot{x} = k_A (x - x_0) + k(x - x_3).
\]

(2.6)

Subsequently (2.4) holds whenever \( x < x_3 \) and (2.6) whenever \( x \geq x_3 \).

Following Ehle, we use the Heaviside step-function to write the above three equations as one single equation. We define, for any \( u \),

\[
H(u) = \begin{cases} 
0, & u < 0, \\
1, & u \geq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We also require the following step-function. Suppose that \( u \) is some time-dependent quantity such that \( u < 0 \) for \( 0 \leq t < T \) and that \( u \geq 0 \) for the first time at \( t = T + \epsilon \) (\( \epsilon \) arbitrarily small). We then define

\[
H_1(u) = \begin{cases} 
0, & 0 \leq t < T, \\
1, & t \geq T.
\end{cases}
\]

i.e. for \( t \geq T \), \( H_1(u) \) is always 1 regardless of the size of \( u \).
In terms of these logical step-functions we can rewrite equations (2.1), (2.4),
(2.6) as:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( [m_A + H_1(x - x_2)m_B] \dot{x} \right) = k_A(x - x_0^0) + F_0(t - t_1) + H(x - x_j)k(x - x_j).
\]
(2.7)

Here \( t_1 \) is the time when \( x = x_1 \) for the first time.

As discussed in connection with (2.2), (2.3), the effect of the impulsive form
\( F_0(t - t_1) \) is to produce a discontinuity in velocity at \( t = t_1 \). The effect of the
term involving \( H_1(x - x_2) \) can be seen by integrating (2.7) between \( t = t_2 - \Delta \) and
\( t = t_2 + \Delta \), where the mass is at \( x = x_2 \) at time \( t = t_2 \), then letting \( \Delta \to 0 \).
This gives precisely (2.5). The right-hand side of (2.7) is a continuous function of
\( x, t \) as \( x \) passes through \( x_3 \).

Our procedure for solving (2.7) numerically is to use a standard computer code
for numerical integration of a system of ordinary differential equations in time
intervals in which the mass is not changing and the impulsive force at \( t = t_1 \) is not
acting.

The numerical integration is started with initial conditions \( x(0) = 0 \) and
\( \dot{x}(0) = 0 \). We check at each step whether \( x \geq x_1 \). Whenever this condition is satisfied
for the first time, we take the impulsive force \( F \) into account by restarting the
numerical integration with new initial conditions given by (2.2), (2.3). After this
point we need not check further whether \( x \geq x_1 \). (The impulsive force occurs only once.)

To take into account the mass change at \( x = x_2 \), we similarly check at each step
of the numerical integration whether \( x \geq x_2 \). When this occurs for the first time,
we restart the numerical integration with new initial conditions given by (2.5).
After this point, masses \( A \) and \( B \) are locked, \( H_1(x - x_2) \) is always 1, and it is
no longer necessary to check whether \( x \geq x_2 \).

Finally, the term \( H(x - x_j)k(x - x_j) \) is a continuous function of \( x \) and does
not require restarting the program with new initial conditions. The term \( k(x - x_3) \)
is simply added on the right of the equation when \( x \geq x_j \). The additional term is a
continuous function of \( x \) which is handled directly by the program, i.e., it is not
necessary to restart the differential equation integration as for the other two discontinuities.

In the above example we have assumed that \( x_1 > x_2 \), i.e., the impulsive force acts before mass \( B \) is captured by mass \( A \). If the computer program is arranged so that the conditions "\( x > x_1 \)" and "\( x > x_2 \)" are both checked at each time step starting at \( t = 0 \), and the program is restarted with appropriate initial conditions depending on which condition is satisfied first, then the program will work whether \( x_1 < x_2 \) or \( x_1 > x_2 \). This illustrates one of the main points of the method, that it is not necessary to know the sequence of events ahead of time.

Note that the above example discussed the treatment of only some of the possible discontinuous situations due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture and mass release. For the standard treatment of such discontinuities one could refer to [8], for instance.

(a) Description of the mechanism. We shall compute by our method the motion of the mechanism considered by Ehle in Chapter 4 of his thesis [2]. Schematic diagrams are given in Figure 2 which refers to time $t = 0$, and Figure 3 which refers to a later time. Masses 1 to 10 move parallel to the x-axis as indicated; in addition, mass 8 can rotate about an axis parallel to the x-direction, this rotation being controlled by a pin C moving in a slot AB (a cam motion) as shown.

There are only seven equations of motion since at any one time there are only seven independent moving bodies. Bodies 3, ..., 7 are simply the masses $m_3$, ..., $m_7$ in Figure 2. Masses 8, 9, 10 are attached to either mass 1 or mass 2 at any given instant of time. We shall use the terminology 'body 1' ('body 2', respectively) to refer to the appropriate combination of $m_1$, $m_8$, $m_9$, $m_{10}$ ($m_2$, $m_8$, $m_9$, $m_{10}$, respectively) moving as single bodies at a given instant of time. The exact distribution of $m_8$, $m_9$, $m_{10}$ between bodies 1 and 2 is controlled by the positions and velocities of bodies 1 and 2 (see equations (3.1)). At time $t = 0$ body 2 has mass $m_2$, and in Ehle's notation, body 1 has mass $m_1 + 20(m_9 + m_{10})$. In view of the subsequent motion it is convenient to say that at time $t = 0$, body 1 consists of a mass $M_1$ to which $m_8$, $m_9$, $m_{10}$ are attached, i.e., we introduce a new symbol $M_1$ such that the mass of body 1 at time $t = 0$ is $M_1 + m_8 + m_9 + m_{10}$ (i.e., $M_1 = m_1 + 19(m_9 + m_{10}) - m_8$).

The position of body $i$ in the x-direction is measured by a co-ordinate $x_i$ such that $x_i = i$ at $t = 0$. This co-ordinate system is chosen to ensure that no $x_i$ is ever negative (see [2], p. 66 for more details). The velocity of body $i$ is denoted by $\dot{x}_i$.

Two types of spring-damper pairs are involved in the mechanical system under consideration. Using Ehle's notation, let $F_{IJ}$ denote the force exerted by spring-damper pair $J$ on body $I$. In one type, the spring-damper pair is connected to bodies 1 and 2 and $F_{IJ}$ is proportional to the extension or compression of the
Figure 3. Mechanical System Shown at Later Time.
spring plus a damper force proportional to the velocity difference between the ends (FMOunt, F16, F17, F24, F45, F56). In the other type, the force is proportional to the compression of the spring plus the damper force. When the distance between the bodies is less than the static or free length of the spring, but when the separation of the bodies exceeds the static length of the spring, the spring loses contact with one of the bodies and \( F_{ij} = 0 \) (F12BAR, F12BB, F23, F23BAR, F27).

At time \( t = 0 \), body 2 is in its extreme right position (see Figure 2); also F16, F56, F45, F24, F12BB and F17 are in compression, and the corresponding forces balance. The pin \( P \) is pulled to release body 7; the spring force \( F_{27} \) is inactive since the spring-damper pair attached to the right side of body 7 is some distance from body 2. The spring force \( F_{17} \) is active; the spring-damper pair between bodies 1 and 7 pushes body 1 to the left and body 7 to the right. Since the mass of body 7 is much less than the mass of body 1, the velocity of body 7 is much greater than that of body 1. Bodies 2, 4, 5, 6 also move due to spring forces. Body 3 is centered in its slot in body 2, and does not move initially.

When the stiff spring on the right side of body 7 strikes body 2, the impulsive forces \( F_1, F_2 \) (as shown in Figure 3) act on bodies 1, 2 respectively. At the same time, the mass of body 1 is decreased by \( m_1 \).

From this point onwards we shall not attempt to describe the motion in words, because it is in fact clearer and simpler to quote the equations used by Ehle in [2] to describe the motion (see (3.1) below).

The objective here is to predict the time histories of the displacements, velocities, and forces associated with each independent rigid body that occurs in one cycle of motion of the mechanism, the end of the cycle being determined when the right spring on mass 2 strikes mass 1.

(b) Equations of motion for the mechanism. We simply quote the following first order differential equations of motion used by Ehle in his thesis [2]:

\[-10-\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ EMB(I) \times Y(I) \right] &= NF(I), \quad I = 1, \ldots, 7 \\
\frac{d}{dt} Y(I + 7) &= Y(I)
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

with initial conditions:
\[
\begin{align*}
Y(I) &= 0, \quad I = 1, \ldots, 7 \\
Y(I + 7) &= I
\end{align*}
\]

In equations (3.1),

(1) \( Y(I), Y(I + 7) \) are the velocity and position respectively of body \( I \).

\[
\begin{align*}
Y(I) &= \dot{Y}(I), \quad I = 1, \ldots, 7 \\
Y(I + 7) &= x(I)
\end{align*}
\]

(2) \( EMB(I) \) is the mass of body \( I \):

\[
\begin{align*}
EMB(1) &= EM(1) + 20 \times (EM(9) + EM(10)) - ELG(1) \times (EM(9) + EM(10)) \\
&\quad + (1 - ELG(7)) \times EM(7) - ELG(2) \times EM(10) + ELG(3) \\
&\quad \times (EM(8) + EM(9) + EM(10)) - ELG(4) \times (EM(8) + EM(9)), \\
EMB(2) &= EM(2) + ELG(9) \times EM(8) + ELG(10) \times EM(9) \\
&\quad + (ELG(11) - ELG(3)) \times (EM(9) + EM(10)), \\
EMB(I) &= EM(I) \quad \text{for } I = 3, \ldots, 7,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( EM(I) \) is simply the mass \( I \) with numerical values as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
EM(1) &= .1925, \quad EM(2) = .0182, \quad EM(3) = .00696, \quad EM(4) = EM(5) = EM(6) = .001383, \\
EM(7) &= .002121, \quad EM(8) = .004037, \quad EM(9) = EM(10) = .0004037. \quad (There \ is \ a \ misprint \ in \ Ehle's \ thesis, \ where \ EM(2) \ is \ given \ as \ 0.182.) \quad (Note \ that \ we \ use \ EM(I) \ and \ EMB(I) \ to \ distinguish \ mass \ I \ and \ mass \ of \ body \ I; \ Ehle \ use \ only \ EM(I) \ in \ his \ thesis \ to \ denote \ mass \ I. \ Otherwise \ we \ use \ Ehle's \ notation.)
\end{align*}
\]

(3) \( NF(I) \) is the net force on body \( I \):
\[ \begin{align*}
NF(1) &= F_{16} + FMOUNT + ELG(7) \times F_{17} + ELG(8) \times F_{12BAR} \\
&+ ELG(16) \times F_{12BB} - ELG(5) \times FGAS + ELG(6) \times FCAM \\
NF(2) &= F_{24} + ELG(13) \times F_{23} + ELG(14) \times F_{23BAR} + ELG(15) \times F_{27} \\
&+ ELG(8) \times F_{21BAR} + ELG(16) \times F_{21BB} \\
&- ELG(5) \times (0.9 \times FGAS) - ELG(12) \times FCAM \\
NF(3) &= ELG(13) \times F_{32} + ELG(14) \times F_{32BAR} \\
NF(4) &= F_{42} + F_{45} \\
NF(5) &= F_{54} + F_{56} \\
NF(6) &= F_{65} + F_{61} \\
NF(7) &= ELG(7) \times F_{71} + ELG(15) \times F_{72}
\end{align*} \]

where

\(FGAS\) is the impulsive force on body 1, shown as \(F_1\) in Figure 3,

\(FCAM\) is the axial cam force acting between bodies 1 and 2,

\(FIJ\) is the force on body I due to the spring-damper pair attached to body J

(similarly for \(FMOUNT, F_{12BAR}, F_{12BB}\) and \(F_{23BAR}\)).

All these forces are described and explained in detail in Appendix B. Note that \(FGAS\) and \(FCAM\) are not taken into account in exactly the way in which they appear in \(NF(1)\) and \(NF(2)\) of equations (3.1); they are dealt with by the special but simple method discussed below and as shown in the program in Appendix D.

(4) The ELG(I) appearing in the expressions for \(EMB(I)\) and \(NF(I)\) are what Ehle called "logical function groups" which are used to switch masses and forces in and out; they are algebraic combinations of Heaviside step-functions \(EL(I)\). The definitions of \(ELG(I)\) and \(EL(I)\) are tabulated and described in detail in Appendix C.

Note that the fourteen equations in (3.1) are for bodies 1-7 only; bodies 8, 9 and 10 do not have separate equations because they do not have separate degrees of freedom - their positions, as mentioned by Ehle, are determined by the positions of bodies 1 and 2. Note also that in Ehle's thesis [2] two equations, in addition to those in (3.1), involving logical step functions and their derivatives, must be.
introduced to properly account for two locked-on logical functions of time. For details see [2], p. 74. We avoid this by the use of IF-statements in the computer program.

(c) Numerical solution of the equations of motion. For the numerical solution of the system of equations of motion (3.1), the EPISODE package [3], a variable step and variable order ODE solver, is used. This algorithm will select automatically the appropriate step-size to meet a given criterion. Because of the requirement of this algorithm, the system of equations (3.1) is put into the form:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} y \quad = F(I), \quad I = 1, \ldots, 14
\]  

(3.2)

where

\[
F(I) = \frac{NF(I)}{EMB(I)}, \quad I = 1, \ldots, 7
\]
\[
F(I + 7) = Y(I)
\]

These equations will be processed as they stand when FCAM is not active, i.e., when ELG(6) = ELG(12) = 0. Our method of dealing with FCAM is somewhat different from that used by Ehle. FCAM has the form (see Appendix B, where the values of A, B are given):

\[
FCAM = A \frac{d}{dt} [Y(2) - Y(1)] + B,
\]

so that when FCAM is active, i.e., when ELG(6) = ELG(12) = 1, the first two equations in (3.2) have to be solved simultaneously for \(dY(1)/dt\) and \(dY(2)/dt\), leading to:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} Y(1) &= F(1) \\
\frac{d}{dt} Y(2) &= F(2)
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.3)

where

\[
F(1) = (A_{22} \times B_1 - A_{12} \times B_2)/D
\]
\[
F(2) = (A_{11} \times B_2 - A_{21} \times B_1)/D
\]

with

\[
D = A_{11} \times A_{22} - A_{21} \times A_{12}
\]
\[
A_{11} = EMB(1) + A, \quad A_{12} = -A, \quad B_1 = NF(1) + B
\]
\[
A_{21} = -A, \quad A_{22} = EMB(2) + A, \quad B_2 = NF(2) - B
\]
Equations (3.3) replace the first two equations of (3.2) when FCAM is active.

Our procedure is to use EPISODE to solve (3.2) in time intervals in which the mass is not changing, and the impulsive forces \((F_1, FGAS, \text{ and } F_2 = 0.9 \times FGAS)\) are not acting. But whenever a mass changes or the impulsive forces occur, the jump conditions across the discontinuities (deduced as for the simple example in Section 2) are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old and the ODE solver is simply restarted with new initial conditions.

We integrate (3.2) for one cycle of motion with the EPISODE options:

1. The variable step, variable order implicit Adams method in combination with the functional (fixed point) iteration method,

2. Relative error control,

3. Relative error of \(1 \times 10^{-5}\).

These are indicated by \(MF = 10, \text{ IERROR = 3 and EPS = } 1.0D-5\), respectively in the program in Appendix D.

The essence of our numerical procedure can be found in the flow chart in Figure 4. (Refinements like the impulsive and cam forces are easily added.) Note that we check whether the masses change, and print out results, at steps of \(\Delta t\) in time, where \(\Delta t\) is chosen by the programmer. During any one of these steps, the differential equation solver automatically adjusts the step-size that it has to use to obtain the required accuracy, and these step-sizes may be considerably less than \(\Delta t\).

The entire computer program (apart from EPISODE) is given in Appendix D.

(d) Discussion of numerical results. Figures 5-10 below show the graphs presented by Ehle in [2] for motion of the mechanism described above, together with the graphs obtained by the method of this paper (dotted lines). We have used exactly the same equations and constants as Ehle with one exception, namely the force terms \(F_{23} = -F_{32}\) and \(F_{23}\text{BAR} = -F_{32}\text{BAR}\), as discussed in the next paragraph and in Appendix B.

With one exception, the results are in general agreement, including the sequence of events and the chattering of the spring 27 with body 2. The exception is the motion
Figure 4. A Generalized Flowchart for Intermittent Motion Problems using Logical Step-Functions
Figure 5. Displacement of Body 1.
Figure 6. Difference of Displacements of Bodies 2 and 1.

(Ehle: Figure 4.9-16  Body 2 Displacement History)
Figure 7. Difference of Displacements of Bodies 3 and 2.
Figure 8. Difference of Displacements of Bodies 6 and 1.

(Ehle: Figure 4.9-18 Body 6 Displacement History)
Figure 9. Difference of Displacements of Bodies 7 and 2.

(Ehle: Figure 4.9-19 Body 7 Displacement History)
Figure 10. Difference of Velocities of Bodies 7 and 1.

(Ehle: Figure 4.9-20 Body 7 Velocity History)
of body 3, the springs attached to which are in intermittent contact with body 2. The value 60 of the damping constant in \( F_{23} \) and \( F_{23\text{BAR}} \) used by Ehle produced a highly damped motion of body 3 in our program. Our results given in Figure 7 were obtained using a damping constant of 2.

The sequence of events is shown in detail in Figure 11, which describes 32 intermittent contacts at times \( t_1, ..., t_{32} \). These times are tabulated in Figure 12 below. The criteria involved at each of these times can be found in the definitions of the corresponding logical groups which are described in detail in Appendix C.
Right spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1.

Right spring-damper on body 7 is in contact with body 2.

Left spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2.

Right spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2.

Mass of body 2 is first increased by $m_9$ and is later decreased by $m_0$.

Mass of body 2 is increased by $m_0$ at end of unlocking and is decreased by $m_0$ at start of locking.

Left spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1.

Left spring-damper on body 7 is pushing body 1 to the left.

Cam force between bodies 2 and 8 is active.

Mass of body 1 is first decreased and then increased by $(m_0 + m_1)$.

Mass of body 1 is increased by $(m_0 + m_9 + m_{10})$.

Mass of body 1 is decreased by $m_{10}$ when impulsive forces $F_1$ and $F_2$ are activated.

Mass of body 1 is decreased by $(m_0 + m_{10})$.

Figure 11. The Sequence of Logical Events
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$t_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0000 (Initial time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.0364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.0425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.0533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0629 (End of a cycle of motion)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12. Times of the Logical Events

A straightforward method has been presented for the dynamic analysis of mechanical systems involving intermittent motion.

1. By using the Heaviside step functions, complicated logic associated with discontinuities in the equations of motion is incorporated systematically into the problem formulation, following the methods introduced by Ehle, but differing from them in detail.

2. Jump conditions are required to get across the discontinuities but these are easily implemented in the program.

3. No a priori knowledge of the order of logical events is required.

4. Our method is easy to program. The program itself is simple; most of the program is just computing the forces and switching Heaviside step functions 'on' or 'off'.

5. The validity of the method has been demonstrated for a complicated and realistic 10-mass mechanical system, the solution involving 32 intermittent contacts (see Figure 11).

6. Computational efficiency of the method is good. We integrate the system of equations of motion by using EPISODE (the variable step and variable order ODE solver) with 5-place accuracy and relative error control from $t = 0$ to $t = 0.040$, which is one cycle of motion of the mechanism, the cpu time on a UNIVAC 1100 computer is 36 seconds. (Note that our version of EPISODE uses double precision. Single precision should suffice, which would reduce the time required.)

7. Stability of the numerical solution does not seem to be a problem.

8. The point of the present paper is that we have adopted the logical function approach of Ehle for dealing with discontinuous motion, but we have dealt with discontinuities directly via jump conditions, instead of smoothing out the discontinuities as done by Ehle [2]. A table of comparison between Ehle's approach and ours is presented in Appendix A.
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## APPENDIX A

### TABLE OF COMPARISON BETWEEN EHLE'S APPROACH AND OUR APPROACH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ehle's Approach</th>
<th>Our Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Use Heaviside step and Dirac delta functions</td>
<td>We follow Ehle in this respect, but write equations in such a way that we use only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>systematically in the formulation of equations of</td>
<td>Heaviside step functions (no Dirac delta functions) except for the impulsive force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>motion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Discontinuities are smoothed out by using</td>
<td>Discontinuities are dealt with directly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;logical functions&quot; (i.e., smooth approximations to</td>
<td>by using straightforward jump conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heaviside step functions and their derivatives)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Locked-on logical functions are dealt with via</td>
<td>Locked-on logical functions are dealt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>additional ordinary differential equations.</td>
<td>with simple computer logic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. To put equations of motion into standard form</td>
<td>This does not occur in our method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>required by the ODE solver, Dirac delta functions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>would appear.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. To deal with the logical functions, the transition</td>
<td>Dealing with the Heaviside step functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zone width is chosen arbitrarily; extra time steps</td>
<td>is straightforward -- we simply switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must be taken through the transition zone to</td>
<td>them 'on' or 'off' at each time step.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calculate the logical functions which involves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>additional step-size adjustment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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7. Program seems complicated. Program simple (see Appendix D).

*Note on Ehle's smoothed Heaviside function:

If \( u \) is the argument, the smooth representation \( EL \) used by Ehle in [2] for the Heaviside step function in the equations of motion is:

\[
EL = H(u) = \frac{1/2 (|u|^3 + u^3)}{|u|^3 + (|u - \epsilon|^3 - (u - \epsilon)^3)}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is the precise width of the transition zone. Note that the representation \( EL \) is asymmetrical about \( u = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \). Symmetry is desirable, and this is easily accomplished by multiplying the square bracket in the denominator of \( EL \) by a factor of 0.5.
APPENDIX B
DEFINITIONS OF FORCE TERMS IN EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The forces FGAS and FCAM presented in the equations of motion (3.1) are defined as follows:

\[ FGAS = 1.2 \times 8(t - t^*), \]
where \( t^* \) is the time at which the impulsive forces \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) shown in Figure 3 are initiated.

\[ FCAM = A \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [Y(2) - Y(1)] + B, \]
where
\[ A = \text{GAMLOC}^2 \times \text{ENRT} \times \text{ELP}^2, \]
\[ B = -\text{GAMLOC}^2 \times \text{ENRT} \times \text{ELP} \times \text{ELPP} \times (Y(2) - Y(1))^2, \]

with
\[ \text{GAMLOC} = 0.3927, \]
\[ \text{ENRT} = 10.5 \times 10^{-7} + \text{ELG}(1) \times 10^{-7}, \]
\[ \text{ELP} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{\text{EPSLOC}} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{\text{EPSLOC}} [Y(2) - Y(9) + 0.99167] \right), \]
\[ \text{ELPP} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{\text{EPSLOC}} \right)^2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{\text{EPSLOC}} [Y(2) - Y(9) + 0.99167] \right), \]
\[ \text{EPSLOC} = 0.075. \]

(For details see Appendix D in [2].)

We next tabulate the various spring and damper forces. The equation of motion of a single mass is simply \( m \ddot{x} + c \dot{x} + kx = f \). The damping constants \( c \) for the mechanism considered are less than the critical damping value, i.e., \( c^2 < 4km \).

\[ \text{FMOUNT} = -300 \times (Y(8) - 1) - 9.53 \times Y(1) \]

Force from spring-damper pair acting between ground and left hand side of body 1.

\[ \text{F12BAR} = -10000 \times (Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.66667) - 0.13 \times (Y(1) - Y(2)) \]

Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair attached to the left side of body 2.

\[ \text{F12BB} = -20000 \times (Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.99967648) - 25 \times (Y(1) - Y(2)) \]

Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair attached to the right side of body 2.

\[ \text{F16} = -76.8 \times (Y(8) - Y(13) + 5.08425) - (0.0217 \times \sqrt{2 \times \text{EM}(5) \times 76.8}) \times (Y(1) - Y(13)) \]

Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair between bodies 1 and 6.
F17 = -15 \times (Y(8) - Y(14) + 6.5) - (0.98 \times \sqrt{2 \times EM(7) \times 15}) \times (Y(1) - Y(7))

Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair between bodies 1 and 7.

F23 = -20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(10) + 1.01667) - 2 \times (Y(2) - Y(3))

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair attached to right side of body 3.

F23BAR = -20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(10) + 0.98333) - 2 \times (Y(2) - Y(3))

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair attached to left side of body 3.

F24 = -76.8 \times (Y(9) - Y(11) + 1.91575) - (0.0217 \times \sqrt{2 \times EM(5) \times 76.8}) \times (Y(2) - Y(4))

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair between bodies 2 and 4.

F27 = -20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(14) + 5.16667) - 36 \times (Y(2) - Y(7))

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair attached to right side of body 7.

F45 = -76.8 \times (Y(11) - Y(12) + 0.91575) - (0.0217 \times \sqrt{2 \times EM(5) \times 76.8}) \times (Y(4) - Y(5))

Force on body 4 from spring-damper pair between bodies 4 and 5.

F56 = -76.8 \times (Y(12) - Y(13) + 0.91575) - (0.0217 \times \sqrt{2 \times EM(5) \times 76.8}) \times (Y(5) - Y(6))

Force on body 5 from spring-damper pair between bodies 5 and 6.

F21BAR = -F12BAR
F21BB = -F12BB
F32 = -F23
F32BAR = -F23BAR
F42 = -F24
F54 = -F45
F61 = -F16
F65 = -F56
F71 = -F17
F72 = -F27

(Note that in F23 and F23BAR we use a damper constant of 2 instead of 60 used by Ehle. Also Ehle uses, instead of the above F23BAR,

F23BAR = 20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(10) - 0.98333) + 60 \times (Y(2) - Y(3)).

-30-
APPENDIX C
DEFINITIONS OF LOGICAL GROUPS AND LOGICAL STEP FUNCTIONS
IN EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The logical groups $ELG(I)$ that appear in equations (3.1) are expressed in terms of logical step functions $EL(I)$ and are interpreted in terms of physical events as follows:

$ELG(1) = EL(1) \times EL(4) \times (1 - EL(15))$
Mass of body 1 is decreased by $EM(9) + EM(10)$.

$ELG(2) = EL(2)$
Mass of body 1 is decreased by $EM(10)$.

$ELG(3) = EL(3) \times ELG(1)$
Mass of body 1 is increased by $EM(8) + EM(9) + EM(10)$.

$ELG(4) = EL(19) \times EL(20)$
Mass of body 1 is first decreased and then increased by $EM(8) + EM(9)$.

$ELG(5) = ELG(2)$

$ELG(6) = EL(7) \times EL(8) - EL(9) \times EL(10) + EL(11) \times EL(12) - EL(13) \times EL(14)$
Cam force between bodies 2 and 8 is active.

$ELG(7) = 1 - ELG(1)$
Left spring-damper on body 7 is pushing body 1 to the left.

$ELG(8) = EL(18)$
Left spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1.

$ELG(9) = EL(19)$
Mass of body 2 is increased by $EM(8)$ at end of unlocking and is decreased by $EM(8)$ at start of locking.

$ELG(10) = EL(20) \times (EL(19) - EL(21))$
Mass of body 2 is first increased by $EM(9)$ and is later decreased by $EM(9)$.

$ELG(11) = ELG(1)$
Mass of body 2 is increased by $EM(9) + EM(10)$. 
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ELG(12) = ELG(6)
ELG(13) = EL(22)

Right spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2.

ELG(14) = EL(23)

Left spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2.

ELG(15) = EL(24) * ELG(7)

Right spring-damper on body 7 is in contact with body 2.

ELG(16) = EL(15)

Right spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1.

The logical step-functions that form the logical groups, their arguments, and their physical event associations are given below. Note that

\[ \Delta(Y(I) - Y(J)) = (Y(I) - Y(J))|_{t=0} - (Y(I) - Y(J))|_{t=0} \cdot \]

EL(1) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) = 0.7292)

Mass of body 1 is decreased by EM(9) + EM(10) when \(\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 3.25"\).

EL(2) = H(Y(14) - Y(9) = 5.1667)

Mass of body 1 is decreased by EM(10) when impulsive forces \(F_1\) and \(F_2\) are activated where \(\Delta(Y(14) - Y(9)) = 2"\).

EL(3) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) = 0.91667)

Body 8 contacts body 1 for start of locking when \(\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 1"\).

EL(4) = H(Y(2) - Y(1))

Body 8 contacts body 1 for start of locking when \(Y(2) - Y(1) > 0\).

EL(7) = EL(3)

EL(8) = EL(4)

EL(9) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) = 0.99167)

Locking stops when \(\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 0.1"\).

EL(10) = H(Y(2) - Y(1)) = EL(8)

Locking stops when \(Y(2) - Y(1) > 0\).
EL(11) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.99167) = 1 - EL(9)

Unlocking begins when Δ(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 0.1°.

EL(12) = H(Y(1) - Y(2)) = 1 - EL(8)

Unlocking begins when Y(2) - Y(1) < 0.

EL(13) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.91667) = 1 - EL(3)

Unlocking ends when Δ(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 1°.

EL(14) = H(Y(1) - Y(2)) = EL(12)

Unlocking ends when Y(2) - Y(1) < 0.

EL(15) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) - 0.99899)

Right spring on body 2 is in contact with body 1 when Δ(Y(8) - Y(9)) > 0.

EL(18) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.66667)

Left spring on body 2 begins contact with body 1 when Δ(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 4°.

EL(19) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.91667) = EL(13)

Mass of body 2 is increased by EM(8) + EM(9) + EM(10) when Δ(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 1°.

EL(20) = H(Y(1) - Y(2))

Body 9 remains attached to body 1 until Y(2) - Y(1) < 0.

EL(21) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.79167)

Body 8 is decreased by EM(9) when Δ(Y(9) - Y(8)) = 2.5°.

EL(22) = H(Y(10) - Y(9) - 1.01667)

Right spring-damper on body 3 contacts body 2 when Δ(Y(9) - Y(10)) = 0.2°.

EL(23) = H(Y(9) - Y(10) + 0.98333)

Left spring-damper on body 3 contacts body 2 when Δ(Y(9) - Y(10)) = 0.2°.

EL(24) = H(Y(14) - Y(9) - 5.16667) = EL(2)

Right spring-damper on body 7 contacts body 2 when Δ(Y(14) - Y(9)) = 2°.
APPENDIX D

COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE CALCULATION OF THE TEN-MASS MECHANICAL SYSTEM

C A PROGRAM FOR THE DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF AN INTERMITTENT MOTION MECHANISM
C (l) IT IS A TEN-MASS SYSTEM
C (2) THE ORDER OF THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS IS NOT KNOWN A PRIORI
C
C
C IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION(A-H,O-Z)
C INTEGER EL,FLC
C DOUBLE PRECISION YO(10),EL(24),ELG(16),EM(10),EMB(10),OLDEM(10)
C COMMON EL,ELG,FLC,EM,EMB
C
C INPUT DATA
C
C VARIABLES Z(I)
C DATA -1.25E0,0.01270,0.006960,3=0.0013800,0.0021200,
C 0.0000002,0.000000373,
C
C INITIAL VELOCITIES (YO(I),1=1,7) AND INITIAL DISPLACEMENTS
C (Y0(I),1=1,10):
C DATA YO/0,0.000,1.000,2.000,3.000,4.000,5.000,6.000,7.000/
C INITIAL RELATIVE VELOCITIES AND RELATIVE DISPLACEMENTS OF INTEREST:
C DATA OA21,OB22,OC23,OD24,OE25,OF26,OG27,OH28
C
C INITIAL VALUES FOR LOGICAL FUNCTION GROUPS EL(10)
C DATA FLG.0,0.1,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0
C
C INITIAL VALUE FOR KFL2, A COUNTER TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF TIMES
C
C EL(I) SWITCHES ON:
C DATA KFL2/0
C
C TOTAL TIME ALLOWED,TTL, AND TIME STEP FOR PRINTING OUTPUT,TSTEP!
C DATA TTL,TSTEP/10.000,0.00100
C
C VALUES OF N,T,TOUT,eps,IF,ERROR,MF FOR THE DRIVER SUBROUTINE DRIVE
C
C EPISODE, THE O.D.E. SOLVER USED IN THIS PROGRAM:
C DATA 0.0,T,TOUT,eps,IF,ERROR,MF/14,2,0.000,1.00=5,3,10
C
C PRINT HEADING AND OUTPUT RESULTS AT INITIAL TIME
C PRINT(6,21) TO,0X21,OX32,OX42,OX52,OX62,OX72,OX82,OX92,OX102
C 201 PRINT(A4,15X,18X,10X,10X,10X(14X,1T7=X),5X,10X(17=X
C .2+1),5X,10X(17=X+1),6X,10X(16=X+5),6X,10X(15=X+1),//15X,010,5,
C .5)
C
C COMPUTE MASS OF BODY 1, FMR(1), AT INITIAL TIME
C FMR(1)*FMR(1)+20.000*FMR(9)*FMR(10)
C
C ON 11 PRINT,7
C PRINT(1)N,E(1)
C 11 CONTINUE
C
C STORE MASS OF BODIES 1 AND 2, AND RESET THE MASS CHANGE
C INDICATOR, MALTER, TO ZERO
C IN DO 12 I=1,2
C N(0)N,E(0)
C 12 CONTINUE
C
C RESET INDEX AND NO FOR SUBROUTINE DRIVE
C IPX=1
C MSH=1
C MSH=10
C
C INCREASE THE TIME STEP FOR NEXT OUTPUT AND CHECK TOTAL TIME
C IN TOUT=TOUT+TSTEP
C IF(TOUT.EQ.TTL) GO TO 13
C N(2)E(2)
C 2D IF(NOMAT)// TOTAL TIME ALLOWED EXCEEDS 1.0/
C STOP
C
CALL SUBROUTINE DRIVE OF EPISODE TO SOLVE THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION
OF THE FREE VOLUME \( V(T) \) ARGUMENTS OF DRIVE ARE:
- T = THE INITIAL VALUE OF T AND IS USED FOR INPUT ONLY ON THE FIRST CALL
- \( T+1 \) = THE OUTPUT VALUE OF T
- M = THE STEP SIZE, NO IS USED ON INPUT FOR THE STEP SIZE TO BE
- \( T+1 \) = THE INPUT VALUE OF T FOR THE FIRST STEP, ON THE FIRST CALL
- \( V \) = A VECTOR OF LENGTH M FOR THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE V
- \( V+1 \) = THE NEXT OUTPUT VALUE OF V
- \( \varepsilon \) = THE LOCAL ERROR TOLERANCE PARAMETER
- IERROR = THE ERROR CONTROL INDICATOR
- IMETH = THE METHOD FLAG

1. \( T+1 \) = AN INTEGER FLAG USED FOR INPUT AND OUTPUT
15. EXIT IF ERROR

SFPLAY(EQ), \# GO TO 14
SFPLAY(EQ), \# INDEX

203 FORMAT(//,1 ERROR RETURN WITH INDEX\#1,13//)

C SWITCH 'ON' OR 'OFF' OF LOGICAL FUNCTIONS EL(I) ACCORDING TO CRITERIA

19 \( V21 \) = \( V(9) = V(9) \)
\( V21 \) = \( V(2) = V(7) \)
\( V(2) \) = \( V(10) = V(29) \)
\( V22 \) = \( V(3) = V(59) \)
 expect \#0\n F(1) = 0
15 CONTINUE

TF(V21) = 0,722370,GE,0,000) EL(1) = 1
TF(V21) = 5,1666700,LT,0,000) GO TO 16
F(2) = 1

16 IFV2C1 FL(12) = 1
TF(V21) = 0,916700,GE,0,000) EL(3) = 1
TF(V21) = 0,00000,LT,0,000) GO TO 16
F(4) = 1

17 IFV2C1 FL(13) = 1
TF(V21) = 0,9916700,GE,0,000) EL(9) = 1
TF(V21) = 0,9916700,LT,0,000) GO TO 16
F(5) = 1

18 IFV2C1 FL(15) = 1
TF(V21) = 0,99999,GE,0,000) EL(15) = 1
TF(V21) = 0,99999,LT,0,000) GO TO 16
F(19) = 1

C CHECK END OF A CYCLE OF MOTION OF THE MECHANISM
IF EL(15),\#N,1,OR,FL(1),\#E,1) GO TO 17

F COMMIT AND OUTPUT RESULTS
DXY21 = \( V(9) = V(9) \) \#1,000 \#10,000
DXY22 = \( V(10) = V(9) \) \#1,000 \#100,000
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**STEP 20**

**C** COMPUTE LOGICAL FUNCTION GROUPS $ELG(i)$

17 $FLG(1) = FL(1) + FL(4) + (1 = EL(15))$

$FLG(2) = FL(2) + FL(3) + FLG(1)$

$FLG(3) = FL(3) + FL(4) + FLG(2)$

$FLG(4) = FL(4) + FL(5) + FLG(3)$

$FLG(5) = FL(5) + FL(6) + FLG(4)$

$FLG(6) = FL(6) + FL(7) + FLG(5)$

$FLG(7) = FL(7) + FL(8) + FLG(6)$

$FLG(8) = FL(8) + FL(9) + FLG(7)$

$FLG(9) = FL(9) + FL(10) + FLG(8)$

$FLG(10) = FL(10) + FL(11) + FLG(9)$

$FLG(11) = FL(11) + FL(12) + FLG(10)$

$FLG(12) = FL(12) + FL(13) + FLG(11)$

$FLG(13) = FL(13) + FL(14) + FLG(12)$

$FLG(14) = FL(14) + FL(15) + FLG(13)$

$FLG(15) = FL(15) + FL(24) + FLG(14)$

$FLG(16) = FL(16) + FLG(15)$

**C** COMPUTE THE MASS OF BODIES 1 AND 2

$FM(1) = EM(1) + 20,000 + FM(9) + EM(10) + ELG(1) + EM(8) + EM(10) var. 10,000$

$ELG(7) = FM(7) + ELG(2) + EM(10) + FLG(3) + EM(8) + EM(10) + ELG(4)$

$ELG(8) = FM(8) + ELG(4) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(11) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

$ELG(9) = FM(9) + ELG(5) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(11) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

$ELG(10) = FM(10) + ELG(6) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(12) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

$ELG(11) = FM(11) + ELG(7) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(12) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

$ELG(12) = FM(12) + ELG(8) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(12) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

$ELG(13) = FM(13) + ELG(9) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(12) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

$ELG(14) = FM(14) + ELG(10) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(12) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

$ELG(15) = FM(15) + ELG(11) + EM(9) + EM(10) + FLG(12) + ELG(3) + FM(9)$

**C** SPECIAL TREATMENT FOR THE IMPULSIVE FORCE $F_{GA}$ IF $KL(2) = 1$

**C** NEW INITIAL VALUES THROUGH JUMP CONDITIONS AND LOCK ON $ELG(2)$

**C** OTHERWISE, CONTINUE COMputation

IF $KL(2) = 0, 1$ GO TO 50

IF $KL(2) = 0, 0$, $EM(1) = 1, 200, 000$ GO TO 50

GO TO 70

**C** CHECK MASS CHANGE OF BODIES 1 AND 2: IF YES, COMPUTE NEW INITIAL

50 DO 20 I = 1, 2

IF $DABS(EM(1)) > 0, 000, 000, 000$ GO TO 20

**C** COMPutE AND OUTPUT RESULTS

20 WRITE (20, 205) X, Y, X1, Y1, X2, Y2, X3, Y3, X4, Y4, X5, Y5, X6, Y6, X7, Y7, X8, Y8, X9, Y9, X10, Y10, X11, Y11, X12, Y12, X13, Y13, X14, Y14, X15, Y15, X16, Y16, X17, Y17, X18, Y18, X19, Y19, X20, Y20
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F SUBROUTINE DIFFUS IS CALLED BY DRIVE TO COMPUTE VDOT \( F(Y, T) \) OF LENGTH N FOR GIVEN VALUES OF T AND Y OF LENGTH N.

SUBROUTINE DIFFUS(T,Y,VDOT)

DIMENSION F(14), ELG(24), ELG(16), FMA(10), FLMA(10)

COMMON ELG,KEL2,KM,FMA

C COMPUTE FORCES IN THE FIRST Y EQUATIONS OF MOTION

F(0)=0.0
F(1)=1.0
F(2)=4.0
F(3)=0.0
F(4)=0.0
F(5)=0.0
F(6)=0.0
F(7)=0.0
F(8)=0.0
F(9)=0.0
F(10)=0.0
F(11)=0.0
F(12)=0.0
F(13)=0.0
F(14)=0.0

C COMPUTE THE RIGHT HAND SIDES OF THE FIRST Y EQUATIONS OF MOTION

F(1)=F(1)+F2*(ELG(7)+F17*ELG(8)+F12*ELG(16)+F12*FLMA(1))/EMR(1)
F(2)=F(2)+F2*(ELG(1)+F23*ELG(14)+F23*FLM(3)+F23*FLM(1)+F27*FLM(9)+F21*FLM(1))/EMR(1)
F(3)=F(3)+F3*F2*(ELG(1)+F23*ELG(14)+F23*FLM(3)+F23*FLM(1)+F27*FLM(9)+F21*FLM(1))/EMR(1)
F(4)=F(4)+F2*(F2*ELG(4)+F2*FLM(1))/EMR(1)
F(5)=F5*(FLM(5)+F3*ELG(14)+F3*FLM(3)+F3*FLM(1)+F3*FLM(9)+F3*FLM(1))/EMR(1)
F(6)=F6*(FLM(6)+F1*FLM(1))/EMR(1)
F(7)=F7*(FLM(7)+F1*ELG(15)+F1*FLM(1))/EMR(1)

C SPECIAL TREATMENT FOR FLM, THE CEM FORCE

IF(FLM(6),0.0) GO TO 30
PI=3.1415926536

205 FORMAT(15X,N10.3,6X16.5)
GO TO 30
206 FORMAT(*=MASS CHANGE* T,N10.3,6X16.5)
GO TO 30
END

END
C COMPUTE THE RIGHT SIDES OF THE LAST 7 EQUATIONS OF MOTION
30 DO 10 I=1,7
   F(I+7)*Y(I)
10 CONTINUE
C STORE THE RIGHT SIDES OF THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION IN VECTOR YDOT
DO 20 I=1,14
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

C THIS DUMMY VERSION OF PREDERV IS REQUIRED BY THE ODE SOLVER EPISODE
SUBROUTINE PREDERV(N,Y,YD,NO)
RETURN
END
This paper deals with a simple computational approach to the analysis of dynamical systems involving intermittent motion in which the velocities involved can be discontinuous due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture, and mass release. The sequence of these events may not be known ahead of time, and may in fact be one of the things we wish the computer to determine.
The dynamical equations are formulated using a logical function method due to P. Ehle. The resulting system of ordinary differential equations with discontinuous coefficients is integrated using a standard computer code in regions where the coefficients are continuous. When discontinuities occur, jump conditions across the discontinuity are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old, and the ordinary differential equation solver is simply restarted with new initial conditions.

To illustrate the simplicity of the approach, the method is applied to a dynamical system of ten masses considered by Ehle. The computer code and numerical results are included.