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Summary. Define Z(t) to be the forward recurrence time at t for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution, F, which is assumed to be IMRL (increasing mean residual life). It is shown that \( E\phi(Z(t)) \) is increasing in \( t \geq 0 \) for all increasing convex \( \phi \). An example demonstrates that Z(t) is not necessarily stochastically increasing nor is the renewal function necessarily concave. Both of these properties are known to hold for F DFR (decreasing failure rate).

1. Introduction. It is shown in Brown [3] that if F is IMRL (increasing mean residual life) and Z(t) is the forward recurrence time at t for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution F, then \( E\phi(Z(t)) \) is increasing in \( t \geq 0 \). In this paper the result is strengthened to \( E\phi(Z(t)) \) increasing in t for all increasing convex \( \phi \). An example is given to show that the result cannot be extended to general increasing functions, equivalently that Z(t) need not be stochastically increasing in t. The same example also shows that F IMRL does not imply that the renewal function M(t) is concave, nor that EA(t), the expected renewal age at time t, is increasing. The table below summarizes the monotonicity results of this paper and [3].
| Z(t) (forward recurrence time) | IMRL: $E\phi(Z(t))$ for increasing convex $\phi$; $Z(t)$ not necessarily stochastically increasing | DFR: $Z(t)$ stochastically increasing in $t \geq 0$. |
| A(t) (renewal age) | IMRL: $E\alpha(t)$ not necessarily increasing | DFR: $A(t)$ stochastically increasing in $t \geq 0$. |
| M(t) (renewal function) | IMRL: $M(t) - \frac{t}{\mu}$ in $t \geq 0$; $M(t)$ not necessarily concave. | DFR: $M(t)$ concave. The renewal density exists on $(0, \infty)$ and is decreasing. |

The result $E\phi(Z(t))$ for increasing convex $\phi$ does not appear to be provable by the methodology employed in [3]. A new approach is followed based on a renewal theory identity (theorem 1) which may be of independent interest and use.
2. Definitions and preliminaries. A random variable $X$ with c.d.f. $F$ is defined to have an IMRL (increasing mean residual life) distribution on $[0, \infty)$ if $\mu_1 = EX < \infty$, $F(0-) = 0$, $F(0) < 1$, and $E(X - t|X > t)$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$. The term increasing (decreasing) is used for monotone non-decreasing (non-increasing). $X$ is defined to have a DFR distribution on $[0, \infty)$ if $F(0-) = 0$, $F(0) < 1$, and $X - t|X > t$ (the conditional distribution of $X - t$ given that $X > t$) is stochastically increasing in $t \geq 0$. Lemma 1 below reviews several properties of IMRL and DFR distributions.

**Lemma 1**

(i) $F$ DFR $\iff \log \frac{F(x)}{1-F(x)}$ convex $\iff F$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and possesses a version of its pdf, $f$, for which the hazard function $h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1-F(x)}$ is decreasing.

(ii) $F$ DFR with finite mean implies $F$ IMRL; $F$ IMRL does not imply $F$ DFR.

(iii) $F$ IMRL $\iff G$ DFR where $G(x) = \frac{x}{\mu_0} F(y)dy$.

(iv) $F$ IMRL $\iff E[\phi(X - t)|X > t] + \infty$ in $t \geq 0$ for all convex increasing $\phi$.

(v) Mixtures of DFR distributions are DFR. A mixture of IMRL distributions possessing a finite mean is IMRL.

(vi) Define $Z(t)$ to be the forward recurrence time at $t$ for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution $F$. Then $F$ IMRL (DFR) implies $Z(t)$ IMRL (DFR).

**Proof** (i) The fact that a DFR distribution on $[0, \infty)$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$ (it can have an atom at $0$) can be proved by following an argument of Barlow and Proschan [1] p. 77. The other implications are straightforward.
(ii) The first part is trivial. The distribution discussed in section 5 (i) is IMRL but not DFR.

(iii) \( E(X - t | X > t) = 1/h_G(t) \), where \( h_G \) is the hazard function of \( G \).

(iv) Let \( \phi \) be an increasing convex function. Then
\[
\phi(x) = \phi(0) + \int_0^x \phi'(y)dy \text{ with } \phi' +. \text{ The expectations }
\]
\[
E_x \phi(X), E_x[\phi(X - t) | X > t], E_{x'}[\phi'(X - t) | X > t] \text{ are all well defined with } +\text{ as a possible value. If } E_x \phi(X) = -\infty \text{ then } E_x[\phi(X - t) | X > t] = -\infty
\]
for all \( t \) and the result is trivially true. If \( E_x \phi(X) < -\infty \) then all the above expectations are finite. Integration by parts gives:
\[
(1) \quad E_x[\phi(X - t) | X > t] = \phi(0) + E_x[\phi(X - t) | X > t]E_{x'}[\phi'(X - t) | X > t]
\]
Since \( G \) is DFR (iii), \( \phi' \) is increasing, and \( E_x(X - t | X > t) \text{, the result follows.}

(v) The closure of DFR under mixtures is found in Barlow and Proschan [1] p. 103. Consider \( F(t) = \int F_\alpha(t)dP(\alpha) \), where \( F_\alpha \) is IMRL, and \( P \) a probability measure. Define \( \mu(\alpha) = E_{F_\alpha} X \) and \( \overline{G}_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\mu(\alpha)} \int_0^t F_{\alpha}(x)dx \). Then
\[
\overline{G}(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int F(x)dx = \int \overline{G}_\alpha(t)\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu}dP(\alpha)\right). \text{ Each } G_\alpha \text{ is DFR by (iii), therefore }
\]
\( G \) is a mixture of DFR's and is therefore DFR. By (iii) \( F \) is IMRL.

(vi) The distribution of \( Z(t) \) is a mixture of the distributions of
\( \{X - s | X > s, 0 \leq s \leq t\} \). Thus the result for \( F \) DFR follows directly from (v). For \( F \) IMRL we can apply (v) and obtain the desired result provided that we show that \( EZ(t) < -\infty \). But
\[
EZ(t) \leq E(X - t | X > t) \leq \frac{1}{F(t)} \int F(x)dx \leq \frac{\mu}{F(t)} < -\infty.
\]
3. **Identity.** We will be working with two renewal processes, one with interarrival time distribution $F$, the other with distribution $G$ where 

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t F(x)dx.$$ 

It is important to note that the renewal process with interarrival time distribution $G$ is not the stationary renewal process corresponding to $F$ (which would have its first renewal governed by $G$ and all subsequent renewals governed by $F$) but rather a renewal process with all interarrival times distributed as $G$. Define $Z_F(t)(Z_G(t))$ to be the forward recurrence time at $t$ for the renewal process with interarrival time distribution $F(G)$. Define $\bar{F}_F(x) = \Pr(Z_F(t) > x)$, and $g_{Z_G}(x)$ to be the pdf of $Z_G(t)$ evaluated at $x$. Note that $G$ is absolutely continuous and thus so is $Z_G(t)$. Define $M_F, M_G$ to be the renewal functions for the two processes. Both start with a renewal epoch at $0$, so that for example

$$M_F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F(t)$$ 

rather than $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F(t)$. By Wald's identity $E Z_F(t) = \mu M_F(t) - t$.

Since $M_F(t) - t$ is of bounded variation on $[0, t]$ it makes sense to talk about $dE Z_F(y) = \mu dM_F(y) - dy$. Note that $dE(Z_F(y))$ has an atom of size $\mu \bar{F}(0)$ at $y = 0$.

In theorem 1 below we use the following version of $g_{Z_G}(t)$:

$$g_{Z_G}(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t \bar{F}(t - y + x)dM_G(y).$$

Thus we regard $g_{Z_G}(t)$ as having the above well defined value for each $x$ rather than as an equivalence class of a.e. equal functions.

**Theorem 1** For all $t \geq 0, x \geq 0$:

$$\bar{F}_F(x) = \int_0^t g_{Z_G}(t-y) dE(Z_F(y)) = \frac{\mu}{\bar{F}(0)} g_{Z_G}(t) + \int_0^t g_{Z_G}(t-y) dE(Z_F(y)).$$
Proof For \( s > 0 \) define the following Laplace transforms:

\[
\psi_1(s, x) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} g_z(t) dt, \quad \psi_2(s, x) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} F_z(t) dt,
\]

\[
R_x(s) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} F(t + x) dt, \quad \psi_{\mathcal{M}}(s) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} d\mathcal{M}(t),
\]

\[
\psi_F(s) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} dF(t), \text{ and similarly } \psi_{\mathcal{G}}(s) \text{ and } \psi_{\mathcal{G}}(s).
\]

By (2):

\[
(4) \quad \psi_1(s, x) = \mu^{-1} R_x(s) \psi_{\mathcal{M}}(s)
\]

Noting that \( F_z(t) = \int_0^t F(t - y + x) d\mathcal{M}(y) \) it follows that:

\[
(5) \quad \psi_2(s, x) = R_x(s) \psi_{\mathcal{M}}(s)
\]

From (4) and (5) we obtain:

\[
(6) \quad \frac{\psi_2(s, x)}{\psi_1(s, x)} = \frac{\mu \psi_{\mathcal{M}}(s)}{\psi_{\mathcal{G}}(s)}
\]

From (6) we see that \( \psi_2/\psi_1 \) is independent of \( x \). This suggests that \( F_z(t) \) is the convolution of \( g_z(t) \) and a function which does not depend on \( x \). Next:

\[
(7) \quad \mu \psi_{\mathcal{M}}(s) = \mu (1 - \psi_F(s))^{-1}
\]

\[
(8) \quad \psi_{\mathcal{G}}(s) = (1 - \psi_F(s))^{-1} = (1 - [(1 - \psi_F(s))/s\mu])^{-1}
\]

From (6), (7) and (8):

\[
(9) \quad \frac{\psi_2(s, x)}{\psi_1(s, x)} = \mu (1 - \psi_F(s))^{-1} - s^{-1}
\]
But $\mu(1 - \psi_F)^{-1}$ is the Laplace transform of $\mu(p(s))$ and $s^{-1}$ is the Laplace transform of $f(t) = t$. Thus $\mu(p(s)) / \psi_G(s)$ is the Laplace transform of $\mu_M(t) - t = E_Z(t)$. The result now follows.

Splitting the range of integration $[0, t]$ into $[0]$ and $(0, t]$ and recalling that $dE_Z(t)$ has an atom of size $\mu/F(0)$ at 0, gives the alternative expression in (1). \(\square\)
4. Monotonicity Result. The main monotonicity result is now derived.

Theorem 2 If $F$ is IMRL then $E\phi(Z(t))$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$ for all increasing convex functions $\phi$.

Proof Since $\phi$ is an increasing convex function, $\phi(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t \phi'(x)dx$ with $\phi'$. It is straightforward to show that the expectations $E(\phi(Z_F(t)))$, $E(\phi'(Z_G(t)))$, $E_G\phi'(X)$ are all well defined and are either finite or equal to $\to$ depending on whether $E_F\phi(X)$ is finite or equals $\to$.

Start with (3) (the identity of theorem 1), multiply both sides by $\phi'(x)$ and integrate $x$ from 0 to $\infty$. The left side, after integration by parts reduces to $E\phi(Z_F(t)) - \phi(0)$. It is finite (equals $\to$) if and only if $E\phi(X)$ is finite (equals $\to$). The right side, after interchanging the order of integration reduces to $\int_0^t E\phi'(Z_G(t - y))dE(Z_F(y))$, and it too is finite (equals $\to$) if and only if $E\phi(X)$ is finite (equals $\to$). Thus:

\[(10) \quad E\phi(Z_F(t)) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t E\phi'(Z_G(t - y))dE(Z_F(y))\]

Since $\phi'$ is increasing and $G$ is DFR (Lemma 1 (iii)) it follows from Brown [3], theorem 3, that $E\phi'(Z_G(t - y))$ is increasing in $t$ for each $y$. Since $EZ_F(y)$ is increasing (Brown [3], theorem 2), $\phi' \geq 0$, and $E\phi'(Z_G(t - y))$ is increasing, it follows from (10) that $E\phi(Z_F(t))$.
5. **Examples.** (i) In this example F is IMRL, Z(t) is not stochastically increasing, m is not decreasing (and thus M is not concave) and EA(t) is not increasing. The hazard function of F is given by

\[
h(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\
4 & 1 \leq x < 2 \\
.01 & x \geq 2
\end{cases}
\]

For \( t \geq 1 \), \( X - t \mid X > t \) is stochastically increasing and thus increasing in mean. For \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \), \( E(X - t \mid X > t) = 1 + ce^t \) where 
\[
c = e^{-1}(99.75e^{-4} - .75) > 0. \text{ Thus } F \text{ is IMRL.}
\]

For \( 0 < \delta < 1 \), \( \Pr(Z(0) > \delta) = e^{-\delta} \). For \( t = 1 \), the hazard function, \( h_{Z(1)}(x) \), for each \( x \in [0, \delta] \) is a weighted average of 1 and 4, with 4 receiving positive weight. Thus \( h_{Z(1)}(x) > 1 \) for \( x \in [0, \delta] \) and consequently

\[
\Pr(Z(1) > \delta) < e^{-\delta} = \Pr(Z(0) > \delta) \]. Therefore \( Z(t) \) is not stochastically increasing.

Finally for \( s < 1 \), \( E[A(1 + \varepsilon) \mid A(1) = s] = s + c(1 - s) + o(\varepsilon) \), while

\[
E(A(1 + \varepsilon) \mid A(1) = 1) = 1 - 3c + o(\varepsilon). \text{ Thus } E(A(1 + \varepsilon)) = 1 - e^{-1} - 2ce^{-1} + o(\varepsilon) = EA(1) - 2ce^{-1} + o(\varepsilon); \text{ thus } \frac{d}{dt}EA(t) \bigg|_{t=1} = -2e^{-1} \text{ and } EA(t) \text{ is not increasing.}
\]

(ii) Berman [2] p. 429 raised the question of whether F NWU implies that the renewal density is decreasing. In the example below F is DFRA (and thus NWU) and the renewal density is not decreasing. Recall that F is defined to be NWU if \( X - t \mid X > t \) is stochastically greater than X for all \( t \geq 0 \), and DFRA if \( \frac{H(t)}{t} = \frac{-\ln F(t)}{t} \) is decreasing in \( t > 0 \).

\[
F \text{ is defined by the hazard function } h(x) = \begin{cases} 
100 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\
1 & 1 \leq x < 2 \\
2 & x \geq 2
\end{cases}
\]

Clearly F is DFRA (and thus NWU). Define \( p(t) = \Pr(1 < A(t) < 2) \). Note that \( p(2) = p(2-) - \Pr(N(2) = 0) = p(2-) - e^{-101} \). Thus
\[ m(2-) = 100(1 - p(2-)) + p(2-), \text{ while } m(2) = 100(1 - p(2-)) + (p(2-) - e^{-101}) + 2e^{-101} = m(2-) + e^{-101}. \] It follows that for some \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( m(t) > m(s) \) for \( 2 + \epsilon > t > 2 > s > 2 - \epsilon \), and thus \( m \) is not decreasing.

(iii) It appears that the prospects are not good for deriving monotonicity results for renewal processes with IFR interarrival times. Berman [2] points out that the convolution of three exponentials with common parameter does not have an increasing renewal density. Moreover, it is easy to construct absolutely continuous IFR distributions which are arbitrarily close to a degenerate distribution, say for example a degenerate distribution at \{1\}. Such a distribution has a renewal function which for small to moderate \( t \) differs little from that of the degenerate distribution at \{1\}. 
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